

A note on Hall S -permutably embedded subgroups of finite groups

Darya A. Sinitsa

Communicated by A. Yu. Olshanskii

ABSTRACT. Let G be a finite group. Recall that a subgroup A of G is said to *permute* with a subgroup B if $AB = BA$. A subgroup A of G is said to be *S -quasinormal* or *S -permutable* in G if A permutes with all Sylow subgroups of G . Recall also that H^{sG} is the *S -permutable closure* of H in G , that is, the intersection of all such S -permutable subgroups of G which contain H . We say that H is *Hall S -permutably embedded in G* if H is a Hall subgroup of the S -permutable closure H^{sG} of H in G .

We prove that the following conditions are equivalent: (1) every subgroup of G is Hall S -permutably embedded in G ; (2) the nilpotent residual $G^{\mathfrak{N}}$ of G is a Hall cyclic of square-free order subgroup of G ; (3) $G = D \rtimes M$ is a split extension of a cyclic subgroup D of square-free order by a nilpotent group M , where M and D are both Hall subgroups of G .

Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite and G always denotes a finite group. The symbol $G^{\mathfrak{N}}$ denotes the *nilpotent residual* of G , that is, the intersection of all normal subgroups N of G with nilpotent quotient G/N .

2010 MSC: 20D10, 20D15, 20D30.

Key words and phrases: S -permutable subgroup, Hall S -permutably embedded subgroup, S -permutable closure, Sylow subgroup, supersoluble group, maximal subgroup.

Recall that a subgroup A of G is said to *permute* with a subgroup B if $AB = BA$. A subgroup A of G is said to be *S -quasinormal* or *S -permutable* in G if A permutes with all Sylow subgroups of G .

The S -permutable subgroups possess a series of interesting properties. For instance, the S -permutable subgroups of G form a sublattice of the lattice of all subnormal subgroups of G (Kegel [1]). This important property of S -permutable subgroups allows to introduce the concept of the S -permutable closure of a subgroup. The intersection of all such S -permutable subgroups of G which contain a subgroup H of G is called the *S -permutable closure of H in G* and denoted by H^{sG} (see Guo and Skiba [2]).

Recall also that a subgroup H of G is said to be a *Hall normally embedded subgroup* of G [3] if H is a Hall subgroup of the normal closure H^G of H in G . By analogy with it, we say that a subgroup H of G is called a *Hall S -permutably embedded subgroup* of G if H is a Hall subgroup of the S -permutable closure H^{sG} of H in G .

In the paper [4], Shirong Li and Jianjun Liu described groups G such that every subgroup of G is Hall normally embedded in G . Our main goal here is to prove the following generalization of this result.

Theorem 1. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *every subgroup of G is Hall S -permutably embedded in G ;*
- (2) *the nilpotent residual $G^{\mathfrak{N}}$ of G is a Hall cyclic of square-free order subgroup of G ;*
- (3) *$G = D \rtimes M$ is a split extension of a cyclic subgroup D of square-free order by a nilpotent group M , where M and D are both Hall subgroups of G .*

Corollary 1 (Shirong Li and Jianjun Liu [4, Theorem 3.4]). *Every subgroup of G is Hall normally embedded in G if and only if $G = D \rtimes M$ is a split extension of a cyclic subgroup D of square-free order by a Dedekind group M , where M and D are both Hall subgroups of G .*

Proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1

We will need a few facts about S -permutable subgroups.

Lemma 1 (see Kegel [1] or [5, Theorem 1.2.14]). *Let $H \leq K \leq G$.*

- (1) *If H is S -permutable in G , then H is S -permutable in K .*
- (2) *Suppose that H is normal in G . Then K/H is S -permutable in G/H if and only if K is S -permutable in G .*

(3) If H is S -permutable in G , then H is subnormal in G .

We write $H^{\cdot G}$ to denote the *subnormal closure* of H in G , that is, the intersection of all subnormal subgroups of G which contain H (see [6, A, Definition 14.13]).

A subgroup H of G is called a *Hall subnormally embedded subgroup* of G [4, Definition 1.4] if H is a Hall subgroup of the subnormal closure $H^{\cdot G}$ of H in G . We need also some properties of Hall subnormally embedded subgroups (see [4, Theorem 3.3]).

Lemma 2. *If every subgroup of G is Hall subnormally embedded in G , then the following statements hold:*

- (1) $G = D \rtimes M$, where $D = G^{\mathfrak{N}}$ is the nilpotent residual of G ;
- (2) D and M are Hall subgroups of G ;
- (3) M acts irreducibly on each Sylow subgroup of D .

Lemma 3. (1) *If H is a Hall S -permutably embedded subgroup of G , then H is a Hall subnormally embedded subgroup of G .*

- (2) *If H is a Hall normally embedded subgroup of G , then H is a Hall S -permutably embedded subgroup of G .*

Proof. (1) Since every S -permutable subgroup of G is a subnormal subgroup of G by Lemma 1(3), $H^{\cdot G} \leq H^{sG}$. Moreover, H is a Hall subgroup of H^{sG} by hypothesis, so H is a Hall subgroup of $H^{\cdot G}$.

- (2) See the proof of (1). □

Lemma 4 (see Deskins [7] or [5, Theorem 1.2.14]). *If the subgroup H of G is S -permutable in G , then H/H_G is nilpotent.*

Lemma 5 (see [8, Lemma 2.4]). *Let H be a Hall S -permutably embedded subgroup of G . Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *if $H \leq K \leq G$, then H is Hall S -permutably embedded in K ;*
- (2) *if $N \triangleleft G$, then HN/N is Hall S -permutably embedded in G/N .*

Lemma 6. *Let $G = D \rtimes M$, where D is a Hall cyclic of square-free order subgroup of G and M is a nilpotent (respectively Dedekind) subgroup of G . Then every subgroup of G is Hall S -permutably embedded (respectively Hall normally embedded) in G .*

Proof. Let H be a subgroup of G . Let $D_1 = H \cap D$. Clearly, D_1 is a Hall subgroup of D and D_1 has a complement D_2 in D .

Since $M \simeq G/D$ is nilpotent (respectively Dedekind), all subgroups of G/D are S -permutable (respectively normal) in G/D . Then DH/D is

S -permutable (respectively normal) in G/D . Hence by Lemma 1(2), DH is S -permutable (respectively normal) in G . Therefore $H \leq H^{sG} \leq DH$ (respectively $H \leq H^G \leq DH$).

Now we show that H is a Hall subgroup of H^{sG} (respectively of H^G). Since

$$|DH : H| = \frac{|D_1D_2H|}{|H|} = \frac{|D_2H|}{|H|} = \frac{|D_2||H|}{|D_2 \cap H||H|} = |D_2|,$$

$(|H|, |DH : H|) = 1$. Thus H is a Hall subgroup of DH , therefore H is a Hall subgroup of H^{sG} (respectively of H^G). Hence H is Hall S -permutable embedded (respectively Hall normally embedded) in G . \square

Lemma 7 (see [5, Theorem 1.2.16]). *Let H be a p -subgroup of G , where p is a prime. Then H is S -permutable in G if and only if*

$$O^p(G) \leq N_G(H).$$

Now we are in position to proof the main result.

Proof. Let $D = G^{\mathfrak{N}}$.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Assume that this is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order.

(a) If N is a minimal normal subgroup of G , then the hypothesis holds for G/N and so Condition (2) is true for G/N .

Let H/N be any subgroup of G/N . Then H is Hall S -permutably embedded in G by hypothesis. Hence H/N is Hall S -permutably embedded in G/N by Lemma 5(2). Therefore the hypothesis holds for G/N . In view of

$$|G/N| < |G|,$$

the choice of G implies that Condition (2) is true for G/N .

(b) G is soluble.

Assume that this is false. Claim (a) implies that G/N is soluble for every minimal normal subgroup N of G , so N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G , N is non-abelian and $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$. Let X be a maximal subgroup of G such that $N \not\leq X$. Then $G = NX$.

Let p be a prime dividing the order of G . Then there exist a Sylow p -subgroup N_p of N and a Sylow p -subgroup X_p of X such that $P = N_pX_p$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of G . We have that either $P = X_p$ and then X contains a Sylow p -subgroup of G or there exists a maximal subgroup K of P such that X_p is contained in K . Suppose the second possibility

is true. By hypothesis, K is Hall S -permutably embedded in G . Hence we can find a subgroup B of G such that B is S -permutable in G and K is a Sylow p -subgroup of B . Assume that $B_G \neq 1$. Then N is contained in B and so $P = X_p N_p$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of B . Hence $|K| = |P|$, a contradiction. Hence $B_G = 1$. Then B is a nilpotent group by Lemma 4. Moreover, B is subnormal in G by Lemma 1(3). This implies that B is contained in $F(G)$. But $F(G) = 1$ because N is non-abelian. Hence $K = 1$ and so $N_p = P$ is a cyclic group of order p .

Thus we have that if p divides the order of G , then either X contains a Sylow p -subgroup of G or N contains a Sylow p -subgroup of G . In the second case, this Sylow p -subgroup should be cyclic of order p .

Denote $\pi = \pi(N)$. Since G/N is supersoluble by Claim (a), it follows that

$$G/N = XN/N \cong X/(N \cap X)$$

is supersoluble. In particular, $X/(N \cap X)$ is soluble. Let H be a subgroup of G such that $H/(N \cap X)$ is a Hall π -subgroup of $X/N \cap X$. Suppose that NH is a proper subgroup of G . Then by Lemma 5(1) the hypothesis of the theorem holds for NH . By the minimal choice of G , it follows that NH is supersoluble, a contradiction. Hence we have $G = NH$ and G is a π -group. Suppose that for each prime $p \in \pi$, the Sylow p -subgroups of N are Sylow p -subgroups of G . Then $G = N$ and, by the above argument, every Sylow subgroup of G is cyclic. By [9, IV, Theorem 2.9], G is soluble, a contradiction. Therefore there is $q \in \pi$ such that a Sylow q -subgroup N_q of N is not a Sylow q -subgroup of G . Then arguing as above we get a contradiction. Thus G is soluble.

(c) G is supersoluble.

Assume that this is false. Then, since the class of all supersoluble groups is a saturated formation, Claim (a) implies that G has a unique minimal normal subgroup, say N , and $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$. Moreover, since G is soluble by Claim (b),

$$N = O_p(G) = C_G(N)$$

is a non-cyclic abelian p -group for some prime p by [6, A, Theorem 15.2]. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G containing N . Let A be a maximal subgroup of P not containing N . Since A is Hall S -permutably embedded in G , we can find an S -permutable subgroup of G , say B , such that A is a Sylow p -subgroup of B . From the fact that N is not contained in A , we have $B_G = 1$. Hence, by Lemma 4, B is nilpotent and so B is contained in $F(G) = N$ in view of subnormality of B in G . Therefore $A = B$ and

$P = N$. Since A is S -permutable in G , $Op(G) \leq N_G(A)$ by Lemma 7. Hence A is normal in G . But $P = N$ is a minimal normal subgroup of G . Thus $A = 1$ and $|P| = p$. This contradiction shows that G is supersoluble.

Final contradiction. Since G is supersoluble, D is nilpotent. Moreover, by Lemmas 2(1)(2) and 3(1), D is a Hall subgroup of G .

Since D is nilpotent, each Sylow subgroup of D is normal in D and therefore each Sylow subgroup of D is characteristic in D . Hence each Sylow subgroup of D is normal in G . Let $V \neq 1$ be a Sylow subgroup of D and let R be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in V . Since M acts irreducibly on each Sylow subgroup of D by Lemmas 2(3) and 3(1), $R = V$. Therefore, since G is supersoluble, $|R| = |V|$ is a prime. Hence D is a cyclic group of square-free order.

(2) \Rightarrow (3) Since D is a Hall subgroup of G , D has a complement M in G by the Schur-Zassenhaus theorem. Finally, since

$$M \simeq G/D = G/G^{\mathfrak{A}},$$

M is a Hall nilpotent subgroup of G .

(3) \Rightarrow (1) This directly follows from Lemma 6.

The theorem is proved. \square

Finally, we prove Corollary 1.

Proof. Necessity. In view of Lemma 3(2), Theorem 1 and [5, Theorem 1.4], it is enough to show that G is a T -group. Let H be a subnormal subgroup of G . Then H is subnormal in H^G by [6, A, Theorem 14.8]. Then, since H is a Hall subgroup of H^G by hypothesis, H is characteristic in H^G . Hence H is a normal subgroup of G , so G is a T -group.

Sufficiency. This directly follows from Lemma 6.

The corollary is proved. \square

Acknowledgment

The author is very grateful for the helpful suggestions and remarks of the referee.

References

- [1] O. Kegel, Sylow-Gruppen and Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen, *Math. Z.* **78** (1962), 205–221.
- [2] W. Guo, A.N. Skiba, Finite groups with given s -embedded and n -embedded subgroups, *Journal of Algebra* **321** (2009), 2843–2860.

-
- [3] S.R. Li, J. He, G.P. Nong, L.Q. Zhou, On Hall normally embedded subgroups of finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* **37** (9) (2009), 3360–3367.
 - [4] S.R. Li, J.J. Liu, On Hall subnormally embedded and generalized nilpotent groups, *Journal of Algebra* **388** (2013), 1–9.
 - [5] A. Ballester-Bolínches, R. Esteban-Romero, M. Asaad, *Products of Finite Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 2010.
 - [6] K. Doerk, T. Hawkes, *Finite Soluble Groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1992.
 - [7] W.E. Deskins, On quasinormal subgroups of finite groups, *Math. Z.* **82** (1963), 125–132.
 - [8] J. Lio, S. Li, CLT-groups with Hall S -quasinormally embedded subgroups, *Ukr. Math. Journal* **66** (2014), 1281–1287.
 - [9] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1967.

CONTACT INFORMATION

D. A. Sinitsa Department of Mathematics, Francisk Skorina
Gomel State University, Sovetskaya str., 104,
Gomel, 246019, Republic of Belarus
E-Mail(s): lindela@mail.ru

Received by the editors: 26.01.2016
and in final form 05.12.2016.