Математичний Вісник Наукового Товариства ім. Тараса Шевченка 2016. — Т.13



Mathematical Bulletin of Taras Shevchenko Scientific Society 2016. — V.13

# CLASSIFYING LOCALLY COMPACT SEMITOPOLOGICAL POLYCYCLIC MONOIDS

#### SERHII BARDYLA

Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Ivan Franko National University, Lviv

S. Bardyla, *Classifying locally compact semitopological polycyclic monoids*, Math. Bull. Shevchenko Sci. Soc. **13** (2016) 21–28.

We present a complete classification of Hausdorff locally compact polycyclic monoids up to a topological isomorphism. A *polycyclic monoid* is an inverse monoid with zero, generated by a subset  $\Lambda$  such that  $xx^{-1} = 1$  for any  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $xy^{-1} = 0$  for any distinct  $x, y \in \Lambda$ . We prove that any non-discrete Hausdorff locally compact topology with continuous shifts on a polycyclic monoid *S* coincides with the topology of one-point compactification of the discrete space  $S \setminus \{0\}$ .

С. Бардила. Класифікація локально компактних напівтопологічних поліциклічних моноідів // Мат. вісн. Наук. тов. ім. Шевченка. — 2016. — Т.13. — С. 21–28.

Отримано повну класифікацію гаусдорфових локально компактних напівтопологічних поліциклічних моноїдів. Поліциклічним моноїдом називається інверсний моноїд з нулем і множиною генераторів  $\Lambda$  такою, що  $xx^{-1} = 1$  для довільного  $x \in \Lambda$  і  $xy^{-1} = 0$  для довільних різних  $x, y \in \Lambda$ . Доведено, що кожна недискретна гаусдорфова локально компактна топологія з неперервними зсувами на поліциклічному моноїді S збігається з топологією одноточкової компактифікації дискретного простору  $S \setminus \{0\}$ .

# Introduction

In this paper we present a complete classification of locally compact semitopological polycyclic monoids up to a topological isomorphism.

We shall follow the terminology of [6, 8, 14, 17]. First we recall some information on inverse semigroups and monoids. We identify cardinals with the sets of ordinals of smaller cardinality.

A semigroup is a set S endowed with an associative binary operation  $\cdot : S \times S \to S$ ,  $\cdot : (x, y) \mapsto xy$ . An element  $e \in S$  is called the *unit* (resp. *zero*) of S if xe = x = ex(resp. xe = e = ex) for all  $x \in S$ . A semigroup can contains at most one unit (which

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M18, 22A15  $Y \square K$ : 512.536 Key words and phrases: locally compact semitopological semigroup, bicyclic semigroup, polycyclic monoid,  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid.

E-mail: sbardyla@yahoo.com

will be denoted by 1) and at most one zero (denoted by 0). A *monoid* if a semigroup with a unit.

A semigroup S is called *inverse* if for every element  $a \in S$  there exists a unique element  $a^{-1}$  (called the *inverse* of a) such that  $aa^{-1}a = a$  and  $a^{-1}aa^{-1} = a^{-1}$ . An *inverse monoid* is an inverse semigroup with unit. We say that an inverse monoid S is generated by a subset  $\Lambda \subset S$  if S coincides with the smallest subsemigroup of S containing the set  $\Lambda \cup \Lambda^{-1}$ .

A polycyclic monoid is an inverse monoid S with zero  $0 \neq 1$ , which is generated by a subset  $\Lambda \subset S$  such that  $xx^{-1} = 1$  for all  $x \in \Lambda$  and  $xy^{-1} = 0$  for any distinct  $x, y \in \Lambda$ . If the generating set  $\Lambda$  has cardinality  $\lambda$ , then S is called a  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid. We claim that  $|\Lambda| \geq 2$ . In the opposite case,  $\Lambda = \{x\}$  is a singleton and  $0 \in S = \{x^{-n}x^m : n, m \in \omega\}$ , which implies that  $0 = x^{-n}x^m$  for some non-negative numbers n, m. Then  $0 = x^{n+1} \cdot 0 \cdot x^{-m} = x^{n+1}(x^{-n}x^m)x^{-m} = x$  and hence  $1 = xx^{-1} = 0x^{-1} = 0$ , but this contradicts the definition of a polycyclic monoid.

A canonical example of a  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid can be constructed as follows. Let  $M_{\lambda\pm}$  be the monoid of all words in the alphabet  $\{x, x^{-1} : x \in \lambda\}$ , endowed with the semigroup operation of concatenation of words. The empty word is the unit 1 of the monoid  $M_{\lambda\pm}$ . Let  $M_{\lambda\pm}^0 := M_{\lambda\pm} \cup \{0\}$  be the monoid  $M_{\lambda\pm}$  with the attached external zero, i.e., an element  $0 \notin M_{\lambda\pm}$  such that  $0 \cdot x = 0 = x \cdot 0$  for all  $x \in M_{\lambda\pm}^0$ . On the monoid  $M_{\lambda\pm}^0$  consider the smallest congruence  $\sim$  containing the pairs  $(xx^{-1}, 1)$  and  $(xy^{-1}, 0)$  for all distinct elements  $x, y \in \lambda$ . Then the quotient semigroup  $M_{\lambda\pm}^0/\sim$  is the required canonical example of a  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid, which will be denoted by  $P_{\lambda}$  and called *the*  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid.

Algebraic properties of the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid were deeply investigated in the papers [16, 11, 12, 4] and the monograph [14, §6.3]. According to Theorem 5 in [14, §6.3] and Theorem 2.5 in [4], the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  is congruence-free, which implies that each  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid is algebraically isomorphic to  $P_{\lambda}$ .

The aim of this paper is to describe Hausdorff locally compact topologies on  $P_{\lambda}$ , compatible with the algebraic structure of the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$ . A suitable compatibility condition is given by the notion of a semitopological semigroup.

A semitopological semigroup is a semigroup S endowed with a Hausdorff topology making the binary operation  $S \times S \rightarrow S$ ,  $(x, y) \mapsto xy$ , separately continuous. If this operation is jointly continuous, then S is called a *topological semigroup*.

For a cardinal  $\lambda \ge 2$  by  $P_{\lambda}^{d}$  we shall denote the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  endowed with the discrete topology, and by  $P_{\lambda}^{c}$  the monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  endowed with the compact topology  $\tau = \{U \subset P_{\lambda} : 0 \in U \implies (P_{\lambda} \setminus U \text{ is finite})\}$  of one-point compactification of the discrete space  $P_{\lambda} \setminus \{0\}$ . It is clear that  $P_{\lambda}^{d}$  is a topological monoid. On the other hand,  $P_{\lambda}^{c}$  is a compact semitopological monoid, which is not a topological semigroup.

By [4], each locally compact topological  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid is discrete and hence is topologically isomorphic to  $P_{\lambda}^{d}$ . In the semitopological case we have the following dichotomy, which is the main result of this paper.

**Main Theorem.** Any locally compact semitopological polycyclic monoid S is either discrete or compact. More precisely, S is topologically isomorphic either to  $P_{\lambda}^{d}$  or to

# $P_{\lambda}^{c}$ for a unique cardinal $\lambda \geq 2$ .

Since the compact semitopological  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}^{c}$  fails to be a topological semigroup, Main Theorem implies the mentioned result of [4]:

**Corollary.** Any locally compact topological polycyclic monoid *S* is discrete. More precisely, *S* is topologically isomorphic to the topological  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}^{d}$  for a unique cardinal  $\lambda \geq 2$ .

Some other topologizability results of the same flavor can be found in [7, 19, 18, 13, 1, 9, 15, 2, 10, 3, 4, 5].

### **Proof of Main Theorem**

The proof of Main Theorem is divided into a series of 12 lemmas.

Let *S* be a non-discrete locally compact semitopological polycyclic monoid and let  $\Lambda$  be its generating set. By Theorem 5 in [14, §6.3] and Theorem 2.5 in [4], the polycyclic monoids are conguence-free, which implies that *S* is algebraically isomorphic to the  $\lambda$ -polycyclic monoid  $P_{\lambda}$  for some  $\lambda \geq 2$ . Theorem 2.2 in [4] implies that the cardinal  $\lambda$  is unique. So, we can identify *S* with  $P_{\lambda}$  and the cardinal  $\lambda$  with the generating set  $\Lambda$  of the inverse monoid *S*.

Let  $S^+$  be the submonoid of S, generated by the set  $\Lambda$  (i.e.,  $S^+$  is the smallest submonoid of S containing the generating set  $\Lambda$ ). Elements of  $S^+$  can be identified with words in the alphabet  $\Lambda$ . Such words will be called *positive*. The relations between the generators of S guarantee that each non-zero element a of S can be uniquely written as  $u^{-1}v$  for some positive words  $u, v \in S^+$ . Then by  $\downarrow a$  we denote the set of all prefixes of the word  $u^{-1}v$ . For a subset  $C \subset S$  we put  $\downarrow C = \bigcup_{a \in C} \downarrow a$ .

The following algebraic property of a polycyclic monoid is proved in [4, Proposition 2.7].

### **Lemma 1.** For any non-zero elements $a, b, c \in S$ , the set $\{x \in S : axb = c\}$ is finite.

This lemma will be applied in the proof of the following useful fact that can be found in [4, Proposition 3.1].

# Lemma 2. All non-zero elements of S are isolated points in the space S.

*Proof.* For convenience of the reader we present a short proof of this important lemma. First we show that the unit 1 is an isolated point of the semitopological monoid S. Take any generator  $g \in \Lambda$  and consider the idempotent  $e = g^{-1}g$  of S. Since the map  $S \to eS$ ,  $x \mapsto ex$ , is a retraction of the Hausdorff space S onto eS, the principal right ideal  $eS = g^{-1}S$  is closed in S. By the same reason, the principal left ideal Se = Sg is closed in S. The separate continuity of the semigroup operation yields a neighborhood  $U_1 \subset S \setminus (g^{-1}S \cup Sg)$  of 1 such that  $0 \notin (e \cdot U_1) \cap (U_1 \cdot e)$ . We claim that  $U_1 = \{1\}$ . In the opposite case,  $U_1$  contains some element  $a \neq 1$ , which can be written as  $u^{-1}v$ for some positive words  $u, v \in S^+$ . Since  $a \neq 1$  one of the words u, v is not empty. If u is not empty, then  $a \in U_1 \subset S \setminus g^{-1}S$  implies that the word  $u^{-1}$  does not start with  $g^{-1}$ . In this case  $ea = g^{-1}gu^{-1}v = g^{-1} \cdot 0 = 0$ , which contradicts the choice of the neighborhood  $U_1 \ni a$ . If the word v is not empty, then  $a \in U_1 \subset S \setminus Sg$  implies that v does not end with g. In this case  $ae = u^{-1}vg^{-1}g = 0$ , again contradicting the choice of  $U_1$ . This contradiction shows that the unit 1 is an isolated point of S.

Now we can prove that each non-zero point  $a \in S$  is isolated. Write a as  $u^{-1}v$  for some positive words  $u, v \in S^+$ . Since  $uav^{-1} = 1$ , the separate continuity of the semigroup operation on S, yields an open neighborhood  $O_a \subset S$  of a such that  $uO_av^{-1} \subset U_1 = \{1\}$ . By Lemma 1, the neighborhood  $O_a$  is finite and hence the singleton  $\{a\} = O_a \setminus (O_a \setminus \{a\})$  is open, which means that the point a is isolated in S.

Lemma 2 implies that the locally compact space S has a neighborhood base at zero, consisting of compact sets. It also implies the following useful lemma.

**Lemma 3.** For any compact neighborhoods  $U_0, V_0 \subset S$  of zero the set  $U_0 \setminus V_0$  is finite.

For an element  $u \in S$  by  $\mathcal{R}_u := \{x \in S : xS = uS\}$  we denote its *Green*  $\mathcal{R}$ -class in S. Here  $uS = \{us : s \in S\}$  is the right principal ideal generated by the element u.

**Lemma 4.** Every non-zero  $\mathcal{R}$ -class in S coincides with the  $\mathcal{R}$ -class  $\mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} = \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}u}$  for some positive word  $u \in S^+$ .

*Proof.* Each non-zero element of the semigroup  $P_{\lambda}$  can be written as  $u^{-1}v$  for some positive words  $u, v \in S^+$ . Taking into account that  $u^{-1}v \cdot v^{-1} = u^{-1}$ , we conclude that  $\mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}v} = \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} = \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}u}$ .

In the following Lemmas 5–12 we assume that  $U_0$  is any fixed compact neighborhood of zero in the semitopological monoid S. Since zero is a unique non-isolated point in S, the neighborhood  $U_0$  is infinite.

#### **Lemma 5.** The neighborhood $U_0$ has infinite intersection with some $\mathcal{R}$ -class of S.

*Proof.* To derive a contradiction, assume  $U_0$  has finite intersection with each  $\mathcal{R}$ -class of the semigroup S. Taking into account that  $U_0$  is infinite and applying Lemma 4, we can see that the set  $B = \{u \in S^+ : \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \cap U_0 \neq \emptyset\}$  is infinite. For every  $u \in B$  denote by  $v_u$  a longest positive word in  $S^+$  such that  $u^{-1}v_u \in \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \cap U_0$  (such word  $v_u$  exists as the set  $\mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \cap U_0$  is finite). It follows that  $A = \{u^{-1}v_u : u \in B\}$  is an infinite subset of  $U_0$ . Fix any element g of the generating set  $\Lambda$  of S. Since  $0 \cdot g = 0$ , we can use the separate continuity of the semigroup operation of S and find a compact neighborhood  $V_0 \subseteq U_0$  of zero such that  $V_0 \cdot g \subseteq U_0$ . But then  $V_0 \subseteq U_0 \setminus A$  which contradicts Lemma 3.

**Lemma 6.** The neighborhood  $U_0$  has infinite intersection with each non-zero  $\mathcal{R}$ -class of the semigroup S.

*Proof.* By Lemma 4, any non-zero  $\mathcal{R}$ -class of the semigroup  $S = P_{\lambda}$  is of the form  $\mathcal{R}_{v^{-1}}$  for some positive word  $v \in S^+$ . By Lemmas 4 and 5, for some element  $u \in S^+$ 

the intersection  $U_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}}$  is infinite. Observe that  $v^{-1}u \cdot \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \subset \mathcal{R}_{v^{-1}}$ . By the separate continuity of the semigroup operation at  $0 = v^{-1}u \cdot 0$ , there exists a neighborhood  $V_0 \subset S$  of zero such that  $v^{-1}u \cdot V_0 \subset U_0$ . By Lemma 3, the difference  $U_0 \setminus V_0$  is finite, which implies that the intersection  $V_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}}$  is infinite. Then the set  $v^{-1}u \cdot (V_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}}) \subset U_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_{v^{-1}}$  is infinite, too.

**Lemma 7.** If the generating set  $\Lambda$  is finite, then the neighborhood  $U_0$  contains all but finitely many elements of the  $\mathcal{R}$ -class  $\mathcal{R}_1 = \{x \in S : xS = S\}$ .

*Proof.* To derive a contradiction, assume that the set  $A := \mathcal{R}_1 \setminus U_0$  is infinite. We claim that for every  $g \in \Lambda$  the set  $A_g = \{a \in A : ag \in U_0\}$  is finite. Indeed, suppose that  $A_g$  is infinite. By Proposition 1,  $A_g \cdot g$  is an infinite subset of  $U_0$ . Since  $0 \cdot g^{-1} = 0$ , the separate continuity of the semigroup operation on S yields a compact neighborhood  $V_0 \subseteq U_0$  of zero such that  $V_0 \cdot g^{-1} \subseteq U_0$ . Then  $V_0 \subseteq U_0 \setminus (A_g \cdot g)$  which contradicts Lemma 3.

Let  $A^* = A \setminus \bigcup_{g \in \Lambda} \downarrow A_g$  (we recall that  $\downarrow A_g = \bigcup_{a \in A_g} \downarrow a$  where  $\downarrow a$  is the set of all prefixes of the word a). It follows that  $A^*$  is a cofinite (and hence infinite) subset of A. Now we are going to show that  $A^*$  is a right ideal of  $\mathcal{R}_1$ . In the opposite case we could find elements  $c \in \mathcal{R}_1$  and  $v \in A^*$  such that  $vc \notin A^*$ . Let  $c^*$  be the longest prefix of csuch that  $vc^* \in A^*$  (the word  $c^*$  can be empty, in which case it is the unit of S). Then  $vc^*g \notin A^*$  for some  $g \in \Lambda$ . Observe that  $vc^* \in A^* \subset A \subset \mathcal{R}_1$  implies  $vc^*g \in \mathcal{R}_1$ . Assuming that  $vc^*g \in U_0$ , we conclude that  $vc^* \in A_g \subset \downarrow A_g$ , which contradicts the inclusion  $vc^* \in A^*$ . So,  $vc^*g \notin U_0$  and hence  $vc^*g \in A$ . Then  $vc^*g \notin A^*$  implies that  $vc^*g \in \downarrow A_f$  for some  $f \in \Lambda$  and thus  $vc^* \in \downarrow A_f$ , too. But this contradicts the inclusion  $vc^* \in A^*$ . The obtained contradiction implies that  $A^*$  is a right ideal of  $\mathcal{R}_1$ .

Let  $u \in A^*$  be an arbitrary element. Since  $u \cdot 0 = 0$ , the separate continuity of the semigroup operation yields a compact neighborhood  $V_0 \subset U_0$  of zero such that  $u \cdot V_0 \subseteq U_0$ . Proposition 1 and Lemma 6 imply that  $u \cdot (V_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1)$  is an infinite subset of  $A^* \cap U_0 \subset A \cap U_0$ . In particular,  $A \cap U_0$  is not empty, which contradicts the definition of the set  $A := \mathcal{R}_1 \setminus U_0$ .

**Lemma 8.** If the cardinal  $\lambda = |\Lambda|$  is finite, then the neighborhood  $U_0$  contains all but finitely many elements of any  $\mathcal{R}$ -class  $\mathcal{R}_x$ ,  $x \in S$ .

*Proof.* The lemma is trivial if x = 0. So we assume that  $x \neq 0$ . By Lemma 4,  $\mathcal{R}_x = \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}}$  for some positive word  $u \in S^+$ . Since  $u^{-1} \cdot 0 = 0$ , the separate continuity of the semigroup operation yields an neighborhood  $V_0 \subseteq U_0$  of zero such that  $u^{-1} \cdot V_0 \subseteq$  $U_0$ . By Lemmas 3 and 7,  $\mathcal{R}_1 \subset^* V_0$  (which means that  $\mathcal{R}_1 \setminus V_0$  is finite). Then  $\mathcal{R}_x = \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} = u^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{R}_1 \subset^* u^{-1} \cdot V_0 \subset U_0$ , which means that  $U_0$  contains all but finitely many points of the  $\mathcal{R}$ -class  $\mathcal{R}_x$ .

The following lemma proves Main Theorem in case of finite cardinal  $\lambda = |\Lambda|$ .

**Lemma 9.** If the cardinal  $\lambda$  is finite, then the set  $S \setminus U_0$  is finite.

*Proof.* To derive a contradiction, assume that  $S \setminus U_0$  is infinite. By Lemma 8, for each  $u \in S^+$  the set  $\mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \setminus U_0$  is finite. Since the complement  $S \setminus U_0 = \bigcup_{u \in S^+} \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \setminus U_0$  is infinite, the set  $B = \{u \in S^+ : \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \setminus U_0 \neq \emptyset\}$  is infinite, too. For every  $u \in B$  denote by  $v_u$  the longest word in  $S^+$  such that  $u^{-1}v_u \in \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \setminus U_0$ . Then  $C = \{u^{-1}v_u : u \in B\} \subset \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \setminus U_0$  is infinite and by Proposition 1, for every  $g \in \Lambda$  the set  $C \cdot g$  is an infinite subset of  $U_0$ . Since  $0 \cdot g^{-1} = 0$ , the separate continuity of the semigroup operation yields a neighborhood  $V_0 \subset U_0$  of zero such that  $V_0 \cdot g^{-1} \subseteq U_0$ . By Lemma 3, the set  $U_0 \setminus V_0$  is finite. Since the set  $Cg \subset U_0$  is infinite, there is an element  $c \in C$  with  $cg \in V_0$ . Then  $c = cgg^{-1} \in V_0g^{-1} \subset U_0$ , which contradicts the inclusion  $C \subset \mathcal{R}_1 \setminus U_0$ .

### **Lemma 10.** The set $\mathcal{R}_1 \setminus U_0$ is finite.

*Proof.* To derive a contradiction, assume that the complement  $A := \mathcal{R}_1 \setminus U_0$  is infinite. By Lemma 6, the set  $U_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1$  is infinite.

For a finite subset  $F \subset \Lambda$ , let  $S_F$  be the smallest subsemigroup of S containing the set  $F \cup F^{-1} \cup \{0, 1\}$ . If  $|F| \ge 2$ , then  $S_F$  is a polycyclic monoid. Separately, we shall consider two cases.

1. First assume that for every finite subset  $F \subset \Lambda$  the set  $U_0 \cap S_F$  is finite. In this case for every point  $g \in \Lambda$ , consider the set  $W_g = \{a \in U_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1 : ag \notin U_0\}$ . The separate continuity of the semigroup operation yields a neighborhood  $V_0 \subset U_0$  of zero such that  $V_0 \cdot g \subset U_0$ . Lemma 3 implies that the set  $W_g \subset U_0 \setminus V_0$  is finite and hence for every non-empty finite subset  $F \subset \Lambda$  the set  $U_F := (U_0 \cap \mathcal{R}_1) \setminus \bigcup_{g \in F} W_g$  is infinite. We claim that  $U_F \cdot y \subseteq U_F$  for every  $y \in S_F \cap \mathcal{R}_1$ . In the opposite case, there exist elements  $y \in S_F \cap \mathcal{R}_1$  and  $x \in U_F$  such that  $xy \notin U_F$ . Let  $y^*$  be the longest prefix of y such that  $xy^* \in U_F$  (note that  $y^*$  could be equal to 1). Then  $xy^*g \notin U_F$  for some  $g \in F$ . Hence  $xy^* \in W_g$  which contradicts the definition of  $U_F \ni xy^*$ . Hence  $U_F \cdot y \subseteq U_F$  for each element  $y \in S_F \cap \mathcal{R}_1$ .

Fix any element  $v \in U_F$  and find a finite subset  $D \subset \Lambda$  such that  $v \in S_D$ ,  $F \subset D$ and  $|D| \ge 2$ . Proposition 1 implies that  $v \cdot (S_F \cap \mathcal{R}_1)$  is an infinite subset of  $U_F \cap S_D$ , which contradicts our assumption.

2. Next, assume that for some finite subset  $F \subset \Lambda$  the intersection  $U_0 \cap S_F$  is infinite. For every  $g \in F$  consider the subset  $A_g := \{a \in A : ag \in U_0\}$  of the infinite set  $A = \mathcal{R}_1 \setminus U_0$ . The separate continuity of the semigroup operation yields a neighborhood  $V_0 \subset S$  of zero such that  $V_0 \cdot g^{-1} \subset U_0$ . We claim that for every  $a \in A_g$ we get  $ag \notin V_0$ . In the opposite case we would get  $a = agg^{-1} \in V_0 \cdot g^{-1} \subset U_0$ , which contradicts the inclusion  $a \in A$ . Then  $A_g = \{a \in A : ag \in U_0 \setminus V_0\}$  and this set is finite by Lemmas 3 and 1. It follows that  $A_F = A \setminus \bigcup_{g \in F} \downarrow A_g$  is a cofinite (and hence infinite) subset of A.

We claim that  $A_F \cdot y \subseteq A_F$  for every  $y \in S_F \cap \mathcal{R}_1$ . In the opposite case, we can find elements  $y \in S_F \cap \mathcal{R}_1$  and  $x \in A_F$  such that  $xy \notin A_F$ . Let  $y^*$  be the longest prefix of y such that  $xy^* \in A_F$  (note that  $y^*$  could be equal to 1). Then  $xy^*g \notin A_F$ for some  $g \in F$ . It follows from  $xy^* \in A_F \subset A = \mathcal{R}_1 \setminus U_0$  and  $gg^{-1} = 1$  that  $xy^*g \in \mathcal{R}_1$ . Assuming that  $xy^*g \in U_0$ , we conclude that  $xy^* \in A_g$ , which contradicts the inclusion  $xy^* \in A_F$ . So,  $xy^*g \in \mathcal{R}_1 \setminus U_0 = A$  and then  $xy^*g \notin A_F$  implies that  $xy^*g \in \downarrow A_h$  for some  $h \in F$  and finally  $xy^* \in \downarrow A_h$ , which contradicts the inclusion  $xy^* \in A_F$ . This contradiction completes the proof of the inclusion  $A_F \cdot y \subseteq A_F$  for each  $y \in S_F \cap \mathcal{R}_1$ .

Fix any element  $v \in A_F$  and find a finite subset  $D \subset \Lambda$  such that  $v \in S_D$ ,  $F \subset D$ and  $|D| \geq 2$ . The subset  $S_D$  contains the unique non-isolated point of the space Sand hence is closed in S. The local compactness of S implies the local compactness of the polycyclic monoid  $S_D$  endowed with the subspace topology. Lemma 3 and our assumption guarantee that the semitopological polycyclic monoid  $S_D$  is not discrete. By Proposition 1,  $v \cdot (S_F \cap \mathcal{R}_1)$  is an infinite subset of  $A_F \cap S_D \subset S_D \setminus U_0$ . But this contradicts Lemma 9 (applied to the locally compact polycyclic monoid  $S_D$  and the neighborhood  $U_0 \cap S_D$  of zero in  $S_D$ ).

**Lemma 11.** The neighborhood  $U_0$  contains all but finitely many points of each  $\mathcal{R}$ -class in S.

*Proof.* By Lemma 4, it suffices to check that for any  $u \in S^+$  the set  $\mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \setminus U_0$  is finite. The separate continuity of the semigroup operation yields a compact neighborhood  $V_0 \subseteq U_0$  of zero such that  $u^{-1} \cdot V_0 \subseteq U_0$ . By Lemmas 10 and 3, we get  $\mathcal{R}_1 \subset^* V_0$ . Then  $\mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} = u^{-1} \cdot \mathcal{R}_1 \subset^* u^{-1} \cdot V_0 \subset U_0$ , which means that the set  $\mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \setminus U_0$  is finite.  $\Box$ 

Our final lemma combined with Lemma 2 proves Main Theorem and shows that the semitopological polycyclic monoid S carries the topology of one-point compactification of the discrete space  $S \setminus \{0\}$ .

**Lemma 12.** The complement  $S \setminus U_0$  is finite and hence S is compact.

*Proof.* To derive a contradiction, assume that the set  $S \setminus U_0$  is infinite. By Lemma 11, for each  $u \in S^+$  the set  $\mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \setminus U_0$  is finite. Since  $S = \bigcup_{u \in S^+} \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}}$ , the set  $B = \{u \in S^+ : \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \setminus U_0 \neq \emptyset\}$  is infinite. For every  $u \in B$  denote by  $v_u$  the longest word in  $S^+$  such that  $u^{-1}v_u \in \mathcal{R}_{u^{-1}} \setminus U_0$ . Then  $C = \{u^{-1}v_u : u \in B\}$  is an infinite subset of  $S \setminus U_0$ . By Lemma 1, for any  $g \in \Lambda$  the set  $C \cdot g$  is infinite. The separate continuity of the semigroup operation yields a neighborhood  $V_0 \subset U_0$  of zero such that  $V_0 \cdot g^{-1} \subset U_0$ . Then  $V_0 \subset U_0 \setminus (C \cdot g)$  which contradicts Lemma 3.

## Acknowledgements

The author would like to express his thanks to Taras Banakh and Oleg Gutik for their fruitful comments and suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- T. Banakh, S. Dimitrova, O. Gutik, *The Rees-Suschkiewitsch Theorem for simple topological semi*groups, Mat. Stud. **31**:2 (2009), 211–218.
- T. Banakh, I. Protasov, O. Sipacheva, *Topologization of sets endowed with an action of a monoid*, Topology Appl. **169** (2014) 161–174.
- 3. S. Bardyla, *On a semitopological α-bicyclic monoid*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **81** (2016), (to appear).
- 4. S. Bardyla, O. Gutik, *On a semitopological polycyclic monoid*, Algebra Discr. Math. **21** (2016), no. 2, 163–183.
- 5. S. Bardyla, O. Gutik, *On a complete topological inverse polycyclic monoid*, Carpathian Math. Publ. (submitted), arXiv:1603.08147.
- A.H. Clifford, G.B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vols. I and II, Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1961 and 1967.
- 7. C. Eberhart, J. Selden, *On the closure of the bicyclic semigroup*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **144** (1969), 115–126.
- 8. R. Engelking, General Topology, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- I.R. Fihel, O.V. Gutik, On the closure of the extended bicyclic semigroup, Carpathian Math. Publ. 3:2, (2011) 131–157.
- 10. O. Gutik, On the dichotomy of a locally compact semitopological bicyclic monoid with adjoined zero, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **80** (2015), 33–41.
- 11. D.G. Jones, Polycyclic monoids and their generalizations. PhD Thesis, Heriot-Watt University, 2011.
- D.G. Jones, M.V. Lawson, Graph inverse semigroups: Their characterization and completion, J. Algebra 409 (2014), 444–473.
- 13. J.W. Hogan Hausdorff topologies on the  $\alpha$ -bicyclic semigroup, Semigroup forum **36**:1 (1987), 189–209.
- 14. M. Lawson, Inverse Semigroups. The Theory of Partial Symmetries, Singapore: World Scientific, 1998.
- Z. Mesyan, J.D. Mitchell, M. Morayne, Y.H. Péresse, *Topological graph inverse semigroups*, Topology Appl. 208 (2016), 106–126.
- M. Nivat, J.-F. Perrot, Une généralisation du monoide bicyclique, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, Sér. A 271 (1970), 824–827.
- 17. W. Ruppert, *Compact Semitopological Semigroups: An Intrinsic Theory*, Lect. Notes Math., **1079**, Springer, Berlin, 1984.
- A.A. Selden, A nonlocally compact nondiscrete topology for the α-bicyclic semigroup, Semigroup forum 31 (1985), 372–374.
- A. Weil, *L'integration dans les groupes lopologiques et ses applications*, Actualites Scientifiques No. 869, Hermann, Paris, 1938.

Received 10.10.2016 Revised 11.11.2016