УДК 512.552.16

M. P. LUKASHENKO

DERIVATIONS AS HOMOMORPHISMS OR ANTI-HOMOMORPHISMS IN DIFFERENTIALLY SEMIPRIME RINGS

M. P. Lukashenko. Derivations as homomorphisms or anti-homomorphisms in differentially semiprime rings, Mat. Stud. 43 (2015), 12–15.

Let R be a ring with an identity, U a nonzero right d-ideal and $d \in \text{Der } R$. We prove that if R is d-semiprime and d is a homomorphism (respectively an anti-endomorphism) of R (respectively acts as a homomorphism on U), then d = 0. If R is d-prime and d acts as an anti-homomorphism on U, then d = 0.

М. П. Лукашенко. Дифференцирования, действующие как гомоморфизмы или антигомоморфизмы на дифференциально полупервичных кольцах // Мат. Студії. – 2015. – Т.43, №1. – С.12–15.

Пусть R — ассоциативное кольцо с единицей, U — его ненулевой правый d-идеал и $d \in \text{Der } R$. Доказано, что если R — d-полупервичное кольцо и d — гомоморфизм (соответственно антигомоморфизм) на R (соответственно гомоморфизм на U), то d = 0. Если же R — d-первичное кольцо и d действует как антигомоморфизм на U, то d = 0.

1. Introduction. Let R be an associative ring with an identity. An additive mapping $d: R \to R$ is called a *derivation* of R if

$$d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b)$$

for any $a, b \in R$. Let Der R be the set of all derivations of R. H. E. Bell and L. C. Kappe ([5]) proved that if d is a derivation of R which acts as either an endomorphism or an antiendomorphism on a ring R, then d = 0 is trivial. M. Yenigul and N. Argaç ([14]), M. Ashraf, N. Rehman and M. A. Quadri ([1]) extended this result for (σ, τ) -derivations for a prime ring. A. Asma and K. Deepak ([2]), A. Asma, N. Rehman and A. Shakir ([4]) obtained the above result for a generalized (σ, τ) -derivations acting as a homomorphism or as an antihomomorphism on a nonzero Lie ideal U of a prime ring of characteristic $\neq 2$. Recently A. Asma and K. Deepak ([3]), N. Rehman and M. A. Raza ([10, 11]), B. Dhara ([7]), Y. Wang and H. You ([13]) and G. Scudo ([12]) extended these results for the generalized derivation acting on an ideal (respectively Lie ideal) in prime and semiprime rings.

Recall that a ring R (with 1) is called

- (i) d-semiprime (or differentially semiprime) if, for a nonzero d-ideal I of R, the condition $aId^k(a) = 0$ (where $a \in R$) for all $k \ge 0$ implies that a = 0,
- (ii) d-prime (or differentialy prime) if, for a nonzero d-ideal I of R, the condition $aId^k(b) = 0$ for any integer $k \ge 0$ (where $a, b \in R$) implies that a = 0 or b = 0.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification: 16N60, 16U80, 16W25. Keywords: d-ideal; homomorphism; differentially semiprime ring. doi:10.15330/ms.43.1.12-15

In this paper we prove the following two theorems.

Theorem 1. Let R be a ring and $d \in \text{Der } R$. If R is d-semiprime and d is a homomorphism (respectively an anti-endomorphism) of R, then d = 0.

Theorem 2. Let R be a ring, $d \in \text{Der } R$ and U be a nonzero right d-ideal of R. Then the following hold:

- (1) if R is d-semiprime and d acts as a homomorphism on U, then d = 0,
- (2) if R is d-prime and d acts as an anti-homomorphism on U, then d = 0.

Throughout the paper Z(R) is the center of an associative ring $R, d \in \text{Der } R$ and $\mathbb{P}(R)$ is the prime radical of R. More details about derivations can be found in [6] and [9].

2. Preliminaries. We extend Theorem 3 from [8] in the following

Proposition 1. Let R be a ring and $d \in \text{Der } R$. If R is a d-semiprime ring and $(d(x))^n = 0$ for any $x \in R$, where $n \ge 1$ is a fixed integer, then d = 0.

Proof. Assume that P is a prime ideal of $R, a \in P$ and $x \in R$. Since

$$0 = (d(ax))^n = (d(a)x)^n \bmod P,$$

we have $(\overline{d(a)x})^n = \overline{0}$ in the quotient ring $\overline{R} = R/P$. This means that a prime ring \overline{R} contains the nilpotent ideal $\overline{d(a)} \cdot \overline{R}$. Consequently, $d(a) \in P$ and $d(P) \subseteq P$. The rule

$$\overline{d} \colon \overline{R} \ni x + P \to d(x) + P \in \overline{R}$$

determines a derivation \overline{d} of \overline{R} such that $(\overline{d}(\overline{x}))^n = \overline{0}$, and so, by Theorem 1 of [8], $\overline{d} = \overline{0}$. Hence $d(R) \subseteq P$. From this it follows that $d(R) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(R)$. Since $d(\mathbb{P}(R)) \subseteq \mathbb{P}(R)$ and R is d-semiprime, we deduce that d = 0.

Corollary 1. Let R be a \triangle -semiprime ring, where $\triangle \subseteq \text{Der } R$. If any inner derivation of R is its ring endomorphism, then R is commutative.

Proof. Let $a, x, y \in R$. Since $\partial_b \in \text{End } R$ for any

$$b \in \{\delta_1^{m_1} \dots \delta_k^{m_k}(a) \mid \delta_i \in \Delta, \ k \ge 1 \text{ and } m_i \ge 0 \text{ are integers } (i \in \{1, \dots, k\})\}, \ a \in C_R(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

by Lemma 1 of [5], where $R^2 = \{ab \mid a, b \in R\}$. Then

$$[x,b][y,b] = xbxb - xb^{2}x - bxyb - bxby = 0 = [xy,b] = xyb - bxy$$
$$[x,b]y[x,b] = [x,b](yxb - ybx) = [x,b]([yx,b] - [y,b]x) = 0.$$

In view of \triangle -primeness of R, we deduce that [x, b] = 0 for any $x \in R$. Hence $a \in Z(R)$. \Box

3. Proofs. Proof of Theorem 1. 1) Assume that d is an endomorphism of R. By Lemma 2(a) of [5], we have that

$$d(x)x(y - d(y)) = 0$$
 (1)

for any $x, y \in R$. The replacement of y with yt for an arbitrary $t \in R$ yields that

$$d(x)x(y - d(y))t - d(x)xyd(t) = 0.$$

From this, in view of (1), it follows that

$$d(x)xRd(R) = 0. (2)$$

By the same argument, substituting y^2 for y in (1) we get

$$xd(y)y + xyd(y) + d(x)y^{2} = d(x)d(y)y + d(x)yd(y).$$

Recalling (1), we obtain that

$$(d(x) - x)yd(y) = 0.$$
 (3)

The replacement of x with rx in (3), where $r \in R$, gives (d(r)x + rd(x) - rx)yd(y) = 0 or equivalently

$$d(r)xyd(y) = 0. (4)$$

Since

$$d(x)^{5} = (d(x^{2}))^{2}d(x) = (d(x)x + xd(x))^{2}d(x) = (xd(x)d(x)x)d(x) = 0$$

in view of (2) and (4), we deduce that d = 0 by Proposition 1.

2) Now assume that d is an anti-homomorphism of R. By the same reason as in the proof of Theorem 2 from [5], [r, d(x)]R[r, d(x)] = 0 for any $x, r \in R$. Then $I = \sum_{x,r \in R} R[r, d(x)]R$ is a nil ideal. If $a, b \in R$, then

$$d(a[r, d(x)]b) = d(a)[r, d(x)]b + a[r, d(x)]d(b) + a[d(r), d(x)]b + a[r, d^{2}(x)]b \in I.$$

Hence I is a d-ideal. Then I = 0 and $d(R) \subseteq Z(R)$. Therefore $d \in \text{End } R$. The rest follows from the part 1).

Lemma 1 (Lemma 1.1, [9]). Let R be a ring and U a nonzero right ideal of R. Suppose that, given $a \in U$, $a^n = 0$ for a fixed integer n; then R has a nonzero nilpotent ideal.

Proof of Theorem 2. 1) Assume that d acts as a homomorphism on U. By Lemma 2(a) of [5], we obtain condition (1) for any $x, y \in U$. Replacing x with vx in (1), where $v \in U$, we obtain

$$v(xd(y) + d(x)y) + d(v)xy = d(v)xd(y) + vd(x)d(y).$$

Since d(xy) = d(x)d(y), from this it holds that vd(x)d(y) + d(v)xy = d(v)xd(y) + vd(x)d(y)and so

$$d(v)x(y - d(y)) = 0.$$
 (5)

Substituting yr for y in (5), we obtain d(v)xyr - d(v)xd(y)r - d(v)xyd(r) = 0 or equivalently

$$d(v)xyd(r) = 0. (6)$$

Replacing r with rs in (6), where $s \in R$, we have d(v)xyRd(R) = 0 and therefore $(d(v)xyR)^2 = 0$. This means that $I = \sum_{x,v \in U} d(v)xU$ is a nil ideal. Since I is a d-ideal, we deduce that I = 0. Then d(x)xy = 0 and, in particular, d(x)xd(y) = 0. As in the proof of Theorem 3 from [5] (see its equations (10) and (11)), we can obtain that $x^2d(y) = 0$.

The replacement of y with yt for an arbitrary $t \in R$ gives

$$0 = x^{2}d(yt) = x^{2}d(y)t + x^{2}yd(t) = x^{2}yd(t).$$

Hence $x^2 y R d^k(R) = 0$ for any integer $k \ge 0$. Then $x^2 y = 0$. As a consequence, $x^3 = 0$ for any $x \in U$. By Lemma 1, we obtain a contradiction. Hence d = 0.

2) Now assume that d acting on U as an anti-homomorphism. As in the proof of Theorem 3 from [5], we can prove that xR[r, d(y)] = 0 for any $x, y \in U$ and $r \in R$. Then $xRd^k([r, d(y)]) = 0$ for any integer $k \ge 0$. Since U is nonzero, we deduce that [r, d(y)] = 0. This means that $d(U) \subseteq Z(R)$ and d acts on U as a homomorphism. The rest follows from the part 1).

REFERENCES

- M. Ashraf, N. Rehman, M. A. Quadri, On (σ, τ)-derivations in certain classes of rings, Rad. Mat., 9 (1999), №2, 187–192.
- 2. A. Asma, K. Deepak, Derivation which acts as a homomorphism or as an anti-homomorphism in a prime ring, Inter. Math. Forum, 2 (2007), №23, 1105–1110.
- 3. A. Asma, K. Deepak, Generalized derivations as homomorphisms or as anti-homomorphisms in a prime rings, Hacettepe J. Math., **38** (2009), №1, 17–20.
- A. Asma, N. Rehman, A. Shakir, On Lie ideals with derivations as homomorphisms and antihomomorphisms, Acta Math. Hungar. 101 (2003), №1-2, 79-82.
- H.E. Bell, L.C. Kappe, Rings in which derivations satisfy certain algebraic contradictions, Acta Math. Hungar., 53 (1989), №3–4, 339–346.
- M. Bresăr, M.A. Chebotar, W.S. Martindale 3rd, Functional identities, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel Boston Berlin, 2007, 272 p.
- B. Dhara, Generalized derivations acting as a homomorphism or anti-homomorphism in semiprime rings, Beiträge Algebra Geom., 53 (2012), №1, 203–209.
- A. Giambruno, I.N. Herstein, Derivations with nilpotent values, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo Ser. II, 30 (1981), 199–206.
- 9. I.N. Herstein, Topics in ring theory, Univ. Chicago Math. Lect. Notes, 1965.
- N. Rehman, On generalized derivations as homomorphisms and anti-homomorphisms, Glasnik Mat., 39(59) (2004), 27–30.
- N. Rehman, M.A. Raza, Generalized derivations as homomorphisms and anti-homomorphisms on Lie ideals, Arab J. Math. Sci., (to appear) http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amsc.2014.09.001.
- G. Scudo, Generalized derivations acting as homomorphisms on polynomials in prime rings, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 38 (2014), 563–572.
- Y. Wang, H. You, Derivations as homomorphisms or anti-homomorphisms on Lie ideals, Acta Math. Sin., 23 (2007), №6, 1149–1152.
- M. Yenigul, N. Argaç, On prime and semiprime rings with α-derivations, Turkish J. Math., 18 (1994), 280–284.

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics PreCarpathian National University of Vasyl Stefanyk bilochka.90@mail.ru

Received 30.11.2014