





2. — “ — ”

3. “ — ”

4. “ ” ( . ) “ ” “ ” “ ” ( . )

1. , —

2. : , “ “ ” [2, .269]. “ ”

3. , —

4. “ ” “ ” “ ”

---

5.

6.

” [7, .139].

, , . , ,  
 . , ,  
 ,  
 , . , , “ ”,  
 . ,  
 ,  
 ,  
 . “ -  
 , “ ” , : “  
 , “ ”,  
 . “ ” ( ( “ ”)  
 ( “ ”), , “ ”,  
 , “ ” [7, .156].  
 ( , ) “ ”,  
 - . “ ” -  
 , “ ” ,  
 “ ” “ ”  
 ( ) “ - ”, “ - ”,  
 ), ,  
 , “ ”  
 “ : )  
 “ ” “ ” ; )  
 “ ; )  
 ; )  
 [5, .359-371].  
 “ ”,  
 “ ” “ ”,  
 “ ”) . “ ( , - ,  
 ‘ - ‘



gnarus – , “ ”. ‘ ( - , , ).

“ ”. – : , , , , . [ : 4, .255-328].

“ ”. [ : 1, .11-24].

( , ). “ ” ( , , ) ( - ),

1. . / . ; [ . . . , 2002. – 292 .
2. : / . . – 2003. – 360 .
3. : [ . . ] / . . – : , 1998. – 280 .
4. , / . ; [ . . ]. – : - , 2004. – 728 .
5. : , - : [ . . ] / . . – : - ; : - « », 2008. – 600 .
6. / . . – : « - », 2000. – 744 .
7. / . ; [ . . . . ] . – : - , 2005. – 485 .

**Summary**

**Pochynok B. Empiric and Theoretical Levels in Humanitarian Cognition.** It is shown in the article, that peculiarities of the contents and the ratio of empiric and theoretical levels are first and foremost determined by the valuable and meaningful character of its subjects. Keywords: humanitarian cognition, history, empiric level, law, narrativism, explanation, theoretical level, fact.