
c. 251].

” [7,

” [5, c. 824].

[10].

» [6, . 319],

” [3, c. 293].

” [11, . 147].

- „...“
- ” [8, . 53].
- “
- ... – ” [2].
1. “ [] // []. – : <http://www.pravda.com.ua/news/2015/05/6/7066958/> – : 26.07.2015.
 2. [] / . // (2012). – : http://www.pluralism.ca/images/PDF_docs/defining_pluralism_RU.pdf
 3. / . ; [. ; . . . , . . .]. – . : , 1997. – 431 .
 4. / . . // - . . 1 [] / . . , - ; – . : , 2008. – . 154-168.
 5. . . . / . . . // « +» , 2009. – 1248 c.
 6. / . // / – . : - , 1996. – . 294-330.
 7. // : 4 . / – . 3 : - / - , [.] – [.] – . : , 2010. – 692 c.
 8. , / . . // . - 1997. – 11. – . 46-54.
 9. : [] / . . . , - ; – . : , 2012. – 181 .
 10. / . . – Tallinn : Ingri, 2005. – 336 c.
 11. / . . . – . : - , 2003. – 176 .

Summary

Omelchuk I. Methodological Aspects of Explication of the Notion of Tolerance. The article is devoted to the complex philosophical analysis of the phenomenon of tolerance and explication of its connection with the pluralism. In the research it is substantiated the view that actualization the idea of tolerance and its practical significance will depend on the way we interpret it. That's why the level of consciousness of the concept of tolerance is directly proportionally depended on the depth of understanding the idea of pluralism. It will be successfully practiced when we realize it, first, in anti-relativistic sense that is in the refusal of indifference, conservation, neglect, secondly, on the basis of pluralistic positions in the form of open, responsible, critical dialogue. Keywords: method, pluralism, potential, relativism, tolerance.