

УДК 519.213.2+517.53

M. I. PLATSYDEM, M. M. SHEREMETA

**ESTIMATES FOR THE MAXIMUM MODULUS  
OF ANALYTIC CHARACTERISTIC FUNCTIONS  
OF PROBABILITY LAWS ON SOME SEQUENCES**

M. I. Platsydem, M. M. Sheremeta. *Estimates for the maximum modulus of analytic characteristic functions of probability laws on some sequences*, Mat. Stud. **42** (2014), 149–159.

Let  $\varphi$  be the characteristic function of a probability law  $F$  analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_R = \{z: |z| < R\}$ ,  $0 < R \leq +\infty$ ,  $M(r, \varphi) = \max\{|\varphi(z)|: |z| = r\}$  and  $W_F(x) = 1 - F(x) + F(-x)$ ,  $x \geq 0$ . We obtain upper estimates for  $\varliminf_{r \uparrow R} (\ln M(r, \varphi)) / \Phi(r)$  for some positive convex on  $(0, R)$  function  $\Phi$  under certain conditions on  $W_F$ .

М. И. Плацидем, М. М. Шеремета. *Оценка для максимума модуля аналитических характеристических функций вероятностных законов на некоторых последовательностях* // Мат. Студії. – 2014. – Т.42, №2. – С.149–159.

Пусть  $\varphi$  – характеристическая функция вероятностного закона  $F$ , аналитическая в  $\mathbb{D}_R = \{z: |z| < R\}$ ,  $0 < R \leq +\infty$ ,  $M(r, \varphi) = \max\{|\varphi(z)|: |z| = r\}$  и  $W_F(x) = 1 - F(x) + F(-x)$ ,  $x \geq 0$ . Для некоторой положительной выпуклой на  $(0, R)$  функции  $\Phi$  при определенных условиях на  $W_F$  получены оценки сверху для  $\varliminf_{r \uparrow R} (\ln M(r, \varphi)) / \Phi(r)$ .

**1. Introduction and preliminary results.** A non-decreasing left continuous on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  function  $F$  is said to be a *probability law* ([1, p. 10]) if  $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} F(x) = 1$  and  $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} F(x) = 0$ , and the function  $\varphi(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{izx} dF(x)$  defined for real  $z$  is called the *characteristic function of this law* ([1, p. 12]). If  $\varphi$  has an analytic extension to the disk  $\mathbb{D}_R = \{z: |z| < R\}$ ,  $0 < R \leq +\infty$ , then we call  $\varphi$  to be the analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_R$  characteristic function of the law  $F$ . In the sequel we always assume that  $\mathbb{D}_R$  is the maximal disk of the analyticity of  $\varphi$ . It is known ([1, p. 37–38]) that  $\varphi$  is the analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_R$  characteristic function of a law  $F$  if and only if  $W_F(x) =: 1 - F(x) + F(-x) = O(e^{-rx})$  as  $0 \leq x \rightarrow +\infty$  for every  $r \in [0, R)$ . Hence it follows that  $\varliminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{x} \ln \frac{1}{W_F(x)} = R$ . If we put  $M(r, \varphi) = \max\{|\varphi(z)|: |z| = r\}$  and  $\mu(r, \varphi) = \sup\{W_F(x)e^{rx}: x \geq 0\}$  for  $0 \leq r < R$  then ([1, p. 55], see also [2])  $\mu(r, \varphi) \leq 2M(r, \varphi)$ . Therefore, the estimates from below for  $\ln M(r, \varphi)$  follow from the same estimates for  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi)$ . Further we assume that  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi) \uparrow +\infty$  as  $r \uparrow R$ , that is

$$\overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty} W_F(x)e^{Rx} = +\infty. \tag{1}$$

On the other hand ([1, p. 52]),  $M(r, \varphi) \leq I(r, \varphi) + 1 + W_F(0)$  for all  $r \in [0, R)$ , where  $I(r, \varphi) = \int_0^\infty W_F(x)e^{rx} dx$ . Since it is possible to estimate  $I(r, \varphi)$  via  $\mu(r, \varphi)$  we will obtain

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 30D99, 60E10.

*Keywords*: characteristic function; probability law; lower estimate.

the corresponding estimates for  $M(r, \varphi)$  via  $\mu(r, \varphi)$ . Therefore, the investigation of the relationship between the growth of  $M(r, \varphi)$  and the decrease of  $W_F(x)$  reduces to the study of the behavior of  $\mu(r, \varphi)$ .

For entire characteristic functions the relationship between the growth of  $M(r, \varphi)$  and the decrease of  $W_F(x)$  in terms of the order and the type is investigated by B. Ramachandran ([3], see also [1, p. 54]). N. I. Jakovleva ([4–5]) obtained such a relationship in terms of generalized orders. Some additions to the results of N. I. Jakovleva are obtained by B. V. Vynnyts'kyi ([6]) and M. Dewess ([7]). V. M. Sorokivs'kyi ([8]) investigated the relationship between the growth of  $M(r, \varphi)$  and the decrease of  $W_F(x)$  for analytic functions in the disk  $\mathbb{D}_1$ . The most general results are obtained ([9]) for entire as well as analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_R$ ,  $R < +\infty$ , characteristic functions.

For the lower order

$$\lambda[\varphi] = \varliminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M(r, \varphi)}{\ln r}$$

of an entire characteristic function  $\varphi$  N. I. Jakovleva ([4]) obtained a lower estimate. She proved that if

$$\varliminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln x}{\ln \left( \frac{1}{x} \ln \frac{1}{W_F(x)} \right)} \geq \lambda \quad \text{then} \quad \varliminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\ln r} \ln \frac{\ln M(r, \varphi)}{r} \geq \lambda.$$

This result is generalized in [10]; namely, it is proved that if there exists an increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence  $(x_k)$  such that  $x_{k+1} = O(x_k)$  as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  and

$$\ln x_k \geq \lambda \ln \left( \frac{1}{x_k} \ln \frac{1}{W_F(x_k)} \right) \quad \text{then} \quad \varliminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\ln r} \ln \frac{\ln M(r, \varphi)}{r} \geq \lambda.$$

Various generalizations of this result are obtained in [10] for entire as well as for analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_R$ ,  $R < +\infty$ , characteristic functions.

For an upper estimate of  $\lambda[\varphi]$  it is proved in [4] that if

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M(r, \varphi)}{\ln r} = \varrho > 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\lim}_{x \rightarrow +\infty, x \in U} \frac{\ln x}{\ln \left( \frac{1}{x} \ln \frac{1}{W_F(x)} \right)} \leq \delta < \varrho - 1,$$

where  $U = \bigcup_j (a_{2j}, a_{2j+1})$  and  $\overline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} (a_{2j}/a_{2j+1}) \leq \delta/(\varrho - 1)$  then  $\lambda[\varphi] \leq 1 + \delta$ .

Below we will show that, in this result the set  $U$  of intervals can be replaced with a sequence that increases to  $+\infty$  not very quickly.

As in [10], by  $\Omega(0, R)$ ,  $0 < R \leq +\infty$ , we denote the class of positive unbounded functions  $\Phi$  on  $[r_0, R)$  for some  $r_0 \in [0, R)$  such that the derivative  $\Phi'$  is positive, continuously differentiable and increasing to  $+\infty$  on  $(r_0, R)$ . For  $\Phi \in \Omega(0, R)$  let  $\Psi(r) = r - \frac{\Phi(r)}{\Phi'(r)}$  be the function associated with  $\Phi$  in the sense of Newton and  $\phi$  be the inverse function to  $\Phi'$ . It is known ([11]) that the function  $\Psi$  is continuously differentiable on  $[r_0, R)$ ,  $\Psi(r) \uparrow R$  as  $r \uparrow R$ , the function  $\phi$  is continuously differentiable and increasing to  $R$  on  $(x_0, +\infty)$  and the following lemma is true.

**Lemma 1** ([11], Theorem 2.1). *Let  $\Phi \in \Omega(0, R)$ ,  $0 < R < +\infty$ , and  $\varphi$  be an analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_R$  characteristic function of a probability law  $F$ , which satisfies (1). Then in order that  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi) \leq \Phi(r)$  for all  $r \in [r_0, R)$  it is necessary and sufficient that  $\ln W_F(x) \leq -x\Psi(\phi(x))$  for all  $x \geq x_0$ .*

The following assertion is also true.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $\Phi \in \Omega(0, R)$ ,  $0 < R \leq +\infty$ , and  $\varphi$  be an analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_R$  characteristic function of a probability law  $F$ , which satisfies (1). Then if  $\ln \mu(r_k, \varphi) \leq \Phi(r_k)$  for some sequence  $(r_k)$  increasing to  $R$  then*

$$\ln W_F(x_k) \leq -x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k)) \quad (2)$$

for all  $k$ , where  $x_k = \Phi'(r_k)$ .

Indeed, the condition  $\ln \mu(r_k, \varphi) \leq \Phi(r_k)$  implies that  $\ln W_F(x) \leq \Phi(r_k) - xr_k$  for all  $x \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Therefore,

$$\ln W_F(x_k) = \ln W_F(\Phi'(r_k)) \leq \Phi(r_k) - r_k \Phi'(r_k) = -\Phi'(r_k) \Psi(r_k) = -x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k)).$$

In view of Proposition 1 the question arises whether inequality (2) for some increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence  $(x_k)$  implies the estimate  $\ln \mu(r_k, \varphi) \leq \Phi(r_k)$  for some sequence  $(r_k)$  increasing to  $R$ . The answer is negative in general because the following statement is true.

**Proposition 2.** *For every function  $\Phi \in \Omega(0, R)$ ,  $0 < R \leq +\infty$ , and increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence  $(x_k)$  of positive numbers there exists a probability law  $F$  such that (2) holds for all  $k \geq 1$  and  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi) > \Phi(r)$  for all  $r < R$ .*

*Proof.* Indeed, let  $F(x) = 0$  for  $x \leq x_1$  and  $F(x) = 1 - \exp\{-x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k))\}$  for  $x \in [x_k, x_{k+1})$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $\ln W_F(x) = \ln W_F(x_k) = -x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k))$  for all  $x \in [x_k, x_{k+1})$  and  $k \geq 1$ . Therefore, if  $r \in [\phi(x_k), \phi(x_{k+1})]$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mu(r, \varphi) &\geq \sup\{\ln W_F(x) + rx : x_k \leq x < x_{k+1}\} = \\ &= \sup\{\ln W_F(x_k) + rx : x_k \leq x < x_{k+1}\} = -x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k)) + rx_{k+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

On  $[\phi(x_k), \phi(x_{k+1})]$  we consider the function  $A(r) = (-x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k)) + rx_{k+1})/\Phi(r)$ . Then  $A'(r) = a(r)/\Phi(r)^2$ , where  $a(r) = \Phi(r)x_{k+1} - \Phi'(r)(rx_{k+1} - x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k)))$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} a(\phi(x_k)) &= \Phi(\phi(x_k))x_{k+1} - x_k(\phi(x_k) - x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k))) = \\ &= x_{k+1}(\Phi(\phi(x_k)) - x_k(\phi(x_k))) + x_k^2 \Psi(\phi(x_k)) = \\ &= -x_{k+1}x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k)) + x_k^2 \Psi(\phi(x_k)) = -(x_{k+1} - x_k)x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k)) < 0, \\ a(\phi(x_{k+1})) &= \Phi(\phi(x_{k+1}))x_{k+1} - x_{k+1}(x_{k+1}\phi(x_{k+1}) - x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k))) = x_{k+1}(\Phi(\phi(x_{k+1})) - \\ &\quad - x_{k+1}\phi(x_{k+1})) + x_k x_{k+1} \Psi(\phi(x_k)) = x_{k+1}(x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k)) - x_{k+1} \Psi(\phi(x_{k+1}))) < 0, \\ a'(r) &= x_{k+1} \Phi'(r) - \Phi''(r)(rx_{k+1} - x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k))) - \Phi'(r)x_{k+1} \leq -\Phi''(r)(\phi(x_k)x_{k+1} - \\ &\quad - x_k \phi(x_k) + \Phi(\phi(x_k))) = -\Phi''(r)((x_{k+1} - x_k)\phi(x_k) + \Phi(\phi(x_k))) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

we obtain that  $a(r) < 0$  on  $[\phi(x_k), \phi(x_{k+1})]$ , the function  $A(r)$  decreases on  $[\phi(x_k), \phi(x_{k+1})]$  and, thus,

$$A(r) \geq \frac{-x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k)) + \phi(x_{k+1})x_{k+1}}{\Phi(\phi(x_{k+1}))} > \frac{-x_{k+1} \Psi(\phi(x_{k+1})) + x_{k+1}\phi(x_{k+1})}{\Phi(\phi(x_{k+1}))} = 1.$$

Therefore, in view of (3) and of the definition of  $A(r)$  for  $r \in [\phi(x_k), \phi(x_{k+1})]$  we have  $(\ln \mu(r, \varphi))/\Phi(r) = A(r) > 1$ .  $\square$

**2. Main results.** Under additional assumptions on the decrease of  $W_F$  (i. e. on the growth of  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi)$ ) it is possible to get from (2) estimates on  $\ln \mu(r_k, \varphi)$  from above for some sequence  $(r_k) \uparrow R$ . Here we will suggest two related solutions of this problem. One of them is based on results from [12].

For  $\Phi \in \Omega(0, R)$  and  $\Phi'(x_0) \leq a < b < +\infty$  we put

$$G_1(a, b, \Phi) = \frac{ab}{b-a} \int_a^b \frac{\Phi(\varphi(t))}{t^2} dt, \quad G_2(a, b, \Phi) = \Phi \left( \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b \varphi(t) dt \right).$$

It is known ([13]) that  $G_1(a, b, \Phi) < G_2(a, b, \Phi)$ , and in [12] the following lemma is proved.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(x_k)$  be an increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence of positive numbers,  $\Phi \in \Omega(0, R)$ ,  $0 < R < +\infty$ , and  $\mu_D(r)$  be the maximal term of formal Dirichlet series*

$$D(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \exp\{-x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k)) + sx_k\}, \quad s = r + it.$$

Then

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \uparrow R} \frac{\ln \mu_D(r)}{\Phi(r)} = 1, \quad \overline{\lim}_{r \uparrow R} \frac{\ln \ln \mu_D(r)}{\ln \Phi(r)} = 1, \quad (4)$$

$$\underline{\lim}_{r \uparrow R} \frac{\ln \mu_D(r)}{\Phi(r)} = \underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_1(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)}{G_2(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)} \quad (5)$$

and if

$$\ln \mu_D(r) + \left( \frac{\Phi(r)\Phi''(r)}{(\Phi'(r))^2} - 1 \right) \ln \Phi(r) \geq 0, \quad r \in [r_0, R), \quad (6)$$

then

$$\underline{\lim}_{r \uparrow R} \frac{\ln \ln \mu_D(r)}{\ln \Phi(r)} = \underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln G_1(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)}{\ln G_2(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)}. \quad (7)$$

Using Lemma 2 we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\Phi \in \Omega(0, R)$ ,  $0 < R \leq +\infty$ , and  $\varphi$  be an analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_R$  characteristic function of a probability law  $F$ , which satisfies (1). We suppose that  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi) \leq \Phi(r)$  for all  $r \in [r_0, R)$  and  $\ln W_F(x_k) - \ln W_F(x_{k+1}) = O(1)$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , for some increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence  $X = (x_k)$  of positive numbers. Then*

$$\underline{\lim}_{r \uparrow R} \frac{\ln \mu(r, \varphi)}{\Phi(r)} \leq \underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_1(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)}{G_2(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)} \quad (8)$$

and if

$$Q(r) + \left( \frac{\Phi(r)\Phi''(r)}{(\Phi'(r))^2} - 1 \right) \ln \Phi(r) \geq q > -\infty, \quad r \in [r_0, R), \quad (9)$$

where  $Q(r) \equiv 0$  if  $R < +\infty$  and  $Q(r) \equiv \ln r$  if  $R = +\infty$ , then

$$\underline{\lim}_{r \uparrow R} \frac{\ln \ln \mu(r, \varphi)}{\ln \Phi(r)} \leq \underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln G_1(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)}{\ln G_2(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)}. \quad (10)$$

*Proof.* We put  $x_0 = 0$  and  $\mu(r, \varphi; X) = \max \{W_F(x_k)e^{rx_k} : k \geq 1\}$ . Then the condition  $\ln W_F(x_k) - \ln W_F(x_{k+1}) = O(1)$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$  yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mu(r, \varphi) &= \sup_{x \geq 0} (\ln W_F(x) + rx) = \max_{k \geq 0} \sup_{x_k \leq x < x_{k+1}} (\ln W_F(x) + rx) \leq \\ &\leq \max_{k \geq 0} (\ln W_F(x_k) + rx_{k+1}) = \max_{k \geq 0} (\ln W_F(x_{k+1}) + rx_{k+1} + \ln W_F(x_k) - \ln W_F(x_{k+1})) \leq \\ &\leq \max_{k \geq 0} (\ln W_F(x_{k+1}) + rx_{k+1}) + \text{const} \leq \ln \mu(r, \varphi; X) + \text{const}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

On the other hand,

$$\ln \mu(r, \varphi) = \max_{k \geq 0} \sup_{x_k < x \leq x_{k+1}} (\ln W_F(x) + rx) \geq \max_{k \geq 0} (\ln W_F(x_{k+1}) + rx_{k+1}) \geq \ln \mu(r, \varphi; X)$$

and since  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi) \leq \Phi(r)$  we have  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi; X) \leq \Phi(r)$  for  $r \in [r_0, R)$ . Therefore, by Lemma 1  $\ln W_F(x_k) \leq -x_k \Psi(\phi(x_k))$  for all  $k \geq k_0$ . Hence it follows that  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi; X) \leq \ln \mu_D(r)$  for  $r \in [r_0, R)$ . Therefore, by Lemma 2 from (5) we obtain

$$\lim_{r \uparrow R} \frac{\ln \mu(r, \varphi; X)}{\Phi(r)} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_1(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)}{G_2(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)} \quad (12)$$

and if condition (6) holds then (7) implies

$$\lim_{r \uparrow R} \frac{\ln \ln \mu(r, \varphi; X)}{\ln \Phi(r)} \leq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln G_1(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)}{\ln G_2(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)}. \quad (13)$$

We remark that (9) implies (6), because if  $R < +\infty$  then (4) implies  $\ln \mu_D(r) \uparrow +\infty$  as  $r \uparrow R$ , and if  $R = +\infty$  then  $(\ln \mu_D(r))/r \rightarrow \infty$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ , that is  $\ln \ln \mu_D(r) - \ln r \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Inequalities (8) and (10) follow from (11)–(13).  $\square$

If  $R = +\infty$  then the condition  $\ln W_F(x_k) - \ln W_F(x_{k+1}) = O(1)$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , can be replaced by some weaker condition provided that the function  $\Phi \in \Omega(0, +\infty)$  grows not very quickly.

Let  $L$  be the class of positive continuous functions  $\alpha$  on  $(-\infty, +\infty)$  such that  $\alpha(x) = \alpha(x_0)$  for  $x \leq x_0$ ,  $0 < \alpha(x) \uparrow +\infty$  as  $x_0 \leq x \rightarrow +\infty$ . We say that  $\alpha \in L^0$  if  $\alpha \in L$  and  $\alpha((1 + o(1))x) = (1 + o(1))\alpha(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$ , and  $\alpha \in L_{si}$  if  $\alpha(cx) = (1 + o(1))\alpha(x)$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  for each  $c \in (0, +\infty)$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\Phi \in \Omega(0, +\infty)$  and  $\varphi$  be an entire characteristic function of a probability law  $F$  and  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi) \leq \Phi(r)$  for all  $r \geq r_0$ . Then:*

- 1) if  $\Phi \in L^0$  and  $\ln W_F(x_k) = (1 + o(1)) \ln W_F(x_{k+1})$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , for some increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence  $X = (x_k)$  of positive numbers then inequality (8) holds;
- 2) if  $\ln \Phi \in L_{si}$ ,

$$\left( \frac{\Phi(r)\Phi''(r)}{(\Phi'(r))^2} - 1 \right) \ln \Phi(r) + \ln r \geq q > -\infty, \quad r \geq r_0, \quad (14)$$

and if there exists an increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence  $X = (x_k)$  of positive numbers such that  $\ln W_F(x_k) \leq a \ln W_F(x_{k+1})$  for some  $a \in (0, 1)$  and all  $k \geq k_0$  then inequality (10) holds.

*Proof.* We begin with the first part. Since  $\ln W_F(x_k) \leq (1 - \varepsilon) \ln W_F(x_{k+1})$  for each  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and all  $k \geq k_0 = k_0(\varepsilon)$ , instead of (11) now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mu(r, \varphi) &\leq \max_{k \geq 0} (\ln W_F(x_k) + rx_{k+1}) = \\ &= \max \left\{ \max_{0 \leq k \leq k_0} (\ln W_F(x_k) + rx_{k+1}), \max_{k \geq k_0} \left( \frac{\ln W_F(x_k)}{\ln W_F(x_{k+1})} \ln W_F(x_{k+1}) + rx_{k+1} \right) \right\} \leq \\ &\leq \max \{ rx_{k_0+1}, \max_{k \geq k_0} ((1 - \varepsilon) \ln W_F(x_{k+1}) + rx_{k+1}) \} \leq (1 - \varepsilon) \max_{k \geq 0} (\ln W_F(x_k) + rx_{k+1}) + \\ &\quad + rx_{k_0+1} \leq (1 - \varepsilon) \ln \mu(r/(1 - \varepsilon), \varphi; X) + rx_{k_0+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Therefore, from (12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mu(r, \varphi)}{\Phi(r)} &\leq (1 - \varepsilon) \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mu(r/(1 - \varepsilon), \varphi; X)}{\Phi(r)} \leq \\ &\leq (1 - \varepsilon) \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \mu(r, \varphi; X)}{\Phi(r)} \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Phi(r/(1 - \varepsilon))}{\Phi(r)} \leq (1 - \varepsilon) A(\varepsilon) \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_1(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)}{G_2(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where  $A(\varepsilon) = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\Phi(r/(1 - \varepsilon))}{\Phi(r)}$ . For  $\Phi \in L^0$  in [14] it is proved that  $A(\varepsilon) \searrow 1$  as  $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ . Therefore, (16) implies (8).

For the proof of the second part we remark that now instead of (15) we have  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi) \leq a \ln \mu(r/a, \varphi; X)$ , and (14) implies (9). Therefore, from (13) we obtain

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln \mu(r, \varphi)}{\ln \Phi(r)} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln \mu(r/a, \varphi; X)}{\ln \Phi(r/a)} \limsup_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \Phi(r/a)}{\ln \Phi(r)} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln G_1(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)}{\ln G_2(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi)}. \quad \square$$

If the function  $\ln W_F(x)$  is smooth enough, then it is possible to get an estimate of  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\Phi \in \Omega(0, R)$ ,  $0 < R \leq +\infty$ , and  $\varphi$  be an analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_R$  characteristic function of a probability law  $F$  such that  $\ln W_F(x) = -V(x)$  for all  $x \geq a$ , where the function  $V$  is positive, continuously differentiable and  $V'(x) \uparrow R$  as  $0 < x \uparrow +\infty$ . If conditions (1) and (2) hold for some sequence  $(x_k)$  of positive numbers then  $\ln \mu(r_k, \varphi) \leq \Phi(r_k) + ar_k$ , where  $r_k = V'(x_k)$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly

$$\ln \mu(r, \varphi) \leq \max \left\{ \sup_{0 \leq x \leq a} (\ln W_F(x) + rx), \sup_{x \geq a} (\ln W_F(x) + rx) \right\} \leq ar + \max_{x \geq a} (-V(x) + rx)$$

and  $\max_{x \geq a} (-V(x) + rx) = (-V(x) + rx)|_{x=v(r)}$ , where  $v(r)$  is the inverse function to  $V'$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \mu(r_k, \varphi) &\leq -V(v(r_k)) + r_k v(r_k) + ar_k = -V(v(V'(x_k))) + V'(x_k) v(V'(x_k)) + ar_k = \\ &= V(x_k) + r_k x_k + ar_k \leq \max_{j \geq 1} (V(x_j) + x_j r_k) + ar_k = \max_{j \geq 1} (\ln W_F(x_j) + x_j r_k) + ar_k \leq \\ &\leq \max_{j \geq 1} (-x_j \Psi(\phi(x_j)) + x_j r_k) + ar_k \leq \max_{x \geq a} (-x \Psi(\phi(x)) + xr_k) + ar_k \leq \Phi(r_k) + ar_k, \end{aligned}$$

because  $(x \Psi(\phi(x)))' = \phi(x)$  and  $(-x \Psi(\phi(x)) + xr)|_{x=\Phi'(r)} = \Phi(r)$ . □

**3. Corollaries.** Examining the scale of growth in Theorems 1–2 it is possible to get a number of results for analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_R$  characteristic functions. Here we will restrict ourselves only by three cases which arise often in mathematical literature. The most often used characteristics of growth for analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_R$ ,  $0 < R < +\infty$  functions  $\varphi$  are the order  $\varrho_*[\varphi]$ , the lower order  $\lambda_*[\varphi]$  and (if  $0 < \varrho_*[\varphi] < +\infty$ ) the type  $T_*[\varphi]$  and the lower type  $t_*[\varphi]$ , which are defined by the formulas

$$\varrho_*[\varphi] = \overline{\lim}_{r \uparrow R} \frac{\ln \ln M(r, \varphi)}{\ln(1/(R-r))}, \quad \lambda_*[\varphi] = \underline{\lim}_{r \uparrow R} \frac{\ln \ln M(r, \varphi)}{\ln(1/(R-r))}, \quad (17)$$

$$T_*[\varphi] = \overline{\lim}_{r \uparrow R} (R-r)^{\varrho_*[\varphi]} \ln M(r, \varphi), \quad t_*[\varphi] = \underline{\lim}_{r \uparrow R} (R-r)^{\varrho_*[\varphi]} \ln M(r, \varphi). \quad (18)$$

We will show that in these formulas  $\ln M(r, \varphi)$  can be replaced with  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi)$ . Indeed ([1, p. 55])

$$\ln \mu(r, \varphi) \leq \ln M(r, \varphi) + \ln 2. \quad (19)$$

On the other hand ([1, p. 52]), if  $0 < \eta(r) < R - r$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} M(r, \varphi) &\leq \int_0^\infty W_F(x) e^{rx} dx + 1 + W_F(0) = \int_0^\infty W_F(x) e^{(r+\eta(r))x} e^{-\eta(r)x} dx + 1 + W_F(0) \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\eta(r)} \mu(r + \eta(r), \varphi) + 1 + W_F(0), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\ln M(r, \varphi) \leq \ln \mu(r + \eta(r), \varphi) - \ln \eta(r) + o(1), \quad r \uparrow R. \quad (20)$$

We choose  $\eta(r) = (R - r)^2$ . Then for  $r > R - 1$  from (20) we obtain

$$\ln M(r, \varphi) \leq \ln \mu(r + (R - r)^2, \varphi) + 2 \ln(1/(R - r)) + o(1), \quad r \uparrow R. \quad (21)$$

Since  $\frac{R-r+(R-r)^2}{R-r} \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\frac{\ln(R-r+(R-r)^2)}{\ln(R-r)} \rightarrow 1$ ,  $\frac{\ln \ln(1/(R-r))}{\ln(1/(R-r))} \rightarrow 0$  and  $(R - r)^{\varrho_*[\varphi]} \ln(1/(R - r)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \uparrow R$ , from (19) and (21) it follows that in formulas (17) and (18)  $\ln M(r, \varphi)$  can be replaced with  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi)$ .

Therefore, if  $\varrho_*[\varphi] < +\infty$  ( $T_*[\varphi] < +\infty$ ) then  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi) \leq \frac{T}{(R-r)^\varrho}$  for all  $r \in [r_0(\varepsilon), R)$ , where either  $\varrho = \varrho_*[\varphi] + \varepsilon$  and  $T = 1$  or  $\varrho = \varrho_*[\varphi]$  and  $T = T_*[\varphi] + \varepsilon$ . For a function  $\Phi \in \Omega(0, R)$  such that  $\Phi(r) = \frac{T}{(R-r)^\varrho}$  for all  $r \in [r_0(\varepsilon), R)$  we have

$$\Phi'(r) = \frac{T\varrho}{(R-r)^{\varrho+1}}, \quad \phi(x) = R - (T\varrho/x)^{1/(\varrho+1)}, \quad \frac{\Phi(\phi(x))}{x^2} = T(T\varrho)^{-\varrho/(\varrho+1)} x^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)-2}$$

for  $x \geq x_0(\varepsilon)$ . Hence it follows that for  $k \geq k_0(\varepsilon)$

$$G_1(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi) = \frac{T(\varrho+1)}{(T\varrho)^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)}} \frac{x_k x_{k+1}}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \left( \frac{1}{x_k^{1/(\varrho+1)}} - \frac{1}{x_{k+1}^{1/(\varrho+1)}} \right), \quad (22)$$

$$G_2(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi) = T \left( \frac{(\varrho+1)(T\varrho)^{1/(\varrho+1)} \frac{x_{k+1}^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)} - x_k^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)}}{\varrho}}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \right)^{-\varrho}. \quad (23)$$

Further we remark that

$$\left( \frac{\Phi(r)\Phi''(r)}{(\Phi'(r))^2} - 1 \right) \ln \Phi(r) = \frac{1}{\varrho} \ln \frac{T}{(R-r)^\varrho} \uparrow +\infty, \quad r \uparrow R,$$

that is (9) holds. Therefore, if  $\ln W_F(x_k) - \ln W_F(x_{k+1}) = O(1)$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , then by Theorem 1 in view of (22)–(23) and of arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\lambda_*[\varphi] \leq \varrho_*[\varphi] \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left( \frac{x_k x_{k+1}}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \left( \frac{1}{x_k^{1/(\varrho+1)}} - \frac{1}{x_{k+1}^{1/(\varrho+1)}} \right) \right)}{\ln \left( \frac{x_{k+1} - x_k}{x_{k+1}^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)} - x_k^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)}} \right)^\varrho}, \quad (24)$$

$$t_*[\varphi] \leq T_*[\varphi] \frac{(\varrho+1)^{\varrho+1}}{\varrho^\varrho} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_k x_{k+1}}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \left( \frac{1}{x_k^{1/(\varrho+1)}} - \frac{1}{x_{k+1}^{1/(\varrho+1)}} \right) \left( \frac{x_{k+1}^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)} - x_k^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)}}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \right)^\varrho. \quad (25)$$

We suppose that

$$\beta =: \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln x_k}{\ln x_{k+1}} < 1.$$

Then there exists a number  $\beta^* \in (\beta, 1)$  and an increasing sequence  $(k_j)$  of positive integers such that  $\ln x_{k_j} \leq \beta^* \ln x_{k_j+1}$ , that is  $x_{k_j} = o(x_{k_j+1})$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, from (24) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_*[\varphi] &\leq \varrho_*[\varphi] \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln \left( \frac{x_{k_j} x_{k_j+1}}{x_{k_j+1} - x_{k_j}} \left( \frac{1}{x_{k_j}^{1/(\varrho+1)}} - \frac{1}{x_{k_j+1}^{1/(\varrho+1)}} \right) \right)}{\ln \left( \frac{x_{k_j+1} - x_{k_j}}{x_{k_j+1}^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)} - x_{k_j}^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)}} \right)^\varrho} = \\ &= \varrho_*[\varphi] \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln x_{k_j}^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)}}{\varrho \ln x_{k_j+1}^{1/(\varrho+1)}} = \varrho_*[\varphi] \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln x_{k_j}}{\ln x_{k_j+1}} \leq \varrho_*[\varphi] \beta^*, \end{aligned}$$

i. e. in view of arbitrariness of  $\beta^*$  we have the inequality  $\lambda_*[\varphi] \leq \beta \varrho_*[\varphi]$ . For  $\beta = 1$  this inequality is trivial.

Now we suppose that

$$\gamma =: \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_k}{x_{k+1}} \in (0, 1).$$

Then there exist an increasing sequence  $(k_j)$  of positive integers such that  $x_{k_j} = (1 + o(1)) \times \gamma x_{k_j+1}$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, from (25) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} t_*[\varphi] &\leq T_*[\varphi] \frac{(\varrho+1)^{\varrho+1}}{\varrho^\varrho} \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_{k_j} x_{k_j+1}}{x_{k_j+1} - x_{k_j}} \left( \frac{1}{x_{k_j}^{1/(\varrho+1)}} - \frac{1}{x_{k_j+1}^{1/(\varrho+1)}} \right) \left( \frac{x_{k_j+1}^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)} - x_{k_j}^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)}}{x_{k_j+1} - x_{k_j}} \right)^\varrho \leq \\ &\leq T_*[\varphi] \frac{(\varrho+1)^{\varrho+1}}{\varrho^\varrho} \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} \left( \frac{1}{\gamma^{1/(\varrho+1)}} - 1 \right) \frac{(1 - \gamma^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)})^\varrho}{(1 - \gamma)^\varrho} = T_*[\varphi] \frac{(\varrho+1)^{\varrho+1}}{\varrho^\varrho} A(\gamma), \quad (26) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(\gamma) =: \frac{\gamma^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)} (1 - \gamma^{1/(\varrho+1)}) (1 - \gamma^{\varrho/(\varrho+1)})^\varrho}{(1 - \gamma)^{\varrho+1}}.$$

It is easy to show that  $A(\gamma) \rightarrow \frac{\varrho^\varrho}{(\varrho+1)^{\varrho+1}}$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ , that is, (26) is transformed in the obvious inequality  $t_*[\varphi] \leq T_*[\varphi]$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow 1$ . If  $\gamma = 0$  then  $x_{k_j} = O(x_{k_j+1})$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and from (25) we obtain easily that  $t_*[\varphi] = 0$ . This equality follows from (26), because  $A(0) = 0$ . Thus, the following corollary is proved.



**Corollary 1.** *Let the characteristic function  $\varphi$  of a probability law  $F$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_R$ ,  $0 < R < +\infty$ , have order  $\varrho_*[\varphi]$  and lower order  $\lambda_*[\varphi]$ . Assume that  $\ln W_F(x_k) - \ln W_F(x_{k+1}) = O(1)$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , for some increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence  $(x_k)$  of positive numbers such that  $\underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln x_k}{\ln x_{k+1}} = \beta$ , where  $\beta$  is some nonnegative constant. Then  $\lambda_*[\varphi] \leq \beta \varrho_*[\varphi]$ . If, moreover,  $\varphi$  has type  $T_*[\varphi]$  and lower type  $t_*[\varphi]$  and  $\underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_k}{x_{k+1}} = \gamma$  then  $\tau_*[\varphi] \leq T_*[\varphi] \frac{(\varrho+1)^{\varrho+1}}{\varrho^\varrho} A(\gamma)$ .*

For an entire characteristic function  $\varphi$  of order  $\varrho[\varphi] \in (1, +\infty)$  the quantities

$$T[\varphi] = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M(r, \varphi)}{r^{\varrho[\varphi]}}, \quad t[\varphi] = \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M(r, \varphi)}{r^{\varrho[\varphi]}} \quad (27)$$

are called the *type* and the *lower type* of  $\varphi$ . From (20) for  $\eta(r) = 1$  we obtain

$$\ln M(r, \varphi) \leq \ln \mu(r+1, \varphi) + o(1), \quad r \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Combining this with (19) we conclude that in (27)  $\ln M(r, \varphi)$  can be replaced with  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi)$ . Therefore, we choose  $\Phi \in \Omega(0, +\infty)$  such that  $\Phi(r) = Tr^\varrho$  for  $r \geq r_0 = r_0(\varepsilon)$ , where either  $\varrho = \varrho[\varphi] + \varepsilon$  and  $T = 1$  or  $\varrho = \varrho[\varphi]$  and  $T = T[\varphi] + \varepsilon$ . Then  $\Phi \in L^0$ ,  $\ln \Phi \in L_{si}$  and  $\left( \frac{\Phi(r)\Phi''(r)}{(\Phi'(r))^2} - 1 \right) \ln \Phi(r) = \frac{\varrho-1}{\varrho} \ln \Phi(r) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . It is known [15] that for this function

$$G_1(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi) = (\varrho - 1)T^{-1/(\varrho-1)} \varrho^{-\varrho/(\varrho-1)} \frac{x_k x_{k+1}}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \left( x_{k+1}^{1/(\varrho-1)} - x_k^{1/(\varrho-1)} \right)$$

and

$$G_2(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi) = (\varrho - 1)^{\varrho} T^{-1/(\varrho-1)} \varrho^{-\varrho^2/(\varrho-1)} \left( \frac{x_{k+1}^{\varrho/(\varrho-1)} - x_k^{\varrho/(\varrho-1)}}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \right)^{\varrho}.$$

Therefore, if  $x_{k_j} = (1 + o(1))\gamma x_{k_j+1}$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , then

$$\underline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_1(x_{k_j}, x_{k_j+1}, \Phi)}{G_2(x_{k_j}, x_{k_j+1}, \Phi)} = \frac{\varrho^\varrho}{(\varrho - 1)^{\varrho-1}} \frac{\gamma(1 - \gamma)^{\varrho-1}(1 - \gamma^{1/(\varrho-1)})}{(1 - \gamma^{\varrho/(\varrho-1)})^\varrho}$$

and if  $\ln x_{k_j} \leq \beta^* \ln x_{k_j+1}$ , where  $0 < \beta^* < 1$ , then  $x_{k_j} = o(x_{k_j+1})$ ,  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$\underline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln G_1(x_{k_j}, x_{k_j+1}, \Phi)}{\ln G_2(x_{k_j}, x_{k_j+1}, \Phi)} \leq \frac{\beta^*(\varrho - 1) + 1}{\varrho}.$$

So, as in the proof of Corollary 1, using Theorem 2 in view of arbitrariness of  $\beta^*$  we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** *Let the entire characteristic function  $\varphi$  of a probability law  $F$  have the order  $\varrho[\varphi] > 1$ , the lower order  $\lambda[\varphi]$ , the type  $T[\varphi]$  and the lower type  $t[\varphi]$ . Then:*

1) *if  $\ln W_F(x_k) \leq a \ln W_F(x_{k+1})$ ,  $0 < a < 1$ , for some increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence  $(x_k)$  of positive numbers such that  $\underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln x_k}{\ln x_{k+1}} = \beta$  then  $\lambda[\varphi] - 1 \leq \beta(\varrho[\varphi] - 1)$ ;*

2) *if  $\ln W_F(x_k) = (1 + o(1)) \ln W_F(x_{k+1})$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence  $(x_k)$  of positive numbers such that  $\underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_k}{x_{k+1}} = \gamma$  then  $t[\varphi] \leq T[\varphi] \frac{\varrho^\varrho}{(\varrho-1)^{\varrho-1}} A_1(\gamma)$ , where*

$$A_1(\gamma) = \frac{\gamma(1-\gamma)^{\varrho-1}(1-\gamma^{1/(\varrho-1)})}{(1-\gamma^{\varrho/(\varrho-1)})^\varrho}.$$

If we define the modified order  $\varrho_m[\varphi] = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\ln r} \ln \frac{M(r, \varphi)}{r}$  and the modified lower order  $\lambda_m[\varphi] = \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\ln r} \ln \frac{M(r, \varphi)}{r}$ , then  $\varrho_m[\varphi] = \varrho[\varphi] - 1$ ,  $\lambda_m[\varphi] = \lambda[\varphi] - 1$  and under the assumptions of item 1) of Corollary 2 we have the inequality  $\lambda_m[\varphi] \leq \beta \varrho_m[\varphi]$ , which is an analog of the inequality from Corollary 1.

If for an entire characteristic function  $\varphi$  the function  $\ln M(r, \varphi)$  increases faster than the power functions it is possible to use Theorem 1. We will demonstrate this by the example of  $R$ -order  $\varrho_R[\varphi]$ , lower  $R$ -order  $\lambda_R[\varphi]$ ,  $R$ -type  $T_R[\varphi]$  and lower  $R$ -type  $t_R[\varphi]$ , which are defined by the formulas

$$\varrho_R[\varphi] = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M(r, \varphi)}{r}, \quad \lambda_R[\varphi] = \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln \ln M(r, \varphi)}{r},$$

$$T_R[\varphi] = \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M(r, \varphi)}{\exp\{r \varrho_R[\varphi]\}}, \quad t_R[\varphi] = \underline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\ln M(r, \varphi)}{\exp\{r \varrho_R[\varphi]\}}.$$

For  $\eta(r) = 1/r$  (20) implies the inequality  $\ln M(r, \varphi) \leq \ln \mu(r + 1/r, \varphi) + \ln r + o(1)$ ,  $r \rightarrow +\infty$ . From here and (19) it follows that in the formulas for  $\varrho_R[\varphi]$ ,  $\lambda_R[\varphi]$ ,  $T_R[\varphi]$  and  $t_R[\varphi]$ , the function  $\ln M(r, \varphi)$  can be replaced with the function  $\ln \mu(r, \varphi)$ . Therefore, we choose  $\Phi(r) = Te^{r\varrho}$  for  $r \geq r_0 = r_0(\varepsilon)$ , where either  $\varrho = \varrho_R[\varphi] + \varepsilon$  and  $T = 1$  or  $\varrho = \varrho_R[\varphi]$  and  $T = T_R[\varphi] + \varepsilon$ . It is known ([15]) that for this function

$$G_1(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi) = \frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{x_k x_{k+1}}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \ln \frac{x_{k+1}}{x_k}, \quad G_2(x_k, x_{k+1}, \Phi) = \frac{1}{e\varrho} \exp \left\{ \frac{x_{k+1} \ln x_{k+1} - x_k \ln x_k}{x_{k+1} - x_k} \right\}.$$

If now  $\ln x_{k_j} \leq \beta^* \ln x_{k_{j+1}}$ , where  $0 < \beta^* < 1$ , then  $x_{k_j} = o(x_{k_{j+1}})$ ,  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , and

$$\underline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln G_1(x_{k_j}, x_{k_{j+1}}, \Phi)}{\ln G_2(x_{k_j}, x_{k_{j+1}}, \Phi)} \leq \beta^*$$

and if  $x_{k_j} = (1 + o(1))\gamma x_{k_{j+1}}$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , then

$$\underline{\lim}_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_1(x_{k_j}, x_{k_{j+1}}, \Phi)}{G_2(x_{k_j}, x_{k_{j+1}}, \Phi)} = \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \exp \left\{ 1 + \frac{\gamma \ln \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \right\} \ln \frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

Repeating the proof of Corollary 1, we obtain the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.** *Let an entire characteristic function  $\varphi$  of a probability law  $F$  have  $R$ -order  $\varrho_R[\varphi]$ , lower  $R$ -order  $\lambda_R[\varphi]$ ,  $R$ -type  $T_R[\varphi]$  and lower  $R$ -type  $t_R[\varphi]$ . We suppose that  $\ln W_F(x_k) - \ln W_F(x_{k+1}) = O(1)$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for some increasing to  $+\infty$  sequence  $(x_k)$  of positive numbers. If  $\underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln x_k}{\ln x_{k+1}} = \beta$  then  $\lambda_R[\varphi] \leq \beta \varrho_R[\varphi]$  and if*

$$\underline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x_k}{x_{k+1}} = \gamma \quad \text{then} \quad t_R[\varphi] \leq T_R[\varphi] \frac{\gamma}{1 - \gamma} \exp \left\{ 1 + \frac{\gamma \ln \gamma}{1 - \gamma} \right\} \ln \frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

We demonstrate the application of Theorem 3 only for an entire characteristic function of finite  $R$ -order. It is easy to verify that for the function  $\Phi(r) = e^{r\varrho}$  we have  $x\Psi(\phi(x)) = \frac{x}{\varrho} \ln \frac{x}{e\varrho}$ . Therefore, under the corresponding assumptions on  $W_F$ , Theorem 3 implies that if  $\ln W_F(x_k) \leq -\frac{x_k}{\varrho} \ln \frac{x_k}{e\varrho}$  then  $\ln \mu(r_k, \varphi) \leq (1 + o(1))e^{\varrho r_k}$ ,  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $r_k = V'(x_k)$ . Hence the following corollary follows.

**Corollary 4.** *Let the characteristic function  $\varphi$  of a probability law  $F$  be entire and analytic in  $\mathbb{D}_R$ . Assume that  $\ln W_F(x) = -V(x)$  for all  $x \geq a$ , where the function  $V$  is positive, continuously differentiable and  $V'(x) \uparrow R$  as  $0 < x \uparrow +\infty$ . Then  $\lambda_R[\varphi] \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{x \ln x}{-\ln W_F(x)}$ .*

One can obtain analogues of Corollary 3 for other scales of growth, but we are not going to discuss this here.

## REFERENCES

1. Linnik Ju.V., Ostrovskii I.V. *Decomposition of random variables and vectors*. – Moscow: Nauka, 1972, 479 p. (in Russian)
2. Skaskiv O.B., Sorokivs'kyi V.M. *On the maximum modulus of characteristic functions of probability laws*// Kraj. Zadachi Dyfer. Rivnyan'. – 2001. – V.7. – P. 286–289. (in Ukrainian)
3. Ramachandran B. *On the order and the type of entire characteristic functions*// Ann. Math. – 1962. – V.33, №4. – P. 1238–1255.
4. Jakovleva N.I. *On the growth of entire characteristic functions of probability laws*// Teoriya funkci, func. analysis i ih prilozh. – 1971. – V.15. – P. 43–49. (in Russian)
5. Jakovleva N.I. *On the growth of entire characteristic functions of probability laws*// Problems of mathematical physics and func. analysis, K.: Naukova Dumka, 1976. – P. 43–54. (in Russian)
6. Vynnyts'kyi B.V. *On a property of entire characteristic functions of probability laws*// Izv. Vuzov, Matem. – 1975. – V.4. – P. 95–97. (in Russian)
7. Dewess M. *The tail behaviour of a distribution function and its connection to the growth of entire characteristic function*// Math. Nachr. – 1978. – V.81. – P. 217–231.
8. Sorokivs'kyi V.M. *On the growth of the analytic characteristic functions of probability laws*// Izv. Vuzov, Matem. – 1979. – V.2. – P. 48–52. (in Russian)
9. Kinash O.M., Parolya M.I., Sheremeta M.M. *Growth of the characteristic functions of probability laws*// Dopovidi NAN Ukraine. – 2012. – V.8. – P. 13–17. (in Ukrainian)
10. Parolya M.I., Sheremeta M.M. *Estimates from below for characteristic functions of probability laws*// Mat. Stud. – 2013. – V.39, №1. – P. 54–66.
11. Sheremeta M.M., Sumyk O.M. *A connection between the growth of Young conjugated functions*// Mat. Stud. – 1999. – V.11, №1. – P. 41–47. (in Ukrainian)
12. Filevych P.V., Sheremeta M.M. *On a theorem of L. Sons and asymptotical behaviour of Dirichlet series*// Ukr. Math. Bull. – 2006. – V.3, №2. – P. 187–208. (in Ukrainian)
13. Zabolots'kyi M.V., Sheremeta M.M. *Generalization of Lindeloff's theorem*// Ukr. Mat. Zh. – 1998. – V.50, №1. – P. 1117–1192. (in Ukrainian)
14. Sheremeta M.M. *On two classes of positive functions and belonging to them of main characteristic of entire functions*// Mat. Stud. – 2003. – V.19, №1. – P. 73–82.
15. Sumyk O.M., Sheremeta M.M. *Estimates from below for maximal term of Dirichlet series*// Izv. Vuzov, Matem. – 2001. – V.4. – P. 53–57. (in Russian)

Ivan Franko National University of Lviv  
 marta0691@rambler.ru  
 m\_m\_sheremeta@list.ru

Received 8.05.2014