© Journal "Algebra and Discrete Mathematics"

## On a semitopological polycyclic monoid Serhii Bardyla and Oleg Gutik

Communicated by M. Ya. Komarnytskyj

ABSTRACT. We study algebraic structure of the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  and its topologizations. We show that the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid for an infinite cardinal  $\lambda \geq 2$  has similar algebraic properties so has the polycyclic monoid  $P_n$  with finitely many  $n \ge 2$  generators. In particular we prove that for every infinite cardinal  $\lambda$  the polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is a congruence-free combinatorial 0-bisimple 0-E-unitary inverse semigroup. Also we show that every non-zero element xis an isolated point in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  for every Hausdorff topology  $\tau$  on  $P_{\lambda}$ , such that  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is a semitopological semigroup, and every locally compact Hausdorff semigroup topology on  $P_{\lambda}$  is discrete. The last statement extends results of the paper [33] obtaining for topological inverse graph semigroups. We describe all feebly compact topologies  $\tau$  on  $P_{\lambda}$  such that  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is a semitopological semigroup and its Bohr compactification as a topological semigroup. We prove that for every cardinal  $\lambda \ge 2$  any continuous homomorphism from a topological semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  into an arbitrary countably compact topological semigroup is annihilating and there exists no a Hausdorff feebly compact topological semigroup which contains  $P_{\lambda}$  as a dense subsemigroup.

**<sup>2010</sup> MSC:** Primary 22A15, 20M18. Secondary 20M05, 22A26, 54A10, 54D30, 54D35, 54D45, 54H11.

**Key words and phrases:** inverse semigroup, bicyclic monoid, polycyclic monoid, free monoid, semigroup of matrix units, topological semigroup, semitopological semigroup, Bohr compactification, embedding, locally compact, countably compact, feebly compact.

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper all topological spaces will be assumed to be Hausdorff. We shall follow the terminology of [8, 11, 14, 32]. If A is a subset of a topological space X, then we denote the closure of the set A in X by  $\operatorname{cl}_X(A)$ . By  $\omega$  we denote the first infinite cardinal.

A semigroup S is called an *inverse semigroup* if every a in S possesses an unique inverse, i.e. if there exists an unique element  $a^{-1}$  in S such that

$$aa^{-1}a = a$$
 and  $a^{-1}aa^{-1} = a^{-1}$ .

A map which associates to any element of an inverse semigroup its inverse is called the *inversion*.

A band is a semigroup of idempotents. If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of all idempotents in S by E(S). If S is an inverse semigroup, then E(S) is closed under multiplication. The semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order  $\leqslant$  on E(S):  $e \leqslant f$  if and only if ef = fe = e. This order is called the natural partial order on E(S). A semilattice is a commutative semigroup of idempotents. A semilattice E is called linearly ordered or a chain if its natural order is a linear order. A maximal chain of a semilattice E is a chain which is properly contained in no other chain of E. The Axiom of Choice implies the existence of maximal chains in any partially ordered set. According to [36, Definition II.5.12] chain E is called E order order order of E. We denote E and the E and the

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote by  $\mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}$ ,  $\mathcal{J}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  the Green relations on S (see [16] or [11, Section 2.1]):

$$a\Re b$$
 if and only if  $aS^1 = bS^1;$   
 $a\mathcal{L}b$  if and only if  $S^1a = S^1b;$   
 $a\mathcal{J}b$  if and only if  $S^1aS^1 = S^1bS^1;$   
 $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{L} \circ \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R} \circ \mathcal{L};$   
 $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{R}.$ 

A semigroup S is said to be:

- simple if S has no proper two-sided ideals, which is equivalent to  $\mathcal{J} = S \times S$  in S;
- 0-simple if S has a zero and S contains no proper two-sided ideals distinct from the zero;
- bisimple if S contains a unique  $\mathscr{D}$ -class, i.e.,  $\mathscr{D} = S \times S$  in S;

- 0-bisimple if S has a zero and S contains two  $\mathfrak{D}$ -classes:  $\{0\}$  and  $S \setminus \{0\}$ ;
- ullet congruence-free if S has only identity and universal congruences. An inverse semigroup S is said to be
- combinatorial if  $\mathcal{H}$  is the equality relation on S;
- E-unitary if for any idempotents  $e, f \in S$  the equality ex = f implies that  $x \in E(S)$ ;
- 0-E-unitary if S has a zero and for any non-zero idempotents  $e, f \in S$  the equality ex = f implies that  $x \in E(S)$ .

The bicyclic monoid  $\mathscr{C}(p,q)$  is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by two elements p and q subjected only to the condition pq = 1. The distinct elements of  $\mathscr{C}(p,q)$  are exhibited in the following useful array

and the semigroup operation on  $\mathcal{C}(p,q)$  is determined as follows:

$$q^k p^l \cdot q^m p^n = q^{k+m-\min\{l,m\}} p^{l+n-\min\{l,m\}}.$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid  $\mathscr{C}(p,q)$  is a bisimple (and hence simple) combinatorial E-unitary inverse semigroup and every non-trivial congruence on  $\mathscr{C}(p,q)$  is a group congruence [11]. Also the nice Andersen Theorem states that a simple semigroup S with an idempotent is completely simple if and only if S does not contains an isomorphic copy of the bicyclic semigroup (see [1] and [11, Theorem 2.54]).

Let  $\lambda$  be a non-zero cardinal. On the set  $B_{\lambda} = (\lambda \times \lambda) \cup \{0\}$ , where  $0 \notin \lambda \times \lambda$ , we define the semigroup operation "·" as follows

$$(a,b)\cdot(c,d) = \begin{cases} (a,d), & \text{if } b=c; \\ 0, & \text{if } b\neq c, \end{cases}$$

and  $(a,b) \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot (a,b) = 0 \cdot 0 = 0$  for  $a,b,c,d \in \lambda$ . The semigroup  $B_{\lambda}$  is called the *semigroup of*  $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units (see [11]).

In 1970 Nivat and Perrot proposed the following generalization of the bicyclic monoid (see [35] and [32, Section 9.3]). For a non-zero cardinal  $\lambda$ , the polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  on  $\lambda$  generators is the semigroup with zero

given by the presentation:

$$P_{\lambda} = \left\langle \{p_i\}_{i \in \lambda}, \left\{p_i^{-1}\right\}_{i \in \lambda} \mid p_i p_i^{-1} = 1, p_i p_j^{-1} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j \right\rangle.$$

It is obvious that in the case when  $\lambda = 1$  the semigroup  $P_1$  is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup with adjoined zero. For every finite non-zero cardinal  $\lambda = n$  the polycyclic monoid  $P_n$  is a congruence free, combinatorial, 0-bisimple, 0-E-unitary inverse semigroup (see [32, Section 9.3]).

We recall that a topological space X is said to be:

- compact if each open cover of X has a finite subcover;
- countably compact if each open countable cover of X has a finite subcover;
- countably compact at a subset  $A \subseteq X$  if every infinite subset  $B \subseteq A$  has an accumulation point x in X;
- countably pracompact if there exists a dense subset A in X such that X is countably compact at A;
- feebly compact if each locally finite open cover of X is finite.

According to Theorem 3.10.22 of [14], a Tychonoff topological space X is feebly compact if and only if each continuous real-valued function on X is bounded, i.e., X is pseudocompact. Also, a Hausdorff topological space X is feebly compact if and only if every locally finite family of non-empty open subsets of X is finite. Every compact space is countably compact, every countably compact space is countably pracompact, and every countably pracompact space is feebly compact (see [3] and [14]).

A topological (inverse) semigroup is a Hausdorff topological space together with a continuous semigroup operation (and an inversion, respectively). Obviously, the inversion defined on a topological inverse semigroup is a homeomorphism. If S is a semigroup (an inverse semigroup) and  $\tau$  is a topology on S such that  $(S,\tau)$  is a topological (inverse) semigroup, then we shall call  $\tau$  a (inverse) semigroup topology on S. A semitopological semigroup is a Hausdorff topological space together with a separately continuous semigroup operation.

The bicyclic semigroup admits only the discrete semigroup topology and if a topological semigroup S contains it as a dense subsemigroup then  $\mathcal{C}(p,q)$  is an open subset of S [13]. Bertman and West in [7] extended this result for the case of semitopological semigroups. Stable and  $\Gamma$ -compact topological semigroups do not contain the bicyclic semigroup [2, 30]. The problem of an embedding of the bicyclic monoid into compact-like topological semigroups discussed in [5,6,27]. In [13] Eberhart and Selden proved that if the bicyclic monoid  $\mathcal{C}(p,q)$  is a dense subsemigroup of a

topological monoid S and  $I = S \setminus \mathscr{C}(p,q) \neq \emptyset$  then I is a two-sided ideal of the semigroup S. Also, there they described the closure the bicyclic monoid  $\mathscr{C}(p,q)$  in a locally compact topological inverse semigroup. The closure of the bicyclic monoid in a countably compact (pseudocompact) topological semigroups was studied in [6].

In [15] Fihel and Gutik showed that any Hausdorff topology  $\tau$  on the extended bicyclic semigroup  $\mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  such that  $(\mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}, \tau)$  is a semitopological semigroup is discrete. Also in [15] studied a closure of the extended bicyclic semigroup  $\mathscr{C}_{\mathbb{Z}}$  in a topological semigroup.

For any Hausdorff topology  $\tau$  on an infinite semigroup of  $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units  $B_{\lambda}$  such that  $(B_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is a semitopological semigroup every non-zero element of  $B_{\lambda}$  is an isolated point of  $(B_{\lambda}, \tau)$  [22]. Also in [22] was proved that on any infinite semigroup of  $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units  $B_{\lambda}$  there exists a unique feebly compact topology  $\tau_A$  such that  $(B_{\lambda}, \tau_A)$  is a semitopological semigroup and moreover this topology  $\tau_A$  is compact. A closure of an infinite semigroup of  $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units in semitopological and topological semigroups and its embeddings into compact-like semigroups were studied in [18,22,23].

Semigroup topologizations and closures of inverse semigroups of monotone co-finite partial bijections of some linearly ordered infinite sets, inverse semigroups of almost identity partial bijections and inverse semigroups of partial bijections of a bounded finite rank studied in [9, 10, 17, 20, 23-25, 28, 29].

To every directed graph E one can associate a graph inverse semigroup G(E), where elements roughly correspond to possible paths in E. These semigroups generalize polycyclic monoids. In [33] the authors investigated topologies that turn G(E) into a topological semigroup. For instance, they showed that in any such topology that is Hausdorff,  $G(E) \setminus \{0\}$  must be discrete for any directed graph E. On the other hand, G(E) need not be discrete in a Hausdorff semigroup topology, and for certain graphs E, G(E) admits a  $T_1$  semigroup topology in which  $G(E) \setminus \{0\}$  is not discrete. In [33] the authors also described the algebraic structure and possible cardinality of the closure of G(E) in larger topological semigroups.

In this paper we show that the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid for in infinite cardinal  $\lambda \geqslant 2$  has similar algebraic properties so has the polycyclic monoid  $P_n$  with finitely many  $n \geqslant 2$  generators. In particular we prove that for every infinite cardinal  $\lambda$  the polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is a congruence-free, combinatorial, 0-bisimple, 0-E-unitary inverse semigroup. Also we show that every non-zero element x is an isolated point in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  for every Hausdorff topology on  $P_{\lambda}$ , such that  $P_{\lambda}$  is a semitopological semigroup,

and every locally compact Hausdorff semigroup topology on  $P_{\lambda}$  is discrete. The last statement extends results of the paper [33] obtaining for topological inverse graph semigroups. We describe all feebly compact topologies  $\tau$  on  $P_{\lambda}$  such that  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is a semitopological semigroup and its Bohr compactification as a topological semigroup. We prove that for every cardinal  $\lambda \geq 2$  any continuous homomorphism from a topological semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  into an arbitrary countably compact topological semigroup is annihilating and there exists no a Hausdorff feebly compact topological semigroup which contains  $P_{\lambda}$  as a dense subsemigroup.

# 2. Algebraic properties of the $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid for an infinite cardinal $\lambda$

In this section we assume that  $\lambda$  is an infinite cardinal.

We repeat the thinking and arguments from [32, Section 9.3].

We shall give a representation for the polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  by means of partial bijections on the free monoid  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  over the cardinal  $\lambda$ . Put  $A = \{x_i : i \in \lambda\}$ . Then the free monoid  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  over the cardinal  $\lambda$  is isomorphic to the free monoid  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  over the set A. Next we define for every  $i \in \lambda$  the partial map  $\alpha \colon \mathcal{M}_{\lambda} \to \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  by the formula  $(u)\alpha_i = x_i u$ and put that  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  is the domain and  $x_i \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  is the range of  $\alpha_i$ . Then for every  $i \in \lambda$  we may regard so defined partial map as an element of the symmetric inverse monoid  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda})$  on the set  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ . Denote by  $I_{\lambda}$  the inverse submonoid of  $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda})$  generated by the set  $\{\alpha_i : i \in \lambda\}$ . We observe that  $\alpha_i \alpha_i^{-1}$  is the identity partial map on  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  for each  $i \in \lambda$  and whereas if  $i \neq j$  then  $\alpha_i \alpha_j^{-1}$  is the empty partial map on the set  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ ,  $i, j \in \lambda$ . Define the map  $h: P_{\lambda} \to I_{\lambda}$  by the formula  $(p_i)h = \alpha_i$  and  $(p_i^{-1})h = \alpha_i^{-1}$ ,  $i \in \lambda$ . Then by Proposition 2.3.5 of [32],  $I_{\lambda}$  is a homomorphic image of  $P_{\lambda}$  and by Proposition 9.3.1 from [32] the map  $h: P_{\lambda} \to I_{\lambda}$  is an isomorphism. Since the band of the semigroup  $I_{\lambda}$  consists of partial identity maps, the identifying the semilattice of idempotents of  $I_{\lambda}$  with the free monoid  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0}$ with adjoined zero admits the following partial order on  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0}$ :

$$u \leqslant v$$
 if and only if  $v$  is a prefix of  $u$  for  $u, v \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0}$ ,  
and  $0 \leqslant u$  for every  $u \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0}$ . (1)

This partial order admits the following semilattice operation on  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0}$ :

$$u * v = v * u = \begin{cases} u, & \text{if } v \text{ is a prefix of } u; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and 0 \* u = u \* 0 = 0 \* 0 = 0 for arbitrary words  $u, v \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0}$ .

**Remark 2.1.** We observe that for an arbitrary non-zero cardinal  $\lambda$  the set  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0} \setminus \{0\}$  with the dual partial order to (1) is order isomorphic to the  $\lambda$ -ary tree  $T_{\lambda}$  with the countable height.

Hence, we proved the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2.** For every infinite cardinal  $\lambda$  the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  is isomorphic to the inverse semigroup  $I_{\lambda}$  and the semilattice  $E(P_{\lambda})$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0}, *)$ .

Let n be any positive integer and  $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \lambda$ . We put

$$P_n^{\lambda} \langle i_1, \dots, i_n \rangle$$

$$= \langle p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_n}, p_{i_1}^{-1}, \dots, p_{i_n}^{-1} \mid p_{i_k} p_{i_k}^{-1} = 1, p_{i_k} p_{i_l}^{-1} = 0 \text{ for } i_k \neq i_l \rangle.$$

The statement of the following lemma is trivial.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\lambda$  be an infinite cardinal and n be an arbitrary positive integer. Then  $P_n^{\lambda}\langle i_1,\ldots,i_n\rangle$  is a submonoid of the polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  such that  $P_n^{\lambda}\langle i_1,\ldots,i_n\rangle$  is isomorphic to  $P_n$  for arbitrary  $i_1,\ldots,i_n\in\lambda$ .

Our above representation of the polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  by means of partial bijections on the free monoid  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  over the cardinal  $\lambda$  implies the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\lambda$  be an infinite cardinal. Then for any elements  $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in P_\lambda$  there exist  $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \lambda$  such that  $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in P_n^\lambda \langle i_1, \ldots, i_n \rangle$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** For every infinite cardinal  $\lambda$  the polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is a congruence-free combinatorial 0-bisimple 0-E-unitary inverse semigroup.

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.2 the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  is inverse.

First we show that the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  is 0-bisimple. Then by the Munn Lemma (see [34, Lemma 1.1] and [32, Proposition 3.2.5]) it is sufficient to show that for any two non-zero idempotents  $e, f \in P_{\lambda}$  there exists  $x \in P_{\lambda}$  such that  $xx^{-1} = e$  and  $x^{-1}x = f$ . Fix arbitrary two non-zero idempotents  $e, f \in P_{\lambda}$ . By Lemma 2.4 there exist  $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \lambda$  such that  $e, f \in P_n^{\lambda} \langle i_1, \ldots, i_n \rangle$ . Lemma 2.3, Theorem 9.3.4 of [32] and Proposition 3.2.5 of [32] imply that there exists  $x \in P_n^{\lambda} \langle i_1, \ldots, i_n \rangle \subset P_{\lambda}$  such that  $xx^{-1} = e$  and  $x^{-1}x = f$ . Hence the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  is 0-bisimple.

The above representation of the polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  by means of partial bijections on the free monoid  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  over the cardinal  $\lambda$  implies that

the  $\mathcal{H}$ -class in  $P_{\lambda}$  which contains the unity is a singleton. Then since the polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is 0-bisimple Theorem 2.20 of [11] implies that every non-zero  $\mathcal{H}$ -class in  $P_{\lambda}$  is a singleton. It is obvious that  $\mathcal{H}$ -class in  $P_{\lambda}$  which contains zero is a singleton. This implies that the polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is combinatorial.

Suppose to the contrary that the monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is not 0-E-unitary. Then there exist a non-idempotent element  $x \in P_{\lambda}$  and non-zero idempotents  $e, f \in P_{\lambda}$  such that xe = f. By Lemma 2.4 there exist  $i_1, \ldots, i_n \in \lambda$  such that  $x, e, f \in P_n^{\lambda} \langle i_1, \ldots, i_n \rangle$ . Hence the monoid  $P_n^{\lambda} \langle i_1, \ldots, i_n \rangle$  is not 0-E-unitary, which contradicts Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 9.3.4 of [32]. The obtained contradiction implies that the polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is a 0-E-unitary inverse semigroup.

Suppose the contrary that there exists a congruence  $\mathfrak C$  on the polycyclic monoid  $P_\lambda$  which is distinct from the identity and the universal congruence on  $P_\lambda$ . Then there exist distinct  $x,y\in P_\lambda$  such that  $x\mathfrak Cy$ . By Lemma 2.4 there exist  $i_1,\ldots,i_n\in\lambda$  such that  $x,y\in P_n^\lambda\langle i_1,\ldots,i_n\rangle$ . By Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 9.3.4 of [32], since the polycyclic monoid  $P_n$  is congruence-free we have that the unity and zero of the polycyclic monoid  $P_\lambda$  are  $\mathfrak C$ -equivalent and hence all elements of  $P_\lambda$  are  $\mathfrak C$ -equivalent. This contradicts our assumption. The obtained contradiction implies that the polycyclic monoid  $P_\lambda$  is a congruence-free semigroup.

From now for an arbitrary cardinal  $\lambda \geqslant 2$  we shall call the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid.

Fix an arbitrary cardinal  $\lambda \geq 2$  and two distinct elements  $a, b \in \lambda$ . We consider the following subset  $A = \{b^i a \colon i = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$  of the free monoid  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ . The definition of the above defined partial order  $\leq$  on  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^0$  implies that two arbitrary distinct elements of the set A are incomparable in  $(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^0, \leq)$ . Let  $B(b^i a)$  be a subsemigroup of  $I_{\lambda}$  generated by the subset

$$\left\{\alpha\in I_\lambda\colon\operatorname{dom}\alpha=b^ia\mathcal{M}_\lambda\text{ and }\operatorname{ran}\alpha=b^ja\mathcal{M}_\lambda\text{ for some }i,j\in\omega\right\}$$

of the semigroup  $I_{\lambda}$ . Since two arbitrary distinct elements of the set A are incomparable in the partially ordered set  $(\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}^{0}, \leqslant)$  the semigroup operation of  $I_{\lambda}$  implies that the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $\alpha\beta$  is a non-zero element of the semigroup  $I_{\lambda}$  if and only if ran  $\alpha = \text{dom } \beta$ :
- (ii)  $\alpha\beta = 0$  in  $I_{\lambda}$  if and only if ran  $\alpha \neq \text{dom } \beta$ ;
- (iii) if  $\alpha\beta \neq 0$  in  $I_{\lambda}$  then  $dom(\alpha\beta) = dom \alpha$  and  $ran(\alpha\beta) = ran \beta$ ;
- (iv)  $B(b^i a)$  is an inverse subsemigroup of  $I_{\lambda}$ ,

for arbitrary  $\alpha, \beta \in B(b^i a)$ .

Now, if we identify  $\omega$  with the set of all non-negative integers  $\{0,1,2,3,4,\ldots\}$ , then simple verifications show that the map  $\mathfrak{h}\colon B(b^ia)\to B_\omega$  defined in the following way:

- (a) if  $\alpha \neq 0$ , dom  $\alpha = b^i a \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  and ran  $\alpha = b^j a \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ , then  $(\alpha)\mathfrak{h} = (i, j)$ , for  $i, j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \ldots\}$ ;
- (b)  $(0)\mathfrak{h} = 0$ ,

is a semigroup isomorphism.

Hence we proved the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.6.** For every cardinal  $\lambda \geqslant 2$  the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  contains an isomorphic copy of the semigroup of  $\omega \times \omega$ -matrix units  $B_{\omega}$ .

**Proposition 2.7.** For every non-zero cardinal  $\lambda$  and any  $\alpha, \beta \in P_{\lambda} \setminus \{0\}$ , both sets  $\{\chi \in P_{\lambda} : \alpha \cdot \chi = \beta\}$  and  $\{\chi \in P_{\lambda} : \chi \cdot \alpha = \beta\}$  are finite.

*Proof.* We show that the set  $\{\chi \in P_{\lambda} : \alpha \cdot \chi = \beta\}$  is finite. The proof in other case is similar.

It is obvious that

$$\{\chi \in P_{\lambda} : \alpha \cdot \chi = \beta\} \subseteq \{\chi \in P_{\lambda} : \alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha \cdot \chi = \alpha^{-1} \cdot \beta\}.$$

Then the definition of the semigroup  $I_{\lambda}$  implies there exist words  $u, v \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  such that the partial map  $\alpha^{-1} \cdot \beta$  is the map from  $u\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  onto  $v\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  defined by the formula  $(ux)(\alpha^{-1} \cdot \beta) = vx$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ . Since  $\alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha$  is an identity partial map of  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  we get that the partial map  $\alpha^{-1} \cdot \beta$  is a restriction of the partial map  $\chi$  on the set  $\mathrm{dom}(\alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha)$ . Hence by the definition of the semigroup  $I_{\lambda}$  there exists words  $u_1, v_1 \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  such that  $u_1$  is a prefix of u,  $v_1$  is a prefix of v and  $\chi$  is the map from  $u_1\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  onto  $v_1\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  defined by the formula  $(u_1x)(\alpha^{-1} \cdot \beta) = v_1x$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ . Now, since every word of free monoid  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$  has finitely many prefixes we conclude that the set  $\{\chi \in P_{\lambda} \colon \alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha \cdot \chi = \alpha^{-1} \cdot \beta\}$  is finite, and hence so is  $\{\chi \in P_{\lambda} \colon \alpha \cdot \chi = \beta\}$ .

Later we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.8.** Let  $\lambda$  be any cardinal  $\geq 2$ . Then an element x of the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent to the identity 1 of  $P_{\lambda}$  if and only if  $x = p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_n}$  for some generators  $p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_n} \in \{p_i\}_{i \in \lambda}$ .

*Proof.* We observe that the definition of the  $\Re$ -relation implies that  $x\Re 1$  if and only if  $xx^{-1} = 1$  (see [32, Section 3.2]).

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose that an element x of  $P_{\lambda}$  has a form  $x = p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_n}$ . Then the definition of the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  implies that

$$xx^{-1} = (p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_n}) (p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_n})^{-1} = p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_n} p_{i_n}^{-1} \dots p_{i_1}^{-1} = 1,$$

and hence  $x\Re 1$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Suppose that some element x of the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent to the identity 1 of  $P_{\lambda}$ . Then the definition of the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  implies that there exist finitely many  $p_{i_1}, \ldots, p_{i_n} \in \{p_i\}_{i \in \lambda}$  such that x is an element of the submonoid  $P_n^{\lambda} \langle i_1, \ldots, i_n \rangle$  of  $P_{\lambda}$ , which is generated by elements  $p_{i_1}, \ldots, p_{i_n}$ , i.e.,

$$P_n^{\lambda} \langle i_1, \dots, i_n \rangle$$

$$= \langle p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_n}, p_{i_1}^{-1}, \dots, p_{i_n}^{-1} : p_{i_k} p_{i_k}^{-1} = 1, \ p_{i_k} p_{i_l}^{-1} = 0 \text{ for } i_k \neq i_l \rangle.$$

Proposition 9.3.1 of [32] implies that the element x is equal to the unique string of the form  $u^{-1}v$ , where u and v are strings of the free monoid  $\mathcal{M}_{\{p_{i_1},\ldots,p_{i_n}\}}$  over the set  $\{p_{i_1},\ldots,p_{i_n}\}$ . Next we shall show that u is the empty string of  $\mathcal{M}_{\{p_{i_1},\ldots,p_{i_n}\}}$ . Suppose that  $u=a_1\ldots a_k$  and  $v=b_1\ldots b_l$ , for some  $a_1,\ldots,a_k,b_1,\ldots,b_l\in\{p_{i_1},\ldots,p_{i_n}\}$  and u is not the emptystring of  $\mathcal{M}_{\{p_{i_1},\ldots,p_{i_n}\}}$ . Then the definition of the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  implies that

$$xx^{-1} = (u^{-1}v)(u^{-1}v)^{-1} = u^{-1}vv^{-1}u$$

$$= (a_1 \dots a_k)^{-1}(b_1 \dots b_l)(b_1 \dots b_l)^{-1}(a_1 \dots a_k)$$

$$= a_k^{-1} \dots a_1^{-1}b_1 \dots b_l b_l^{-1} \dots b_1^{-1}a_1 \dots a_k$$

$$\dots$$

$$= a_k^{-1} \dots a_1^{-1}1a_1 \dots a_k$$

$$= a_k^{-1} \dots a_1^{-1}a_1 \dots a_k \neq 1,$$

which contradicts the assumption that  $x\Re 1$ . The obtained contradiction implies that the element x has the form  $x = p_{i_1} \dots p_{i_n}$  for some generators  $p_{i_1}, \dots, p_{i_n}$  from the set  $\{p_i\}_{i \in \lambda}$ .

# 3. On semigroup topologizations of the $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid

In [13] Eberhart and Selden proved that if  $\tau$  is a Hausdorff topology on the bicyclic monoid  $\mathscr{C}(p,q)$  such that  $(\mathscr{C}(p,q),\tau)$  is a topological

semigroup then  $\tau$  is discrete. In [7] Bertman and West extended this results for the case when  $(\mathscr{C}(p,q),\tau)$  is a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup. In [33] there proved that for any positive integer n>1 every non-zero element in a Hausdorff topological n-polycyclic monoid  $P_n$  is an isolated point. The following proposition generalizes the above results.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $\lambda$  be any cardinal  $\geq 2$  and  $\tau$  be any Hausdorff topology on  $P_{\lambda}$ , such that  $P_{\lambda}$  is a semitopological semigroup. Then every non-zero element x is an isolated point in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* We observe that the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is a 0-bisimple semigroup, and hence is a 0-simple semigroup. Then the continuity of right and left translations in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  and Proposition 2.7 imply that it is complete to show that there exists an non-zero element x of  $P_{\lambda}$  such that x is an isolated point in the topological space  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ .

Suppose to the contrary that the unit 1 of the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is a non-isolated point of the topological space  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ . Then every open neighbourhood U(1) of 1 in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is infinite subset.

Fix a singleton word x in the free monoid  $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ . Let  $\varepsilon$  be an idempotent of the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  which corresponds to the identity partial map of  $x\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ . Since left and right translation on the idempotent  $\varepsilon$  are retractions of the topological space  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  the Hausdorffness of  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  implies that  $\varepsilon P_{\lambda}$  and  $P_{\lambda}\varepsilon$  are closed subsets of the topological space  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ , and hence so is the set  $\varepsilon P_{\lambda} \cup P_{\lambda}\varepsilon$ . The separate continuity of the semigroup operation and Hausdorffness of  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  imply that for every open neighbourhood  $U(\varepsilon) \not\supseteq 0$  of the point  $\varepsilon$  in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  there exists an open neighbourhood U(1) of the unit 1 in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  such that

$$U(1) \subseteq P_{\lambda} \setminus (\varepsilon P_{\lambda} \cup P_{\lambda} \varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \cdot U(1) \subseteq U(\varepsilon) \text{ and } U(1) \cdot \varepsilon \subseteq U(\varepsilon).$$

We observe that the idempotent  $\varepsilon$  is maximal in  $P_{\lambda} \setminus \{1\}$ . Hence any other idempotent  $\iota \in P_{\lambda} \setminus (\varepsilon P_{\lambda} \cup P_{\lambda} \varepsilon)$  is incomparable with  $\varepsilon$ . Since the set U(1) is infinite there exists an element  $\alpha \in U(1)$  such that either  $\alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1}$  or  $\alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha$  is an incomparable idempotent with  $\varepsilon$ . Then we get that either

$$\varepsilon \cdot \alpha = \varepsilon \cdot (\alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha) = (\varepsilon \cdot \alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1}) \cdot \alpha = 0 \cdot \alpha = 0 \in U(\varepsilon)$$

or

$$\alpha \cdot \varepsilon = (\alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha) \cdot \varepsilon = \alpha \cdot (\alpha^{-1} \cdot \alpha \cdot \varepsilon) = \alpha \cdot 0 = 0 \in U(\varepsilon).$$

The obtained contradiction implies that the unit 1 is an isolated point of the topological space  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ , which completes the proof of our proposition.

A topological space X is called *collectionwise normal* if X is  $T_1$ -space and for every discrete family  $\{F_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in\mathcal{J}}$  of closed subsets of X there exists a discrete family  $\{S_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in\mathcal{J}}$  of open subsets of X such that  $F_{\alpha}\subseteq S_{\alpha}$  for every  ${\alpha}\in\mathcal{J}$  [14].

**Proposition 3.2.** Every Hausdorff topological space X with a unique non-isoloated point is collectionwise normal.

Proof. Suppose that a is a non-isolated point of X. Fix an arbitrary discrete family  $\{F_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$  of closed subsets of the topological space X. Then there exists an open neighbourhood U(a) of the point a in X which intersects at most one element of the family  $\{F_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$ . In the case when  $U(a) \cap F_{\alpha} = \emptyset$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$  we put  $S_{\alpha} = F_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ . If  $U(a) \cap F_{\alpha_0} \neq \emptyset$  for some  $\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{J}$  we put  $S_{\alpha_0} = U(a) \cup F_{\alpha_0}$  and  $S_{\alpha} = F_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J} \setminus \{\alpha_0\}$ . Then  $\{S_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \mathcal{J}}$  is a discrete family of open subsets of X such that  $F_{\alpha} \subseteq S_{\alpha}$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{J}$ .

Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let  $\lambda$  be any cardinal  $\geq 2$  and  $\tau$  be any Hausdorff topology on  $P_{\lambda}$ , such that  $P_{\lambda}$  is a semitopological semigroup. Then the topological space  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is collectionwise normal.

In [33] there proved that for arbitrary finite cardinal  $\geq 2$  every Hausdorff locally compact topology  $\tau$  on  $P_{\lambda}$  such that  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is a topological semigroup, is discrete. The following proposition extends this result for any infinite cardinal  $\lambda$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $\lambda$  be an infinite cardinal and  $\tau$  be a locally compact Hausdorff topology on  $P_{\lambda}$  such that  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is a topological semigroup. Then  $\tau$  is discrete.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exist a Hausdorff locally compact non-discrete semigroup topology  $\tau$  on  $P_{\lambda}$ . Then by Proposition 3.1 every non-zero element the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  is an isolated point in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ . This implies that for any compact open neighbourhoods U(0) and V(0) of zero 0 in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  the set  $U(0) \setminus V(0)$  is finite. Hence zero 0 of  $P_{\lambda}$  is an accumulation point of any infinite subset of an arbitrary open compact neighbourhood U(0) of zero in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ .

Put  $R_1$  is the  $\mathcal{R}$ -class of the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  which contains the identity 1 of  $P_{\lambda}$ . Then only one of the following conditions holds:

- (1) there exists a compact open neighbourhood U(0) of zero 0 in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  such that  $U(0) \cap R_1 = \emptyset$ ;
- (2)  $U(0) \cap R_1$  is an infinite set for every compact open neighbourhood U(0) of zero 0 in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ .

Suppose that case (1) holds. For arbitrary  $x \in R_1$  we put

$$R[x] = \left\{ a \in R_1 \colon x^{-1}a \in U(0) \right\}.$$

Next we shall show that the set R[x] is finite for any  $x \in R_1$ . Suppose to the contrary that R[x] is infinite for some  $x \in R_1$ . Then Lemma 2.8 implies that  $x^{-1}a$  is non-zero element of  $P_{\lambda}$  for every  $a \in R[x]$ , and hence by Proposition 2.7,

$$B = \left\{ x^{-1}a \colon a \in R[x] \right\}$$

is an infinite subset of the neighbourhood U(0). Therefore, the above arguments imply that  $0 \in \operatorname{cl}_{P_{\lambda}}(B)$ . Now, the continuity of the semigroup operation in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  implies that

$$0 = x \cdot 0 \in x \cdot \operatorname{cl}_{P_{\lambda}}(B) \subseteq \operatorname{cl}_{P_{\lambda}}(x \cdot B).$$

Then Lemma 2.8 implies that  $xx^{-1} = 1$  for any  $x \in R_1$  and hence we have that

$$x \cdot B = \left\{ xx^{-1}a \colon a \in R[x] \right\} = \{a \colon a \in R[x]\} = R[x] \subseteq R_1.$$

This implies that every open neighbourhood U(0) of zero 0 in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  contains infinitely many elements from the class  $R_1$ , which contradicts our assumption.

Suppose that case (2) holds. Then the set  $\{0\}$  is a compact minimal ideal of the topological semigroup  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ . Now, by Lemma 1 of [31] (also see [8, Vol. 1, Lemma 3,12]) for every open neighbourhood W(0) of zero 0 in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  there exists an open neighbourhood O(0) of zero 0 in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  such that  $O(0) \subseteq W(0)$  and O(0) is an ideal of  $\operatorname{cl}_{P_{\lambda}}(O(0))$ , i.e.,  $O(0) \cdot \operatorname{cl}_{P_{\lambda}}(O(0)) \cup \operatorname{cl}_{P_{\lambda}}(O(0)) \cdot O(0) \subseteq O(0)$ . But by Proposition 3.1 all non-zero elements of  $P_{\lambda}$  are isolated points in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ , and hence we have that  $\operatorname{cl}_{P_{\lambda}}(O(0)) = O(0)$ . This implies that O(0) is an openand-closed subsemigroup of the topological semigroup  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ . Therefore, the topological  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  has a base  $\mathscr{B}(0)$  at zero 0 which consists of open-and-closed subsemigroups of  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ . Fix an arbitrary  $S \in \mathscr{B}(0)$ . Then our assumption implies that there exists  $x \in S \cap R_1$ . Since  $x \in R_1$ , Lemma 2.8 implies that  $xx^{-1} = 1$ . Without

loss of generality we may assume that  $x^{-1}x \neq 1$ , because S is a proper ideal of  $P_{\lambda}$ . Put  $\mathbb{B}(x) = \langle x, x^{-1} \rangle$ . Then Lemma 1.31 of [11] implies that  $\mathbb{B}(x)$  is isomorphic to the bicyclic monoid, and since by Proposition 3.1 all non-zero elements of  $P_{\lambda}$  are isolated points in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ ,  $\mathbb{B}^{0}(x) = \mathbb{B}(x) \sqcup \{0\}$  is a closed subsemigroup of the topological semigroup  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$ , and hence by Corollary 3.3.10 of [14],  $\mathbb{B}^{0}(x)$  with the induced topology  $\tau_{\mathbb{B}}$  from  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is a Hausdorff locally compact topological semigroup. Also, the above presented arguments imply that  $\langle x \rangle \cup \{0\}$  with the induced topology from  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is a compact topological semigroup, which is contained in  $\mathbb{B}^{0}(x)$  as a subsemigroup. But by Corollary 1 from [19],  $(\mathbb{B}^{0}(x), \tau_{\mathbb{B}})$  is the discrete space, which contains a compact infinite subspace  $\langle x \rangle \cup \{0\}$ . Hence case (2) does not hold.

The presented above arguments imply that there exists no non-discrete Hausdorff locally compact semigroup topology on the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$ .

The following example shows that the statements of Proposition 3.4 does not extend in the case when  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is a semitopological semigroup with continuous inversion. Moreover there exists a compact Hausdorff topology  $\tau_{\text{A-c}}$  on  $P_{\lambda}$  such that  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau_{\text{A-c}})$  is semitopological inverse semigroup with continuous inversion.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $\lambda$  is any cardinal  $\geq 2$ . Put  $\tau_{A-c}$  is the topology of the one-point Alexandroff compactification of the discrete space  $P_{\lambda} \setminus \{0\}$  with the narrow  $\{0\}$ , where 0 is the zero of the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$ . Since  $P_{\lambda} \setminus \{0\}$  is a discrete open subspace of  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau_{A-c})$ , it is complete to show that the semigroup operation is separately continuous in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau_{A-c})$  in the following two cases:

$$x \cdot 0$$
 and  $0 \cdot x$ ,

where x is an arbitrary non-zero element of the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$ . Fix an arbitrary open neighbourhood  $U_A(0)$  of the zero in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau_{A-c})$  such that  $A = P_{\lambda} \setminus U_A(0)$  is a finite subset of  $P_{\lambda}$ . By Proposition 2.7,

$$R_x^A = \{ a \in P_\lambda \colon x \cdot a \in A \}$$
 and  $L_x^A = \{ a \in P_\lambda \colon a \cdot x \in A \}$ 

are finite not necessary non-empty subsets of the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$ . Put  $U_{R_x^A}(0) = P_{\lambda} \setminus R_x^A$ ,  $U_{L_x^A}(0) = P_{\lambda} \setminus L_x^A$  and  $U_{A^{-1}} = P_{\lambda} \setminus \{a \colon a^{-1} \in A\}$ . Then we get that

$$x \cdot U_{R_x^A}(0) \subseteq U_A(0), \quad U_{L_x^A}(0) \cdot x \subseteq U_A(0) \quad \text{and} \quad (U_{A^{-1}})^{-1} \subseteq U_A(0),$$

and hence the semigroup operation is separately continuous and the inversion is continuous in  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau_{\mathsf{A-c}})$ .

**Proposition 3.6.** Let  $\lambda$  is any cardinal  $\geq 2$  and  $\tau$  be a Hausdorff topology on  $P_{\lambda}$  such that  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is a semitopological semigroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\tau = \tau_{A-c}$ ;
- (ii)  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is a compact semitopological semigroup;
- (iii)  $(P_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is a feebly compact semitopological semigroup.

*Proof.* Implications  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$  and  $(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$  are trivial and implication  $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$  follows from Proposition 3.1.

 $(iii)\Rightarrow (ii)$  Suppose there exists a feebly compact Hausdorff topology  $\tau$  on  $P_{\lambda}$  such that  $(P_{\lambda},\tau)$  is a non-compact semitopological semigroup. Then there exists an open cover  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$  which does not contain a finite subcover. Let  $U_{\alpha_0}$  be an arbitrary element of the family  $\{U_{\alpha}\}_{\alpha\in\mathcal{J}}$  which contains zero 0 of the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$ . Then  $P_{\lambda}\setminus U_{\alpha_0}=A_{U_{\alpha_0}}$  is an infinite subset of  $P_{\lambda}$ . By Proposition 3.1,  $\{U_{\alpha_0}\}\cup \left\{\{x\}: x\in A_{U_{\alpha_0}}\right\}$  is an infinite locally finite family of open subset of the topological space  $(P_{\lambda},\tau)$ , which contradicts that the space  $(P_{\lambda},\tau)$  is feebly compact. The obtained contradiction implies the requested implication.

It is well known that the closure  $\operatorname{cl}_S(T)$  of an arbitrary subsemigroup T in a semitopological semigroup S again is a subsemigroup of S (see [37, Proposition I.1.8(ii)]). The following proposition describes the structure of a narrow of the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  in a semitopological semigroup.

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $\lambda$  is any cardinal  $\geq 2$ , S be a Hausdorff semitopological semigroup and  $P_{\lambda}$  is a dense subsemigroup of S. Then  $S \setminus P_{\lambda} \cup \{0\}$  is a closed ideal of S.

*Proof.* First we observe by Proposition I.1.8(iii) from [37] the zero 0 of the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is a zero of the semitopological semigroup S. Hence the statement of the proposition is trivial when  $S \setminus P_{\lambda} = \emptyset$ .

Assume that  $S \setminus P_{\lambda} \neq \emptyset$ . Put  $I = S \setminus P_{\lambda} \cup \{0\}$ . By Theorem 3.3.9 of [14], I is a closed subspace of S. Suppose to the contrary that I is not an ideal of S. If  $I \cdot S \nsubseteq I$  then there exist  $x \in I \setminus \{0\}$  and  $y \in P_{\lambda} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $x \cdot y = z \in P_{\lambda} \setminus \{0\}$ . By Theorem 3.3.9 of [14], y and z are isolated points of the topological space S. Then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in S implies that there exists an open neighbourhood U(x) of the point x in S such that  $U(x) \cdot \{y\} = \{z\}$ . Then we get that  $|U(x) \cap P_{\lambda}| \geqslant \omega$ 

which contradicts Proposition 2.7. The obtained contradiction implies the inclusion  $I \cdot S \subseteq I$ . The proof of the inclusion  $S \cdot I \subseteq I$  is similar.

Now we shall show that  $I \cdot I \subseteq I$ . Suppose to the contrary that there exist  $x,y \in I \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $x \cdot y = z \in P_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$ . By Theorem 3.3.9 of [14], z is an isolated point of the topological space S. Then the separate continuity of the semigroup operation in S implies that there exists an open neighbourhood U(x) of the point x in S such that  $U(x) \cdot \{y\} = \{z\}$ . Since  $|U(x) \cap P_\lambda| \geqslant \omega$  there exists  $a \in P_\lambda \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $a \cdot y \in a \cdot I \not\subseteq I$  which contradicts the above part of our proof. The obtained contradiction implies the statement of the proposition.

### 4. Embeddings of the $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid into compactlike topological semigroups

By Theorem 5 of [23] the semigroup of  $\omega \times \omega$ -matrix units does not embed into any countably compact topological semigroup. Then by Proposition 2.6 we have that for every cardinal  $\lambda \geqslant 2$  the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  does not embed into any countably compact topological semigroup too.

A homomorphism  $\mathfrak{h}$  from a semigroup S into a semigroup T is called annihilating if there exists  $c \in T$  such that  $(s)\mathfrak{h} = c$  for all  $s \in S$ . By Theorem 6 of [23] every continuous homomorphism from the semigroup of  $\omega \times \omega$ -matrix units into an arbitrary countably compact topological semigroup is annihilating. Then since by Theorem 2.5 the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  is congruence-free Theorem 6 of [23] and Theorem 2.5 imply the following corollary.

Corollary 4.1. For every cardinal  $\lambda \geqslant 2$  any continuous homomorphism from a topological semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  into an arbitrary countably compact topological semigroup is annihilating.

**Proposition 4.2.** For every cardinal  $\lambda \geq 2$  any continuous homomorphism from a topological semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  into a topological semigroup S such that  $S \times S$  is a Tychonoff pseudocompact space is annihilating, and hence S does not contain the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$ .

*Proof.* First we shall show that S does not contain the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$ . By [4, Theorem 1.3] for any topological semigroup S with the pseudocompact square  $S \times S$  the semigroup operation  $\mu \colon S \times S \to S$  extends to a continuous semigroup operation  $\beta \mu \colon \beta S \times \beta S \to \beta S$ , so S is a subsemigroup of the compact topological semigroup  $\beta S$ . Therefore

the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is a subsemigroup of compact topological semigroup  $\beta S$  which contradicts Corollary 4.1. The first statement of the proposition implies from the statement that  $P_{\lambda}$  is a congruence-free semigroup.

Recall [12] that a Bohr compactification of a topological semigroup S is a pair  $(\beta, B(S))$  such that B(S) is a compact topological semigroup,  $\beta \colon S \to B(S)$  is a continuous homomorphism, and if  $g \colon S \to T$  is a continuous homomorphism of S into a compact semigroup T, then there exists a unique continuous homomorphism  $f \colon B(S) \to T$  such that the diagram

$$S \xrightarrow{\beta} B(S)$$

$$\downarrow g \qquad \qquad \downarrow f$$

$$T$$

commutes.

By Theorem 2.5 for every infinite cardinal  $\lambda$  the polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is a congruence-free inverse semigroup and hence Corollary 4.1 implies the following corollary.

Corollary 4.3. For every cardinal  $\lambda \geqslant 2$  the Bohr compactification of a topological  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  is a trivial semigroup.

The following theorem generalized Theorem 5 from [23].

**Theorem 4.4.** For every infinite cardinal  $\lambda$  the semigroup of  $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units  $B_{\lambda}$  does not densely embed into a Hausdorff feebly compact topological semigroup.

*Proof.* Suppose to the contrary that there exists a Hausdorff feebly compact topological semigroup S which contains the semigroup of  $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units  $B_{\lambda}$  as a dense subsemigroup.

First we shall show that the subsemigroup of idempotents  $E(B_{\lambda})$  of the semigroup  $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units  $B_{\lambda}$  with the induced topology from S is compact. Suppose to the contrary that  $E(B_{\lambda})$  is not a compact subspace of S. Then there exists an open neighbourhood U(0) of the zero 0 of S such that  $E(B_{\lambda}) \setminus U(0)$  is an infinite subset of  $E(B_{\lambda})$ . Since the closure of semilattice in a topological semigroup is subsemilattice (see [21, Corollary 19]) and every maximal chain of  $E(B_{\lambda})$  is finite, Theorem 9 of [38] implies that the band  $E(B_{\lambda})$  is a closed subsemigroup of S. Now, by Lemma 2 from [22] every non-zero element of the semigroup  $B_{\lambda}$  is an isolated point in the space S, and hence by Theorem 3.3.9 of [14],  $B_{\lambda} \setminus \{0\}$  is an open discrete subspace of the topological space S. Therefore we get that  $E(B_{\lambda}) \setminus U(0)$  is an infinite open-and-closed discrete subspace of S. This contradicts the condition that S is a feebly compact space.

If the subsemigroup of idempotents  $E(B_{\lambda})$  is compact then by Theorem 1 from [23] the semigroup of  $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units  $B_{\lambda}$  is closed subsemigroup of S and since  $B_{\lambda}$  is dense in S, the semigroup  $B_{\lambda}$  coincides with the topological semigroup S. This contradicts Theorem 2 of [22] which states that there exists no a feebly compact Hausdorff topology  $\tau$  on the semigroup of  $\lambda \times \lambda$ -matrix units  $B_{\lambda}$  such that  $(B_{\lambda}, \tau)$  is a topological semigroup. The obtained contradiction implies the statement of the theorem.

**Lemma 4.5.** Every Hausdorff feebly compact topological space with a dense discrete subspace is countably pracompact.

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a feebly compact topological space X with a dense discrete subspace D such that X is not countably pracompact. Then every dense subset A in the topological space X contains an infinite subset  $B_A$  such that  $B_A$  hasn't an accumulation point in X. Hence the dense discrete subspace D of X contains an infinite subset  $B_D$  such that  $B_D$  hasn't an accumulation point in the topological space X. Then  $B_D$  is a closed subset of X. By Theorem 3.3.9 of [14], D is an open subspace of X, and hence we have that  $B_D$  is a closed-and-open discrete subspace of the space X, which contradicts the feeble compactness of the space S. The obtained contradiction implies the statement of the lemma.

**Theorem 4.6.** For arbitrary cardinal  $\lambda \ge 2$  there exists no Hausdorff feebly compact topological semigroup which contains the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  as a dense subsemigroup.

*Proof.* By Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 4.5 it is suffices to show that there does not exist a Hausdorff countably pracompact topological semigroup which contains the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  as a dense subsemigroup.

Suppose to the contrary that there exists a Hausdorff countably pracompact topological semigroup S which contains the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  as a dense subsemigroup. Then there exists a dense subset A in S such that every infinite subset  $B \subseteq A$  has an accumulation point in the topological space S. By Proposition 3.1,  $P_{\lambda} \setminus \{0\}$  is a discrete dense subspace of S and hence Theorem 3.3.9 of [14] implies that  $P_{\lambda} \setminus \{0\}$ 

is an open subspace of S. Therefore we have that  $P_{\lambda} \setminus \{0\} \subseteq A$ . Now, by Proposition 2.6 the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  contains an isomorphic copy of the semigroup of  $\omega \times \omega$ -matrix units  $B_{\omega}$ . Then the countable pracompactness of the space S implies that every infinite subset C of the set  $B_{\omega}\{0\}$  has an accumulating point in X, and hence the closure  $\operatorname{cl}_{S}(B_{\omega})$  is a countably pracompact subsemigroup of the topological semigroup S. This contradicts Theorem 4.4. The obtained contradiction implies the statement of the theorem.

### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Alex Ravsky for his comments and suggestions.

#### References

- [1] O. Andersen, Ein Bericht über die Struktur abstrakter Halbgruppen, PhD Thesis, Hamburg, 1952.
- [2] L.W. Anderson, R.P. Hunter, R.J. Koch, *Some results on stability in semigroups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **117** (1965), 521–529.
- [3] A. V. Arkhangel'skii, Topological Function Spaces, Kluwer Publ., Dordrecht, 1992.
- [4] T. O. Banakh and S. Dimitrova, Openly factorizable spaces and compact extensions of topological semigroups, Commentat. Math. Univ. Carol. **51**:1 (2010), 113–131.
- [5] T. Banakh, S. Dimitrova, and O. Gutik, The Rees-Suschkiewitsch Theorem for simple topological semigroups, Mat. Stud. 31:2 (2009), 211–218.
- [6] T. Banakh, S. Dimitrova, and O. Gutik, Embedding the bicyclic semigroup into countably compact topological semigroups, Topology Appl. 157:18 (2010), 2803– 2814.
- [7] M. O. Bertman and T. T. West, Conditionally compact bicyclic semitopological semigroups, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. A76:21–23 (1976), 219–226.
- [8] J. H. Carruth, J. A. Hildebrant, and R. J. Koch, The Theory of Topological Semigroups, Vol. I, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1983; Vol. II, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel, 1986.
- [9] I. Chuchman and O. Gutik, Topological monoids of almost monotone injective co-finite partial selfmaps of the set of positive integers, Carpathian Math. Publ. 2:1 (2010), 119–132.
- [10] I. Chuchman and O. Gutik, On monoids of injective partial selfmaps almost everywhere the identity, Demonstr. Math. 44:4 (2011), 699–722.
- [11] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups, Vols. I and II, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1961 and 1967.
- [12] K. DeLeeuw and I. Glicksberg, Almost-periodic functions on semigroups, Acta Math. 105 (1961), 99–140.
- [13] C. Eberhart and J. Selden, On the closure of the bicyclic semigroup, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 144 (1969), 115–126.

- [14] R. Engelking, General Topology, 2nd ed., Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [15] I. R. Fihel and O. V. Gutik, On the closure of the extended bicyclic semigroup, Carpathian Math. Publ. 3:2 (2011), 131–157.
- [16] J. A. Green, On the structure of semigroups, Ann. Math. (2) 54 (1951), 163-172.
- [17] I. Guran, O. Gutik, O. Ravs'kyj, and I. Chuchman, Symmetric topological groups and semigroups, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. 74 (2011), 61–73.
- [18] O. Gutik, On closures in semitopological inverse semigroups with continuous inversion, Algebra Discr. Math. 18:1 (2014), 59--85.
- [19] O. Gutik, On the dichotomy of a locally compact semitopological bicyclic monoid with adjoined zero, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. 80 (2015), 33–41.
- [20] O. Gutik, J. Lawson, and D. Repovs, Semigroup closures of finite rank symmetric inverse semigroups, Semigroup Forum 78:2 (2009), 326–336.
- [21] O. Gutik and K. Pavlyk, Topological Brandt λ-extensions of absolutely H-closed topological inverse semigroups, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. 61 (2003), 98–105.
- [22] O. V. Gutik and K. P. Pavlyk, Topological semigroups of matrix units, Algebra Discrete Math. no. 3 (2005), 1–17.
- [23] O. Gutik, K. Pavlyk, and A. Reiter, Topological semigroups of matrix units and countably compact Brandt  $\lambda^0$ -extensions, Mat. Stud. **32**:2 (2009), 115–131.
- [24] O. Gutik and I. Pozdnyakova, On monoids of monotone injective partial selfmaps of  $L_n \times_{lex} \mathbb{Z}$  with co-finite domains and images, Algebra Discrete Math. 17:2 (2014), 256–279.
- [25] O. V. Gutik and A. R. Reiter, Symmetric inverse topological semigroups of finite rank  $\leq n$ , Math. Methods and Phys.-Mech. Fields **52**:3 (2009), 7–14; reprinted version: J. Math. Sc. **171**:4 (2010), 425–432.
- [26] O. Gutik and A. Reiter, On semitopological symmetric inverse semigroups of a bounded finite rank, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. 72 (2010), 94–106 (in Ukrainian).
- [27] O. Gutik and D. Repovš, On countably compact 0-simple topological inverse semigroups, Semigroup Forum 75:2 (2007), 464–469.
- [28] O. Gutik and D. Repovš, Topological monoids of monotone injective partial selfmaps of N with cofinite domain and image, Stud. Sci. Math. Hung. 48:3 (2011), 342–353.
- [29] O. Gutik and D. Repovš, On monoids of monotone injective partial selfmaps of integers with cofinite domains and images, Georgian Math. J. 19:3 (2012), 511–532.
- [30] J. A. Hildebrant and R. J. Koch, Swelling actions of  $\Gamma$ -compact semigroups, Semigroup Forum **33**:1 (1986), 65–85.
- [31] R. J. Koch, On monothetic semigroups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 397–401.
- [32] M. Lawson, Inverse Semigroups. The Theory of Partial Symmetries, Singapore: World Scientific, 1998.
- [33] Z. Mesyan, J. D. Mitchell, M. Morayne, and Y. H. Péresse, Topological graph inverse semigroups, Topology Appl. 208 (2016), 106–126.
- [34] W. D. Munn, Uniform semilattices and bisimple inverse semigroups, Quart. J. Math. 17:1 (1966), 151–159.

- [35] M. Nivat and J.-F. Perrot, Une généralisation du monoide bicyclique, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A 271 (1970), 824–827.
- [36] M. Petrich, Inverse Semigroups, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984.
- [37] W. Ruppert, Compact Semitopological Semigroups: An Intrinsic Theory, Lect. Notes Math., 1079, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- [38] J. W. Stepp, Algebraic maximal semilattices. Pacific J. Math. 58:1 (1975), 243-248.

#### CONTACT INFORMATION

S. Bardyla,O. Gutik

Faculty of Mathematics, National University of Lviv, Universytetska 1, Lviv, 79000, Ukraine E-Mail(s): sbardyla@yahoo.com, o\_gutik@franko.lviv.ua, ovgutik@yahoo.com

Received by the editors: 29.01.2016 and in final form 16.02.2016.