

OMIDI S.<sup>1</sup>, DAVVAZ B.<sup>1</sup>, HILA K.<sup>2</sup>

## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF REGULAR AND INTRA-REGULAR ORDERED $\Gamma$ -SEMIHYPERGROUPS IN TERMS OF BI- $\Gamma$ -HYPERIDEALS

The concept of  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups is a generalization of semigroups, a generalization of semihypergroups and a generalization of  $\Gamma$ -semigroups. In this paper, we study the notion of bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals in ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups and investigate some properties of these bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals. Also, we define and use the notion of regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups to examine some classical results and properties in ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups.

*Key words and phrases:* ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup,  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal, bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal.

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Yazd University, P.O. Box 89195-741, Yazd, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematical Engineering, Polytechnic University of Tirana, 1000, Tirana, Albania

E-mail: [omidi.saber@yahoo.com](mailto:omidi.saber@yahoo.com) (Omidi S.), [davvaz@yazd.ac.ir](mailto:davvaz@yazd.ac.ir) (Davvaz B.), [kostaq\\_hila@yahoo.com](mailto:kostaq_hila@yahoo.com) (Hila K.)

### 1 INTRODUCTION

A semigroup is an algebraic structure consisting of a non-empty set  $S$  together with an associative binary operation [24]. The notion of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup was introduced by Sen and Saha [37] as a generalization of semigroups as well as of ternary semigroups. Since then, hundreds of papers have been written on this topic, see [6,7,16]. Many classical notions of semigroups have been extended to  $\Gamma$ -semigroups. Let  $S = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$  and  $\Gamma = \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots\}$  be two non-empty sets. Then,  $S$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup if there exists a mapping from  $S \times \Gamma \times S$  to  $S$ , written as  $(a, \gamma, b) \rightarrow a\gamma b$ , satisfying the identity  $(axb)\beta c = ax(b\beta c)$  for all  $a, b, c$  in  $S$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  in  $\Gamma$ . In this case by  $(S, \Gamma)$  we mean  $S$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. By an *ordered semigroup*, we mean an algebraic structure  $(S, \cdot, \leq)$ , which satisfies the following conditions: (1)  $(S, \cdot)$  is a semigroup; (2)  $S$  is a partial ordered set by  $\leq$ ; (3) If  $a$  and  $b$  are elements of  $S$  such that  $a \leq b$ , then  $a \cdot c \leq b \cdot c$  and  $c \cdot a \leq c \cdot b$  for all  $c \in S$ . Ordered semigroups have been studied extensively by Kehayopulu and Tsingelis, for example, see [27–29]. The notions of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -groupoid and an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup were defined by Sen and Seth in [38]. Many authors studied different aspects of ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups, for instance, Abbasi and Basar [1], Chinram and Tinpun [7,8], Dutta and Adhikari [16,17], Hila [22], Iampan [25], Kehayopulu [26], Kwon [31], Kwon and Lee [32,33], and many others. Recall from [38], that an *ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup*  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $(S, \Gamma)$  together with an order relation  $\leq$  such that  $a \leq b$  implies that  $a\gamma c \leq b\gamma c$  and  $c\gamma a \leq c\gamma b$  for all  $a, b, c \in S$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

The concept of ordered semihypergroups is a generalization of the concept of ordered semigroups. The concept of ordering hypergroups introduced by Chvalina [11] as a special class

of hypergroups. Many authors studied different aspects of ordered semihypergroups, for instance, Davvaz et al. [15], Gu and Tang [19], Heidari and Davvaz [20], Tang et al. [39], and many others. Explicit study of ordered semihypergroups seems to have begun with Heidari and Davvaz [20] in 2011. Recall from [20], that an *ordered semihypergroup*  $(S, \circ, \leq)$  is a semihypergroup  $(S, \circ)$  together with a partial order  $\leq$  that is compatible with the hyperoperation  $\circ$ , meaning that for any  $x, y, z \in S$ ,

$$x \leq y \Rightarrow z \circ x \leq z \circ y \text{ and } x \circ z \leq y \circ z.$$

Here,  $z \circ x \leq z \circ y$  means for any  $a \in z \circ x$  there exists  $b \in z \circ y$  such that  $a \leq b$ . The case  $x \circ z \leq y \circ z$  is defined similarly.

Recently, Davvaz et al. [4, 5, 13, 21, 23] studied the notion of  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup as a generalization of a semigroup, a generalization of a semihypergroup and a generalization of a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. They proved some results in this respect and presented many examples of  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups. Many classical notions of semigroups and semihypergroups have been extended to  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups. The notion of a  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup was introduced in [4]. Davvaz et al. [5] introduced the notion of Pawlak's approximations in  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups. Abdullah et al. [2] studied  $M$ -hypersystems and  $N$ -hypersystems in a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. Algebraic hyperstructures are a suitable generalization of classical algebraic structures. In a classical algebraic structure, the composition of two elements is an element, while in an algebraic hyperstructure, the composition of two elements is a set. The concept of hyperstructure was first introduced by Marty [34] at the eighth Congress of Scandinavian Mathematicians in 1934. A comprehensive review of the theory of hyperstructures can be found in [9, 10, 12, 40]. Let  $S$  be a non-empty set and  $P^*(S)$  be the family of all non-empty subsets of  $S$ . A mapping  $\circ : S \times S \rightarrow P^*(S)$  is called a *hyperoperation* on  $S$ . A *hypergroupoid* is a set  $S$  together with a (binary) hyperoperation. In the above definition, if  $A$  and  $B$  are two non-empty subsets of  $S$  and  $x \in S$ , then we denote

$$A \circ B = \bigcup_{\substack{a \in A \\ b \in B}} a \circ b, \quad x \circ A = \{x\} \circ A \quad \text{and} \quad B \circ x = B \circ \{x\}.$$

A hypergroupoid  $(S, \circ)$  is called a *semihypergroup* if for every  $x, y, z$  in  $S$ ,  $x \circ (y \circ z) = (x \circ y) \circ z$ . That is,

$$\bigcup_{u \in y \circ z} x \circ u = \bigcup_{v \in x \circ y} v \circ z.$$

A non-empty subset  $K$  of a semihypergroup  $S$  is called a *subsemihypergroup* of  $S$  if  $K \circ K \subseteq K$ . A hypergroupoid  $(S, \circ)$  is called a *quasihypergroup* if for every  $x \in S$ ,  $x \circ S = S = S \circ x$ . This condition is called the reproduction axiom. The couple  $(S, \circ)$  is called a *hypergroup* if it is a semihypergroup and a quasihypergroup. A non-empty subset  $K$  of  $S$  is a *subhypergroup* of  $S$  if  $K \circ a = a \circ K = K$ , for every  $a \in K$ . A hypergroup  $(S, \circ)$  is called *commutative* if  $x \circ y = y \circ x$ , for every  $x, y \in S$ .

## 2 REVIEW: ORDERED $\Gamma$ -SEMIHYPERGROUPS

The notion of a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup was introduced by Davvaz et al. [4,5,21]. In [20], Heidari and Davvaz introduced the concept of ordered semihypergroups, which is a generalization of

ordered semigroups. In this section, we recall the notion of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup and then we present some definitions and properties which we will need in this paper. Throughout this paper, unless otherwise stated,  $S$  is always an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ .

**Definition 1** ([4, 5]). Let  $S$  and  $\Gamma$  be two non-empty sets. Then,  $S$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup if every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is a hyperoperation on  $S$ , i.e.,  $x\gamma y \subseteq S$  for every  $x, y \in S$ , and for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $x, y, z \in S$ , we have  $x\alpha(y\beta z) = (x\alpha y)\beta z$ . If every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  is an operation, then  $S$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two non-empty subsets of  $S$ . We define

$$A\Gamma B = \bigcup \{a\gamma b \mid a \in A, b \in B \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\} = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} A\gamma B.$$

A  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $S$  is called commutative if for all  $x, y \in S$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have  $x\gamma y = y\gamma x$ . A  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $S$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -hypergroup if for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $(S, \gamma)$  is a hypergroup.

Now, we consider the notion of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup.

**Definition 2** ([30]). An algebraic hyperstructure  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is called an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup if  $(S, \Gamma)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup and  $(S, \leq)$  is a partially ordered set such that for any  $x, y, z \in S$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $x \leq y$  implies  $z\gamma x \leq z\gamma y$  and  $x\gamma z \leq y\gamma z$ . Here, if  $A$  and  $B$  are two non-empty subsets of  $S$ , then we say that  $A \leq B$  if for every  $a \in A$  there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $a \leq b$ .

Let  $S$  be an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. By a sub  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup of  $S$  we mean a non-empty subset  $A$  of  $S$  such that  $a\gamma b \subseteq A$  for all  $a, b \in A$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

**Example 1** ([30]). Let  $(S, \circ, \leq)$  be an ordered semihypergroup and  $\Gamma$  a non-empty set. We define  $x\gamma y = x \circ y$  for every  $x, y \in S$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then,  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup.

**Definition 3.** Let  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  be an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. A non-empty subset  $I$  of  $S$  is called a left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (1)  $S\Gamma I \subseteq I$ ;
- (2) When  $x \in I$  and  $y \in S$  such that  $y \leq x$ , imply that  $y \in I$ .

A right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $S$  is defined in a similar way. By two-sided  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal or simply  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal, we mean a non-empty subset of  $S$  which both left and right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . A  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $I$  of  $S$  is said to be proper if  $I \neq S$ .

Let  $K$  be a non-empty subset of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ . If  $H$  is a non-empty subset of  $K$ , then we define  $(H]_K := \{k \in K \mid k \leq h \text{ for some } h \in H\}$ . Note that if  $K = S$ , then we define  $(H] := \{x \in S \mid x \leq h \text{ for some } h \in H\}$ . For  $H = \{h\}$ , we write  $(h]$  instead of  $(\{h\})$ . Note that the condition (2) in Definition 3 is equivalent to  $(I] \subseteq I$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are non-empty subsets of  $S$ , then we have

- (1)  $A \subseteq (A]$ ;
- (2)  $((A]) = (A]$ ;
- (3) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $(A] \subseteq (B]$ ;
- (4)  $(A]\Gamma(B] \subseteq (A\Gamma B]$ ;
- (5)  $((A]\Gamma(B]) = (A\Gamma B]$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $I$  and  $J$  are  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ , then  $I \cap J$  is a  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in I, y \in J$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Then,  $x\gamma y \subseteq I\Gamma J \subseteq I\Gamma S \subseteq I$  and  $x\gamma y \subseteq I\Gamma J \subseteq S\Gamma J \subseteq J$ . So,  $x\gamma y \subseteq I \cap J$  and hence  $\emptyset \neq I \cap J \subseteq S$ . We have  $(I \cap J)\Gamma S \subseteq I\Gamma S \subseteq I$  and  $S\Gamma(I \cap J) \subseteq S\Gamma J \subseteq J$ . Similarly,  $(I \cap J)\Gamma S \subseteq J$  and  $S\Gamma(I \cap J) \subseteq I$ . So, we have  $(I \cap J)\Gamma S \subseteq I \cap J$  and  $S\Gamma(I \cap J) \subseteq I \cap J$ . Now, let  $x \in I \cap J, y \in S$  and  $y \leq x$ . Since  $I$  and  $J$  are  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of  $S$ , we obtain  $y \in I$  and  $y \in J$ . Thus,  $y \in I \cap J$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  be an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. A subset  $A$  of  $S$  is called *idempotent* if  $A = (A\Gamma A)$ .

**Lemma 2.** *The  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  are idempotent if and only if for any  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals  $I, J$  of  $S$ , we have  $I \cap J = (I\Gamma J)$ .*

*Proof.* The sufficiency is obvious. For the necessity, let  $I, J$  be  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of  $S$ . We have  $(I\Gamma J) \subseteq (I\Gamma S) \subseteq (I) = I$  and  $(I\Gamma J) \subseteq (S\Gamma J) \subseteq (J) = J$ . So, we have  $(I\Gamma J) \subseteq I \cap J$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 1,  $I \cap J$  is a  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . By assumption, we have  $I \cap J = ((I \cap J)\Gamma(I \cap J)) \subseteq (I\Gamma J)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  be a commutative ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. If  $I$  is a  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  and  $A$  is a non-empty subset of  $S$ , then  $(I : A) = \{x \in S \mid x\gamma a \subseteq I \text{ for all } a \in A \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \in (I : A), s \in S$  and  $\delta \in \Gamma$ . Then,  $x\gamma a \subseteq I$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . We have  $(s\delta x)\gamma a = s\delta(x\gamma a) \subseteq S\Gamma I \subseteq I$ . So, we have  $s\delta x \subseteq (I : A)$ . In the similar way, we obtain  $x\delta s \subseteq (I : A)$ . Now, let  $x \in (I : A), y \in S$  and  $y \leq x$ . Then,  $x\gamma a \subseteq I$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Also, we have  $y\gamma a \subseteq x\gamma a$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , by hypothesis. So, for any  $u \in y\gamma a, u \leq v$  for some  $v \in x\gamma a \subseteq I$ . Since  $I$  is a  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , it follows that  $u \in I$ . So, we have  $y\gamma a \subseteq I$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus, we have  $y \in (I : A)$ . Therefore,  $(I : A)$  is a  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ .  $\square$

### 3 BI- $\Gamma$ -HYPERIDEALS

The study of ordered semihyperrings was first undertaken by Davvaz and Omidi [14]. In [35], Omidi, Davvaz and Corsini studied some properties of hyperideals in ordered Krasner hyperrings. The concept of a bi-ideal is a very interesting and important thing in semigroups and ordered semigroups. In 1952, Good and Hughes [18] introduced the notion of bi-ideals in semigroups. Recently, Davvaz et al. [4] introduced the notion of bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal in  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups (cf. [3]). In [36], Pibaljommee and Davvaz studied the properties of bi-hyperideals in ordered semihypergroups. The concept of bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup is a generalization of the concept of  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals (left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals, right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals) of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. First, we define the concept of a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal in ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups.

**Definition 4** ([30]). *A sub  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $B$  of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is called a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  if the following conditions hold:*

- (1)  $B\Gamma S\Gamma B \subseteq B$ ;
- (2) When  $x \in B$  and  $y \in S$  such that  $y \leq x$ , imply that  $y \in B$ .

The concept of bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup is a generalization of the concept of  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals (left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals, right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals) of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. Obviously, every left (right)  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $S$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , but the the following example shows that the converse is not true in general case. Indeed, If  $I$  is a left (right)  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , then  $I\Gamma I \subseteq S\Gamma I \subseteq I$ . Hence,  $I$  is a sub  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup of  $S$ .

**Example 2.** Let  $S = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$  and  $\Gamma = \{\gamma, \beta\}$  be the sets of binary hyperoperations defined as follows.

$\gamma$	$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$	$e$	$f$
$a$	$a$	$b$	$a$	$a$	$a$	$a$
$b$	$b$	$b$	$b$	$b$	$b$	$b$
$c$	$a$	$b$	$\{a, c\}$	$a$	$a$	$\{a, f\}$
$d$	$a$	$b$	$\{a, e\}$	$a$	$a$	$\{a, d\}$
$e$	$a$	$b$	$\{a, e\}$	$a$	$a$	$\{a, d\}$
$f$	$a$	$b$	$\{a, c\}$	$a$	$a$	$\{a, f\}$


$\beta$	$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$	$e$	$f$
$a$	$a$	$b$	$a$	$a$	$a$	$a$
$b$	$b$	$b$	$b$	$b$	$b$	$b$
$c$	$a$	$b$	$a$	$a$	$a$	$a$
$d$	$a$	$b$	$a$	$\{a, d\}$	$\{a, e\}$	$a$
$e$	$a$	$b$	$a$	$a$	$a$	$a$
$f$	$a$	$b$	$a$	$\{a, f\}$	$\{a, c\}$	$a$

Then  $S$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup [41]. We have  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup where the order relation  $\leq$  is defined by:

$$\leq := \{(a, a), (a, b), (a, c), (a, d), (a, e), (a, f), (b, b), (c, c), (d, d), (e, e), (f, f)\}.$$

The covering relation and the figure of  $S$  are given by:

$$\prec = \{(a, b), (a, c), (a, d), (a, e), (a, f)\}.$$



Here,

- (1) It is a routine matter to verify that  $B_1 = \{a, b, c\}$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , but it is not a  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ .
- (2) With a small amount of effort one can verify that  $B_2 = \{a, b, c, f\}$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , but it is not a left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ .

**Lemma 3.** The intersection of any family of bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{B_k \mid k \in \Lambda\}$  be a family of bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of  $S$  and  $B = \bigcap_{k \in \Lambda} B_k$ . It is easy to check that  $B$  is a sub  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup of  $S$ . Now, let  $x \in B\Gamma S\Gamma B$ . Then,  $x \in a\alpha s\beta b$  for some  $a, b \in B$ ,  $s \in S$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Since each  $B_k$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , it follows that  $a\alpha s\beta b \subseteq B_k\Gamma S\Gamma B_k \subseteq B_k$  for all  $k \in \Lambda$ . Then,  $x \in B_k$  for all  $k \in \Lambda$ . So, we have  $x \in \bigcap_{k \in \Lambda} B_k = B$ . Since  $x$  was chosen arbitrarily, we have  $B\Gamma S\Gamma B \subseteq B$ . If  $x \in B$  and  $y \in S$  such that  $y \leq x$ , then  $x \in B_k$  for all  $k \in \Lambda$ . Since each  $B_k$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , it follows that  $y \in B_k$  for all  $k \in \Lambda$ . So, we have  $y \in \bigcap_{k \in \Lambda} B_k = B$ . Hence,  $B$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . □

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  be an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. If  $B$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  and  $C$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $B$ , such that  $C = (C\Gamma C]$ , then  $C$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, we have that

$$C\Gamma C = (C\Gamma C]\Gamma(C\Gamma C] \subseteq (C\Gamma(C\Gamma C\Gamma C)] \subseteq (C\Gamma C] = C,$$

which shows that  $C$  is a sub  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup of  $S$ . On the other hand, we have  $B\Gamma S\Gamma B \subseteq B$  and  $C\Gamma B\Gamma C \subseteq C$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C\Gamma S\Gamma C &= (C\Gamma C]\Gamma S\Gamma(C\Gamma C] = (C\Gamma C]\Gamma(S)\Gamma(C\Gamma C] \\ &\subseteq (C\Gamma C\Gamma S]\Gamma(C\Gamma C] \subseteq (C\Gamma(C\Gamma S\Gamma C)\Gamma C] \\ &\subseteq (C\Gamma(B\Gamma S\Gamma B)\Gamma C] \subseteq (C\Gamma B\Gamma C] \subseteq (C]_B \subseteq C. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let  $c \in C$  and  $x \leq c$ , where  $x \in S$ . Since  $B$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  and  $C \subseteq B$ , we get  $x \in B$ . On the other hand,  $C$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $B$ . It follows that  $x \in C$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a non-empty subset of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ . We denote by  $L_S(A)$  (resp.  $R_S(A)$ ,  $I_S(A)$ ) the left (resp. right, two-sided)  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  generated by  $A$ .

**Lemma 5.** *If  $A$  is a non-empty subset of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ , then the following hold:*

- (1)  $L_S(A) = (A \cup S\Gamma A]$ ;
- (2)  $R_S(A) = (A \cup A\Gamma S]$ ;
- (3)  $I_S(A) = (A \cup S\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma A\Gamma S]$ .

*Proof.* Since  $A \subseteq L_S(A)$  and  $S\Gamma A \subseteq L_S(A)$ , it follows that  $(A \cup S\Gamma A] \subseteq L_S(A)$ . Clearly,  $(A \cup S\Gamma A] \neq \emptyset$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} S\Gamma(A \cup S\Gamma A] &= (S]\Gamma(A \cup S\Gamma A] \subseteq (S\Gamma(A \cup S\Gamma A)] \\ &= (S\Gamma A \cup S\Gamma(S\Gamma A)] \subseteq (S\Gamma A] \subseteq (A \cup S\Gamma A]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(A \cup S\Gamma A]$  is a left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  containing  $A$ . This means that  $L_S(A) \subseteq (A \cup S\Gamma A]$ . This proves that (1) holds. The conditions (2) and (3) are proved similarly.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $a$  be an element of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ . Then,*

- (1)  $L_S(a) = (a \cup S\Gamma a]$ ;
- (2)  $R_S(a) = (a \cup a\Gamma S]$ ;
- (3)  $I_S(a) = (a \cup S\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma a\Gamma S]$ .

Let  $A$  be a non-empty subset of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ . We define

$$\Theta = \{B \mid B \text{ is a bi-}\Gamma\text{-hyperideal of } S \text{ containing } A\}.$$

Since  $S \in \Theta$ , it follows that  $\Theta \neq \emptyset$ . We denote by  $B_S(A)$  the bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  generated by  $A$ . Clearly,  $A \subseteq B_S(A) = \bigcap_{B \in \Theta} B$ . By Lemma 3,  $B_S(A)$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ .

**Lemma 6.** Let  $A$  be a non-empty subset of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ . Then,

$$B_S(A) = (A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A].$$

*Proof.* Set  $B = (A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A]$ . Clearly,  $B \neq \emptyset$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} B\Gamma B &= (A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A]\Gamma(A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A] \\ &\subseteq ((A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A)\Gamma(A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A)] \\ &\subseteq (A\Gamma S\Gamma A] \subseteq (A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $B$  is a sub  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup of  $S$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} B\Gamma S\Gamma B &= (A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A]\Gamma S\Gamma(A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A] \\ &\subseteq ((A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A)\Gamma S\Gamma(A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A)] \\ &\subseteq (A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A] \subseteq (A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $B$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , and hence  $B_S(A) \subseteq (A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A]$ . Let  $C$  be a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  containing  $A$ . Then,  $A\Gamma A \subseteq C$  and  $A\Gamma S\Gamma A \subseteq C\Gamma S\Gamma C \subseteq C$ . Thus, we have  $B = (A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A] \subseteq (C] = C$ . Hence,  $B$  is the smallest bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  containing  $A$ . Therefore,  $B_S(A) = B = (A \cup A\Gamma A \cup A\Gamma S\Gamma A]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** Let  $a$  be an element of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ . Then,

$$B_S(a) = (a \cup a\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma S\Gamma a].$$

#### 4 MAIN RESULTS

The concepts of regular (resp. intra-regular) ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups generalize the corresponding concepts of regular (resp. intra-regular)  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups as each regular (resp. intra-regular)  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup endowed with the order  $\leq := \{(a, b) \mid a = b\}$  is a regular (resp. intra-regular) ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. In this section, we introduce the notion of regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups and investigate some related results. We characterize regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups in terms of bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals, left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals and right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups. In this paper, some well known results of ordered semihypergroups in case of ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups are examined.

**Definition 5.** An ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is called *regular* if for every  $a \in S$  there exist  $x \in S, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  such that  $a \leq a\alpha x\beta a$ . This is equivalent to saying that  $a \in (a\Gamma S\Gamma a]$ , for every  $a \in S$  or  $A \subseteq (A\Gamma S\Gamma A]$ , for every  $A \subseteq S$ .

**Example 3.** Let  $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  and  $\Gamma = \{\gamma, \beta\}$  be the sets of binary hyperoperations defined as follows.


$\gamma$	$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$	$e$	$\beta$	$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$	$e$
$a$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{b, e\}$	$c$	$\{c, d\}$	$e$	$a$	$\{b, e\}$	$e$	$c$	$\{c, d\}$	$e$
$b$	$\{b, e\}$	$e$	$c$	$\{c, d\}$	$e$	$b$	$e$	$e$	$c$	$\{c, d\}$	$e$
$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$
$d$	$\{c, d\}$	$\{c, d\}$	$c$	$d$	$\{c, d\}$	$d$	$\{c, d\}$	$\{c, d\}$	$c$	$d$	$\{c, d\}$
$e$	$e$	$e$	$c$	$\{c, d\}$	$e$	$e$	$e$	$e$	$c$	$\{c, d\}$	$e$

Then  $S$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup [42]. We have  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup where the order relation  $\leq$  is defined by:

$$\leq := \{(a, a), (a, b), (a, c), (a, e), (b, b), (b, c), (b, e), (c, c), (d, c), (d, d), (e, c), (e, e)\}.$$

The covering relation and the figure of  $S$  are given by:

$$\prec = \{(a, b), (b, e), (d, c), (e, c)\}.$$



We can easily verify that  $S$  is a regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup.

**Lemma 7.** Every  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $I$  of a regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is a regular sub  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \in I$ . Since  $S$  is a regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup, there exist  $x \in S, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$  such that  $a \leq a\alpha x\beta a \leq a\alpha x\beta a\gamma x\delta a = a\alpha(x\beta a\gamma x)\delta a$ . Since  $I$  is a  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , it follows that  $x\beta a\gamma x \subseteq S\Gamma I\Gamma S \subseteq I$ . Thus,  $a \leq t$  for some  $t \in a\alpha(x\beta a\gamma x)\delta a \subseteq a\Gamma I\Gamma a$ . So, we have  $a \in (a\Gamma I\Gamma a)_I$ . Therefore,  $I$  is a regular sub  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup of  $S$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** If  $I$  and  $J$  are regular  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ , then  $I \cap J$  is also a regular  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $I$  and  $J$  are regular  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of  $S$ . By Lemma 1,  $I \cap J$  is a  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . By Lemma 7,  $I$  and  $J$  are regular sub  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups of  $S$ . Now, let  $a \in I \cap J$ . Then,  $a \leq a\alpha x\beta a$  and  $a \leq a\gamma y\delta a$  for some  $x, y \in S$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ . So, we have  $a \leq a\alpha x\beta a \leq (a\alpha x\beta a)\mu s\lambda(a\gamma y\delta a) = a\alpha(x\beta a\mu s\lambda a\gamma y)\delta a$ . Since  $I$  and  $J$  are  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of  $S$ , we obtain  $x\beta a\mu s\lambda a\gamma y \subseteq I \cap J$ . Thus, we have  $a \leq t$  for some  $t \in a\alpha(x\beta a\mu s\lambda a\gamma y)\delta a \subseteq a\Gamma(I \cap J)\Gamma a$  which implies that  $a \in (a\Gamma(I \cap J)\Gamma a)_I$ . Hence, there exists  $z \in I \cap J$  such that  $a \leq a\alpha z\delta a$ . Therefore,  $I \cap J$  is a regular sub  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup of  $S$ .  $\square$

We now prove the following theorem which is the crucial theorem in the establishment of our main theorems.

**Theorem 3.** An ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is regular if and only if for every right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $R$  and every left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $L$  of  $S$ , we have  $R \cap L = (R\Gamma L)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal and  $L$  a left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . As  $R\Gamma L \subseteq S\Gamma L \subseteq L$  and  $R\Gamma L \subseteq R\Gamma S \subseteq R$ , we have  $R\Gamma L \subseteq R \cap L$ . So,  $(R\Gamma L) \subseteq (R \cap L) \subseteq (R] \cap (L] \subseteq R \cap L$ . Let  $S$  be regular; we need to prove that  $R \cap L \subseteq (R\Gamma L)$ . Since  $S$  is regular, we have

$$R \cap L \subseteq ((R \cap L)\Gamma S\Gamma(R \cap L)) \subseteq (R\Gamma S\Gamma(R \cap L)) \subseteq (R\Gamma S\Gamma L) \subseteq (R\Gamma L).$$



Conversely, suppose that  $R \cap L = (R\Gamma L]$  for any right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $R$  and any left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $L$  of  $S$ . Let  $a \in S$ . Since  $a \in R_S(a)$  and  $a \in L_S(a)$ , it follows that  $a \in R_S(a) \cap L_S(a)$ . By hypothesis, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} a \in (R_S(a)\Gamma L_S(a)] &= ((a \cup a\Gamma S]\Gamma(a \cup S\Gamma a)] \\ &\subseteq (a\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma S\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma S\Gamma S\Gamma a] \subseteq (a\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma S\Gamma a]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $a \leq t$  for some  $t \in a\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma S\Gamma a$ . If  $u \in a\Gamma S\Gamma a$ , then  $a \leq a\alpha x\beta a$  for some  $x \in S, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ . Thus, we have  $a \in (a\Gamma S\Gamma a]$ . Therefore,  $S$  is a regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. If  $u \in a\Gamma a$ , then  $a \leq a\alpha a \leq a\alpha(a\beta a)$ . So, we have  $a \in (a\Gamma S\Gamma a]$ . Therefore,  $S$  is regular.  $\square$

Now, we obtain the following corollaries.

**Corollary 3.** *If  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is a regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup, then  $S = (S\Gamma S]$ .*

**Corollary 4.** *An ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $S$  is called fully  $\Gamma$ -hyperidempotent if every  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  is idempotent. If  $S$  is a regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup, then  $S$  is fully  $\Gamma$ -hyperidempotent.*

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  be a regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. Then,  $B$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  if and only if there exists a right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $R$  and a left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $L$  of  $S$  such that  $B = (R\Gamma L]$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup and  $B$  a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . First, we show that  $(B\Gamma S]$  is a right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . Let  $y \in S$  and  $x \in (B\Gamma S]$ . Then, there exist  $b \in (B\Gamma S], c \in B, s \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  such that  $x \leq b \leq cas$ . Since  $S$  is an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup, it follows that  $x\beta y \leq b\beta y \leq b \leq (cas)\beta y \subseteq B\Gamma S$ , where  $\beta \in \Gamma$ . Hence,  $x\beta y \subseteq (B\Gamma S]$ . If  $y \leq x$ , then  $y \leq x \leq b$ , and so  $y \in (B\Gamma S]$ . Therefore,  $(B\Gamma S]$  is a right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . Similarly, we can prove that  $(S\Gamma B]$  is a left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . Now, we prove that  $B = ((B\Gamma S]\Gamma(S\Gamma B))$ . Since  $S$  is regular, it follows that  $B \subseteq (B\Gamma S\Gamma B]$ , for every  $B \subseteq S$ . Since  $B$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , it follows that  $B\Gamma S\Gamma B \subseteq B$ . So, we have  $(B\Gamma S\Gamma B) \subseteq (B) = B$ . Hence,  $B = (B\Gamma S\Gamma B]$ . By Corollary 3, we have  $S = (S\Gamma S]$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} B &= (B\Gamma S\Gamma B) = (B\Gamma(S\Gamma S]\Gamma B) = ((B)\Gamma((S\Gamma S)]\Gamma B) = ((B\Gamma S\Gamma S]\Gamma B) \\ &= ((B\Gamma S\Gamma S]\Gamma(B)) = ((B\Gamma S\Gamma S)\Gamma B) = ((B\Gamma S)\Gamma(S\Gamma B)). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that  $R$  is a right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal and  $L$  a left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  such that  $B = (R\Gamma L]$ . We prove that  $(R\Gamma L]$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . We have

$$(R\Gamma L]\Gamma(R\Gamma L] \subseteq ((R\Gamma L)\Gamma(R\Gamma L)) = ((R\Gamma L\Gamma R)\Gamma L) \subseteq ((R\Gamma S\Gamma R)\Gamma L) \subseteq (R\Gamma L].$$

Then,  $(R\Gamma L]$  is a sub  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup of  $S$ . Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R\Gamma L]\Gamma S\Gamma(R\Gamma L] &= (R\Gamma L]\Gamma(S)\Gamma(R\Gamma L] \subseteq ((R\Gamma L)\Gamma S)\Gamma(R\Gamma L] \subseteq ((R\Gamma L)\Gamma S\Gamma(R\Gamma L)) \\ &\subseteq (R\Gamma(L\Gamma S)\Gamma R\Gamma L] \subseteq ((R\Gamma S)\Gamma R\Gamma L] \subseteq (R\Gamma R\Gamma L] \subseteq (R\Gamma S\Gamma L] \subseteq (R\Gamma L]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that  $y \in S$  and  $x \in (R\Gamma L]$  such that  $y \leq x$ . Since  $x \in (R\Gamma L]$ , it follows that  $x \leq a$  for some  $a \in R\Gamma L$ . Since  $y \leq x$  and  $x \leq a$ , we get  $y \leq a$ . So, we have  $y \in (R\Gamma L]$ . Therefore,  $(R\Gamma L]$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** *An ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is regular if and only if for every right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $R$ , every left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $L$  and every bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $B$  of  $S$ , we have  $R \cap B \cap L \subseteq (R\Gamma B\Gamma L)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal,  $L$  a left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal and  $B$  a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . By hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R \cap B \cap L &\subseteq ((R \cap B \cap L)\Gamma S\Gamma(R \cap B \cap L)) \\ &\subseteq ((R \cap B \cap L)\Gamma S\Gamma(R \cap B \cap L)\Gamma S\Gamma(R \cap B \cap L)\Gamma S\Gamma(R \cap B \cap L)) \\ &\subseteq (R\Gamma S\Gamma B\Gamma S\Gamma B\Gamma S\Gamma L) = ((R\Gamma S)\Gamma(B\Gamma S\Gamma B)\Gamma(S\Gamma L)) \subseteq (R\Gamma B\Gamma L). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, suppose that  $R \cap B \cap L \subseteq (R\Gamma B\Gamma L)$  for every right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $R$ , every left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $L$  and every bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $B$  of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , we have  $R \cap L = R \cap S \cap L \subseteq (R\Gamma S\Gamma L) \subseteq (R\Gamma L)$ . By Theorem 3,  $S$  is regular.  $\square$

**Definition 6.** *Let  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  be an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. An element  $a \in S$  is said to be intra-regular if there exist  $x, y \in S, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $a \leq x\alpha a\beta a\gamma y$ . An ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $S$  is called intra-regular if all elements of  $S$  are intra-regular.*

**Equivalent definitions:**

- (1)  $a \in (S\Gamma a\Gamma a\Gamma S)$ , for all  $a \in S$ .
- (2)  $A \subseteq (S\Gamma A\Gamma A\Gamma S)$ , for all  $A \subseteq S$ .

**Example 4.** Let  $S = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  and  $\Gamma = \{\gamma, \beta\}$  be the sets of binary hyperoperations defined as follows.

$\gamma$	$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$	$e$
$a$	$\{a, b\}$	$\{b, c\}$	$c$	$\{d, e\}$	$e$
$b$	$\{b, c\}$	$c$	$c$	$\{d, e\}$	$e$
$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$\{d, e\}$	$e$
$d$	$\{d, e\}$	$\{d, e\}$	$\{d, e\}$	$d$	$e$
$e$	$e$	$e$	$e$	$e$	$e$


$\beta$	$a$	$b$	$c$	$d$	$e$
$a$	$\{b, c\}$	$c$	$c$	$\{d, e\}$	$e$
$b$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$\{d, e\}$	$e$
$c$	$c$	$c$	$c$	$\{d, e\}$	$e$
$d$	$\{d, e\}$	$\{d, e\}$	$\{d, e\}$	$d$	$e$
$e$	$e$	$e$	$e$	$e$	$e$

Then  $S$  is a  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup [41]. We have  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup where the order relation  $\leq$  is defined by:

$$\leq := \{(a, a), (a, b), (a, c), (b, b), (b, c), (c, c), (d, d), (e, e)\}.$$

The covering relation and the figure of  $S$  are given by:

$$\prec = \{(a, b), (b, c)\}.$$



Then, by routine calculations,  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is intra-regular.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  be an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. Then,  $S$  is intra-regular if and only if for every right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $R$  and every left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $L$  of  $S$ , we have*

$$R \cap L \subseteq (L\Gamma R).$$

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal and  $L$  a left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . Let  $S$  be intra-regular; we need to prove that  $R \cap L \subseteq (L\Gamma R)$ . Since  $S$  is intra-regular, we have

$$R \cap L \subseteq (S\Gamma(R \cap L)\Gamma(R \cap L)\Gamma S) \subseteq (S\Gamma L\Gamma R\Gamma S) \subseteq (L\Gamma R).$$

Conversely, suppose that  $R \cap L \subseteq (L\Gamma R)$  for any right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $R$  and any left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $L$  of  $S$ . Let  $a \in S$ . Since  $a \in R_S(a)$  and  $a \in L_S(a)$ , it follows that  $a \in R_S(a) \cap L_S(a)$ . By hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \in (L_S(a)\Gamma R_S(a)) &= ((a \cup S\Gamma a)\Gamma(a \cup a\Gamma S)) \\ &\subseteq (a\Gamma a \cup S\Gamma a\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma a\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma a\Gamma a\Gamma S). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $a \leq u$  for some  $u \in a\Gamma a \cup S\Gamma a\Gamma a \cup a\Gamma a\Gamma S \cup S\Gamma a\Gamma a\Gamma S$ . If  $u \in S\Gamma a\Gamma a\Gamma S$ , then  $a \leq x\alpha a\beta a\gamma y$  for some  $x, y \in S, \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus, we have  $a \in (S\Gamma a\Gamma a\Gamma S)$ . Therefore,  $S$  is intra-regular. If  $u \in a\Gamma a$ , then  $a \leq a\alpha a \leq a\alpha(a\beta a) \leq a\alpha a\beta a\gamma a$ . So, we have  $a \in (S\Gamma a\Gamma a\Gamma S)$ . Hence,  $S$  is intra-regular. If  $u \in S\Gamma a\Gamma a$ , then  $a \leq x\alpha a\beta a \leq x\alpha(x\gamma a\delta a)\beta a$  for some  $x \in S, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ . So, we have  $a \leq s\gamma a\delta a\beta a$ . Hence,  $a \in (S\Gamma a\Gamma a\Gamma S)$ . If  $u \in a\Gamma a\Gamma S$ , in a similar way, we obtain  $a \in (S\Gamma a\Gamma a\Gamma S)$ . Therefore,  $S$  is intra-regular.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  be an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $S$  is regular and intra-regular.
- (2)  $(R\Gamma L) = R \cap L \subseteq (L\Gamma R)$  for every right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $R$  and every left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $L$  of  $S$ .

*Proof.* It is immediately followed by Theorem 3 and Theorem 6.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** *An ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is intra-regular if and only if for every right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $R$ , every left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $L$  and every bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $B$  of  $S$ , we have  $R \cap B \cap L \subseteq (L\Gamma B\Gamma R)$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5.  $\square$

By routine verification we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 8.** *An ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is both regular and intra-regular if and only if for every right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $R$ , every left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $L$  and every bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $B$  of  $S$ , we have  $R \cap B \cap L \subseteq (B\Gamma R\Gamma L)$ .*

Our main aim in the following is to introduce and study the notion of simple ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups. Also, we characterize this type of ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups in terms of  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals.

**Definition 7.** *An ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is said to be left (resp. right) simple if  $S$  has no proper left (resp. right)  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals.  $S$  is called a simple ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup if it does not contain proper  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals, i.e., for any  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $I \neq \emptyset$  of  $S$ , we have  $I = S$ .*

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  be an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. Then, the following assertions hold:*

- (1)  *$S$  is left simple if and only if  $(S\Gamma a] = S$ , for all  $a \in S$ .*
- (2)  *$S$  is right simple if and only if  $(a\Gamma S] = S$ , for all  $a \in S$ .*

*Proof.* (1): Suppose that  $S$  is a left simple ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup and  $a \in S$ . We have

$$S\Gamma(S\Gamma a] = (S]\Gamma(S\Gamma a] \subseteq (S\Gamma(S\Gamma a]) = ((S\Gamma S)\Gamma a]) \subseteq (S\Gamma a].$$

Now, suppose that  $x \in (S\Gamma a]$  and  $y \in S$  such that  $y \leq x$ . Since  $x \in (S\Gamma a]$ , it follows that  $x \leq u$  for some  $u \in S\Gamma a$ . Since  $y \leq x$  and  $x \leq u$ , we get  $y \leq u$ . So, we have  $y \in (S\Gamma a]$ . Hence,  $(S\Gamma a]$  is a left hyperideal of  $S$ . Since  $S$  is a left simple ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup, we have  $(S\Gamma a] = S$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $(S\Gamma a] = S$  for all  $a \in S$ . Let  $L$  be a left hyperideal of  $S$  and  $x \in L$ . By assumption, we have  $(S\Gamma x] = S$ . If  $s \in S$ , then  $s \in (S\Gamma x]$ . So,  $s \leq v$  for some  $v \in S\Gamma x \subseteq L$ . Since  $L$  is a left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , we have  $s \in L$ , and so  $L = S$ . Therefore,  $S$  is a left simple ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup.

(2): The proof is similar to the proof of (1). □

**Theorem 9.** *If  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is a left (right) simple ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup, then  $S$  is a simple ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup.*

*Proof.* It is straightforward. □

**Theorem 10.** *An ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is left and right simple if and only if for every  $a \in S$ , we have  $(S\Gamma a\Gamma S] = S$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be left and right simple and  $a \in S$ . By Lemma 8,  $a \in (S\Gamma a]$  and  $a \in (a\Gamma S]$ . We have

$$a \in (a\Gamma S] \subseteq ((S\Gamma a]\Gamma S] \subseteq (S\Gamma a\Gamma S],$$

and so  $S \subseteq (S\Gamma a\Gamma S]$ . Thus,  $(S\Gamma a\Gamma S] = S$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $(S\Gamma a\Gamma S] = S$  for every  $a \in S$ . Let  $I$  be a  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  such that  $I \subsetneq S$ . Let  $x \in I$ . By assumption, we have  $s \leq s\mu x\lambda s$  for every  $s \in S$  and  $\mu, \lambda \in \Gamma$ . We have

$$s\mu x\lambda s \subseteq S\Gamma I\Gamma S \subseteq (S\Gamma I\Gamma S] \subseteq (I] = I.$$

Then,  $S \subseteq I$ , a contradiction. Therefore,  $S$  has no proper left and right  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals. This completes the proof. □

In what follows, we characterize simple ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups in terms of bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals.

**Theorem 11.** *An ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is left and right simple if and only if  $S$  does not contain proper bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $S$  is a left and right simple ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup and  $B$  a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . We claim that  $S \subseteq B$ . Consider  $s \in S$  and  $x \in B$ . Since  $S$  is left simple, we get  $S = (x \cup S\Gamma x]$ . We can consider the following two cases:

**Case 1.** If  $s \leq x$ , then we have  $s \in B$ .

**Case 2.** Let  $s \in (u\gamma x]$  for some  $u \in S$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . By hypothesis,  $S$  is a right simple ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. Then, we have  $S = (x \cup x\Gamma S]$ . Since  $u \in S$ , we have  $u \leq x$  or  $u \in (x\delta w]$  for some  $w \in S$  and  $\delta \in \Gamma$ . By Lemma 8, we have  $S = (x\Gamma S] = (S\Gamma x]$ , and so  $x \in (x\Gamma S] = (x\Gamma(S\Gamma x]) \subseteq (x\Gamma S\Gamma x]$ . Then,  $S$  is a regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. Thus, there exists  $a \in S$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  such that  $x \in (x\alpha a\beta x]$ . If  $u \leq x$ , then we have

$$(u\gamma x] \subseteq (x\gamma x] \subseteq (x\gamma x\alpha\alpha\beta x] \subseteq (B\Gamma S\Gamma B] \subseteq B,$$

and so  $s \in B$ . If  $u \in (x\delta w]$ , then we have

$$(u\gamma x] \subseteq (x\delta w\gamma x] \subseteq (B\Gamma S\Gamma B] \subseteq B,$$

and so  $s \in B$ . Therefore,  $S \subseteq B$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $S$  does not contain proper bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals. Let  $L$  be a left  $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . Then,  $L$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . By assumption, we have  $S = L$ . Therefore,  $S$  is a left simple ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. Similarly, we can show that  $S$  is a right simple ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup.  $\square$

In the following, we study some properties of bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals and minimal bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals in ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups.

**Definition 8.** An ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  is said to be  $B$ -simple if  $S$  does not contain any proper bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals. A bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal  $C$  of  $S$  is called a minimal bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  if  $C$  does not properly contain any bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ .

**Theorem 12.** Let  $B$  be a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ . Then,  $(u\Gamma B\Gamma v]$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  for every  $u, v \in S$ . In particular,  $(u\Gamma S\Gamma v]$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  for every  $u, v \in S$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [8].  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** Let  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  be an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. Then,  $S$  is  $B$ -simple if and only if  $(u\Gamma S\Gamma u] = S$  for all  $u \in S$ .

*Proof.* The necessity is obvious. For the sufficiency, let  $(u\Gamma S\Gamma u] = S$  for all  $u \in S$ . We have

$$(u\Gamma S\Gamma u] \subseteq (S\Gamma u] \subseteq S \text{ and } (u\Gamma S\Gamma u] \subseteq (u\Gamma S] \subseteq S.$$

By assumption, we have  $(S\Gamma u] = S$  and  $(u\Gamma S] = S$  for all  $u \in S$ . Now, let  $B$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  and  $b \in B$ . Then,  $(S\Gamma b] = S = (b\Gamma S]$ . So, we have

$$S = (b\Gamma S] = (b\Gamma (b\Gamma S]) \subseteq (b\Gamma S\Gamma b] \subseteq (B\Gamma S\Gamma B] \subseteq (B] \subseteq B.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** Let  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$  be an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup. If  $C$  is a minimal bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  and  $B$  a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , then  $C = (c\Gamma B\Gamma d]$  for every  $c, d \in C$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 12,  $(c\Gamma B\Gamma d]$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . Since  $C$  is a minimal bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  and  $(c\Gamma B\Gamma d] \subseteq (C\Gamma B\Gamma C] \subseteq (C\Gamma S\Gamma C] \subseteq (C] \subseteq C$ , we obtain  $C = (c\Gamma B\Gamma d]$ .  $\square$

At the end of the paper, we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 13.** Let  $B$  be a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup  $(S, \Gamma, \leq)$ . Then,  $B$  is a minimal bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  if and only if  $B$  is  $B$ -simple.

*Proof.* Let  $B$  be a minimal bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . Then,  $B$  is a sub  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup of  $S$ . Now, let  $C$  be a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $B$ . Then,  $C\Gamma B\Gamma C \subseteq C$ . Put  $K = (C\Gamma B\Gamma C]_C$ . Then,  $\emptyset \neq K \subseteq C \subseteq B$ . Now, we prove that  $K$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . Let  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ ,  $x \in S$  and  $\gamma, \delta \in \Gamma$ . Then,  $k_1 \leq c_1\alpha_1b_1\beta_1c'_1$  and  $k_2 \leq c_2\alpha_2b_2\beta_2c'_2$  for some  $c_1, c'_1, c_2, c'_2 \in C$ ,  $b_1, b_2 \in B$  and  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2 \in \Gamma$ . So, we have

$$k_1\gamma k_2 \leq c_1\alpha_1(b_1\beta_1c'_1\gamma c_2\alpha_2b_2)\beta_2c'_2$$

and

$$k_1\gamma x\delta k_2 \leq c_1\alpha_1(b_1\beta_1c'_1\gamma x\delta c_2\alpha_2b_2)\beta_2c'_2.$$

Since  $b_1\beta_1c'_1\gamma c_2\alpha_2b_2 \subseteq B\Gamma S\Gamma B \subseteq B$ , it follows that  $k_1\gamma k_2 \subseteq K\Gamma K \subseteq C\Gamma C \subseteq C$ . So,  $k_1\gamma k_2 \subseteq (C\Gamma B\Gamma C]_C = K$ . Hence,  $K$  is a sub  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroup of  $S$ . Since  $b_1\beta_1c'_1\gamma x\delta c_2\alpha_2b_2 \subseteq B\Gamma S\Gamma B \subseteq B$ , we get

$$c_1\alpha_1(b_1\beta_1c'_1\gamma x\delta c_2\alpha_2b_2)\beta_2c'_2 \subseteq C\Gamma B\Gamma C \subseteq C.$$

Since  $C$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $B$  and  $k_1\gamma x\delta k_2 \subseteq K\Gamma S\Gamma K \subseteq B\Gamma S\Gamma B \subseteq B$ , we obtain  $k_1\gamma x\delta k_2 \subseteq C$ . So, we have  $k_1\gamma x\delta k_2 \subseteq (C\Gamma B\Gamma C]_C = K$ . Therefore,  $K\Gamma S\Gamma K \subseteq K$ . Now, let  $y \in (K]$ . Then,  $y \leq k$  for some  $k \in K$ . Since  $k \in K$ , there exist  $c, c' \in C$ ,  $b \in B$  and  $\mu, \lambda \in \Gamma$  such that  $k \leq c\mu b\lambda c'$ . Since  $c\mu b\lambda c' \subseteq C\Gamma B\Gamma C \subseteq C \subseteq B$  and  $B$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , we get  $k \in B$ . Since  $B$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , we have  $y \in B$ . So,  $y \leq z$  for some  $z \in c\mu b\lambda c' \subseteq C\Gamma B\Gamma C \subseteq C$ . Since  $C$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $B$ , we have  $y \in C$ . So, we have  $y \in (C\Gamma B\Gamma C]_C = K$ . Therefore,  $K$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . Since  $B$  is a minimal bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ , it follows that  $K = B$ . So, we have  $C = B$ . Therefore,  $B$  is  $B$ -simple.

Conversely, assume that  $B$  is  $B$ -simple. Let  $C$  be a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$  such that  $C \subseteq B$ . Then,  $B \cap C \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $c \in B \cap C$ . By Theorem 12,  $(c\Gamma B\Gamma c]$  is a bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $B$ . Since  $B$  is  $B$ -simple, we obtain  $(c\Gamma B\Gamma c] = B$ . Now, we have

$$B = (c\Gamma B\Gamma c] \subseteq (C\Gamma B\Gamma C] \subseteq (C\Gamma S\Gamma C] \subseteq (C] = C.$$

Hence,  $C = B$ . Therefore,  $B$  is a minimal bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideal of  $S$ . □

REFERENCES

[1] Abbasi M.Y., Basar A. *On ordered quasi- $\Gamma$ -ideals of regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*. Algebra, 2013, **2013**, 1–7. doi:10.1155/2013/565848.

[2] Abdullah S., Aslam M., Anwar T. *A note on  $M$ -hypersystems and  $N$ -hypersystems in  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*. Quasi-groups Related Systems 2011, **19** (2), 169–172.

[3] Abdullah S., Hila K., Aslam M. *On bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals in  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*. UPB Sci. Bull. Series A. 2012, **74** (4), 79–90.

[4] Anvariye S.M., Mirvakili S., Davvaz B. *On  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals in  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*. Carpathian J. Math. 2010, **26** (1), 11–23.

[5] Anvariye S.M., Mirvakili S., Davvaz B. *Pawlak’s approximations in  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*. Comput. Math. Appl. 2010, **60** (1), 45–53.

[6] Chinram R. *Rough prime ideals and rough fuzzy prime ideals in  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*. Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 2009, **24** (3), 341–351.

[7] Chinram R., Tinpun K. *Isomorphism theorems for  $\Gamma$ -semigroups and ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*. Thai J. Math. 2009, **7** (2), 231–241.

- [8] Chinram R., Tinpun K. *A note on minimal bi-ideals in ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*. International Mathematical Forum 2009, **4** (1), 1–5.
- [9] Corsini P. *Prolegomena of Hypergroup Theory*. Second edition, Aviani Editore, Italy, 1993.
- [10] Corsini P., Leoreanu V. *Applications of Hyperstructure Theory*. Advances in Mathematics, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2003.
- [11] Chvalina J. Commutative hypergroups in the sence of Marty and ordered sets. In: Proc. of the Summer School in General Algebra and Ordered Sets, Olomouck, 1994, 19–30.
- [12] Davvaz B., Leoreanu-Fotea V. *Hyperring Theory and Applications*. International Academic Press, USA, 2007.
- [13] Davvaz B., Leoreanu-Fotea V. *Structures of fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals of  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*. J. Mult.-Valued Logic Soft Comput. 2012, **19**, 519–535.
- [14] Davvaz B., Omid S. *Basic notions and properties of ordered semihyperrings*. Categ. Gen. Algebr. Struct. Appl. 2016, **4** (1), 43–62.
- [15] Davvaz B., Corsini P., Changphas T. *Relationship between ordered semihypergroups and ordered semigroups by using pseudoorder*. European J. Combin. 2015, **44**, 208–217.
- [16] Dutta T.K., Adhikari N.C. *On prime radical of  $\Gamma$ -semigroup*. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 1994, **86** (5), 437–444.
- [17] Dutta T.K., Adhikari N.C. *On partially ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup*. Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 2004, **28** (6), 1021–1028.
- [18] Good R.A., Hughes D.R. *Associated groups for a semigroup*. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 1952, **58**, 624–625.
- [19] Gu Z., Tang X. *Ordered regular equivalence relations on ordered semihypergroups*. J. Algebra 2016, **450**, 384–397.
- [20] Heidari D., Davvaz B. *On ordered hyperstructures*. Politehn. Univ. Bucharest Sci. Bull. Ser. A Appl. Math. Phys. 2011, **73** (2), 85–96.
- [21] Heidari D., Dehkordi S.O., Davvaz B.  *$\Gamma$ -semihypergroups and their properties*. UPB Sci. Bull. Series A 2010, **72** (1), 197–210.
- [22] Hila K. *On quasi-prime, weakly quasi-prime left ideals in ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*. Math. Slovaca 2010, **60**, 195–212.
- [23] Hila K., Davvaz B., Dine J. *Study on the structure of  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*. Comm. Algebra 2012, **40** (8), 2932–2948.
- [24] Howie J. *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*. Oxford University Press, New York, 1995.
- [25] Iampan A. *Characterizing ordered bi-ideals in ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*. Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform. 2009, **4** (1), 17–25.
- [26] Kehayopulu N. *On ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*. Sci. Math. Jpn. 2010, **71** (2), 179–185.
- [27] Kehayopulu N. *On prime, weakly prime ideals in ordered semigroups*. Semigroup Forum 1992, **44**, 341–346.
- [28] Kehayopulu N., Tsingelis M. *On subdirectly irreducible ordered semigroups*. Semigroup Forum 1995, **50**, 161–177.
- [29] Kehayopulu N., Tsingelis M. *Pseudoorder in ordered semigroups*. Semigroup Forum 1995, **50**, 389–392.
- [30] Kondo M., Lekkoksung N. *On intra-regular ordered  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*. Int. J. Math. Analysis, 2013, **7** (28), 1379–1386.
- [31] Kwon Y.I. *The filters of the ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*. J. Korean Soc. Math. Educ. Ser. B: Pure Appl. Math. 1997, **4**, 131–135.
- [32] Kwon Y.I., Lee S.K. *On weakly prime ideals of ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*. Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 1998, **13** (2), 251–256.
- [33] Kwon Y.I., Lee S.K. *Some special elements in ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroups*. Kyungpook Math. J. 1996, **35** (3), 679–685.
- [34] Marty F. *Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe*. 8<sup>iem</sup> Congres Math. Scandinaves, Stockholm, Sweden, 1934, 45–49.

- [35] Omidi S., Davvaz B., Corsini P. *Operations on hyperideals in ordered Krasner hyperrings*. An. Ştiinţ Ūniv. "Ovidius" Constanţa Ser. Mat. 2016, **24** (3), 275–293.
- [36] Pibaljomme B., Davvaz B. *Characterizations of (fuzzy) bi-hyperideals in ordered semihypergroups*. J. Intell. Fuzzy Systems 2015, **28**, 2141–2148.
- [37] Sen M.K., Saha N.K. *On  $\Gamma$ -semigroup I*. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 1986, **78**, 180–186.
- [38] Sen M.K., Seth A. *On  $po$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroups*. Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 1993, **85**, 445–450.
- [39] Tang J., Davvaz B., Luo Y.F. *Hyperfilters and fuzzy hyperfilters of ordered semihypergroups*. J. Intell. Fuzzy Systems 2015, **29** (1), 75–84.
- [40] Vougiouklis T. *Hyperstructures and Their Representations*. Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Florida, 1994.
- [41] Yaqoob N., Aslam M. *Prime  $(m, n)$  bi- $\Gamma$ -hyperideals in  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*. Appl. Math. Inf. Sci. 2014, **8** (5), 2243–2249.
- [42] Yaqoob N., Aslam M., Davvaz B., Ghareeb A. *Structures of bipolar fuzzy  $\Gamma$ -hyperideals in  $\Gamma$ -semihypergroups*. J. Intell. Fuzzy Systems 2014, **27**, 3015–3032.

Received 07.09.2018

---

Оміді С., Давваз Б., Хіла К. *Характеристики регулярних і внутрішньо-регулярних впорядкованих  $\Gamma$ -напівгіпергруп в термінах бі- $\Gamma$ -гіперідеалів // Карпатські матем. публ. — 2019. — Т.11, №1. — С. 136–151.*

Поняття  $\Gamma$ -напівгіпергруп є узагальненням напівгруп, узагальненням напівгіпергруп і узагальненням  $\Gamma$ -напівгруп. У даній роботі досліджується поняття бі- $\Gamma$ -гіперідеалів у впорядкованих  $\Gamma$ -напівгіпергрупах і досліджуються деякі властивості цих бі- $\Gamma$ -гіперідеалів. Також ми визначаємо і використовуємо поняття регулярно впорядкованих  $\Gamma$ -напівгіпергруп для вивчення деяких класичних результатів і властивостей у впорядкованих  $\Gamma$ -напівгіпергрупах.

*Ключові слова і фрази:* упорядковані  $\Gamma$ -напівгіпергрупи,  $\Gamma$ -гіперідеали, бі- $\Gamma$ -гіперідеали.