Рейтинг производителей комбикорма на продажу в Украине, 2012 год
(из таблицы выше убраны компании, производящие для собственного потребления, а из объема, произведенного АСД, убраны объемы корма, потребленные на собственных предприятиях)

№	Предприятия	Объем, т.
1	Единство ("Украинское Зерно")	384 435
2	**Аграрный Союз Донбаса (Украгрозакупка + Константа-Агро)**	**81 208**
3	Фидлайф	78 362
4	Фарнакс	73 781
5	Госкомрезерв Украины	67 989
6	Агротехника (Пан Курчак)	66 264

**Информация представлена по данным Аграрного Союза Донбаса*

УДК [658.5.012.1]

B. V. YEGOROV, I. S. KATZ, V. B. YEGOROV

STABILITY OF TECHNOLOGICAL PROCESSES - ANALYSIS

Stability of technological system it is difficult to overestimate importance of introduction of function of management as widespread introduction of the monitoring system of quality of HACCP doesn't allow to consider possibility of quality management of technological processes in dynamics, but only in a static that doesn't guarantee production of qualitative production. Application of an assessment of stability of technological process significantly expands possibilities of system of automatic control that is important for increase of efficiency of technological processes of food products.

Keywords: *Stability, technological processes, system of automatic control.*

Важность внедрения функции управления стабильностью технологической системы тяжело переоценить, так как широкое внедрение системы контроля качества HACCP не позволяет рассматривать возможность управления качеством технологических процессов в динамике, а только в статике, что не гарантирует производство качественной продукции. Применение оценки стабильности технологического процесса и ее первой производной существенно расширяет возможности системы автоматического управления, что важно для повышения эффективности технологических процессов пищевых и зерноперерабатывавших производств.

Ключові слова: *стабильность, технологический процесс, система технологического контроля.*

Industry development at all stages of its formation always set up a number of requirements, first of all to qualitative characteristics of produced products, and with introduction of automatic-control systems, directly to the production process. The basis of the quality management process is the highest perfection of production, its technology and organization, which is characterized by the ability to consistently manufacture products in strict accordance with the requirements of regulatory documents. At the same time, achieving of the competitive level of the product quality dictates the need for a wide application of modern methods, techniques and tools of quality management, implementing the forward-looking strategy and ideology of the quality control [1].

To properties of any process one can attribute the following:

- Certainty, i.e. the extent to which the actual process conforms with its original description;
- Cost, i.e. the total cost of performing the functions of the process and the transfer of intermediate results between them;
- Replication, i.e. the ability of the process to create the output streams with the same characteristics, approaching the specified characteristics during repeated implementations;
- Manageability, i.e. the degree of process control in order to carry out pre-assigned tasks;
- Efficiency – extent of optimality in use of resources for achieving the necessary results;

A fundamental property of the technological process is a more complex analogue of the replication property, namely, the stability of the technological process. The information obtained as a result of the statistical analysis of accuracy and stability of the technological process can be used as an argument for a regulator in the automatic-control system to synthesize the corresponding control action. In this case, the delay in the channel of the management system is a fundamental factor of effectiveness and feasibility of using the performance stability of the technological process.

Statistical analysis of accuracy and stability of the technological process is the establishment by statistical methods of values of accuracy and stability parameters of the process and identification of patterns of its occurrence in time [1]. Methods of statistical analysis and evaluation of products quality during the manufacturing process, and statistical process control techniques are components of the products quality control.

The purposes of the statistical analysis methods application of accuracy and stability of the technological processes and quality of the product at the stages of development, manufacturing and consumption of products are, in particular, the following [2]:

- Determination of the actual performance characteristics of accuracy and stability of the technological process, equipment or product quality
- Determination that the quality of products corresponds to requirements of the standards specifications and the technical documentation;
- Verification of compliance with the technical discipline;
- Study of random and systematic factors that can cause appearance of defects;
- Identification of production and technology reserves;
- Substantiation of technical standards and tolerances for the products;
- Evaluation of the results of prototypes testing before justification of requirements and specifications for the product;
- Substantiation of selection of technological equipment, as well as measuring apparatuses and tests;
- Comparison of various product samples;
- Substantiation of replacement the continuous control by the statistical one;
- Revealing of possibility to implement the statistical management methods for quality control of the products.

There are several definitions of the "stability" term in relation to the process. For example, according to the State Standards of GOST RV 15.002-2003 SRPP VT «Quality management systems. General requirements» [3] and GOST 15895-77 «Statistical methods of products quality control. Terms and definitions» [4], stability, in relation to technological processes is a property of the technological process that causes a constancy of the probability distribution of its parameters during a certain time interval without interfering from outside. In relation to systems, stability is the ability of the system to function without changing its own structure, and to be in balance and should be invariable in time. As quantitative indicators of the accuracy and stability of the technological process, various criteria were offered. At the same time, it is obvious that in any case the process will be accurate, if the controllable parameter distribution does not overstep the norm frames, and it will be stable, if the same does not occur during a definite interval of time.

To ensure the stability of the statistical control of the process, checklists are commonly used as a component, first introduced by Shewhart in 1924 [5].

Timely detection of instability, to what, in turn, the use of the Shewhart control charts was focused, can help to prevent the appearence of defects. Considering independence of an average and root-mean-square deviation in the case of the normal distribution, control charts are usually used in pairs, for example for average and standard deviations. The purpose of application of the Shewhart control charts is the revealing of output points of the process from the stable state for the subsequent determination of reasons for deviations and their elimination [6], [7].

Technological processes of the food manufactures are realized, as a rule, in the form of technological complexes including the interconnected various apparatuses and equipment, co-operating streams of raw materials, energy, components, semi-finished products and final products. They are characterized by a high level of the information incompleteness on properties of initial raw materials about the raw materials properties directly during the technological process. Starting from the above stated, the parameter of the technological process stability should possess the following properties:

Efficiency is time of obtainibg the information by the system about the process stability. (The information about assessing the stability of the process as the main way to assess the conformity of the products to initial requirements should reach the appropriate regulator of the automatic control with the minimum delay. Due to production capacity in the modern industry, the time lag in the control channel is one of the main criteria for the effectiveness of the automatic control);

Informativity is the conformity of the stability parameter to actual properties of the process. (The criterion for the informative value of the stability of the process is the unification of the information in the index of the stability of the process and its accuracy to originally specified requirements)

For estimation of the accuracy and stability parameters, various methods were offered. For example, during manufacturing of exact technical products, the following parameter of the process accuracy is applied [8]:

$$K_r = \frac{6S}{T}; \quad (1)$$

where S is the average quadratic deviation, T is the product tolerance

$$T = T_B - T_H; \quad (2)$$

Often, in manufacturing of precision engineering products, this parameter is sufficient in view of the fact that the disturbances on raw materials during this process are virtually absent. The disturbances that persist are associated only with fluctuations in voltage and frequency of the mains power supply, deterioration of working parts of the extruder, etc. Their amplitude and frequency changes are such that the problem of compensating of consequence of these disturbances is quite simple.

Gorjachev [9] offers a more general form of the formula (1):

$$K_r = \frac{\omega}{T}; \quad (3)$$

where the stray field $\omega = I(y)S;$ with $I(y)$ the factor depending on the law of distribution of the controllable parameter.

At the normal law of distribution of the controllable parameter and confidence level of y=0,997, $I(y)$=6; The process is considered as accurate, if K_r<1. Thus, the stray field of the controllable parameter should be less than the tolerance zones on this parameter. If K_r > 1, the process is not accurate (in technological sense, there is a waste) and correcting acts on its regulating are necessary. Gorjachev [9], and also recommendations of the P 50-601-20-91 [8] suggest to estimate the stability as

$$K_C = \frac{S_{T1}}{S_{T2}}; \quad (4)$$

where S_{T1} is the average quadratic deviation during the fixed moment of time; S_{T2} is the average quadratic deviation during the compared fixed moment of time;

The process is considered as stable at $K_C \to 1$;

Khersonskiy and the Proshin [10] offered a method for estimation of the process stability using the Kohran criterion, which represents the criterion of the dispersions uniformity analysis at equal volume of selections:

$$G = \frac{S_{\max}}{\sum (S_i)}; \quad (5)$$

where $S_{\max}$ is the greatest from selective average quadratic deviations; S_i is the selective root-mean-square deviation for k selections;

Thus

$$S = \sqrt{\frac{(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i - \bar{x})^2}{(n-1)}}; \quad (6)$$

where $\bar{x}$ is the dispersion centre (a selective average arithmetical); x_i is the result of i_{th} measurement; n is the sampling volume;

Value of the Kohran criterion Ga for a certain required significance value a is defined from tables [11]. Thus, if $G < Ga$, the process is considered as the stable one.

The index of dispersion is provided in regulatory documents STP EE0.019.058 [12], STP RUVI.019.067 [13] and STP RUVI.070.035 [14], which considers only dispersion of the process and characterizes its conformity to the tolerance zone width. In fact, it is inverse value of the accuracy parameter (3) and it is calculated as:

$$C_p = \frac{\Delta}{6\sigma}; \quad (1.7)$$

where Δ is the width of the tolerance zone, σ is the estimation of the root-mean-square deviation that is supposed to be counted (6)

From the technological point of view, the dispersion index > 1 is sufficient. The figures presented in reports of such leading car manufacturers, as Ford, Mercedes, GM, specify the experience of application by them C_p > 1,33. [15].

In electronic industry, by the Motorola Company instance, the dispersion index, or «the reproducibility index» is C_p > 2.0 that corresponds to production of defective units no more than 3.5 pieces per one million of products.

Also the regulatory documents STP EE0.019.058 [12], STP RUVI.019.067 [13] and STP RUVI.070.035 [14] provide index for the property of being centered. A recommendation on application of the centrality index is C_{pk} > 1.33 [15].

$$C_{pk} = \frac{d}{3\sigma}; \quad (8)$$

where d is the distance from the centre of the distribution curve to the proximal tolerance limit, σ is the estimation of the root-mean-square deviation that should be calculated (6)

In [27] a method for evaluation of the technological process is proposed using the variation coefficient of quality used in the food industry: raw protein, cellulose, salt, calcium, phosphorus, etc.

$$V_C = \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{1}^{n}(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{n-1}}}{\bar{x}}; \quad (9)$$

where x_i is the current value; $\bar{x}$ the average arithmetical value; n the number of experiments;

If <3%, the technological process for this indicator is considered as stable and accurate. If 3 % <V_C< 7,5 %, stability of the process is good [13]. If 7.5% < V_c <15%, the stability of the process is satisfactory. If V_c > 15%, the stability of the process is inadequate. It is indicated that the mean value of the analyzed values may differ significantly from the initial requirements specified for < 3%, then the process is stable, but inaccurate [13] It was also proposed a method of assessing the stability of the technological process using the entropy as a measure of relations ordering in the system:

© "Зернові продукти і комбікорми", 2013

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{H}{H_{\max}}; \quad (10)$$

where H is entropy of the system, which is in a given functional condition. $H_{\max}$ is the greatest possible entropy matching to the full uncertainty in behavior of the system, it is offered to accept it further as equal to 1.

At the above specified assumption (10) has a form:

$$\eta = 1 - \frac{H}{1}; \quad (11)$$

Entropy of the functioning system is calculated as [16]:

$$H = -P_1 \log_2 P_1 - P_2 \log_2 P_2; \quad (12)$$

where P_1 is the probability of the event occurrence in a desirable interval, P_2 =1 - P_1 is probability of the event to go out of the specified interval.

Thus, for convenience of $-P\log_2 P$ calculation it is offered in [16] to use the corresponding table of ready values [13].

It is necessary to note that the listed above parameters, anyhow, characterize process from the point of view of stability, without comparing an average value of the process $\bar{x}$ with a preset value. For estimation the readiness of the technological process, the corresponding parameter is offered to calculate by the following formula [8]:

$$K_H = \frac{\bar{x} - x_\delta}{\delta}; \quad (13)$$

where $\bar{x}$ is the average arithmetical value; x_σ the middle of the tolerance zone, δ the tolerance zone. The process is considered as adjusted at $K_H \to 0$;

The factors observed above, based on the mathematical tools of the statistical analysis, consider separately either accuracy, or stability. Besides, in the case of the technological processes in the food industry, for onbaining data about processes, laboratory research is required that in the case of application even the infrared express analyzers, all the same introduces the essential delay in the regulating channel, that in its turn is unacceptable for the functioning of the automatic-control systems.

Analysis of the above described methods to assess the stability and accuracy of the functioning of the technological systems indicates that they are based on the use of the mean-square deviation, the standard mean-square deviation of a random variable with respect to its mathematical expectation based on the unbiased estimation of its variance, the tolerance and entropy as a measure of the bonds ordering in the system.

Application of entropy for estimation of the process stability is inconvenient from our point of view, since it assumes knowledge of values of the event fulfillment probability in a desirable interval and probability of the event going out of the set interval. We can estimate the approximate values of the specified probabilities from the inequality of Chebyshev, from which follows that the probability of that the random quantity differs from its mathematical expectation more than by k standard deviations, is less than $1/k^2$, however it is only an approximate value. Application of the root-mean-square deviation and the standard root-mean-square deviation has its advantages, however, there are also deficiencies. Yegorov et al. [17] compared estimations of the random quantity dispersion according to which it is concluded that:

- Advantage of the dispersion comparing to the mean absolute deviation is that the integrand in equation (14) is differentiable at all points in the $(-\infty;+\infty)$ interval, and in terms of mean absolute deviation in the general case (15), it has no derivative at $x = a$;

$$D[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x-a)^2 dF(x); \quad (14)$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |x-a| dF(x); \quad (15)$$

- The main advantage of the dispersion against the average absolute deviation that the dispersion is better than the average absolute deviation reacts to large deviations of a random quantity and weakly reacts to the small ones.
- Though $D[x]$ and $\sigma[x]$ have the same information on dispersion of a random quantity X, $\sigma[x]$ is more convenient, since its dimension coincides with the dimension of this random quantity.

Yegorov et al [17] underlined that as the dispersion of any parameter of the technological process as a system characterizes its ability to reach the assigned task: the given range of dispersion and the given range of the absolute value of the unknown quantity Xi, it is possible to evaluate the stability of the technological process by the degree of resumption of the dispersion in time τ by the following formula:

$$St = 1 - \frac{\left(\frac{\sigma_{Xi}}{\bar{x}_i}\right)_n - \left(\frac{\sigma_{Xi}}{\bar{x}_i}\right)_m}{\left(\frac{\sigma_{Xi}}{\bar{x}_i}\right)_n}; \quad (16)$$

where σ_{Xi} is the random quantity dispersion; $\bar{x}_i$ the mean value; n the designation of the moment of time of the estimation σ_{Xi}; m the designation of the $n+\Delta\tau$ moment of time.

Expression (16) as it is underlined by authors [17], is true in the case, if the structure of the technological process during the time τ between two measurements has not been changed. In this case the deviation in the dispersion estimation will be connected with the manifestation of the influence of disturbances both in terms of uniformity of characteristics of the raw materials used, and in terms of constant values of constructive and technological factors.

In the paper of the same authors [18] the simplified method of check stability of processes and systems is offered. The method is based on the method developed by Bartlett. It is applicable only in that case when all samplings have the same volume. At regular intervals, samples from the stream of the finished product are taken, from each test samples of equal volume n are taken, there has been made k such selections. It is offered for each sampling to find the corrected selective dispersion of the random quantity, i.e. the concentration of a key component. Obtaining k corrected selective dispersions $(s_1)^2,(s_2)^2,...,(s_k)^2$, one computes the magnitude of the fraction (17):

$$G=\frac{s^2}{(s_1)^2+(s_2)^2+...+(s_k)^2}; \quad (17)$$

where s^2 is the maximum from all numbers $(s_1)^2,(s_2)^2,...,(s_k)^2$.

In the monograph [19] there is the table VIII, from which one can find the value $G_{\max}$ for significance values of 5 % and 1 % corresponded to parameters k and $n-1$. If it will happen that $G>G_{\max}$, the hypothesis about equality of dispersions of the random quantities corresponded to tests, so about stability of a process, should be rejected (at the agreed significance level). If $G<G_{\max}$, there is no reason for rejecting the hypothesis. Thus, authors [18] suggest to evaluate efficiency of processes and systems on a parameter of the stability considering the maximum and minimum dispersions of the random quantity distribution X_i throughout an interval of time allowing to obtain authentic results of measurements. In [20] it is underlined that considering importance of monitoring of possible deviations of quality indicators of ready mixtures, it is offered to evaluate the stability of functioning of the technological processes by the following formula (18)

$$St=1-\frac{D[x_i]_{\max}-D[x_i]_{\min}}{D[x_i]_{\max}}=\frac{D[x_i]_{\min}}{D[x_i]_{\max}}; \quad (18)$$

where $D[x_i]_{\max}$ and $D[x_i]_{\min}$ are maximum and minimum dispersions the random quantity x_i distribution as a parameter for estimation of the functioning stability of the technological system.

From the technical point of view, the criterion of the technological stability should be convenient for the solution of the monitoring problem of the processes inside of a certain unit, defining the resistance of the controllable object to perturbations that prevent its normal operation [21]. It is underlined that with forces $f(\dot{x},x,t)$ and perturbations $R(\dot{x},x,t)$ which affect it, it is possible to describe the question of stability of the analyzed technological installation by the following expression (19):

$$\ddot{x}=f(\dot{x},x,t)+R(\dot{x},x,t); \quad (19)$$

In view of demands, the definition of the technological stability of the mechanical system is described by the following differential equation: (20)

$$\dot{x}=f(x,t)+R(x,t); \quad (20)$$

where x,f,R are vectors (21) in $\Re^n$ space:

$$x=\begin{bmatrix}x_1\\x_2\\...\\x_n\end{bmatrix}f=\begin{bmatrix}f_1\\f_2\\...\\f_n\end{bmatrix}R=\begin{bmatrix}R_1\\R_2\\...\\R_n\end{bmatrix}; \quad (21)$$

where functions $f(t,x)$ and $R(t,x)$ are defined over the range included in $n+1$ dimensional space (22)

$$t\geq 0,(x_1,x_2,...,x_n)\in G\subset E_n; \quad (22)$$

where E_n is the linearly rationed n-dimensional space, and functions $R_i(t,x_1,x_2,...,x_n)$ are perturbations acting permanently with the assumption (23):

$$\|R(t,x_1,x_2,...,x_n)\|\leq\delta; \quad (23)$$

The method described in [21], is completely based on the nonlinear physics of phenomena of description of the technological process.

In [22] the method is described of the stability prediction of the TFEA (Time Finite Element Approach) flour-grinding process initially offered in [23]. The basic idea of TFEA is that the dynamic behavior of flour-grinding process is controlled, first of all, as a discrete linear card. The dynamic card can be described as:

$$\begin{Bmatrix} q \\ \dot{q} \end{Bmatrix}^{n} = A \begin{Bmatrix} q \\ \dot{q} \end{Bmatrix}^{n-1} + B; \qquad (24)$$

where A is the matrix of the conditions measurement, dimension of which depends on number of the restricted in time elements and the polynomial order representing one period.

B is the vector depending on process parameters. q and $\dot{q}$ are sets of x and y positions and speed.

Stability of the flour-grinding process is defined by intrinsic values of the A matrix.

Sahal in his work [24] assumed that frequently emersion of effective solutions is the result of synthesis of two or more older solutions. By analyzing the methods of the stability estimation presented above and accuracy of the processes, we suggest simultaneous application of the Shewhart control cards and the combined stability parameter.

So, on the basis of operative with the minimum lag coefficient of the data channel about direct or indirect figures of merit of the product, control cards of Shewhart are constructed for each of the chosen parameters. The quality indexes should be chosen so that to make decisive impact on their quality and to provide for stability of the processes [25]. On the basis of the obtained cards the following analysis is performed:

- On the basis of offered in the State standard [25] criteria for checking structures on the special reasons we carry out the analysis of appearance of not casual reasons as the indication of presence of special reasons which should are analyzed and corrected by the automatic-control system;
- On the basis of the obtained data, we carry out the analysis of likelihood characteristics of the process, for their further application in calculation of the combined stability parameter (25) and its further consideration in the corresponding controller for synthesis of the control action in the system securing management of the technological process [26]:

As the basis for estimation of stability we suggest to use the formula (18) for the stability parameters [20]. It is necessary to understand that the practical application of the stability estimation (18) during the technological process is possible only during the sliding time interval. Suggested in [20] formula has its deficiencies, as follows:

- It does not consider the readiness of the process that leaves possibility of representing the process as the stable one during permanent "deterioration", i.e. smooth displacement from the center of the tolerance field of the process;
- The relationship between minimum and maximum dispersions of a random-process will be tended to 1 both at $D[x_i]_{\max} \to D[x_i]_{\min}$ and at $D[x_i]_{\min} \to D[x_i]_{\max}$. Under equal parameters of stability, the processes in that case will differ strongly from each other.

For solving the specified problem, it is suggested to introduce the combined parameter, taking as a basis the offered formula for the stability and multiplying it by the Euler number in the power with the reversed sign of the readiness parameter taken by the module (13):

$$St = \frac{D[x_i]_{\min}}{D[x_i]_{\max}} \cdot e^{\frac{-|(\bar{x}-x_\delta)|}{\Delta}} \cdot th\left(\frac{\Delta}{6\sigma}\right) \cdot \lambda; \qquad (25)$$

where $D[x_i]_{\max}$ and $D[x_i]_{\min}$ are maximum and minimum dispersions of distribution of the random quantity x_i as a parameter of the estimation of the functioning technological system stability;

$\bar{x}$ the average arithmetical value;

$x\delta$ is the tolerance zone middle;

Δ - the tolerance zone.

Process is considered as stable one at $St \to 1$;

If the process is statistically operated, the control cards realize the method of continuous statistical monitoring of the zero hypothesis that process did not change and remains stable. But, since the value of a concrete deviation of the process characteristic from the purpose, which could draw attention, usually is impossible to define in advance, as well as the risk of the second order error, and the sampling volume does not calculated for satisfying the corresponding risk level, the Shewhart card can not be considered from the point of view of testing the hypotheses. Shewhart underlined empirical utility of the control cards for determination of deviations from the state of the statistical controllability, but not their probabilistic interpretation [5], [25].

Conclusion.

In this work, various methods for estimation stability and accuracy of the technological processes have been analyzed. The generalized factor of obtaining such estimations is application of the mathematical apparatus of the random processes statistical analysis. To some extent property of the parameter informativeness is provided in each approach. Property of efficiency depends on delay of the corresponding data flow that in its turn leads to delay in the channel of regulating of an automatic-control system of the process. The combined figure of merit that simultaneously considers stability of the process and its accuracy has been proved and offered. The technique has been offered for considering stability of the process based on construction of Shewhart control cards for operative quality parameters, the analysis of probability characteristics and obtaining the combined stability parameter for getting the possibility of its further application as an argument of the corresponding regulator in the automatic-control system of the technological process.

REFERENCES

1. *Aleksandrov M. N. The collection of materials of the ninth All-Russia 2009 scientific and practical conference "Quality management", – Moscow: MATI, 2010. – p. 227 (Russian)*
2. *Orlov A.I. «Mathematics of a case. Probability and statistics: main facts», M: Moscow, Press, 2004 (Russian)*
3. *State Standard 15.002-2003 System of quality management. The general requirements (Russian).*
4. *State Standard 15895-77 Statistical methods for management the quality of product. Terms and definitions (Russian).*
5. *Shewhart U.A. Economic quality control of product / Van Noustrend, New York, - 1931.*
6. *Barabanova O. A. Seven tools of quality control. — Moscow, "MATI, 2001 (Russian)*
7. *«Maintenance of stability of processes in quality systems on models of standards of International Organization for Standardization of a series 9000. Shewhart control cards », Moscow, 1998 (Russian)*
8. *«Recommendations according to accuracy and stability of processes (equipment)», Moscow 1991 (Russian)*
9. *Gorjachev V.V. Estimation of accuracy, readiness and stability of processes. – www.sds-vr.ru. (Russian)*
10. *Khersonskiy N.S., Proshin V.V. Statistical methods of estimation characteristics of dimensional chains of products and processes of their manufacturing. M, 2008. (Russian)*
11. *Bol'shev L.N., Smirnov N.V. Table of mathematical statistics. Moscow, Science, 1983 (Russian)*
12. *An order of control of accuracy and estimation of quality of the machining attachments». (Russian)*
13. *Management of metrological maintenance. Metrological preparation of manufacture». (Russian)*
14. *An order of conducting periodic and standard tests at the factory». (Russian)*
15. *Ford Motor Company (1984). Continuing Process Control and Process Capability lmprovement. Ford Motor Company, Dearborn, MI.*
16. *Tchernyaev N.P. Estimation of stability of the process. Science and Engineering, Mixed fodders, 2012., №3, p. 51 – 53. (Russian)*
17. *Yegorov B. V, Makarinskaja A.V., Katz I.S. Mathematical basis of estimation of stability of processes of premix and mixed feed manufacturing //Grain products and mixed fodders. – 2008. – №2. – p 51 – 55. (Russian)*
18. *Yegorov B. V, Makarinskaja A.V., Katz J.S. About an estimation of stability of functioning of processes and system // Grain products and mixed fodders. – 2008. – №3. – p. 37 – 40. (Russian)*
19. *Smirnov N.V., Dubin-Barkovskij I.V. Probability theory and mathematical statistics for technical applications. - M: "Science", 1965. (Russian).*
20. *Yegorov B. V, Makarinskja A.V. Estimation of uniformity of mixes and stability of a process of mixing // The Bulletin of National technical university «Kharkov polytechnical institute». Kharkov. – 2009. – №25. p. 98 – 103. (Russian)*
21. *Wojciech Batko. Technical stability – a new modelling perspective for building solutions of monitoring systems for machinery state // Zagadnienia eksploatacji maszyn. – Zeszyt. – 2007. - №151. – p. 147-156.*
22. *Zhang Xiaoming, Zhu Limin, Ding Han, Xiong Youlun. Numerical Robust Stability Estimation in Milling Process // Chinese journal of mechanical engineering. – 2012. – vol. 25. - №5. – p. 953 – 959.*
23. *Inspergner T., Mann B., et al. Stability of up-milling and down-milling, part 1: alternative analytical methods // International Journal of Machine Tools and Manafacture. – 2003. – 43 (1). – p. 25-34.*
24. *Devendra Sahal. Technical progress: concepts, models, estimations. – New York. – 1985.*
25. *State Standard 50779.42-99 (International Organization for Standardization 8258-91) Statistical methods: Control cards of Shewhart. – 1999.*
26. *Khobin V.A. Systems of securing management of technological assemblies: bases of the theory, an expert applications– Odessa. – 2008. (Russian)*
27. *Panfilov V. A. Scientific bases of development of production lines of food manufacturing: Agropromizdat, 1986. (Russian)*

Надійшла 30.10.2013

Адреса для переписки:
вул. Канатна, 112, м. Одеса, 65039

УДК 664.743.02:519.876.5.

О.І. ГАПОНЮК, д-р техн. наук, професор, Г.А. МОСІЄНКО, інженер
Одеська національна академія харчових технологій

ОБҐРУНТУВАННЯ РАЦІОНАЛЬНИХ ПАРАМЕТРІВ КРУПОВІДДІЛЮВАЧА КОМПЛЕКСНОЇ ДІЇ

Встановлено зв'язок конструктивних режимних характеристик робочих органів з параметрами зернових сумішей, що сепаруються, - крупності розмірів частинок, дисперсності суміші висхідних та низхідних потоків частинок.

Ключові слова: *сепарування, сепаратор комбінованої дії, зернова суміш, дисперсний фракційний потік.*

Were found the connections between the structural characteristics of working regime and parameters of grain separation mixtures size, like as particle sizes, dispersion mixture of upstream and downstream flows of particles.

Key words: *separation, combined separator, grain mixture, dispersed fractional flow.*

Аналіз принципів дії існуючих круповід--ділювачів дозволяє зробити висновок, що загалом кожний з них умовно можна розглядати як систему складних зв'язків між вхідними факторами (умовами експлуатації, властивостями оброблюваних продуктів, геометрією, кінематикою та динамікою робочих органів) і вихідними параметрами (ефективність сепарування, витрати енергії та інше). Таким чином, одержання необхідної технологічної характеристики машини, що проектується, досягнення запланованого технічного рівня та забезпечення основних вимог до технологічного обладнання потребує досконалих досліджень і визначення поведінки майбутньої машини в залежності від вхідних факторів, які впливають на конструктивно-функціональні рішення її робочих органів. Такі дослідження мають на увазі постановку задачі, аналіз об'єкту випробувань та оцінку його стану, вибір некорельованих факторів і обгрунтування рівнів їх варіації з урахуванням конкретних умов проведення дослідів, розробку плану експериментів та методики математично-статистичної обробки одержаних даних, а також порядок аналізу, узагальнення результатів спостережень та формулювання висновків і рекомендацій.