

_____:

» [. . . : 5]. « »,

» [. . .].

« »

(. . . , . . .)

X. .).

—

» [1].

«

»

()

()

» [3].

«

» [6].

()

[4, .14]

» [6].

()

« » -

(),

(« " - " -)

» [2].

«

» [5].

(

),

1. [] / . . . :
http://www.intelros.ru/readroom/fg/fg_1/5388-postneklassicheskaya-yestetika-k-voprosu-o-formirovanii-sovremennogo-yesteticheskogo-znaniya.html
2. [] / . . . :
<http://vivovoco.ibmh.msk.su/VV/PAPERS/NATURE/SCI4MEN.HTM>
3. : 9 . / . . . - . . . , 1932. –
 . 1: . . . - 1932. – 313 .
4. //
 2008. – 12. – .5-131.
5. () [. . .] / . . . // :10. – 2009. –
http://www.nbu.gov.ua/portal/Soc_Gum/Phd/2009_10/index.html
6. : [] / – . . . , 1994. –
<http://www.proza.ru/2010/03/08/1158>

Summary

Podhorna L. Aesthetics of Scientific Cognition in Historical and Methodological Context.

The article analyzes the problems concerning the establishment of the aesthetics of scientific cognition. It is shown that methodological possibilities were formed in terms of philosophy of science and general value theory. **Keywords:** aesthetics of science, harmony, beauty, methodology, scientific knowledge, philosophy of science