



ÖZARSLAN H.S.

ON A NEW APPLICATION OF QUASI POWER INCREASING SEQUENCES

In the present paper, absolute matrix summability of infinite series has been studied. A new theorem concerned with absolute matrix summability factors, which generalizes a known theorem dealing with absolute Riesz summability factors of infinite series, has been proved under weaker conditions by using quasi β -power increasing sequences. Also, a known result dealing with absolute Riesz summability has been given.

Key words and phrases: Riesz mean, almost increasing sequences, quasi power increasing sequences, Hölder inequality, Minkowski inequality, matrix transformation.

Department of Mathematics, Erciyes University, 38039, Kayseri, Turkey
E-mail: seyhan@erciyes.edu.tr; hseyhan38@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

Let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with partial sums (s_n) . Let (p_n) be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{v=0}^n p_v \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, i \geq 1).$$

Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a normal matrix, i.e., a lower triangular matrix of nonzero diagonal entries. Then A defines the sequence-to-sequence transformation, mapping the sequence $s = (s_n)$ to $As = (A_n(s))$, where

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv}s_v, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let (φ_n) be any sequence of positive real numbers. The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $\varphi - |A; \delta|_k$, $k \geq 1$ and $\delta \geq 0$, if (see [9])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n^{\delta k + k - 1} |A_n(s) - A_{n-1}(s)|^k < \infty. \quad (1)$$

In the special case for $\delta = 0$, $\varphi_n = \frac{p_n}{p_n}$ and $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$, we obtain the $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k$ summability (see [2]). Also, it should be noted that for $\varphi_n = \frac{p_n}{p_n}$ and $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$, the $\varphi - |A; \delta|_k$ summability reduces to $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability (see [3]).

YΔK 517.52

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 26D15, 40D15, 40F05, 40G99.

1 KNOWN RESULT

A positive sequence (h_n) is said to be almost increasing if there exist a positive increasing sequence (c_n) and two positive constants K and L such that $Kc_n \leq h_n \leq Lc_n$ (see [1]). By means of this sequence, Mazhar [7] has established following theorem.

Theorem 1. *If (X_n) is an almost increasing sequence and the conditions*

$$|\lambda_m|X_m = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{2}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m nX_n|\Delta^2\lambda_n| = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{P_n}{n} = O(P_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{4}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{|t_n|^k}{n} = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty \tag{5}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \frac{p_n}{P_n}|t_n|^k = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \tag{6}$$

are satisfied, where (t_n) is the n th $(C, 1)$ mean of the sequence (na_n) , then the series $\sum a_n\lambda_n$ is summable $|\bar{N}, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$.

2 MAIN RESULT

A positive sequence (γ_n) is said to be quasi β -power increasing sequence if there exists a constant $K = K(\beta, \gamma) \geq 1$ such that $Kn^\beta\gamma_n \geq m^\beta\gamma_m$ holds for all $n \geq m \geq 1$ (see [6]). It should be noted that every almost increasing sequence is quasi β -power increasing sequence for any nonnegative β , but the converse need not be true as can be seen by taking the example, say $\gamma_n = n^{-\beta}$ for $\beta > 0$. A sequence (λ_n) is said to be of bounded variation, denoted by $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$, if $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\Delta\lambda_n| = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |\lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}| < \infty$. One can find some applications of quasi power increasing sequences (see [4–6, 10]). The purpose of this paper is to obtain a theorem which generalizes Theorem 1 for $\varphi - |A; \delta|_k$ summability using quasi β -power increasing sequence. Before giving this theorem, let us introduce some further notations.

Let $A = (a_{nv})$ be a normal matrix, $\bar{A} = (\bar{a}_{nv})$ and $\hat{A} = (\hat{a}_{nv})$ are defined as follows:

$$\bar{a}_{nv} = \sum_{i=v}^n a_{ni}, \quad n, v = 0, 1, \dots \tag{7}$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{00} = \bar{a}_{00} = a_{00}, \quad \hat{a}_{nv} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{8}$$

\bar{A} and \hat{A} are the well-known matrices of series-to-sequence and series-to-series transformations, respectively. Then, we have

$$A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_{nv} s_v = \sum_{v=0}^n \bar{a}_{nv} a_v \quad (9)$$

and

$$\bar{\Delta} A_n(s) = \sum_{v=0}^n \hat{a}_{nv} a_v. \quad (10)$$

Theorem 2. Let $(\lambda_n) \in \mathcal{BV}$ and $A = (a_{nv})$ be a positive normal matrix such that

$$\bar{a}_{n0} = 1, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (11)$$

$$a_{n-1,v} \geq a_{nv}, \quad \text{for } n \geq v + 1, \quad (12)$$

$$a_{nn} = O\left(\frac{p_n}{P_n}\right), \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\hat{a}_{n,v+1}|}{v} = O(a_{nn}), \quad (14)$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k} |\Delta_v \hat{a}_{nv}| = O\left(\varphi_v^{\delta k-1}\right) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (15)$$

$$\sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| = O\left(\varphi_v^{\delta k}\right) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (16)$$

Let (X_n) be a quasi β -power increasing sequence for some $0 < \beta < 1$ and $\varphi_n p_n = O(P_n)$. If conditions (2), (3) of Theorem 1 and

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k} \frac{1}{n} |t_n|^k = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \quad (17)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k-1} |t_n|^k = O(X_m) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty \quad (18)$$

are satisfied, then the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ is summable $\varphi - |A; \delta|_k$, $k \geq 1$ and $0 \leq \delta < 1/k$.

Lemma 1. ([4]). Under the conditions of Theorem 2, we have

$$n X_n |\Delta \lambda_n| = O(1) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (19)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n |\Delta \lambda_n| < \infty. \quad (20)$$

3 PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let (I_n) denotes A -transform of the series $\sum a_n \lambda_n$. Then, we have

$$\bar{\Delta}I_n = \sum_{v=1}^n \frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v}{v} v a_v$$

by (9) and (10). Now, using Abel's transformation,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Delta}I_n &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \Delta_v \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{nv} \lambda_v}{v} \right) \sum_{r=1}^v r a_r + \frac{\hat{a}_{nn} \lambda_n}{n} \sum_{r=1}^n r a_r \\ &= \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{v+1}{v} \Delta_v (\hat{a}_{nv}) \lambda_v t_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{v+1}{v} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \Delta \lambda_v t_v + \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{v} \hat{a}_{n,v+1} \lambda_{v+1} t_v + \frac{n+1}{n} a_{nn} \lambda_n t_n \\ &= I_{n,1} + I_{n,2} + I_{n,3} + I_{n,4}. \end{aligned}$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 2, by (1), we will prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |I_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

For $r = 1$, applying Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |I_{n,1}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\lambda_v| |t_v| \right)^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| \right)^{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (7) and (8), we have

$$\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv}) = \hat{a}_{nv} - \hat{a}_{n,v+1} = \bar{a}_{nv} - \bar{a}_{n-1,v} - \bar{a}_{n,v+1} + \bar{a}_{n-1,v+1} = a_{nv} - a_{n-1,v}.$$

Thus using (7), (11) and (12)

$$\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| = \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} (a_{n-1,v} - a_{nv}) \leq a_{nn}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |I_{n,1}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \right) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_v|^k |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k} |\Delta_v(\hat{a}_{nv})| = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \varphi_v^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_v| |t_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_v| \sum_{r=1}^v \varphi_r^{\delta k-1} |t_r|^k + O(1) |\lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m \varphi_v^{\delta k-1} |t_v|^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| X_v + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m = O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

by (2), (13), (15), (18) and (20). For $r = 2$, using Hölder's inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |I_{n,2}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} \sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v|^k \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| \right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v|^k \right) \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\Delta \lambda_v| |t_v|^k \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \varphi_v^{\delta k} v |\Delta \lambda_v| \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} \Delta(v|\Delta \lambda_v|) \sum_{r=1}^v \varphi_r^{\delta k} \frac{1}{r} |t_r|^k + O(1) m |\Delta \lambda_m| \sum_{v=1}^m \varphi_v^{\delta k} \frac{1}{v} |t_v|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} v X_v |\Delta^2 \lambda_v| + O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_v| X_v + O(1) m |\Delta \lambda_m| X_m \\
&= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by using (3), (13), (16), (17), (19) and (20).

Again, for $r = 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |I_{n,3}|^k &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{|t_v|}{v} \right)^k \\
&\leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\lambda_{v+1}|^k \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \right) \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} \frac{|\hat{a}_{n,v+1}|}{v} \right)^{k-1} \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} a_{nn}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{v=1}^{n-1} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \right) \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m |\lambda_{v+1}| \frac{|t_v|^k}{v} \sum_{n=v+1}^{m+1} \varphi_n^{\delta k} |\hat{a}_{n,v+1}| = O(1) \sum_{v=1}^m \varphi_v^{\delta k} \frac{1}{v} |\lambda_{v+1}| |t_v|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_{v+1}| \sum_{r=1}^v \varphi_r^{\delta k} \frac{1}{r} |t_r|^k + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| \sum_{v=1}^m \varphi_v^{\delta k} \frac{1}{v} |t_v|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{v=1}^{m-1} |\Delta \lambda_{v+1}| X_{v+1} + O(1) |\lambda_{m+1}| X_{m+1} \\
&= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by using (2), (13), (14), (16), (17) and (20).

Finally, as in the process for $I_{n,1}$, by using Abel's transformation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} |I_{n,4}|^k &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k+k-1} a_{nn}^k |\lambda_n|^k |t_n|^k \\
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \varphi_n^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k \\
&= O(1) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by using (2), (13), (18) and (20). This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

If we take (X_n) as an almost increasing sequence, $\varphi_n = \frac{P_n}{p_n}$, $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ and $\delta = 0$ in Theorem 2, then we get Theorem 1. In this case the conditions (14), (17) and (18) reduce to the conditions (4), (5) and (6), respectively. Also, if we take (X_n) as an almost increasing sequence, $\varphi_n = \frac{P_n}{p_n}$ and $a_{nv} = \frac{p_v}{P_n}$ in Theorem 2, then we get a theorem dealing with $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability (see [8]).

REFERENCES

- [1] Bari N.K., Stečkin S.B. *Best approximations and differential properties of two conjugate functions*. Trudy Moscov. Mat. Obšč. 1956, **5**, 483–522 (in Russian).
- [2] Bor H. *On two summability methods*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 1985, **97**, 147–149.
- [3] Bor H. *On local property of $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability of factored Fourier series*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 1993, **179** (2), 646–649.
- [4] Bor H., Debnath L. *Quasi β -power increasing sequences*. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2004, **41-44**, 2371–2376.
- [5] Bor H., Özarslan H.S. *On the quasi power increasing sequences*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 2002, **276** (2), 924–929.
- [6] Leindler L. *A new application of quasi power increasing sequences*. Publ. Math. Debrecen 2001, **58**, 791–796.
- [7] Mazhar S.M. *Absolute summability factors of infinite series*. Kyungpook Math. J. 1999, **39**, 67–73.
- [8] Özarslan H.S. *On $|\bar{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ summability factors*. Kyungpook Math. J. 2003, **43** (1), 107–112.
- [9] Özarslan H.S., Ari T. *Absolute matrix summability methods*. Appl. Math. Lett. 2011, **24** (12), 2102–2106.
doi: 10.1016/j.aml.2011.06.006
- [10] Özarslan H.S., Yavuz E. *A new note on absolute matrix summability*. J. Inequal. Appl. 2013, **474**, 1–7.
doi: 10.1186/1029-242x-2013-474

Received 02.08.2018

Озарслан Г. *Про нове застосування квазі-степеневих зростаючих послідовностей* // Карпатські матем. публ. — 2019. — Т.11, №1. — С. 152–157.

У роботі досліджено абсолютну матричну сумовність нескінченних послідовностей. Нову теорему, яка стосується умов абсолютної матричної сумовності і узагальнює відому теорему про умови абсолютної сумовності Ріса для нескінченних послідовностей доведено за слабших умов з використанням квазі- β -степеневих зростаючих послідовностей. Також, отримано один відомий результат, який стосується абсолютної сумовності Ріса.

Ключові слова і фрази: середнє за Рісом, майже зростаючі послідовності, квазі-степеневі зростаючі послідовності, нерівність Гельдера, нерівність Мінковського, матричні перетворення.