Chem. Met. Alloys 8 (2015) 112-122 Ivan Franko National University of Lviv www.chemetal-journal.org

# The ternary system Sm–Ga–Sn: isothermal section of the phase diagram at 600°C and crystal structures of the compounds

Yaroslav TOKAYCHUK1\*

Received December 8, 2015; accepted December 30, 2015; available on-line September 19, 2016

The isothermal section at  $600^{\circ}\text{C}$  of the phase diagram of the ternary system Sm–Ga–Sn was constructed using X-ray powder diffraction data. Limited solid solutions based on the binary compounds SmSn<sub>3</sub> (30 at.% Ga), Sm<sub>5</sub>Ga<sub>3</sub> (13.3 at.% Sn), Sm<sub>5</sub>Sn<sub>3</sub> (4 at.% Ga), and SmGa (3 at.% Sn) were observed. Three ternary compounds were found at  $600^{\circ}\text{C}$ : Sm<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>0.80-2.48</sub>Sn<sub>4.20-2.52</sub> (ternary variant of the structure type Pu<sub>3</sub>Pd<sub>5</sub>, Pearson symbol oS32, space group Cmcm, a = 9.97522(18)-9.89433(18), b = 8.02642(16)-7.87246(16), c = 10.23304(19)-9.91703(19) Å), Sm<sub>11</sub>Ga<sub>2.30</sub>Sn<sub>7,70</sub> (ternary variant of the structure type Ho<sub>11</sub>Ge<sub>10</sub>, tI84, I4/mmm, a = 11.5876(4), c = 17.3089(5) Å), and Sm<sub>5</sub>Ga<sub>0.76</sub>Sn<sub>2.24</sub> (structure type Nb<sub>5</sub>SiSn<sub>2</sub>, ternary variant of W<sub>5</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>, tI32, tI/mcm, a = 12.1881(14), c = 6.0919(7) Å). The ternary phases are characterized by partial ordering of the Ga and Sn atoms.

Samarium / Gallium / Tin / Phase diagram / X-ray powder diffraction / Crystal structure / Solid solution

#### Introduction

The ternary systems R-Ga-Sn (R = rare-earth metal) have not yet been systematically investigated. In the systems with Tb and Dy, several ternary compounds with close-packed structures, forming along the line with 25 at.% R in the concentration triangle, have been reported: TbGa<sub>2,86</sub>Sn<sub>0,14</sub> (structure type Mg<sub>3</sub>In, Person symbol hR48, space group R-3m, a = 6.2224, c = 27.908 Å) [1], TbGa<sub>2.64</sub>Sn<sub>0.36</sub> (own type, hR72, R3m, a = 6.2404, c = 43.011 Å) [2], DyGa<sub>2.74</sub>Sn<sub>0.26</sub>  $(TbGa_{2.64}Sn_{0.36},$ hR72, R3m, c = 42.6992 Å) [3], DyGa<sub>2.5-0.8</sub>Sn<sub>0.5-2.2</sub> (Cu<sub>3</sub>Au, cP4, Pm-3m, a = 4.3283-4.56990 Å) [4]. The structures of  $TbGa_{2.86}Sn_{0.14},\ TbGa_{2.64}Sn_{0.36},\ and\ DyGa_{2.74}Sn_{0.26}\ are$ characterized by partial ordering of Ga and Sn atoms. Two Cu<sub>3</sub>Au-type ternary phases have been reported in the Ho–Ga–Sn system [5]:  $HoGa_{2.80-2.32}Sn_{0.20-0.68}$ (a = 4.259 - 4.325 Å)and  $HoGa_{1.80-0.88}Sn_{1.20-2.12}$ (a = 4.415 - 4.564 Å).Equiatomic YPtAs-type (hP12, P63/mmc) ternary compounds have been reported for the systems with Eu and Yb (a = 4.5243, c = 18.067 Åfor EuGaSn [6]; a = 4.4352, c = 17.291 Å for YbGaSn [7]). In the system Sm–Ga– Sn the crystal structure of a ternary compound of variable composition  $Sm_{3}Ga_{0.80\mbox{-}2.48}Sn_{4.20\mbox{-}2.52}$  has been reported [8]. Its structure belongs to an original (own) structure type (oS32, Cmcm, a = 9.97552-9.89433, b=8.02642-7.87246, c=10.23304-9.91703 Å), which is a ternary derivative of the binary structure type Pu<sub>3</sub>Pd<sub>5</sub> [9]. The structure remains partially ordered in the whole homogeneity range. Recently, the crystal structure of an isotypic compound with Dy was reported, Dy<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>2.54</sub>Sn<sub>2.46</sub> (a=9.7300, b=7.7081, c=9.7985 Å) [10].

The binary systems that delimit the ternary system Sm–Ga–Sn are well studied and the phase diagrams have been constructed in the whole concentration range [ $^1$ I], even if the formation of Sm<sub>2</sub>Sn<sub>3</sub> remains unclear. Crystallographic data of the binary compounds reported in the systems Sm–Ga and Sm–Sn are summarized in Table 1. The system Ga–Sn is characterized by a eutectic reaction at 8.4 at.% Sn and 20.5°C. The maximal solubility of Ga in  $\beta$ -Sn at 13°C is 6.4 at.%. At the temperature of investigation (600°C) both Ga and Sn are liquid, *i.e.* a continuous liquid region will be observed on the Ga–Sn side of the isothermal section of the phase diagram of the system Sm–Ga–Sn.

This work presents the results of an experimental investigation of the phase equilibria in the ternary system Sm–Ga–Sn at  $600^{\circ}$ C and the crystallographic parameters of two new ternary compounds,  $Sm_{11}Ga_{2.30}Sn_{7.70}$  and  $Sm_5Ga_{0.76}Sn_{2.24}$ , derived from X-ray powder diffraction.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, Kyryla i Mefodiya St. 6, 79005 Lviv, Ukraine

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +380-32-2394506; e-mail: tokaychuk@mail.lviv.ua

**Table 1** Crystallographic data for the binary compounds of the systems Sm-Ga and Sm-Sn.

Compound	Structure	Pearson	Space group	C	Cell parameters,	Å	Reference
Compound	type	symbol	Space group	а	b	С	Reference
Sm <sub>9</sub> Ga <sub>4</sub>	Sm <sub>9</sub> Ga <sub>4</sub>	tI26	<i>I</i> 4/ <i>m</i>	11.940	_	5.081	[12]
$Sm_5Ga_3$	$Cr_5B_3$	<i>tI</i> 32	I4/mcm	7.796	_	14.280	[13]
$Sm_5Ga_3$	Ba <sub>5</sub> Si <sub>3</sub>	<i>tP</i> 32	P4/ncc	7.8026	_	14.1880	[14]
$Sm_3Ga_2$	$Gd_3Ga_2$	<i>tI</i> 80	I4/mcm	11.713	_	15.17	[15]
SmGa	TlI	oS8	Стст	4.385	11.120	4.145	[16]
$SmGa_2$	$AlB_2$	hP3	P6/mmm	4.235	-	4.183	[17]
$Sm_{0.92}Ga_2$	$Sm_{0.92}Ga_2$	oS6	Cmmm	4.2484	7.3648	4.1856	[18]
$Sm_{0.86}Ga_{2.41}$	$Sm_{0.86}Ga_{2.41}$	hP15	P312	7.424	-	4.2176	[19]
$Sm_{1.06}Ga_{2.83}$	$Sm_{1.06}Ga_{2.83}$	hP66	P-62c	12.861	_	8.4402	[19]
$SmGa_{3.64}$	SmGa <sub>3.64</sub>	oF140	Fmmm	8.493	14.912	17.08	[19]
$SmGa_6$	PuGa <sub>6</sub>	<i>tP</i> 14	P4/nbm	5.963	-	7.608	[20]
Sm <sub>5</sub> Sn <sub>3</sub>	Mn <sub>5</sub> Si <sub>3</sub>	hP16	P6 <sub>3</sub> /mcm	9.089	-	6.61	[21]
$Sm_4Sn_3$	$Th_3P_4$	cI28	I-43d	9.15	_	_	[22]
$Sm_5Sn_4$	$Sm_5Ge_4$	oP36	Pnma	8.19	15.81	8.19	[22]
$Sm_{11}Sn_{10}$	$Ho_{11}Ge_{10}$	<i>tI</i> 84	I4/mmm	11.76	_	17.32	[23]
Cm. Cn	Nd Co	aP20	P-1	6.337	8.351	10.995	[24]
$Sm_2Sn_3$	$Nd_2Sn_3$	aP 20	P-1	$\alpha = 108.38^{\circ}$	$\beta = 96.51^{\circ}$	$y = 99.99^{\circ}$	[24]
$SmSn_2$	ZrGa <sub>2</sub>	oS12	Cmmm	4.4203	15.8399	4.5054	[25]
$Sm_3Sn_7$	$Tb_3Sn_7$	oS28	Cmmm	4.4468	25.9918	4.5229	[25]
$Sm_2Sn_5$	$Ce_2Sn_5$	oS28	Cmmm	4.5379	34.9123	4.5861	[25]
CmCn	Cu Au	cP4	<i>Pm-3m</i>	4.6866			[26]
SmSn <sub>3</sub>	Cu <sub>3</sub> Au	CP4	rm-sm	4.6873(2)	_	_	this work

# **Experimental**

14 two-component and 81 three-component alloys synthesized from high-purity  $(Sm \ge 99.9 \text{ mass}\%,$  $Ga \ge 99.99 \text{ mass}\%$ ,  $Sn \ge 99.99$  mass%) by arc melting, using a tungsten electrode and a water-cooled copper hearth under a Ti-gettered argon atmosphere. To homogeneity the samples were melted twice. After the synthesis the alloys were wrapped in tantalum foil, sealed in quartz ampoules under vacuum, and annealed at 600°C for 720 h. Finally the ampoules with the samples were quenched into cold water. The weight losses, which were controlled at all stages of the synthesis, did not exceed 1 wt.% of the total mass, which was approximately 1 g for each alloy.

Phase analysis and structure refinements were carried out using X-ray powder diffraction data collected at room temperature on automatic (DRON-2.0M, diffractometers Fe  $K\alpha$ -radiation; Philips PW1280, Cu Kα-radiation; STOE Stadi P, Bruker Cu  $K\alpha_1$ -radiation; D8Advance, Cu  $K\alpha_1$ -radiation). The profile and parameters were refined by the Rietveld method, using the program package FullProf Suite [27]. In some cases the profile parameters were refined by a Le Bail fit (profile fit).

#### Results

The binary systems

By comparing the experimental X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the two-component alloys with patterns calculated for the binary compounds reported in the same system, the existence of 13 binaries at 600°C in the boundary binary systems Sm-Ga and Sm-Sn was confirmed: Sm<sub>9</sub>Ga<sub>4</sub>, Sm<sub>5</sub>Ga<sub>3</sub> (structure type  $Ba_5Si_3$ ),  $Sm_3Ga_2$ , SmGa,  $SmGa_2$ ,  $Sm_5Sn_3$ ,  $Sm_4Sn_3$ ,  $Sm_5Sn_4$ ,  $Sm_2Sn_3$ ,  $SmSn_2$ ,  $Sm_3Sn_7$ ,  $Sm_2Sn_5$ , and SmSn<sub>3</sub>. The binary compounds SmGa<sub>6</sub> and  $Sm_{11}Sn_{10}$ , reported in the literature, exist at lower and higher temperatures, respectively. The results obtained here are in good agreement with the phase diagrams of the binary systems evaluated in [11]. The only binary phase displaying a homogeneity range in the phase diagram, SmGa<sub>2+x</sub> (66.7-80.0 at.% Ga at 600°C), was recently described as a number of separate phases with different structure types:  $SmGa_2$ ,  $Sm_{0.92}Ga_2$ ,  $Sm_{0.86}Ga_{2.41}$ ,  $Sm_{1.06}Ga_{2.83}$ , and  $SmGa_{3.64}$  [19]. These structures form by gradual substitution of Ga atoms for Sm atoms in the parent AlB<sub>2</sub>-type structure, which exists at the stoichiometric composition SmGa<sub>2</sub>. The similarity of their X-ray powder diffraction patterns makes it difficult to distinguish them, and the group of phases will be referred to as  $SmGa_{2+x}$  below.

Isothermal section of the phase diagram of the system Sm–Ga–Sn at 600°C

The isothermal section of the phase diagram of the ternary system Sm-Ga-Sn at 600°C was constructed (Fig. 1). It consists of 18 single-phase, 36 two-phase and 19 three-phase fields. The binary phase SmGa<sub>2+r</sub> forms the largest number of equilibria (7). At 600°C, the alloys of the binary system Ga-Sn are liquid. The boundary of the liquid phase in the ternary system was interpolated from its limits in the binary systems: 4.5 at.% in the system Sm-Ga and 3.7 at.% in the system Sm-Sn. Two phases, SmGa2+x and the solid solution based on SmSn<sub>3</sub>, are in equilibrium with the liquid phase. Due to the high instability of the samples 40-55 at.% the concentration range 0-10 at.% Ga, 35-60 at.% Sn in air, it was complicated to obtain X-ray powder diffraction patterns suitable for phase analysis. Therefore, the phase equilibria are drawn by dashed lines in this part of the isothermal

Two of the binary compounds,  $SmSn_3$  (structure type  $Cu_3Au$ ) and  $Sm_5Ga_3$  ( $Ba_5Si_3$ ), dissolve significant amounts of the third component, forming extended (up to 30 at.% Ga and 13.3 at.% Sn, respectively) solid solutions with constant Sm contents. The solubility of the third component in the other binary compounds of

the systems Sm–Ga and Sm–Sn does not exceed 4 at.%. Three ternary compounds were found in the system Sm–Ga–Sn at 600°C. The compound Sm $_3$ Ga $_{0.80-2.48}$ Sn $_{4.20-2.52}$  has a homogeneity range of 21 at.% Ga (Sn) at a constant Sm content of 37.5 at.%, whereas the compound Sm $_5$ Ga $_{0.76}$ Sn $_{2.24}$  has a point composition. The homogeneity range of the phase Sm $_{11}$ Ga $_{2.30}$ Sn $_{7.70}$  was not determined.

Solid solutions based on the binary compounds

The binary compound  $SmSn_3$  dissolves 30 at.% of Ga, forming a substitutional solid solution  $SmGa_{0-1.2}Sn_{3-1.8}$ . The cell parameters, derived from the Le Bail fit of the X-ray powder diffraction patterns from eight samples collected on a Bruker D8 diffractometer, are listed in Table 2. With increasing Ga content, the cell parameter of the cubic  $Cu_3Au$  phase decreases linearly within the solid solution.

The binary gallides  $Sm_5Ga_3$  and SmGa dissolve 13.3 and 3 at.% Sn, respectively, and the stannide  $Sm_5Sn_3$  4 at.% Ga. The boundary compositions of the solid solutions were determined by Rietveld refinements carried out on diffraction patterns collected with DRON-2.0M and Philips PW1280 diffractometers on multicomponent samples (Table 3).




Fig. 1 Isothermal section of the phase diagram of the ternary system Sm-Ga-Sn at 600°C.

**Table 2** Cell parameters of the solid solution  $SmGa_xSn_{3-x}$  (x = 0-1.2,  $Cu_3Au$ , cP4, Pm-3m).

Alloy	Phase	a, Å	V, Å <sup>3</sup>	$R_{\rm p},\chi^2$
$Sm_{25}Sn_{75}$	$SmSn_3$	4.6873(2)	102.982(8)	0.0460, 1.53
$\mathrm{Sm}_{25}\mathrm{Ga}_{5}\mathrm{Sn}_{70}$	$SmGa_{0.2}Sn_{2.8}$	4.66555(14)	101.557(5)	0.0468, 1.57
$Sm_{25}Ga_{10}Sn_{65}$	$SmGa_{0.4}Sn_{2.6}$	4.64007(10)	99.902(4)	0.0449, 1.78
$Sm_{25}Ga_{15}Sn_{60}$	$SmGa_{0.6}Sn_{2.4}$	4.61892(9)	98.542(3)	0.0446, 1.91
$Sm_{25}Ga_{20}Sn_{55}$	$SmGa_{0.8}Sn_{2.2}$	4.59327(10)	96.909(4)	0.0409, 1.84
$Sm_{25}Ga_{25}Sn_{50}$	$SmGaSn_2$	4.57841(13)	95.972(5)	0.0460, 2.27
$Sm_{25}Ga_{30}Sn_{45}$	$SmGa_{1.2}Sn_{1.8}$	4.55239(17)	94.345(6)	0.0509, 2.93
${\rm Sm}_{25}{\rm Ga}_{35}{\rm Sn}_{40}{}^{\rm a}$	$SmGa_{1.2}Sn_{1.8}$	4.55251(12)	94.352(4)	0.0421, 2.35

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Two-phase sample.

**Table 3** Atomic coordinates, site occupancies and isotropic displacement parameters at the boundary compositions of the solid solutions  $Sm_5Ga_{3-x}Sn_x$  (x = 0-1.15),  $SmGa_{1-x}Sn_x$  (x = 0-0.06), and  $Sm_5Ga_xSn_{3-x}$  (x = 0-0.33).

Site	Wyckoff position	x	у	z	$B_{\mathrm{iso}}$ , Å <sup>2</sup>		
Sm <sub>5</sub> Ga <sub>1.85(4)</sub> Sn <sub>1.15(4)</sub> (Ba <sub>5</sub>	$Si_3$ , $tP32$ , $P4/ncc$ ,	a = 8.0194(7), c	= 13.9435(18) Å,	$R_{\rm B} = 0.0671, R_{\rm F} = 0.0671$	= 0.0731)		
Sm1	16g	0.0872(15)	0.5838(15)	0.1032(2)	0.53(5)		
Sm2	4c	1/4	1/4	0.2403(11)	0.46(13)		
M1 (0.90(2)Ga+0.10(2)Sn)	8 <i>f</i>	0.3761(8)	0.6239(8)	1/4	0.94(13)		
M2 (0.13(4)Ga+0.87(4)Sn)	4c	1/4	1/4	0.0022(16)	0.34(14)		
SmGa <sub>0.94(2)</sub> Sn <sub>0.06(2)</sub> (TlI, oS8,	<i>Cmcm</i> , $a = 4.441$	1(14), b = 11.223	(2), c = 4.1687(14)	4) Å, $R_{\rm B} = 0.0846$	$R_{\rm F} = 0.0784$		
Sm	4 <i>c</i>	0	0.3597(7)	1/4	0.69(6)		
M(0.94(2)Ga+0.06(2)Sn)	4c	0	0.0747(9)	1/4	1.12(15)		
Sm <sub>5</sub> Ga <sub>0.33(6)</sub> Sn <sub>2.67(6)</sub> (Mn <sub>5</sub>	$Sm_5Ga_{0.33(6)}Sn_{2.67(6)}$ ( $Mn_5Si_3$ , $hP16$ , $P6_3/mcm$ , $a = 9.0713(6)$ , $c = 6.6083(5)$ Å, $R_B = 0.0739$ , $R_F = 0.0661$ )						
Sm1	6 <i>g</i>	0.2384(5)	0	1/4	0.58(4)		
Sm2	4d	1/3	2/3	0	0.49(6)		
M(0.11(2)Ga+0.89(2)Sn)	6 <i>g</i>	0.6068(6)	0	1/4	0.88(12)		

Rietveld refinements of the profile and structure parameters for the  $Sm_9Ga_4$ -,  $Gd_3Ga_2$ -,  $AlB_2$ -,  $Nd_2Sn_3$ -,  $ZrGa_2$ -,  $Tb_3Sn_7$ -, and  $Ce_2Sn_5$ -type phases in the three-component multiphase samples indicated no significant solubility of the third component in the binary compounds  $Sm_9Ga_4$ ,  $Sm_3Ga_2$ ,  $SmGa_{2+x}$ ,  $Sm_2Sn_3$ ,  $SmSn_2$ ,  $Sm_3Sn_7$ , and  $Sm_2Sn_5$ .

The ternary phase  $Sm_3Ga_{0.80-2.48}Sn_{4.20-2.52}$ 

The crystal structure of the ternary  $Sm_{3}Ga_{0.80\text{--}2.48}Sn_{4.20\text{--}2.52} \quad was \quad solved \quad from \quad X\text{--ray}$ diffraction on a single crystal of composition Sm<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>1.89</sub>Sn<sub>3.11</sub> and reported in [8]. It represents an original structure type (oS32, Cmcm, a = 9.9680(13), b = 7.9720(17), c = 10.056(2) Å), which is a ternary variant of the structure type Pu<sub>3</sub>Pd<sub>5</sub>. The structure is characterized by partial ordering of Ga and Sn atoms on three crystallographic sites: the site in Wyckoff position 8g is mainly occupied by Sn atoms, while the sites in 8f and 4c are occupied by statistical mixtures of Ga and Sn atoms. The evolution of the site occupancies within the homogeneity range of the compound was studied by Rietveld refinement on X-ray powder diffraction data obtained for eight Within homogeneity samples. the range


 ${
m Sm_3Ga_{0.80\text{-}2.48}Sn_{4.20\text{-}2.52}}$ , substitution of Ga atoms for Sn atoms leads to a decrease of the cell parameters (a=9.97522(18)-9.89433(18), b=8.02642(16)-7.87246(16), c=10.23304(19)-9.91703(19) Å) and to an increase of the amount of Ga atoms in only two (8f and 4c) of the three sites occupied by Ga and Sn atoms. The third site (8g) is occupied almost exclusively by Sn atoms in the whole concentration range.

The ternary compound  $Sm_{11}Ga_{2,30}Sn_{7,70}$ 

The crystal structure of the ternary phase  $Sm_{11}Ga_{2.30(6)}Sn_{7.70(6)}$  was refined by the Rietveld method using X-ray powder diffraction data for a two-phase sample of composition  $Sm_{50}Ga_{20}Sn_{30}$  collected on a diffractometer Bruker D8 Advance (Cu  $K\alpha_1$ -radiation) in the angular range  $2\theta=16$ - $110^\circ$  with a step size of  $0.0144^\circ$  and scanning time of 6 s per step. According to the phase analysis the sample contained two phases: a main phase with a  $Ho_{11}Ge_{10}$ -type related structure and the  $AlB_2$ -type binary gallide  $SmGa_2$ . Atomic coordinates for the structure type  $Ho_{11}Ge_{10}$  [28], to which the structure of the binary compound  $Sm_{11}Sn_{10}$  belongs [23], were chosen as starting model for the refinement of the structural parameters of the

main phase. In the beginning it was assumed that all the five sites available for the p-element atoms were occupied by statistical mixtures of Ga and Sn atoms. However, the refinement of the occupancy parameters suggested partial ordering: statistical mixtures of Ga and Sn atoms occupy only two of the sites (Wyckoff positions 8j and 8h), whereas the three other sites (16m, 4e and 4d) are exclusively occupied by Sn atoms. In the final refinement cycles two overall isotropic displacement parameters were refined: one for the Sm atoms and another one for the p-element atoms. In total, 30 parameters were refined for the two phases: sample shift, 2 scale factors, 4 cell parameters,

6 profile parameters (pseudo-Voigt profile function), 11 atom positional, 2 displacement, 2 occupancies and 2 texture parameters. The background was defined using a Fourier filtering technique. Experimental and calculated X-ray powder diffraction patterns and the difference between them for the sample of nominal composition Sm<sub>50</sub>Ga<sub>20</sub>Sn<sub>30</sub> are shown in Fig. 2. Experimental details and crystallographic data for the individual phases are listed in Table 4, atomic coordinates, site occupancies and isotropic displacement parameters for the structure of Sm<sub>11</sub>Ga<sub>2.30(6)</sub>Sn<sub>7.70(6)</sub> in Table 5, interatomic distances and coordination numbers in Table 6.



**Fig. 2** Experimental (circles), calculated (continuous line) and difference between experimental and calculated (bottom) X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the sample  $Sm_{50}Ga_{20}Sn_{30}$  (Cu  $Kα_1$ -radiation). Vertical bars indicate the positions of the reflections of  $Sm_{11}Ga_{2,30(6)}Sn_{7,70(6)}$  and  $SmGa_2$ .

**Table 4** Experimental details and crystallographic data for the individual phases in the samples  $Sm_{50}Ga_{20}Sn_{30}$  and  $Sm_{62} {}_5Ga_{11}Sn_{26} {}_5$ .

Sample		$\mathrm{Sm}_{50}\mathrm{G}$	$a_{20}Sn_{30}$	Sm <sub>62.5</sub> Ga <sub>11</sub> Sn <sub>26.5</sub>
Phase		Sm <sub>11</sub> Ga <sub>2.30(6)</sub> Sn <sub>7.70(6)</sub>	$SmGa_2$	$Sm_5Ga_{0.76(3)}Sn_{2.24(3)}$
Content, mass%		84.8(6)	15.2(1)	100
Structure type		$\mathrm{Ho_{11}Ge_{10}}$	$AlB_2$	$Nb_5SiSn_2$
Pearson symbol		<i>tI</i> 84	hP3	<i>tI</i> 32
Space group		I4/mmm	P6/mmm	I4/mcm
Cell parameters:	a, Å	11.5876(4)	4.24014(15)	12.1881(14)
_	c, Å	17.3089(5)	4.1822(2)	6.0919(7)
Cell volume $V$ , $Å^3$		2324.11(12)	65.117(5)	904.96(18)
Formula units per cell Z		4	1	4
Density $D_X$ , g cm <sup>-3</sup>		7.801	7.391	7.859
Preferred orientation: value /	[direction]	0.967(2) / [001]	0.935(11) / [110]	0.775(5)
Reliability factors:	$R_{ m B}$	0.0519	0.0510	0.0416
-	$R_F$	0.0452	0.0446	0.0527
Profile parameters	U	0.07	0.077(16)	
	V	0.01	0.011(14)	
	W	0.012	0.019(3)	
Shape parameter		0.715	0.800(10)	
Asymmetry parameters		-0.048(12),	0.098(7), 0.0250(13)	
Reliability factors: $R_{\rm p}$		0.0146		0.0199
	$R_{\text{wp}}$	0.0	0.0253	
	$\chi^2$	1.3	33	1.10

Table 5 Atomic coordinates, site occupancies and isotropic displacement parameters for Sm<sub>11</sub>Ga<sub>2.30(6)</sub>Sn<sub>7.70(6)</sub> (tI84, I4/mmm, a = 11.5876(4), c = 17.3089(5) Å).


Site	Wyckoff position	x	у	z	$B_{\rm iso}$ , $Å^2$
Sm1	16n	0	0.2512(2)	0.31094(18)	
Sm2	16 <i>n</i>	0	0.3244(3)	0.09931(19)	0.65(4)
Sm3	8h	0.3174(3)	0.3174(3)	0	0.65(4)
Sm4	4e	0	0	0.1598(3)	
M1 (0.42(2)Ga+0.58(2)Sn)	8 <i>j</i>	0.1380(5)	1/2	0	
M2 (0.73(2)Ga+0.27(2)Sn)	8h	0.1202(5)	0.1202(5)	0	
Sn1	16 <i>m</i>	0.2076(2)	0.2076(2)	0.1759(2)	0.66(6)
Sn2	4e	0	0	0.3940(5)	
Sn3	4d	0	1/2	1/4	

**Table 6** Interatomic distances ( $\delta$ ) and coordination numbers (CN) in the structure of Sm<sub>11</sub>Ga<sub>2,30(6)</sub>Sn<sub>7,70(6)</sub>

(tI84, I4/mmm, a = 11.5876(4), c = 17.3089(5) Å).

Atoms	$\frac{1.3876(4), c = 17.39}{\delta, \text{Å}}$	CN	Atoms	$\delta$ , Å	CN
Sm1 - 1 Sn3	3.070(2)		M1 - 2  Sm3	2.966(5)	
- 1 Sn2	3.246(5)		- 4 Sm2	3.107(4)	
$-2 \operatorname{Sn1}$	3.393(4)		-1 M1	3.198(8)	9
$-2 \operatorname{Sn1}$	3.429(2)		- 2 Sm1	3.515(3)	
-1 M1	3.515(3)	1.5	M2 - 2 M2	2.786(8)	
- 1 Sm2	3.760(5)	15	– 1 Sm3	3.230(7)	
- 2 Sm2	3.856(4)		- 4 Sm2	3.239(6)	10
− 1 Sm4	3.914(4)		- 2 Sn1	3.363(4)	12
-2  Sm3	3.978(4)		− 2 Sm4	3.396(5)	
-2  Sm1	4.116(2)		-1 M2	3.940(8)	
Sm2 - 2 Sn1	3.061(3)		Sn1 - 1 Sn1	2.920(5)	
-2 M1	3.107(4)		-2  Sm2	3.061(3)	
-2 M2	3.239(6)		-1 M2	3.363(4)	
- 1 Sn3	3.308(3)		− 2 Sm1	3.393(4)	10
- 1 Sm2	3.438(5)	15	– 1 Sm4	3.413(2)	
- 1 Sm1	3.760(5)	15	− 2 Sm1	3.429(2)	
-2  Sm1	3.856(4)		– 1 Sm3	3.534(4)	
- 1 Sm4	3.902(4)		Sn2 - 4 Sm1	3.246(5)	
-2  Sm3	4.060(3)		– 4 Sm3	3.511(5)	10
- 1 Sm2	4.070(5)		- 1 Sn2	3.669(12)	10
Sm3 $-2M1$	2.966(5)		– 1 Sm4	4.054(10)	
-1 M2	3.230(7)		Sn3 - 4 Sm1	3.070(2)	0
$-2 \operatorname{Sn2}$	3.511(5)		- 4 Sm2	3.308(3)	8
-2 Sn1	3.534(4)	17			
-4  Sm1	3.978(4)				
-4  Sm2	4.060(3)				
- 2 Sm3	4.234(5)				
Sm4 – 4 <i>M</i> 2	3.396(5)				
- 4 Sn1	3.413(2)				
- 4 Sm2	3.902(4)	17			
-4  Sm1	3.914(4)				
- 1 Sn2	4.054(10)				

The crystal structure of  $Sm_{11}Ga_{2.30(6)}Sn_{7.70(6)}$ belongs to the structure type Ho<sub>11</sub>Ge<sub>10</sub> (tI84, I4/mmm) and is a Ga-stabilized form of the binary compound Sm<sub>11</sub>Sn<sub>10</sub>, which exists at higher temperatures (1170-1240°C). The cell parameters of the ternary phase are smaller than those of the corresponding binary compound, thereby confirming partial replacement of Sn atoms by Ga atoms. The unit cell content and the coordination polyhedra of the atoms in the structure of  $Sm_{11}Ga_{2.30(6)}Sn_{7.70(6)}$  are shown in Fig. 3. The polyhedra are similar to those observed in the parent structure type Ho<sub>11</sub>Ge<sub>10</sub>. The Sm atoms center 15- or



 $\textbf{Fig. 3} \ \ \text{Unit cell content and coordination polyhedra of the atoms in the structure of } Sm_{11}Ga_{2.30(6)}Sn_{7.70(6)}.$ 


17-vertex polyhedra: 5-capped pentagonal prisms  $\underline{Sm1}MSn_6Sm_8$ , 15-vertex Frank-Kasper polyhedra  $\underline{Sm2}M_4Sn_3Sm_8$ , and 7-capped pentagonal prisms  $\underline{Sm3}M_3Sn_4Sm_{10}$  or  $\underline{Sm4}M_4Sn_5Sm_8$ . The sites M1 and M2, occupied by statistical mixtures of Ga and Sn atoms, are surrounded by tricapped trigonal prisms,  $\underline{M1}Sm_8M$ , and icosahedra,  $\underline{M2}Sn_2Sm_7M_3$ , respectively. The Sn atoms are characterized by square-antiprismatic coordination: square antiprisms with the square faces capped by additional atoms:  $\underline{Sn1}Sm_8MSn$  and  $\underline{Sn2}Sm_9Sn$ , and square antiprisms  $\underline{Sn3}Sm_8$ .

## The ternary compound $Sm_5Ga_{0.76}Sn_{2.24}$

A new ternary compound with the point composition  $Sm_5Ga_{0.76(3)}Sn_{2.24(3)}$  was found in the quasi-binary system  $Sm_5Ga_3$ – $Sm_5Sn_3$ . Its crystal structure was determined by means of X-ray powder diffraction. An experimental pattern was collected at room temperature on a single-phase sample of composition  $Sm_{62.5}Ga_{9.5}Sn_{28}$  on a diffractometer STOE Stadi P (Cu  $K\alpha_1$ -radiation) in the angular range  $2\theta=15$ -110.625° with a step size of 0.015°. Profile and structure parameters were refined by the Rietveld method using the FullProf Suite software package (Fig. 4). In total, 19 parameters were refined: sample shift, scale factor, 2 cell parameters, 6 profile

parameters (pseudo-Voigt profile function), 3 positional parameters, 4 displacement parameters, 1 occupancy and 1 texture parameter. The background was defined using a Fourier filtering technique. Experimental details and crystallographic data for  $Sm_5Ga_{0.76(3)}Sn_{2.24(3)}$  are listed in Table 4, atomic coordinates, site occupancies and isotropic displacement parameters in Table 7, interatomic distances and coordination numbers of the atoms in Table 8.

The crystal structure of the ternary compound  $Sm_5Ga_{0.76(3)}Sn_{2.24(3)}$  belongs to the structure type Nb<sub>5</sub>SiSn<sub>2</sub>, which is an ordered ternary derivative of the structure type W<sub>5</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>. The content of the unit cell and the coordination polyhedra of the atoms  $Sm_5Ga_{0.76(3)}Sn_{2.24(3)}$  are shown in Fig. 5. The Sm atoms are coordinated by 15 and 14 atoms forming Frank-Kasper polyhedra of composition Sm1M<sub>2</sub>Sn<sub>4</sub>Sm<sub>9</sub> and Sm2Sn<sub>4</sub>Sm<sub>10</sub>, respectively. The polyhedron of the site M, occupied by a statistical mixture of Ga and Sn atoms, is a bicapped square antiprism  $MSm_8M_2$ . The Sn atoms are surrounded by ten Sm atoms forming SnSm<sub>10</sub> polyhedra, which can be considered as trigonal prisms with four additional atoms in the equatorial plane. The only homoatomic contacts between p-element atoms are Ga-Ga distances (3.0459(3) Å).



**Fig. 4** Experimental (circles), calculated (continuous line) and difference between experimental and calculated (bottom) X-ray powder diffraction patterns of the sample  $Sm_{62.5}Ga_{11}Sn_{26.5}$  (Cu  $K\alpha_1$ -radiation). Vertical bars indicate the positions of the reflections of  $Sm_5Ga_{0.76(3)}Sn_{2.24(3)}$ .

**Table 7** Atomic coordinates, site occupancies and isotropic displacement parameters for  $Sm_5Ga_{0.76(3)}Sn_{2.24(3)}$  (tI32, I4/mcm, a = 12.1881(14), c = 6.0919(7) Å).

Site	Wyckoff position	x	у	z	$B_{ m iso}$ , ${ m \AA}^2$
Sm1	16k	0.0806(2)	0.2142(2)	0	1.27(7)
Sm2	4b	0	1/2	1/4	0.88(11)
M(0.76(3)Ga+0.24(3)Sn)	4a	0	0	1/4	0.76(17)
Sn	8h	0.16222(17)	0.66222(17)	0	1.31(11)

**Table 8** Interatomic distances ( $\delta$ ) and coordination numbers (CN) in the structure of Sm<sub>5</sub>Ga<sub>0.76(3)</sub>Sn<sub>2.24(3)</sub> (tI32, I4/mcm, a = 12.1881(14), c = 6.0919(7) Å).

Atoms	$\delta$ , Å	CN
Sm1 $-2M$	3.178(2)	15
− 1 Sn	3.198(3)	
− 1 Sn	3.321(3)	
– 1 Sm1	3.537(3)	
− 2 Sn	3.5406(17)	
− 2 Sm1	3.6246(19)	
-2  Sm1	3.818(2)	
-2  Sm2	3.927(2)	
− 2 Sm1	3.945(3)	
Sm2 - 2 Sm2	3.0459(3)	14
– 4 Sn	3.1840(19)	
- 8 Sm1	3.927(2)	

$\delta$ , A	CN
3.0459(3)	10
3.178(2)	
3.1840(19)	10
3.198(3)	
3.321(3)	
3.5406(17)	
	3.0459(3) 3.178(2) 3.1840(19) 3.198(3) 3.321(3)

#### Discussion

The ternary system Sm-Ga-Sn is the first R-Ga-Sn system for which an isothermal section of the phase diagram has been constructed. The presence of two p-elements in the system leads to the formation of three ternary compounds. The non existence of

isotypic binary compounds in the systems Sm–Ga and Sm–Sn prevents the formation of continuous solid solutions. However, limited solid solutions form based on some of the binary compounds. On the line with a Sm content of 25 at.%, an extended solid solution  $\text{SmGa}_x\text{Sn}_{3-x}$  (x=0-1.2) with cubic  $\text{Cu}_3\text{Au-type}$  structure, was found. The formation of compounds




Fig. 5 Unit cell content and coordination polyhedra of the atoms in the structure of Sm<sub>5</sub>Ga<sub>0.76(3)</sub>Sn<sub>2.24(3)</sub>.

with close-packed structures has also been observed in other R–Ga–Sn systems. For example, the existence of a few polymorphic modifications of the binary gallides TbGa<sub>3</sub> and DyGa<sub>3</sub> leads to the formation of ternary phases with close-packed structures in the systems {Tb,Dy}–Ga–Sn at 600°C [1-4]. Addition of Sn to the binary trigallides stabilizes the low-temperature modifications, and other structures, which belong to the structural family of close-packed structures with the general composition  $AB_3$ , are also formed.

In the structures of the three ternary compounds found in the system Sm–Ga–Sn at  $600^{\circ}$ C, a tendency towards ordering of the Ga and Sn atoms is observed. The crystal structures belong to ternary derivatives of binary structure types, which are not represented in the boundary binary systems at  $600^{\circ}$ C. With decreasing overall content of Ga and Sn, the tendency towards isolated *p*-element atoms in the structures increases. Obviously, the size of the *p*-element atoms (sizefactor) has a great influence on the character of the interaction.

Two ternary compounds with partially ordered Pu<sub>3</sub>Pd<sub>5</sub>-type structure, Sm<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>0.80-2.48</sub>Sn<sub>4.20-2.52</sub> [8] and Dy<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>2.54</sub>Sn<sub>2.46</sub> [10], have been found in the systems *R*–Ga–Sn up to now. Both phases are characterized by homogeneity ranges along the lines with 37.5 at.% *R*. The homogeneity range of the Sm-containing phase is wider (21 at.% Ga/Sn) than that of the Dy-containing phase (6 at.% Ga/Sn) at 600°C. Moreover, the composition of the ternary compound in the system Dy–Ga–Sn is shifted to a higher Ga content (26-32 at.%), as compared to the Sm-containing compound (10-31 at.%).

The ternary compound Sm<sub>11</sub>Ga<sub>2.30</sub>Sn<sub>7.70</sub> at 600°C can be regarded as a partially ordered, Ga-stabilized derivative of the high-temperature binary stannide Sm<sub>11</sub>Sn<sub>10</sub> (structure type Ho<sub>11</sub>Ge<sub>10</sub>), which exists in the temperature range 1170-1240°C [11]. Ga atoms substitute for Sn atoms on two of the five sites leading to the formation of a partially ordered ternary structure that derives from the structure type Ho<sub>11</sub>Ge<sub>10</sub> (t184, 14/mmm) [28]. Three ordered ternary variants of the structure type Ho<sub>11</sub>Ge<sub>10</sub> having the same symmetry and Wyckoff sequence  $(n^2mjh^2e^2d)$  have been reported till now:  $Sc_{11}Al_2Ge_8$  (a = 10.419, c = 14.974 Å) [29],  $Sm_{11}In_6Ge_4$  (a = 11.540, c = 16.325 Å) [30], and  $Sc_7Cr_4(Cr_{0.4}Si_{0.6})_2Si_8$  (a = 9.757, c = 13.884 Å) [31]. These structures differ by the distribution of the atoms over the different sites in space group I4/mmm (Table 9). The distribution of the atoms in the structure of Sm<sub>11</sub>Ga<sub>2,30</sub>Sn<sub>7,70</sub> is different from all the above mentioned structures, allowing us to state that it represents a new ternary ordered derivative of the structure type Ho<sub>11</sub>Ge<sub>10</sub>.

The structure type  $\mathrm{Ho_{11}Ge_{10}}$  is typical for binary compounds of rare-earth metals with p-elements of group IV (Ge, Sn, Pb) [32]. Replacement of 1/5 of the atoms of group IV (Ge) by atoms of group III (Al) leads to the formation of the structure type  $\mathrm{Sc_{11}Al_2Ge_8}$ , in which the Al atoms populate one of the sites in Wyckoff position 8h. In the structure of  $\mathrm{Sm_{11}Ga_{2.30}Sn_{7.70}}$ , the Ga atoms (p-element of group III) partially substitute for Sn atoms (p-element of group IV) on two sites (Wyckoff position 8j and 8h). Replacement of 3/5 of the atoms of group IV (Ge) by In atoms (group III) leads to the formation of the structure type  $\mathrm{Sm_{11}In_6Ge_4}$  in which the In atoms

<b>Table 9</b> Distribution of the chemical elements on the different sites (space group <i>I4/mmm</i> ) in the isopointal
$structure\ types\ Ho_{11}Ge_{10},\ Sc_{11}Al_2Ge_8,\ Sm_{11}Ga_{2.30}Sn_{7.70},\ Sm_{11}In_6Ge_4,\ and\ Sc_7Cr_4(Cr_{0.4}Si_{0.6})_2Si_8.$

Wyckoff		Structure type					
position	$Ho_{11}Ge_{10}$	$Sc_{11}Al_2Ge_8$	$Sm_{11}Ga_{2.30}Sn_{7.70}$	$Sm_{11}In_6Ge_4$	$Sc_7Cr_4(Cr_{0.4}Si_{0.6})_2Si_8$		
16 <i>n</i>	Но	Sc	Sm	Sm	Sc		
	y = 0.2518	y = 0.2507	y = 0.2512	y = 0.2516	y = 0.2536		
$(0 \ y \ z)$	z = 0.3103	z = 0.3102	z = 0.3109	z = 0.3110	z = 0.3117		
16n	Но	Sc	Sm	Sm	Cr		
	y = 0.3241	y = 0.3356	y = 0.3244	y = 0.3418	y = 0.3231		
(0 y z)	z = 0.1025	z = 0.1024	z = 0.0993	z = 0.1003	z = 0.1005		
16 <i>m</i>	Ge	Ge	Sn	In	Si		
	x = 0.2097	x = 0.2047	x = 0.2076	x = 0.2071	x = 0.2062		
(x x z)	z = 0.1814	z = 0.1711	z = 0.1795	z = 0.1708	z = 0.1672		
8 <i>j</i>	Ge	Ge	0.42Ga + 0.58Sn	Ge	Si		
$(x \frac{1}{2}0)$	x = 0.1370	x = 0.1470	x = 0.1380	x = 0.1519	x = 0.1214		
8 <i>h</i>	Ge	Al	0.73Ga + 0.27Sn	In	0.6Si + 0.4Cr		
(x x 0)	x = 0.1197	x = 0.1270	x = 0.1202	x = 0.1272	x = 0.1221		
8 <i>h</i>	Но	Sc	Sm	Sm	Sc		
(x x 0)	x = 0.3214	x = 0.3272	x = 0.3174	x = 0.3305	x = 0.3212		
4e	Но	Sc	Sm	Sm	Sc		
$(0\ 0\ z)$	z = 0.1606	z = 0.1688	z = 0.1598	z = 0.1643	z = 0.1625		
4e	Ge	Ge	Ge	Ge	Si		
$(0\ 0\ z)$	z = 0.3871	z = 0.3815	z = 0.3940	z = 0.3797	z = 0.3920		
4 <i>d</i>	Ge	Ge	Ge	Ge	Ge		
(0 1/2 1/4)	Ge	Ge	Ge	Ge	Ge		

populate two sites (16m and 8h). The rare-earth metal atoms (Sc and Sm) in the structure types Sc<sub>11</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>8</sub>,  $Sm_{11}Ga_{2,30}Sn_{7,70}$ , and  $Sm_{11}In_6Ge_4$  populate the same positions as the Ho atoms in the parent structure type  $Ho_{11}Ge_{10}$ . In the structure type  $Sc_7Cr_4(Cr_{0.4}Si_{0.6})_2Si_8$ , atoms of the d-element Cr substitute for atoms of the rare-earth element Sc (Wyckoff position 16n), and for atoms of the p-element Si (statistical mixture 0.6Si + 0.4Cr on the site in Wyckoff position 8h). In the structure types  $Sc_{11}Al_2Ge_8$ ,  $Sm_{11}Ga_{2,30}Sn_{7,70}$ , and Sm<sub>11</sub>In<sub>6</sub>Ge<sub>4</sub>, the atoms of the *p*-elements of group III (Al, Ga, In) preferentially occupy the site in 8h, forming  $M_4$  squares around the origin of the tetragonal body-centered unit cell:  $\delta_{Al-Al} = 2.647 \text{ Å}$  $Sc_{11}Al_2Ge_8$ ,  $\delta_{M2-M2} = 2.786 \text{ Å}$  in  $Sm_{11}Ga_{2.30}Sn_{7.70}$ ,  $\delta_{\text{In-In}} = 2.936 \text{ Å in } \text{Sm}_{11} \text{In}_6 \text{Ge}_4$ . The atoms occupying the site in 16m form dumb-bells:  $\delta_{\text{Ge-Ge}} = 2.714 \text{ Å}$  in 
$$\begin{split} Sc_{11}Al_2Ge_8, & \quad \delta_{Sn1\text{-}Sn1} = 2.920 \text{ Å} & \text{in } Sm_{11}Ga_{2.30}Sn_{7.70}, \\ \delta_{In\text{-}In} = 2.941 \text{ Å} & \text{in } Sm_{11}In_6Ge_4. \end{split}$$
 The other *p*-element atoms are isolated in the three structures.

The existence of the ternary compound  $Sm_5Ga_{0.76}Sn_{2.24}$  with  $Nb_5SiSn_2$ -type structure along the line  $Sm_5Ga_3$ – $Sm_5Sn_3$  makes the system Sm–Ga–Sn similar to related systems containing heavy d-metals instead of rare-earth metals. Isotypic phases were recently found in the systems  $\{Zr,Hf\}$ –Ga– $\{Sn,Sb\}$  [33-35] and Ta–Ga–Sn [36]. The compositions of the  $Nb_5SiSn_2$ -type ternary compounds usually contain higher contents of the p-element that is heavier and has larger atom size.

Decrease of the overall content of the p-elements in the ternary compounds of the system Sm–Ga–Sn is

accompanied by a tendency to avoid contacts between p-element atoms. In the structure of  $Sm_3Ga_{0.80-2.48}Sn_{4.20-2.52}$ , the Ga and Sn atoms form empty square-pyramidal clusters of ideal composition  $Ga_3Sn_2$  (considering local atom ordering). In the structure of  $Sm_{11}Ga_{2.30}Sn_{7.70}$  they form isolated squares  $M_4$  (mostly Ga atoms) and dumb-bells  $Sn_2$ , whereas in the structure of  $Sm_5Ga_{0.76}Sn_{2.24}$  only linear M-M chains (mostly Ga atoms) along the crystallographic direction [001] are observed.

## Conclusions

The ternary system Sm–Ga–Sn at  $600^{\circ}C$  is characterized by the existence of limited solid solutions based on the binary compounds SmSn<sub>3</sub> (30 at.% Ga), Sm<sub>5</sub>Ga<sub>3</sub> (13.3 at.% Sn), Sm<sub>5</sub>Sn<sub>3</sub> (~4 at.% Sn), and SmGa (3 at.% Sn), and three ternary compounds, Sm<sub>3</sub>Ga<sub>0.80-2.48</sub>Sn<sub>4.20-2.52</sub> (ternary variant of the structure type Pu<sub>3</sub>Pd<sub>5</sub>), Sm<sub>11</sub>Ga<sub>2.30(6)</sub>Sn<sub>7.70</sub> (ternary variant of the structure type Ho<sub>11</sub>Ge<sub>10</sub>), and Sm<sub>5</sub>Ga<sub>0.76</sub>Sn<sub>2.24</sub> (ternary variant of the structure type W<sub>5</sub>Si<sub>3</sub>). The ternary phases show partial ordering of Ga and Sn atoms.

## Acknowledgements

This work was carried out under the grant of the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine No. 0115U003257.

#### References

- [1] V. Fedyna, A. Fedorchuk, Ya. Tokaychuk, R. Gladyshevskii, *Coll. Abstr. 9th Sci. Conf.* "*Lviv Chemical Readings 2011*", Lviv, Ukraine, 2011, H80 (in Ukrainian).
- [2] Ya. Tokaychuk, A. Fedorchuk, J. Alloys Compd. 541 (2012) 23-28.
- [3] V. Fedyna, A. Fedorchuk, Ya. Tokaychuk, R. Gladyshevskii, *Book Abstr. XVIII Int. Sem. Phys. Chem. Solids*, Lviv, Ukraine, 2012, p. 37.
- [4] V. Fedyna, I. Mokra, A. Fedorchuk, Ya. Tokaychuk, *Visn. Lviv. Univ. Ser. Khim.* 50 (2009) 113-117 (in Ukrainian).
- [5] A.V. Maevskii, Coll. Abstr. VI Meet. Cryst. Chem. Inorg. Coord. Compd., Lviv, Ukraine, 1992, p. 196.
- [6] T.S. You, Y. Grin, G.J. Miller, *Inorg. Chem.* 46 (2007) 8801-8811.
- [7] J.R. Salvador, F. Guo, T.P. Hogan, M.G. Kanatzidis, *Nature* 425 (2003) 702-705.
- [8] Ya.O. Tokaychuk, Ya.O. Filinchuk, A.O. Fedorchuk, O.I. Bodak, *Acta Crystallogr. C* 59 (2003) i125-i127.
- [9] D.T. Cromer, Acta Crystallogr. B 32 (1976) 1930-1932.
- [10] V. Fedyna, Ya. Tokaychuk, R. Gladyshevskii, *Chem. Met. Alloys* 5 (2012) 160-165.
- [11] T.B. Massalski, H. Okamoto, P.R. Subramanian, L. Kacprzak (Eds.), *Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams*, ASM International, Materials Park (OH), USA, 1990.
- [12] S.P. Yatsenko, Y. Grin, O.M. Sitschewitsch, K.A. Tschuntonow, Y.P. Yarmolyuk, *J. Less-Common Met.* 106 (1985) 35-40.
- [13] A. Palenzona, E.A. Franceschi, *J. Less-Common Met.* 14 (1968) 47-53.
- [14] G.H. Feng, L. Lacroix Orio, M. Tillard, C. Belin, *Acta Crystallogr. C* 61 (2005) i71-i72.
- [15] S.P. Yatsenko, R.E. Gladyshevskii, O.M. Sitschewitsch, V.K. Belskii, A.A. Semyannikov, Y. Grin, Y.P. Yarmolyuk, *J. Less-Common Met.* 115 (1986) 17-22.
- [16] W. Rieger, E. Parthé, Monatsh. Chem. 98 (1967) 1935-1940.
- [17] S.P. Yatsenko, A.A. Semyannikov, B.G. Semenov, K.A. Chuntonov, *J. Less-Common Met.* 64 (1979) 185-199.

- [18] Yu. Grin, A.O. Fedorchuk, *Russ. Metall.* (5) (1992) 197-200.
- [19] M. Tillard, D. Zitoun, C. Belin, *Inorg. Chem.* 48 (2009) 2399-2406.
- [20] J. Pelleg, G. Kimmel, D. Dayan, J. Less-Common Met. 81(1981) 33-44.
- [21] A. Palenzona, F. Merlo, G.B. Bonino, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Cl. Sci. Fis., Mat. Nat., Rend.* 40 (1966) 617-622.
- [22] A. Percheron Guégan, *Colloq. Int. C. N. R. S.* 180 (1970) 165-172.
- [23] M.L. Fornasini, F. Merlo, G.B. Bonino, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei*, *Cl. Sci. Fis., Mat. Nat., Rend.* 50 (1971) 186-196.
- [24] M.L. Fornasini, P. Manfrinetti, A. Palenzona, S.K. Dhar, Z. Naturforsch. B 58 (2003) 521-527.
- [25] F. Weitzer, K. Hiebl, P. Rogl, J. Solid State Chem. 98 (1992) 291-300.
- [26] I.R. Harris, G.V. Raynor, *J. Less-Common Met.* 9 (1965) 7-19.
- [27] J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, Commission on Powder Diffraction (IUCr), Newsletter 26 (2001) 12-19.
- [28] G.S. Smith, Q.C. Johnson, A.G. Tharp, *Acta Crystallogr*. 23 (1967) 640-644.
- [29] J.T. Zhao, E. Parthé, Acta Crystallogr. C 47 (1991) 4-6.
- [30] Yu.B. Tyvanchuk, K.Yu. Miliyanchuk, V.I. Zaremba, J. Stepien Damm, Ya.M. Kalychak, *Ukr. Khim. Zh.* 67(11) (2001) 15-18 (in Ukrainian).
- [31] B.Ya. Kotur, O.I. Bodak, V.E. Zavodnik, *Sov. Phys. Crystallogr.* 30 (1985) 521-523.
- [32] P. Villars, K. Cenzual (Eds.), *Pearson's Crystal Data Crystal Structure Database for Inorganic Compounds*, ASM International, Materials Park (OH), USA, Release 2014/15.
- [33] I. Voznyak, Ya. Tokaychuk, R. Gladyshevskii, Coll. Abstr. XI Int. Conf. Cryst. Chem. Intermet. Compd., Lviv, Ukraine, 2010, p. 135.
- [34] I. Voznyak, Ya. Tokaychuk, R. Gladyshevskii, *Chem. Met. Alloys* 4 (2011) 175-187.
- [35] I. Tokaychuk, Ya. Tokaychuk, R. Gladyshevskii, *Chem. Met. Alloys* 6 (2013) 75-80.
- [36] I. Tokaychuk, Ya. Tokaychuk, R. Gladyshevskii, *Visn. Lviv. Univ., Ser. Khim.* 54 (2013) 110-115 (in Ukrainian).