

14. . . . / - .: , 1886. - . . - , 247 .
15. . . . (. . . .) / - .: - , 1915. - 196 .
16. . . . , 1864-65 , 112 . / // - 352 (. 818) . - 16. - . 762-873.

Summary

Mozgova N. Asymmetry of Logic Proof and Refutation: Historical and Philosophical Excursus to the Past. The author makes an attempt to make a little historical excursion into the past of our country, particularly in the history of education in order to explain the causes of the contradictory development of logic science in this article. The unique history of Russia and Ukraine, which was the part of it, pre-determined in the 20th century the unique development of science and logic, impacts of which still remain obscure to historians of science in the West. In a rigid totalitarian system the truth transformed into an object of purely ideological manipulation and demerit, lies and terror has become an end in itself in the development of the totalitarian system. Keywords: Asymmetry, proof, refutation, truth, fallacy, modus ponens, modus tollens, the logic of terror.

УДК 167 + 168.522

© **Владимир Ратников**
Винницкий национальный технический университет

О РАЦИОНАЛЬНОСТИ НАРРАТИВНОГО ОБЪЯСНЕНИЯ

.

.

.

.

(.)

[2; 3; 12; 20; 22].

[1; 18].

[1; 17].

.

()?

? ?

? ?

1) (.) 2)

(.).

.

.

.

, , : () ,
 .
 “ ” , - ,
 .
 (, ”)
 « “ ” - .
 , () ,
 , - .
) (. . ,
 : “ ?” - ,
 ; . . () .
 ;
 . — .
 [10] (. [11, .172-189]).
 () (-
 - , - -), (30- ;
 “ ” (1935),
 [13, .83-85]
 , , (,
), - .
 () : « -
 , - » [13,
 .83] (- . .). : ,
 . “
 ” (1942) [24] : «
 , » [6,
 .17] (- . .). , ,
 . . [11, .173].
 , (, ”) ,
 , - “ ” .

“ () [16].

“ () [19, .428].

“ ” (1961) [25].

... [... : 10; 11, ... 172-189].

... (... , - « ... ») ...

... « ... » , « ... » .

... « ... » ; « ... »

... (... , ...) ,

... « ... » [21].

... « ... » [21, ...9].

... « ... » [5, ...30].

... (XX

... » [2, ...7-8].

... « ... » [5, ...30].

«

» [9, .491].

[2, .21-22].

(),

(),

(“ ”),

(),

« »

“ ”

“ ” : «

1848 “ ” “ ” “ ”

() “ ”

» [18, . 10-11].

()

(. .)

[2; 3, .53-88].

“ ”

(:)

()

(1986) [23]

[3, .78-88].

[7, .314-315].

[8, .11].

« »

Литература

1. / ; [. . . .]. – : , 2003. – 496 .
2. [. . . .]. – : , 2003. – 360 .
3. / – : , 2013. – 288 .
4. / // – 1986. – 1. – . 39–47.
5. : / , // – 2000. – 3. – . 29–42.
6. / ; [. . . .] // – : , 1998. – . 16–31.
7. / [.] . – : , 2004. – 419 .
8. / // – 2009. – 1. – . 5–15.
9. / // : – : , 2002. – . 491–492.
10. – / – : , 1970 – 280 .
11. : / – : , 2006. – 264 .
12. : : / // – 2013. – . 663–664: – . 3–9.
13. / // : / [. . . .]. – : , 1983. – . 33–235.
14. : / // Sententiae. – 2004. – 1.: – . 150–176.
15. / // : – : , 2008. – . 413: – . 152–158.
16. / // – 1998. – 1. – . 50–58.
17. : 2- 1.: / , — : , 2003. – 632 .
18. : XIX / – : , 2002. – 528 .
19. : : , 2005. – 464 .
20. / ? [. . . .] / – : <http://www.project-syndicate.org/commentary/fuller6/Russian>
21. / – : , 2003. – 312 .
22. / – : , 2004. – 864 .
23. Bruner J. Actual Minds, Possible Worlds. – Cambridge, Mass. : Harvard Univ. Press, 1986. – 210 p.
24. Hempel C. The Function of General Laws in History // Journal of Philosophy. – 1942. – Vol.39, p. 35-43.
25. Nagel E. The Structure of Science. – N.Y., 1961. – 618 p.

Summary

Ratnikov V. On the Rationality of Narrative Explanation. *The classic model of scientific explanation of Popper-Hempel-Oppenheim is described. Difficulties of extrapolation of this model on humanitarian knowledge are analysed. The special attention is spared to status of rationality in explanatory procedures and narrativity as one of major features of scientific explanation in history. Keywords: humanitarian knowledge, scientific explanation, PHO-model, law, narrative, scientific rationality.*