

– « ».
(Zwiefalt), (Differance), (pli) [:
3; 5].

“ ”, (). (pli) , “ ”, “ ”.
- () , -
« », ,

[: 4].
[: 6];
[: 7];
« »,

() ,
« » [12, .446].
»¹, (²)

« - » -
;)
(.);

(),
200). (

¹ : “ ”, “ ”, “ ”
² : « » , « 3 »

1. / // – ., 1989. – .333–348
2. // / ; [.]. – .: , 1989. – .24–41.
3. ? = Qu'est – ce que la philosophie? / ; [.]. – .: , 1998. – 288 .
4. B. // / B. – 1998. – 38. – .8–9.
5. / ; [.]. – .: , 1997. – 264 .
6. // , 2005. – .79–84.
7. : ? // / – ., 2005. – N 3/4. – .22–27.
8. // / – .: , 1999. – 2. – .142–153.
9. [.] / // / – .2. – .: “ ”, 1999. – 832 . – http://sopenga.narod.ru/sopa_books/mirpred/Mir_kak_pred/12.htm
10. : / – .: , 2000. – 263 .
11. A. (.) // / – .14. – 3. – ., 1994. – .3–12.
12. : / [.]. – .: , 1996. – 551 .
- 13.Boeder H. Topologie der Metaphysik. – Freiburg; Munchen: Alber, 1980. – 714 s.
- 14.Lelas S. Topology of internal and external factors in the development of knowledge // Ratio. – Oxford, 1985. – vol. 27, 1. – .67–81.

Summary

Martynenko Oleksandr. The Topological Unity of Science and Religion. *The article investigates an issue of topological unity of science and religion in the context of postmetaphysical ontology. Problems associated with the classical interpretation of the question are analyzed. The author comes to the conclusion that the previous investigation of the item have failed because they put the traditional metaphysics as a basis. The study presents evidence to prove this conclusion. Although the philosophical topology, in the author's view, is considered to be an adequate tool to solve the problem of the unity of science and religion, but at this stage of development it is still not carefully thought-out system, and it requires study and reflection. Keywords: Topos, topology, philosophical topology, metaphysics, post-metaphysics, ontology, science, religion, pleat, folding, bend.*