

УДК 517.5

S. YU. FAVOROV

## SOME PROPERTIES OF MEASURES WITH DISCRETE SUPPORT

S. Yu. Favorov. *Some properties of measures with discrete support*, Mat. Stud. **46** (2016), 189–195.

We give some new conditions for the support of a discrete measure on Euclidean space to be a finite union of translated lattices. In particular, we consider the case when values of masses  $a_\lambda$  of discrete measure satisfy the equality  $G(a_\lambda, \bar{a}_\lambda) = 0$  for each analytic function  $G(z, w)$ .

Denote by  $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the Schwartz space of test functions  $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with finite norms

$$p_m(\varphi) = \sup_{\mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |x|)^m \max_{|k_1| + \dots + |k_d| \leq m} |D^k(\varphi(x))|, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1)$$

$k = (k_1, \dots, k_d) \in (\mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})^d$ ,  $D^k = \partial_{x_1}^{k_1} \dots \partial_{x_d}^{k_d}$ . These norms generate topology on  $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and elements of the space  $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  of continuous linear functionals on  $S(\mathbb{R}^d)$  are called tempered distributions. For each tempered distribution  $f$  there exist  $C > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that for all  $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$|f(\varphi)| \leq Cp_m(\varphi). \quad (2)$$

Moreover, this estimate is sufficient for distribution  $f$  to be in  $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  (see [16], Ch.3).

The Fourier transform of a tempered distribution  $f$  is defined by the equality

$$\hat{f}(\varphi) = f(\hat{\varphi}) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad (3)$$

where

$$\hat{\varphi}(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \exp\{-2\pi i \langle x, y \rangle\} dx$$

is the Fourier transform of the function  $\varphi$ . Note that the Fourier transform of each tempered distribution is also a tempered distribution.

In the paper we consider only the case when  $f$  is a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We say that  $\mu$  is *translation bounded*, if its variations on balls of radius 1 are uniformly bounded. If the Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}$  is an atomic measure, then *spectrum* of  $\mu$  is the set  $\Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d: \hat{\mu}(x) \neq 0\}$ . We denote  $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^d: |y - x| < r\}$ ,  $B(r) = B(0, r)$ ,  $A \Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ , and by  $\delta_\lambda$  the unit mass at the point  $\lambda$ . For a measure  $\mu$  denote by  $|\mu|(t)$  the value of its variation on the ball  $B(t)$ , and by  $|\mu|$  the value of its total variation, if it is finite. A measure  $\mu$  is *slowly increasing*, if  $|\mu|(t)$  grows at most polynomially as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 42B10, 52C23.

*Keywords*: distribution; Fourier transform; measure with discrete support; spectrum of measure; almost periodic measure; lattice.

doi:10.15330/ms.46.2.189-195

Next, a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  is *relatively dense*, if there is  $R < \infty$  such that  $E \cap B(x, R) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . A set  $E$  is *discrete*, if  $E \cap B(x, 1)$  is finite for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . A set  $E$  is *uniformly discrete*, if  $|x - x'| \geq \varepsilon > 0$  for all  $x, x' \in E, x \neq x'$ . A measure is discrete (uniformly discrete), if its support is discrete (uniformly discrete).

Let  $\mu \in S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be a Radon measure with discrete support  $\Lambda$ . Note that such measures are the main object in the theory of Fourier quasicrystals (see [1]–[12]). The following result is valid:

**Theorem 1** (Y. Meyer, [11]). *Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \delta_\lambda$ ,  $a_\lambda \in S$ , be a measure on the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  with discrete support  $\Lambda$  and some finite set  $S \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $\mu \in S'(\mathbb{R})$  and its Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}$  is a translation bounded measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ , then*

$$\Lambda = E \Delta \bigcup_{j=1}^N (\alpha_j \mathbb{Z} + \beta_j), \quad \alpha_j > 0, \beta_j \in \mathbb{R}, E \text{ finite.} \quad (4)$$

The main tool is the following idempotent theorem by P. J. Cohen:

**Theorem 2** ([2]). *Let  $G$  be a locally compact abelian group and  $\hat{G}$  its dual group. If  $\mu$  is a finite Borel measure on  $G$  such that its Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}(\gamma) \in \{0, 1\}$  for all  $\gamma \in \hat{G}$ , then the set  $\{\gamma: \hat{\mu}(\gamma) = 1\}$  is in the coset ring of  $\hat{G}$ .*

Recall that a *coset ring* of any topological group is the smallest collection of subsets of which is closed under finite unions, finite intersections and complements and contains all cosets of all open subgroups of  $G$ .

Note that Y. Meyer used the Cohen's theorem for measures on Bohr compactification  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  and their Fourier transform on the dual group  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{dis}}$  that is the real line in the discrete topology. Therefore the end of the proof of Meyer's theorem follows from the result of P. H. Rosenthal.

**Theorem 3** ([15]). *The elements of the ring of cosets of  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{dis}}$  which are discrete in the usual topology of  $\mathbb{R}$  are precisely the sets of the form (4).*

To formulate the results for  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $d > 1$  we need some definitions.

A *lattice* is a discrete subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $A$  be a lattice or a coset of some lattice in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , then  $\dim A$  is the dimension of the smallest translated subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  that contains  $A$ . Every lattice  $L$  of dimension  $k$  has the form  $T\mathbb{Z}^k$ , where  $T: \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d$  is a linear operator of rank  $k$ . For  $k = d$  we say that  $L$  is a *full-rank* lattice.

**Theorem 4** (M. Kolountzakis, [5]). *Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \delta_\lambda$ ,  $a_\lambda \in S$ , be a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with discrete support  $\Lambda$  and some finite set  $S \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $\mu \in S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and its Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}$  is a measure with the property*

$$|\hat{\mu}(t)| = O(t^d) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (5)$$

then  $\Lambda$  is a finite union of sets of the type

$$A \setminus \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^N B_j \right), \quad A, B_j \text{ discrete cosets, } \dim B_j < \dim A \text{ for all } j. \quad (6)$$

Note that each translation bounded measure  $\hat{\mu}$  satisfies (5).

Here the following theorem was used instead of Theorem 3:

**Theorem 5** ([5]). *The elements of the ring of cosets of  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{dis}}^d$  which are discrete in the usual topology of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  are precisely finite unions of sets of the type (6).*

Note that A. Cordoba ([1]) considered a uniformly discrete measure  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \delta_\lambda$  with  $a_\lambda$  from a finite set  $S \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and translation bounded measure  $\hat{\mu}$  with a countable support. He proved that if this is the case, then  $\Lambda$  is a finite union of translates of several full-rank lattices. In our previous paper [4] we relaxed the conditions of Cordoba's theorem: we considered a uniformly discrete measure  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \delta_\lambda$  with  $|a_\lambda|$  from a finite set  $S$  of positive numbers. We also assumed that the measure  $\hat{\mu}$  had a countable support and satisfied condition (5) instead of being translation bounded.

Set for a measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$

$$\kappa(\mu) = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\mu|(t) / \omega_d t^d,$$

where  $\omega_d$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

The first result of the present paper is the following

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $\Lambda$  be a discrete set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \delta_\lambda$  be a measure from  $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\hat{\mu}$  be a measure such that  $\kappa(\hat{\mu}) < \infty$ ,  $G(z, w)$  be a holomorphic function on a polydisk  $\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2: |z| < R, |w| < R\}$  with  $R > \kappa(\hat{\mu})$  and  $G(0, 0) = 1$ . If  $G(a_\lambda, \bar{a}_\lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , then  $\Lambda$  is a finite union of sets (6).*

*Proof.* Let  $\rho(E) = \hat{\mu}(-E)$  for any Borel set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . Clearly,  $\hat{\rho} = \mu$ . By conditions of the theorem, for each  $\kappa' > \kappa(\hat{\mu})$  and sufficiently large  $t$  we have  $|\rho|(t) \leq \kappa' \omega_d t^d$ .

Let  $\varphi(|x|)$  be a nonnegative infinitely differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\varphi(|x|) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq 1$  and

$$\hat{\varphi}(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(|x|) dx = -\omega_d \int_0^1 \varphi'(t) t^d dt = 1. \tag{7}$$

Define a measure  $\rho_M$  by the equality

$$\rho_M(E) = M^{-d} \int_E \varphi(|y|/M) d\rho(y), \quad E \text{ is a Borel set in } \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Integrating by parts, we get

$$|\rho_M| \leq M^{-d} \int_0^M \varphi(t/M) d|\rho|(t) \leq M^{-d-1} \left( C(\kappa') - \kappa' \omega_d \int_0^M t^d \varphi'(t/M) dt \right).$$

By (7), the integral in the right-hand side equals  $-M^{d+1}/\omega_d$ , therefore,

$$\limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} |\rho_M| \leq \kappa(\hat{\mu}) < R. \tag{8}$$

The Fourier transform  $\hat{\rho}_M$  is an infinitely differentiable (even real-analytic) function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $\psi$  be a nonnegative infinitely differentiable function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with compact support such that  $\psi(x) \equiv 1$  for  $|x| \leq 1$ . For each point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we get

$$\hat{\rho}_M(x) = (\hat{\varphi}(M \cdot) * \mu)(x) = \int \psi(x-y) \hat{\varphi}(M(x-y)) d\mu(y) + \int (1-\psi(x-y)) \hat{\varphi}(M(x-y)) d\mu(y). \tag{9}$$

The set  $\Lambda \cap \{y: \psi(x-y) \neq 0\}$  is at most finite. Since  $\hat{\varphi}(M(x-y)) \rightarrow 0$  for  $x \neq y$  as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that the first integral tends to 0 for  $x \notin \Lambda$  and tends to  $a(\lambda)$  for  $x = \lambda \in \Lambda$ .

By (1) and (2), there is  $m < \infty$  such that the second integral in (9) is bounded by the quantity

$$C \sup_{|x-y|>1} (1+|x-y|)^m \max_{|k_1|+\dots+|k_d|\leq m} |D^k[(1-\psi(x-y))\hat{\varphi}(M(x-y))]|. \quad (10)$$

Since  $\psi(x-y)\hat{\varphi}(M(x-y)) \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we see that (10) for each  $N < \infty$  does not exceed

$$C'(N)M^{m-N} \sup_{|x-y|>1} |x-y|^{m-N},$$

hence it tends to 0 as  $M \rightarrow \infty$ .

Consider the Bohr compactification  $\mathfrak{R}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . The dual group to  $\mathfrak{R}$  is  $\mathbb{R}_{\text{dis}}^d$ , then  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is a dense subset of  $\mathfrak{R}$  with respect to the topology on  $\mathfrak{R}$ , and restrictions to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  of continuous functions on  $\mathfrak{R}$  are just almost periodic functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , in particular, they are bounded and continuous on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (see for example [13]). By (8), variations of the measures  $\rho_M$  are uniformly bounded, the measures  $\rho_M$  act on all bounded functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and hence also on all functions from  $C(\mathfrak{R})$ . Therefore there exists a measure  $\mathfrak{r}$  on  $\mathfrak{R}$  with the total variation  $|\mathfrak{r}| < R$ , and a subsequence  $M'$  such that  $\rho_{M'} \rightarrow \mathfrak{r}$  in the weak-star topology. In other words,  $\langle \rho_{M'}, f \rangle \rightarrow \langle \mathfrak{r}, f \rangle$  as  $M' \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $f \in C(\mathfrak{R})$ . Applying this to any character of  $\mathfrak{R}$  in place of  $f$  we obtain

$$\hat{\mathfrak{r}}(x) = \lim_{M' \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\rho}_{M'}(x) = \begin{cases} a_\lambda, & x = \lambda \in \Lambda, \\ 0, & x \notin \Lambda. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $\hat{\mathfrak{r}}(x)$  is a continuous function with respect to the discrete topology on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , and  $|a_\lambda| \leq |\mathfrak{r}| < R$  for all  $a_\lambda$ .

Define a measure on  $\mathfrak{R}$  by equality  $\mathfrak{n}(E) = \overline{\mathfrak{r}(-E)}$ . Note that  $\hat{\mathfrak{n}}(x) = \bar{\hat{\mathfrak{r}}}(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $|\mathfrak{n}| < R$ . Let  $P(z, \bar{z}) = \sum_{1 \leq l+m \leq r} c_{l,m} z^l \bar{z}^m$  be any polynomial on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then the Fourier transform of the corresponding convolution polynomial  $\mathfrak{p} = \sum_{1 \leq l+m \leq r} c_{l,m} \mathfrak{r}^{*l} \mathfrak{n}^{*m}$  has the form

$$\hat{\mathfrak{p}}(x) = \begin{cases} P(a_\lambda, \bar{a}_\lambda), & x = \lambda \in \Lambda, \\ 0, & x \notin \Lambda. \end{cases}$$

Besides, the variation  $\mathfrak{p}$  is bounded by  $\sum_{1 \leq l+m \leq r} |c_{l,m}| |\mathfrak{r}|^l |\mathfrak{n}|^m$ .

Furthermore, the function  $1-G(z, w)$  is the absolutely convergent series  $\sum_{l+m \geq 1} c_{l,m} z^l w^m$  for  $|z| < R$ ,  $|w| < R$ , therefore the series  $\sum_{l+m \geq 1} |c_{l,m}| |\mathfrak{r}|^l |\mathfrak{n}|^m$  converges, and the sums  $\mathfrak{s}_r = \sum_{1 \leq l+m \leq r} c_{l,m} \mathfrak{r}^{*l} \mathfrak{n}^{*m}$  converge in the space  $C''(\mathfrak{R})$  to a measure  $\mathfrak{g}$ . As above we get

$$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 - G(a_\lambda, \bar{a}_\lambda) = 1, & x = \lambda \in \Lambda, \\ 1 - G(0, 0) = 0, & x \notin \Lambda. \end{cases}$$

Using Theorem 2 and Theorem 5, we obtain the assertion of our theorem.  $\square$

Now we consider conditions for support of a discrete measure to be a finite union of translations of a *single* lattice. We begin with the following theorem:

**Theorem 7** (N. Lev, A. Olevskii, [9]). Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda)\delta_\lambda$  and  $\hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b(\gamma)\delta_\gamma$  be slowly increasing measures in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with countable support  $\Lambda$  and countable spectrum  $\Gamma$ . If  $\Gamma$  is discrete and  $\Lambda - \Lambda$  is uniformly discrete, then the sets  $\Lambda$  is a subset of a finite union of translates of a single full-rank lattice  $L$ , and  $\Gamma$  is a subset of a finite union of translates of the conjugate lattice.

Also, there is a measure  $\mu$  with countable support  $\Lambda$  and spectrum  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Lambda - \Lambda$  is uniformly discrete, but  $\Lambda$  is not contained in a finite union of translates of any lattice.

We prove the following theorem, which amplifies the previous one

**Theorem 8.** Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda)\delta_\lambda$  and  $\hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b(\gamma)\delta_\gamma$  be measures in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with countable support  $\Lambda$  and countable spectrum  $\Gamma$ ,  $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |a(\lambda)| > 0$ , and let  $\hat{\mu}$  be a slowly increasing measure. If  $\Lambda - \Lambda$  is a discrete set, then  $\Lambda$  is a finite union of translates of a single full-rank lattice  $L$ .

Here we need not the discreteness of spectrum  $\Gamma$  of the measure.

Theorem 8 is a consequence of the result on pairs of measures:

**Theorem 9.** Let  $\mu_j = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} a_j(\lambda)\delta_\lambda$  be measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with countable  $\Lambda_j$  such that  $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} |a_j(\lambda)| > 0$ ,  $\hat{\mu}_j = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_j} b_j(\gamma)\delta_\gamma$  be slowly increasing measures with countable  $\Gamma_j$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ . If the set of differences  $\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2$  is discrete, then the sets  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are finite unions of translates of a single full-rank lattice  $L$ .

For  $\mu_2 = \alpha\mu_1$  we get a slight strengthening of Theorem 8:

**Corollary 1.** Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a(\lambda)\delta_\lambda$  be measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with countable  $\Lambda$  such that  $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |a(\lambda)| > 0$ , let  $\hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b(\gamma)\delta_\gamma$  be slowly increasing measures with countable  $\Gamma$ . If the set  $\{x - \alpha x' : x, x' \in \Lambda\}$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$  is discrete, then  $\Lambda$  is a finite union of translates of a single full-rank lattice  $L$ .

To prove Theorem 9 we recall some definitions connected with the notion of almost periodicity (see, for example, [10]).

A continuous function  $f$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is *almost periodic* if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set of  $\varepsilon$ -almost periods of  $f$

$$\left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{R}^d : \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} |f(x + \tau) - f(x)| < \varepsilon \right\}$$

is a relatively dense set in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

A (complex) measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is *almost periodic* if for every continuous function  $\psi$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with compact support the function  $(\psi \star \mu)(t)$  is almost periodic in  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

A discrete set  $\Lambda$  is almost periodic if the measure  $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \delta_\lambda$  is almost periodic.

**Theorem 10** (L. Ronkin, [14]). *Every almost periodic measure is translation bounded.*

Earlier we proved an analog of Theorem 9 for almost periodic measures:

**Theorem 11** ([4]). *If measures  $\mu_j = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} a_j(\lambda)\delta_\lambda$ ,  $\inf_{\lambda \in \Lambda_j} |a_j(\lambda)| > 0$ , with countable  $\Lambda_j$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ , are almost periodic, and the set of differences  $\Lambda_1 - \Lambda_2$  is discrete, then the sets  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are finite unions of translates of a single full-rank lattice  $L$ .*

**Corollary 2** ([3]). *If  $\Lambda$  is an almost periodic set and  $\Lambda - \Lambda$  is discrete set, then  $\Lambda$  is a finite union of translates of a single full-rank lattice  $L$ .*

This is a positive solution of Lagarias' (Problem 4.4, [7]).

A connection between almost periodicity of measure and properties of its Fourier transform was found by Y. Meyer.

**Theorem 12** ([10]). *Let  $\mu$  and its Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}$  be translation bounded measures. Then  $\mu$  is almost periodic if and only if the spectrum of  $\mu$  is countable.*

Here we need a small supplement of this result.

**Theorem 13.** *Let  $\mu$  be a uniformly discrete measure, and let its Fourier transform  $\hat{\mu}$  be a slowly increasing measure with countable support. Then  $\mu$  is almost periodic.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} a_\lambda \delta_\lambda$  and  $\varepsilon = \inf\{|x - x'| : x, x' \in \Lambda, x \neq x'\}$ , let  $\psi(|y|)$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function such that  $\text{supp } \psi(|y|) \subset B(0, \varepsilon/2)$  and  $\psi(0) = 1$ . Using (3), we have

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |a_\lambda| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int \psi(|x - \lambda|) d\mu(\lambda) \right| = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\psi}(y) e^{2\pi i \langle x, y \rangle} d\hat{\mu}(y) \right|. \tag{11}$$

Since  $\hat{\psi}(y) \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have  $|\hat{\psi}(y)| \leq c_N(1 + |y|)^{-N}$  for any  $N < \infty$ . Therefore, the latter integral in (11) does not exceed

$$c_N \int_0^\infty \frac{d|\hat{\mu}|(t)}{(1+t)^N} \leq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_N |\hat{\mu}|(T)}{(1+T)^N} + c_N N \int_0^\infty \frac{|\hat{\mu}|(t) dt}{(1+t)^{N+1}}.$$

The measure  $\hat{\mu}$  is slowly increasing, hence the right-hand side is finite for appropriate  $N$ , and the numbers  $a_\lambda$  are uniformly bounded.

Furthermore, take any  $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Since  $\hat{\mu} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b(\gamma) \delta_\gamma$  with countable  $\Gamma$ , we get

$$(\varphi \star \mu)(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(t - x) d\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \hat{\varphi}(\gamma) e^{2\pi i \langle t, \gamma \rangle} d\hat{\mu}(\gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} b(\gamma) \hat{\varphi}(\gamma) e^{2\pi i \langle t, \gamma \rangle}. \tag{12}$$

Note that  $|\hat{\varphi}(\gamma)| \leq c_N(1 + |\gamma|)^{-N}$ , therefore the latter sum in (12) is majorized by

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_N(1 + |\gamma|)^{-N} |b(\gamma)| \leq c_N \int_0^\infty (1+t)^{-N} d|\hat{\mu}|(t).$$

Arguing as above, we get that the integral is finite, therefore the sum in (12) uniformly converges, and it is almost periodic in  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Check that  $(f \star \mu)(t)$  is almost periodic for each continuous function  $f$  with a compact support in a ball  $B(R)$ . Let  $\varphi_n \in S(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi_n \subset B(R+1)$ , be a sequence that uniformly converges to  $f$ . The numbers  $a_\lambda$  are uniformly bounded, hence the almost periodic functions  $(\varphi_n \star \mu)(t)$  uniformly converge to  $(f \star \mu)(t)$ , and the latter function is also almost periodic.  $\square$

Combining Theorems 11 and 13 and taking into account that the discreteness of  $\Lambda - \Lambda$  implies the uniformly discreteness of  $\Lambda$ , we obtain the proof of Theorem 9.

## REFERENCES

1. A. Cordoba, *Dirac combs*, Lett. Math. Phys., **17** (1989), 191–196.
2. P.J. Cohen, *On a conjecture of Littlewood and idempotent measures*, Am. J. Math., **82** (1960), 191–212.
3. S.Yu. Favorov, *Bohr and Besicovitch almost periodic discrete sets and quasicrystals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **140** (2012), 1761–1767.
4. S.Yu. Favorov, *Fourier quasicrystals and Lagarias' conjecture*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **144** (2016), 3527–3536.
5. M.N. Kolountzakis, *On the structure of multiple translations tilings by polygonal regions*, Preprint, 1999, 16 p.
6. M.N. Kolountzakis, J.C. Lagarias, *Structure of tilings of the line by a function*, Duke Math. Journal, **82** (1996), 653–678.
7. J.C. Lagarias, *Mathematical quasicrystals and the problem of diffraction*, Directions in Mathematical Quasicrystals, M. Baake and R. Moody, eds., CRM Monograph series, V.13, AMS, Providence RI, 2000, 61–93.
8. N. Lev, A. Olevskii, *Quasicrystals and Poisson's summation formula*, Invent. Math., **200** (2015), 585–606.
9. N. Lev, A. Olevskii, *Fourier quasicrystals and discreteness of the diffraction spectrum*, arXiv:1512.08735v1[math.CA], 2015.
10. Y. Meyer, *Quasicrystals, almost periodic patterns, mean-periodic functions, and irregular sampling*, African Diaspora Journal of Mathematics, **13** (2012), №1, 1–45.
11. Y. Meyer, *Nombres de Pizot, Nombres de Salem et analyse harmonique*, Lect. Notes Math., **117** (1970), Springer-Verlag, 25 p.
12. R.V. Moody, *Meyer's sets and their duals*. – 403–442 in R.V. Moody, Ed. The Mathematics of Long-Range Order, NATO ASI Series C., Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
13. W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*. – Interscience Publications, a Division of John Wiley and Sons, 1962, 285 p.
14. L.I. Ronkin, *Almost periodic distributions and divisors in tube domains*, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI, **247** (1997), 210–236. (in Russian)
15. H.P. Rosenthal, *Projections onto translation-invariant subspace of  $L^P(G)$* , Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc., **63** (1966).
16. V.S. Vladimirov, *Equations of mathematical physics*. – Marcel Dekker, Inc., New-York, 1971, 418 p.

Karazin's Kharkiv National University  
sfavorov@gmail.com

Received 11.08.2016