

**ЕТИЧНА ПАРАДИГМА МЕДИКО-БІОЛОГІЧНОГО ЗНАННЯ:  
МЕТОДОЛОГІЧНІ ЗАСАДИ І МІЖДИСЦИПЛІНАРНИЙ СТАТУС**

„...” [5, с. 3].

[...: 2, с. 45]. “

80-

(personal autonomy)” [12].

“...» «...»»

” [5, с. 4].

«...»

» [14, с. 102].

---

“ [5] ”

c. 12].

“ [ ] , ”

---

" [ , c. 30].

(  
« ?»).

« ?»),

(

«

»

(

" [ , c. 4].

" [ ].

" [8, c. 267]

" [5, c. 18–19].

( . . . )" [13, c. 121].

( xperiment).

( xperience).

« xperiment xperience, ».

[7, c. 41].

» [6].

" [11].

1993 2003 .,

" [10, c. 67].

" [11].

" (1971),

... ( ) ...

... " " ...

[ ... : 5, c. 30-31].

... " " ...

[ ... : 1, c. 14-18].

... « » ...

( ... )

" [13].

---

" [3].

( ),  
" [ ].

[4, c. 135].

[ : 9, c. 610–641].

- " [5, c. 13].
1. ( ) / // 5. – 2006. – . 14–18.
  2. / . . . . – ., 2000.
  3. [ ]. – : <http://progs-shool.ru/obshhij-kurs-filosofii-chast-ii/590-bioyetika-filosofsko-nauchnaya-paradigma.html>
  4. : / . // . – 2007. – 4 (1575). – . 135.
  5. : . . . . : 09.00.08 / . . . . – ., 2012. – 44 .
  6. [ ] / . . // & . – 2005. – .V. – 3. – : [http://journal.iph.ras.ru/ 3-05.html#\\_Toc97479235](http://journal.iph.ras.ru/3-05.html#_Toc97479235)
  7. / . . . . – .: ., 2009. – . 41.
  8. / . . . . , . . . . : 4 . – .1. – .: ., 2000. – 744 .
  9. . . . . / . . . . – .: ., 2003. – . 610–641.
  10. : / . . . . – ., " ., 1986.
  11. [ ] / . . . . : <http://www.biosocial.ru/go.php?obj=mgpaper&id=18>
  12. [ ] / . . . . – : <http://www.globalistika.ru/congress2008/Doklady/24411.htm>
  13. [ ] / . . . . – : [http://www.intelros.ru/pdf/Diskusi\\_etiki/2013\\_4/yaskevich.pdf](http://www.intelros.ru/pdf/Diskusi_etiki/2013_4/yaskevich.pdf)
  14. Encyclopedia of Bioethics /Ed. By W.Th. Reich. N.-Y.-L., 1995. – Vol.I: Basic Writing on the Key Ethical Questions That Surround the Major Modern Biological Possibilities and Problems. – P. 102

#### **Summary**

**Sluhenska R. Ethical Paradigm of Medical and Biological Knowledge: Methodological Foundations and Interdisciplinary Status.** The article investigates interdisciplinary settings and meanings of paradigm of modern bioethics. The author denotes the essence of ideological and theoretical origins, subject area and methodological possibilities of bioethical knowledge. Different theoretical approaches to the phenomenon of transdisciplinarity are analyzed. The term "paradigm" in biomedical discourse has the characteristics of interdisciplinary synthesis of knowledge that is not only of the theoretical but also of the practical importance. Keywords: bioethics, biotechnology, ethics, health, medical ethics, interdisciplinary paradigm, transdisciplinarity, philosophy of medicine.