

:

,

,

,

_____:

.

.

,

,

,

,

.

,

,

,

.

.

,

,

.

,

,

-

,

[.: 2].

,

,

.

,

,

,

.

[.: 8, c.23].

,

:

,

,

,

[.: 9].

;

:

,

.

.

.

.

,

“ ”

:

;

;

,

,

;

(

,

,

,

).

[.: 14,

.181].

(

)

,

.

,

,

.

,

.

,

.

,

,

[.: 11, .338].

,

,

.

,

.

:

-

;

;

;

,

,

(

,

-

,

,

);

;

.

,

-

,

.

,

,

,

.

(, , , , ,),

() [: 2].

[: 13].

([: 8, .21].

(

).

(

)[: 1].

10

30%

10

“ ”

[: 7].

[: 4].

1. (
2. , 2006. – 78 .
3. 2008. – 592 .
4. / . – ., 2000. – 40-65.
5. //
6. . – 2002. – 3. – . 315-320.
7. / . . – , TLU Press, 2010. – 232 .
8. //
9. « - » , 2011. – . 6-30.
10. / . . – : [. .] . – : . 2007. – 424 .
11. / . . – : - , 2009. – 182 .
12. / . . – : , 1980. – .99.
13. : [. .] / [. . . .] , 2008. – 733 .

Summary

Sarakun L. The Sphere of Culture: Institutional Structure, Functions and Limits for Reformation. Basic descriptions of sphere of culture are analysed, her institutional structure, features of functioning in the conditions of market, function and limit of reformation. Keywords: culture, sphere of culture, institutional structure, cultural policy, to the market and noncommercial mechanisms of financing.