Universal property of skew PBW extensions

Juan Pablo Acosta and Oswaldo Lezama

Communicated by V. A. Artamonov

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove the universal property of skew PBW extensions generalizing this way the well known universal property of skew polynomial rings. For this, we will show first a result about the existence of this class of non-commutative rings. Skew PBW extensions include as particular examples Weyl algebras, enveloping algebras of finite-dimensional Lie algebras (and its quantization), Artamonov quantum polynomials, diffusion algebras, Manin algebra of quantum matrices, among many others. As a corollary we will give a new short proof of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem about the bases of enveloping algebras of finite-dimensional Lie algebras.

1. Introduction

Most of constructions in algebra are characterized by universal properties from which it is easy to prove important results about the constructed object. This is the case of the universal property of the tensor product; another well known example is the universal property for the localization of rings and modules by multiplicative subsets. A key example in non-commutative algebra is the skew polynomial ring $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$; the universal property in this case says that if B is a ring with a ring homomorphism $\varphi : R \to B$ and in B there exists and element y such that $y\varphi(r) = \varphi(\sigma(r))y + \varphi(\delta(r))$ for every $r \in R$, then there exists an

²⁰¹⁰ MSC: Primary: 16S10, 16S80; Secondary: 16S30, 16S36.

Key words and phrases: skew polynomial rings, skew PBW extensions, PBW bases, quantum algebras.

unique ring homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : R[x; \sigma, \delta] \to B$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = y$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(r) = \varphi(r)$ (see [9]). In this paper we prove the universal property of skew PBW extensions generalizing the universal property of skew polynomial rings. For this, we will prove first a theorem about the existence of skew PBW extensions similar to the corresponding result on skew polynomial rings. As application we will get the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem about the bases of enveloping algebras of finite-dimensional Lie algebras. This famous theorem says that if K is a field and \mathcal{G} is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra with K-basis $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$, then a K-basis of the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ is the set of monomials $y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha_i \ge 0, 1 \le i \le n$ (see [4], [6]).

Skew PBW extensions were defined firstly in [7], and their homological and ring-theoretic properties have been studied in the last years (see [1], [3], [8], [10]). Skew polynomial rings of injective type, Weyl algebras, enveloping algebras of finite-dimensional Lie algebras (and its quantization), Artamonov quantum polynomials, diffusion algebras, Manin algebra of quantum matrices, are particular examples of skew PBW extensions (see [8]). In this first section we recall the definition of skew PBW extensions and some very basic properties needed for the proof of the main theorem.

Definition 1.1. Let R and A be rings. We say that A is a *skew* PBW extension of R (also called a $\sigma - PBW$ extension of R) if the following conditions hold:

- (i) $R \subseteq A$.
- (ii) There exist finite elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in A$ such A is a left R-free module with basis

$$Mon(A) := \{ x^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n \}.$$

In this case it says also that A is a left polynomial ring over R with respect to $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and Mon(A) is the set of standard monomials of A. Moreover, $x_1^0 \cdots x_n^0 := 1 \in Mon(A)$.

(iii) For every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $r \in R - \{0\}$ there exists $c_{i,r} \in R - \{0\}$ such that

$$x_i r - c_{i,r} x_i \in R. \tag{1.1}$$

(iv) For every $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ there exists $c_{i,j} \in R - \{0\}$ such that

$$x_j x_i - c_{i,j} x_i x_j \in R + R x_1 + \dots + R x_n. \tag{1.2}$$

Under these conditions we will write $A := \sigma(R)\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$.

The following proposition justifies the notation and the alternative name given for the skew PBW extensions.

Proposition 1.2. Let A be a skew PBW extension of R. Then, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exists an injective ring endomorphism $\sigma_i : R \to R$ and a σ_i -derivation $\delta_i : R \to R$ such that

$$x_i r = \sigma_i(r) x_i + \delta_i(r),$$

for each $r \in R$.

Proof. See [7], Proposition 3.

Observe that if σ is an injective endomorphism of the ring R and δ is a σ -derivation, then the skew polynomial ring $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ is a trivial skew PBW extension in only one variable, $\sigma(R)\langle x\rangle$.

Some extra notation will be used in the rest of the paper.

Definition 1.3. Let A be a skew PBW extension of R with endomorphisms σ_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, as in Proposition 1.2.

(i) For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $\sigma^{\alpha} := \sigma_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \sigma_n^{\alpha_n}$, $|\alpha| := \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$. If $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, then $\alpha + \beta := (\alpha_1 + \beta_1, \ldots, \alpha_n + \beta_n)$.

(ii) For $X = x^{\alpha} \in Mon(A)$, $exp(X) := \alpha$ and $deg(X) := |\alpha|$.

(iii) If $f = c_1 X_1 + \dots + c_t X_t$, with $X_i \in Mon(A)$ and $c_i \in R - \{0\}$, then $\deg(f) := \max\{\deg(X_i)\}_{i=1}^t$.

The skew PBW extensions can be characterized in a similar way as was done in [5] for PBW rings.

Theorem 1.4. Let A be a left polynomial ring over R w.r.t. $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. A is a skew PBW extension of R if and only if the following conditions hold:

(a) For every $x^{\alpha} \in \text{Mon}(A)$ and every $0 \neq r \in R$ there exist unique elements $r_{\alpha} := \sigma^{\alpha}(r) \in R - \{0\}$ and $p_{\alpha,r} \in A$ such that

$$x^{\alpha}r = r_{\alpha}x^{\alpha} + p_{\alpha,r}, \qquad (1.3)$$

where $p_{\alpha,r} = 0$ or $\deg(p_{\alpha,r}) < |\alpha|$ if $p_{\alpha,r} \neq 0$. Moreover, if r is left invertible, then r_{α} is left invertible.

(b) For every $x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta} \in Mon(A)$ there exist unique elements $c_{\alpha,\beta} \in R$ and $p_{\alpha,\beta} \in A$ such that

$$x^{\alpha}x^{\beta} = c_{\alpha,\beta}x^{\alpha+\beta} + p_{\alpha,\beta}, \qquad (1.4)$$

where $c_{\alpha,\beta}$ is left invertible, $p_{\alpha,\beta} = 0$ or $\deg(p_{\alpha,\beta}) < |\alpha + \beta|$ if $p_{\alpha,\beta} \neq 0$.

Proof. See [7], Theorem 7.

2. Existence theorem for skew PBW extensions

If $A = \sigma(R)\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ is a skew PBW extension of the ring R, then as was observed in the previous section, A induces unique endomorphisms $\sigma_i : R \to R$ and σ_i -derivations $\delta_i : R \to R$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Moreover, by (1.2), there exist $c_{ij}, d_{ij}, a_{ij}^{(k)} \in R$ such that $x_j x_i = c_{ij} x_i x_j + a_{ij}^{(1)} x_1 + \cdots + a_{ij}^{(n)} x_n + d_{ij}$, with $1 \leq i, j \leq n$. However, note that if i < j, since Mon(A) is a R-basis, then $1 = c_{j,i} c_{i,j}$, i.e., for every $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, c_{ji} is a right inverse of $c_{i,j}$ univocally determined. In a similar way, we can check that $a_{ji}^{(k)} = -c_{ji} a_{ij}^{(k)}, d_{ji} = -c_{ji} d_{ij}$. Thus, given A there exist unique parameters $c_{ij}, d_{ij}, a_{ij}^{(k)} \in R$ such that

$$x_j x_i = c_{ij} x_i x_j + a_{ij}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{ij}^{(n)} x_n + d_{ij}, \text{ for every } 1 \le i < j \le n.$$
(2.1)

Definition 2.1. Let $A = \sigma(R)\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ be a skew *PBW* extension. $\sigma_i, \delta_i, c_{ij}, d_{ij}, a_{ij}^{(k)}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n$, defined as before, are called the parameters of *A*.

Conversely, given a ring R and parameters $\sigma_i, \delta_i, c_{ij}, d_{ij}, a_{ij}^{(k)}, 1 \leq i < j \leq n$, we will construct in this section a skew PBW extension with coefficient ring R and satisfying the following equations

- 1) For i < j in I and k in I, $x_j x_i = c_{ij} x_i x_j + \sum_k a_{ij}^{(k)} x_k + d_{ij}$,
- 2) For $i \in I$ and $r \in R$, $x_i r = \sigma_i(r) x_i + \delta_i(r)$,

where $I := \{1, ..., n\}.$

Definition 2.2. Let R be a ring and W be the free monoid in the alphabet $X \cup R$, with $X := \{x_i : i \in I\}$. Let w be a word of W, the complexity of w, denoted c(w), is a triple of nonnegative integers (a, b, c), where a is the number of x's in w, b is the number of inversions involving only x's, and c is the number of inversions of the type (x_i, r) .

These triples are ordered with the lexicographic order, i.e., $(a, b, c) \leq (d, e, f)$ if and only if a < d, or, a = d and b < e, or, a = d, b = e and $c \leq f$. This is a well order. Let T be the set of elements of W such that c(w) = (a, 0, 0) and $\mathbb{Z}T$ be the linear extension of T in $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \cup R \rangle$ (the \mathbb{Z} -free algebra in the alphabet $X \cup R$).

Definition 2.3. Let R be a ring, $\{c_{ij}\}_{i < j}$, $\{d_{ij}\}_{i < j}$ and $\{a_{ij}^{(k)}\}_{i < j,k}$ be elements of R indexed by i, j, k in I. Let $\sigma_i, \delta_i : R \to R$ be two functions for each $i \in I$. Suppose that c_{ij} is left invertible and that $\sigma_i(r) \neq 0$ for $r \neq 0$. We define the function p

$$p: W \to \mathbb{Z} \langle X \cup R \rangle$$
, with $X := \{x_i : i \in I\}$,

by induction in the complexity, as follows:

- 1) If $w \in T$ then p(w) = w.
- 2) If $w = v_1 x_i r v_2$, with $r \in R$, $v_1 \in W$ and $r v_2 \in T$ then

$$p(w) = p(v_1\sigma_i(r)x_iv_2) + p(v_1\delta_i(r)v_2).$$

3) If $w = v_1 x_j x_i v_2$, where $v_1 \in W$, $x_i v_2 \in T$ with i < j, then

$$p(w) = p(v_1 c_{ij} x_i x_j v_2) + \sum_k p(v_1 a_{ij}^{(k)} x_k v_2) + p(v_1 d_{ij} v_2).$$

The linear extension of p to $\mathbb{Z}\langle X \cup R \rangle \to \mathbb{Z}\langle X \cup R \rangle$ is also denoted p. The image of p is contained in $\mathbb{Z}T$. Let $Mon := \{\prod_{k=1}^{n} x_{i_k} : i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_n, n \geq 0\}$, and $F_R(Mon)$ be the left free R-module with basis Mon. We define $q : \mathbb{Z}T \to F_R(Mon)$ as the bilinear extension of $q(r_1 \dots r_m x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n}) := (\prod_{k=1}^{m} r_k) x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n}$. Finally, we define $h : \mathbb{Z}\langle X \cup R \rangle \to F_R(Mon)$ as h := qp.

Theorem 2.4 (Existence). Let $R, I, X, a_{ij}^k, c_{ij}, \sigma_i, \delta_i, h, p, q$ be as in Definition 2.3. Then, there exists a skew PBW extension A of R with variables $X := \{x_i : i \in I\}$ such that

(a)
$$x_i r = \sigma_i(r) x_i + \delta_i(r).$$

(b) $x_j x_i = c_{ij} x_i x_j + \sum_k a_{ij}^{(k)} x_k + d_{ij}, \text{ for } i < j \text{ in } I$

if and only if

(1) For every *i* in *I*, σ_i is a ring endomorphism of *R* and δ_i is σ_i -derivation.

- (2) $h(x_i x_i r) = h(p(x_i x_i) r)$, for i < j in I and $r \in R$.
- (3) $h(x_k x_j x_i) = h(p(x_k x_j) x_i)$, for i < j < k in *I*.

Proof. (\Longrightarrow) Numeral (1) is the content of Proposition 1.2. Conditions (2) and (3) follow from (a) and (b) and the associativity $x_j(x_ir) = (x_jx_i)r$ and $x_k(x_jx_i) = (x_kx_j)x_i$.

 (\Leftarrow) Define $t: F_R(Mon) \to \mathbb{Z}\langle X \cup R \rangle$ as $t(\Sigma r_{\bar{x}}\bar{x}) := \Sigma r_{\bar{x}}\bar{x} \in \mathbb{Z}\langle X \cup R \rangle$, where $\Sigma r_{\bar{x}}\bar{x}$ is the unique expression of an element in $F_R(Mon)$ as a sum over a finite set, $\bar{x} \in Mon$ and $r_{\bar{x}} \neq 0$ is an element of R.

We define a product in $F_R(Mon)$ by

$$f \star g = h(t(f)t(g)), \qquad f, g \in F_R(Mon),$$

and we will prove in Lemma 2.8 below that $h(ab) = h(a) \star h(b)$, with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\langle X \cup R \rangle$. From this we get that $h : \mathbb{Z}\langle X \cup R \rangle \to F_R(Mon)$ is a surjection that preserves sums, products and h(1) = 1. This makes $F_R(Mon)$ a ring, which is a skew PBW extension of R by the definition of the product \star .

To complete the proof we proceed to prove Lemma 2.8, but for this, we have to show first some preliminary propositions under the hypothesis (1)-(3).

Proposition 2.5. For $a, b \in W$ and $r, s \in R$ the following equalities hold:

(i) h(a0b) = 0.

(ii)
$$h(a(-r)b) = -h(arb).$$

(iii)
$$h(a(r+s)b) = h(arb+asb).$$

- (iv) h(a1b) = h(ab).
- (v) h(a(rs)b) = h(arsb).

Proof. (i) and (ii) follow from (iii) since $r \mapsto h(arb)$ is a group homomorphism from the additive group of R into $F_R(Mon)$.

(iii) is proven by induction on c(a(r+s)b) and applying the definition of h. Here the conditions $\delta_i(a+b) = \delta_i(a) + \delta_i(b)$ and $\sigma_i(a+b) = \sigma_i(a) + \sigma_i(b)$ in the hipothesis (1) of Theorem 2.4 are used.

(iv) is proven by induction on c(a1b) and making use of part (i). The relevant hypothesis are $\sigma_i(1) = 1$ and $\delta_i(1) = 0$ which are part of the hypothesis (1) in Theorem 2.4.

(v) This part is proven by induction on c(a(rs)b) and making use of (iii). The relevant hypothesis are $\sigma_i(ab) = \sigma_i(a)\sigma_i(b)$ and $\delta_i(ab) = \sigma_i(a)\delta_i(b) + \delta_i(a)b$. **Proposition 2.6.** Let $y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \langle X \cup R \rangle$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}T$. Then h(yaz) = h(ytq(a)z).

Proof. This is because we can obtain tq(a) from a with a finite number of operations described in Proposition 2.5. Indeed if $a \in \mathbb{Z}T$ then by definition of T we heave $a = \sum n_u u$ where the sum is over $u \in T$, $n_u \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $u = r_{1,u} \dots r_{m,u} x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_k}$ $(j_1, \dots, j_k \text{ and } m, k \text{ depend on } u)$ here $r_s \in R$ and $1 \leq j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_k \leq n$. Then by definition of t, q we have $tq(a) = \sum_{x \in A} a(x)x$ where $A = \{x \in Mon(X) : a(x) \neq 0\}$, and $a(x) = \sum_{u \in B(x)} n_u \prod_s r_{s,u} \in R$ where $B(x) = \{u \in T : x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_k} = x\}$. Using the Proposition 2.5 (i) we obtain that

$$h(ytq(a)z) = h(y\sum_{x \in Mon(X)} a(x)xz).$$

Using that h is linear we get

$$h(y\Sigma_{x\in Mon(X)}a(x)xz) = \Sigma_{x\in Mon(x)}h(ya(x)xz).$$

Using Proposition 2.5 (i),(ii),(iii) we get that

$$h(ya(x)xz) = \sum_{u \in B(x)} n_u h(y(\prod_s r_{s,u})xz).$$

Further, using Proposition 2.5 (iv)(v) we get that

$$h(y(\Pi_s r_{s,u})xz) = h(yr_{1,u} \dots r_{m,u}xz) = h(yuz).$$

Proposition 2.7. If $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \langle X \cup R \rangle$ then h(xp(y)z) = h(xyz).

Proof. The identity is linear in x, y, z, so we may assume they are words. Next we proceed by induction on c(xyz). First assume that the first inversion from right to left in xyz is in y, say $y = w_1x_jsw_2$ with $s = x_i$ with i < j or $s \in R$, and $sw_2 \in T$. Then

$$h(xyz) = h(xw_1p(x_js)w_2z) = h(xp(w_1p(x_js)w_2)z) = h(xp(y)z)$$

by the definition of p and induction.

Now assume that the first inversion of xyz is not contained in yz, or $xyz \in T$, in this case $y \in T$ and p(y) = y.

Next, assume that the first inversion of xyz is contained in z say $z = w_1 x_j s w_2$ with $s w_2 \in T$ and $s = x_i$ with i < j or $s \in R$. Then

$$h(xyz) = h(xyw_1p(x_js)w_2) = h(xp(y)w_1p(x_js)w_2) = h(xp(y)z)$$

by definition of h and induction.

Now assume that the first inversion of xyz has a part in y and a part in z, say $y = y'x_j$ and z = sz' with $z \in T$ and $s = x_i$ with i < j or $s \in R$. Assume further that the first inversion of y exists and is contained in y', say $y' = w_1x_ks'w_2$ with $s'w_2 \in T$ an $s' = x_i$ with i < k or $s' \in R$. Then

$$h(xyz) = h(xy'p(x_js)z') = h(xp(y')p(x_js)z')$$

= $h(xp(w_1p(x_ks')w_2)p(x_js)z') = h(xw_1p(x_ks')w_2p(x_js)z')$
= $h(xw_1p(x_ks')w_2x_jsz') = h(xp(w_1p(x_ks')w_2x_j)sz')$
= $h(xp(y)z)$

by definition of h and induction applied alternatively. So the last case is $y = y'x_kx_j$ with k > j and z = sz' with $s = x_i$ with i < j or $s \in R$ and $z \in T$. In this case

$$h(xyz) = h(xy'x_kp(x_js)z') = h(xy'p(x_kp(x_js))z')$$

by definition of h and induction, also observe

$$h(xy'p(x_kp(x_js))z') = h(xy'p(p(x_kx_j)s)z')$$

because $qp(p(x_kx_j)s) = qp(x_kp(x_js))$ by hipothesis (2) and (3) in Theorem 2.4, and also by Proposition 2.6. Also

$$h(xy'p(p(x_kx_j)s)z') = h(xy'p(x_kx_j)sz') = h(xp(y'p(x_kx_j))z)$$

by induction applied twice, and $h(xp(y'p(x_kx_j))z) = h(xp(y)z)$ by definition of p, as required.

Lemma 2.8. $h(ab) = h(a) \star h(b)$, for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \langle X \cup R \rangle$.

Proof. $h(a) \star h(b) = h(tqp(a)tqp(b)) = h(p(a)p(b)) = h(ab)$, the first equality is from the definition of \star , the second equality is from Proposition 2.6 twice and the third equality is Proposition 2.7 twice.

3. The universal property

In this section we will prove the main theorem about the characterization of skew PBW extensions by a universal property in a similar way as this is done for skew polynomial rings. This problem was studied in [2] where skew PBW extensions were generalized to infinite sets of generators. **Theorem 3.1** (Main theorem: The universal property).

Let $A = \sigma(R)\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ be a skew *PBW* extension with parameters $\sigma_i, \delta_i, c_{ij}, d_{ij}, a_{ij}^{(k)}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let *B* be a ring with homomorphism $\varphi: R \to B$ and elements $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in B$ such that

- (i) $y_i\varphi(r) = \varphi(\sigma_i(r))y_i + \varphi(\delta_i(r))$, for every $r \in R$.
- (ii) $y_j y_i = \varphi(c_{ij}) y_i y_j + \varphi(a_{ij}^{(1)}) y_1 + \dots + \varphi(a_{ij}^{(n)}) y_n + d_{ij}.$

Then, there exists an unique ring homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : A \to B$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}\iota = \varphi$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(x_i) = y_i$, where ι is the inclusion of R in A.

Proof. Since A is a free R-module with basis Mon(A), we define the R-homomorphism

$$\widetilde{\varphi}: A \to B, \quad r_1 x^{\alpha_1} + \dots + a_t x^{\alpha_t} \mapsto \varphi(r_1) y^{\alpha_1} + \dots + \varphi(a_t) y^{\alpha_t},$$

where $y^{\theta} := y_1^{\theta_1} \cdots y_n^{\theta_n}$, with $\theta := (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$. Note that $\tilde{\varphi}(1) = 1$. $\tilde{\varphi}$ is multiplicative: In fact, applying induction on the degree $|\alpha + \beta|$

 φ is multiplicative: in fact, applying induction on the degree $|\alpha + \rho|$ we have

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\varphi}(ax^{\alpha}bx^{\beta}) &= \widetilde{\varphi}(a[\sigma^{\alpha}(b)x^{\alpha}x^{\beta} + p_{\alpha,b}x^{\beta}]) \\ &= \widetilde{\varphi}[a\sigma^{\alpha}(b)[c_{\alpha,\beta}x^{\alpha+\beta} + p_{\alpha,\beta}] + ap_{\alpha,b}x^{\beta}] \\ &= \varphi(a)\varphi(\sigma^{\alpha}(b))\varphi(c_{\alpha,\beta})y^{\alpha+\beta} + \varphi(a)\varphi(\sigma^{\alpha}(b))\varphi(p_{\alpha,\beta})(y) \\ &+ \varphi(a)\varphi(p_{\alpha,b})(y)y^{\beta}, \end{split}$$

where $\varphi(p_{\alpha,\beta})(y)$ is the element in *B* obtained replacing each monomial x^{θ} in $p_{\alpha,\beta}$ by y^{θ} and every coefficient *c* by $\varphi(c)$. In a similar way we have for $\varphi(p_{\alpha,b})(y)$ (observe that the degree of each monomial of $p_{\alpha,b}x^{\beta}$ is $< |\alpha + \beta|$). On the other hand, applying (i) and (ii) we get

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{\varphi}(ax^{\alpha})\widetilde{\varphi}(bx^{\beta}) &= \varphi(a)y^{\alpha}\varphi(b)y^{\beta} \\ &= \varphi(a)[\varphi(\sigma^{\alpha}(b))y^{\alpha} + \varphi(p_{\alpha,b})(y)]y^{\beta} \\ &= \varphi(a)\varphi(\sigma^{\alpha}(b))y^{\alpha}y^{\beta} + \varphi(a)\varphi(p_{\alpha,b})(y)y^{\beta} \\ &= \varphi(a)\varphi(\sigma^{\alpha}(b))[\varphi(c_{\alpha,\beta})y^{\alpha+\beta} + \varphi(p_{\alpha,\beta})(y)] \\ &+ \varphi(a)\varphi(p_{\alpha,b})(y)y^{\beta} \\ &= \varphi(a)\varphi(\sigma^{\alpha}(b))\varphi(c_{\alpha,\beta})y^{\alpha+\beta} + \varphi(a)\varphi(\sigma^{\alpha}(b))\varphi(p_{\alpha,\beta})(y) \\ &+ \varphi(a)\varphi(p_{\alpha,b})(y)y^{\beta}. \end{split}$$

It is clear that $\tilde{\varphi}\iota = \varphi$ and $\tilde{\varphi}(x_i) = y_i$. Moreover, note that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is the only ring homomorphism that satisfy these two conditions.

Corollary 3.2. Let R be a ring and $A = \sigma(R)\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ be a skew PBW extension of R with parameters $\sigma_i, \delta_i, c_{ij}, d_{ij}, a_{ij}^{(k)}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let B be a ring with homomorphism $\varphi: R \to B$ and elements $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in B$ such that the conditions (i)-(ii) in Theorem 3.1 are satisfied with respect to the system of parameters $\sigma_i, \delta_i, c_{ij}, d_{ij}, a_{ij}^{(k)}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, of the ring R. If B satisfies the universal property, then $B \cong A = \sigma(R)\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$. Moreover, the monomials $y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_n^{\alpha_n}, \alpha_i \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n$ are a R-basis of B.

Proof. By the universal property of A there exists $\tilde{\varphi}$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}\iota = \varphi$; by the universal property of B there exists $\tilde{\iota}$ such that $\tilde{\iota}\varphi = \iota$. Note that $\tilde{\iota}\varphi = \iota$ and $\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\iota}\varphi = \varphi$. The uniqueness gives that $\tilde{\iota}\varphi = i_A$ and $\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\iota} = i_B$. Moreover, in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we observed that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is not only a ring homomorphism but also a R-homomorphism, whence

$$\widetilde{\varphi}(Mon(A)) = \{y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_n^{\alpha_n} | \alpha_i \ge 0, 1 \le i \le n\}$$

is a R-basis of B.

Corollary 3.3. Let *R* be a ring and $A = \sigma(R)\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ be a skew PBW extension of *R* with parameters $\sigma_i, \delta_i, c_{ij}, d_{ij}, a_{ij}^{(k)}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$. Let *B* be a ring that satisfies the following conditions with respect to the system of parameters $\sigma_i, \delta_i, c_{ij}, d_{ij}, a_{ij}^{(k)}, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$, of the ring *R*.

- (i) There exists a ring homomorphism $\varphi : R \to B$.
- (ii) There exist elements $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in B$ such that B is a left free B-module with basis $Mon(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$, and the product is given by $r \cdot b := \varphi(r)b, r \in R, b \in B$.
- (iii) The conditions (i) and (ii) in Theorem 3.1 hold.

Then $B \cong A = \sigma(R) \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$.

Proof. According to the universal property of A, there exists a ring homomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : A \to B$ given by $r_1 x^{\alpha_1} + \cdots + a_t x^{\alpha_t} \mapsto \varphi(r_1) y^{\alpha_1} + \cdots + \varphi(a_t) y^{\alpha_t}$; from (ii) we get that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is bijective.

4. The Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem

Using the results of the previous sections, we will give now a new short proof of the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem about the bases of enveloping algebras of finite-dimensional Lie algebras. Recall that if K is a field and \mathcal{G}

is a Lie algebra with K-basis $Y := \{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$, the enveloping algebra of \mathcal{G} is the associative K-algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ defined by $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) = K\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}/I$, where $K\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ is the free K-algebra in the alphabet Y and I the two-sided ideal generated by all elements of the form $y_jy_i - y_iy_j - [y_j, y_i]$, $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, where [,] is the Lie bracket of \mathcal{G} (see [9]).

Theorem 4.1 (Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem). The standard monomials $y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha_i \ge 0, 1 \le i \le n$, conform a K-basis of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$.

Proof. For the ring K we consider the following system of variables and parameters:

$$X := \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}, \quad \sigma_i := i_K, \quad \delta_i := 0, \quad c_{i,j} := 1, \quad d_{ij} := 0, \\ [x_i, x_j] = a_{ij}^{(1)} x_1 + \dots + a_{ij}^{(n)} x_n, \quad 1 \le i, j \le n.$$

$$(4.1)$$

We want to prove that conditions (1)–(3) in Theorem 2.4 hold. Condition (1) trivially holds. For (2) we have

$$h(x_j x_i r) = h(x_j r x_i) = h(r x_j x_i) = r x_i x_j + r[x_j, x_i];$$

$$h(p(x_j x_i) r) = h(x_i x_j r) + h([x_j, x_i] r) = h(x_i r x_j) + r[x_j, x_i]$$

$$= r x_i x_j + r[x_j, x_i].$$

Condition (3) of Theorem 2.4 also holds: In fact,

$$\begin{split} h(p(x_k x_j) x_i) &= h(x_j x_k x_i) + h([x_k, x_j] x_i) \\ &= h(x_j x_i x_k) + h(x_j [x_k, x_i]) + h([x_k, x_j] x_i) \\ &= x_i x_j x_k + h([x_j, x_i] x_k) + h(x_j [x_k, x_i]) + h([x_k, x_j] x_i) \\ &= x_i x_j x_k + (h(x_k [x_j, x_i]) + h([[x_j, x_i], x_k])) + (h([x_k, x_i] x_j)) \\ &+ h([x_j, [x_k, x_i]])) + (h(x_i [x_k, x_j]) + h([[x_k, x_j], x_i])) \\ &= h(x_k x_j x_i) + h([[x_j, x_i], x_k] + [x_j, [x_k, x_i]] + [[x_k, x_j], x_i]) \\ &= h(x_k x_j x_i). \end{split}$$

The last equality holds by the Jacobi identity, the second to the last equality follows regrouping the terms and applying the definition of h to $h(x_k x_j x_i)$.

From Theorem 2.4 we conclude that there exists a skew PBW extension $A = \sigma(K)\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ that satisfies (4.1), in particular, the monomials $x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha_i \ge 0$, $1 \le i \le n$, conform a K-basis of A. But note that $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ satisfies the hypothesis in Corollary 3.2, so $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) \cong A$ and $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ has K-basis $y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha_i \ge 0$, $1 \le i \le n$. \Box

References

- Acosta, J.P., Chaparro, C., Lezama, O., Ojeda, I., and Venegas, C., Ore and Goldie theorems for skew PBW extensions, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, 6 (4), 2013, 1350061-1; 1350061-20.
- [2] Acosta, J. P., Ideales Primos en Extensiones PBW torcidas, Tesis de Maestría, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, 2014.
- [3] Chaparro, C., Valuations of skew quantum polynomials, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, 8(2), 2015.
- [4] Dixmier, J., Enveloping Algebras, GSM 11, AMS, 1996.
- [5] Bueso, J., Gómez-Torrecillas, J. and Verschoren, A., Algorithmic Methods in noncommutative Algebra: Applications to Quantum Groups, Kluwer, 2003.
- [6] Humphreys, J. E., Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory, GTM 9, Springer, 1980.
- [7] Lezama, O. and Gallego, C., Gröbner bases for ideals of sigma-PBW extensions, Communications in Algebra, 39 (1), 2011, 50-75.
- [8] Lezama, O. & Reyes, M., Some homological properties of skew PBW extensions, Comm. in Algebra, 42, (2014), 1200-1230.
- [9] McConnell, J. and Robson, J., Non-commutative Noetherian Rings, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, AMS, 2001.
- [10] Venegas, C., Automorphisms for skew PBW extensions and skew quantum polynomial rings, Communications in Algebra, 42(5), 2015, 1877-1897.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Juan Pablo Acosta,	Departamento de Matemáticas
Oswaldo Lezama	Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Sede Bogotá
	E-Mail(s): jolezamas@unal.edu.co

Received by the editors: 02.03.2015 and in final form 16.03.2015.