
» [4, .78].

()

» [3, .7].

(. ,)

» [7, .44]

[.: 2].

» [2, .103].

» [2, .109].

() .

(,) , « » « »

» [1].

» [1].

?» [1].

! » [1].

» [1].

» [1].

6].

» [1].

[∴

» [6].

» [6].

- «
- » [4, .305].
- «
- » [3, c.90].
1. *a priori* / . [].- : http://www.fidel-kastro.ru/psihology/lorenz/lorenz_apriori.html
 2. / . . - : , 2001. – 256 .
 3. / . . .- : , 2001. – 319 .
 4. / . . .- : (), 2007. – 439 .
 5. : / . .- ., 1998. . [].- : <http://philosophy.ru/library/vollmer/vollmer.html>
 6. / . . ; . . [].- : http://www.philosophy.nsc.ru/journals/philsience/4_47/03_zelishev.pdf
 7. []/ . . ; . . .- : , 2008. – .43-63.

Summary

Melnychuk M. Implicit Knowledge as Analogous of Apriorism in Non-Classical Epistemology Paradigm. The problem of apriorism in light of the establishment of humanitarian and scientific knowledge and strengthening cognitive ideals of nonclassical epistemology are considered. In the spotlight - the problem of implicit knowledge that is treated as a new form apriorism in modern science. In relation priori and implicit been singled out three approaches: evolutionary, constructivist and axiological. **Keywords:** axiology, a priori knowledge, humanitarian methodology, constructivism, non-classical paradigm, implicit knowledge of evolution.