
()

[3, .194].

...” [2, .29].

...” [1, .336-337].

«...» (),

«...» ()

» [2, .32].

«...» «...»

1. ... // ; [].- .: « + « », 2007. – .326-380.
2. ... // , 2008. – 12. – .19-33.
3. ... [. . .].- .: - , 2002. – 292 .
4. ... // , 1986. – 9. – .49-51.
5. ... /- .: , 1980. – 359 .
6. ... // ; [].- .: - , 1996. – .388-426.
7. - (-) / [.] //
8. ... : « », 1998. – .492-496.
9. ... / . . . ; [.].- .: - .- . - , 2005. – 485 .

Summary

Pochynok I. Narration and the Problem of Scientificity of Historical Cognition. The article shows that narration is an important factor of the formation of postnonclassical scientific rationality. **Keywords:** demonstration, historical cognition, knowledge, narration, substantiation, description, postmodernism, explanation, sense, integrity.