X.Geng¹, W.Ma², F.Ma¹, X.Tian¹

DESIGN ON KEY PARAMETERS OF DOUBLE DAMPER SYSTEM FOR HYDRAULIC ROCK DRILL

¹School of Mechanical Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, China ²School of Civil and Resource Engineering, University of Science and Technology Beijing, China Corresponding; email: gengxiaoguang6@126.com

Abstract. The lack of researches on the double damper system seriously restricted the impact power's increase of hydraulic rock drills. The structure and working principle of the double damper system are described. The key parameters such as the unloading damping pressure, damping flow, equilibrium position of the damping piston and double damper clearance are designed. At last, the design method is applied to the YYG170 hydraulic rock drill. Double damper system's advantages of high efficiency and fast response are verified by simulation.

Key words: hydraulic rock drill, double damper system, key parameters, design example, dynamic simulation.

1. Introduction.

Hydraulic rock drills were widely used in mining, coal mine roadway excavation, railway tunnel, highway tunnel and rock excavation projects with advantages of highefficiency, clean, safety and so on [1 - 3]. The heavy hydraulic rock drill with highfrequency and high-power had become the first choice facing the large-scale mining and larger-sized tunneling [4 - 6]. Meanwhile, damper system's performance was required higher and higher. As the technological innovation of single damper system, the double damper system emerged as the times require. However, the research on the damper system was less and targeted the single damper system [7 - 10]. So there, were little information about the double damper system which had two damping chambers. As an advanced technology for damping and noise reducing, the double damper system had not been spread and applied in domestic heavy hydraulic rock drills.

The structure and working principle of the double damper system would be described. The key parameters such as the unloading damping pressure, damping flow, equilibrium position of the damping piston and double damper clearance would be designed. At last, the design method would be applied to the YYG170 hydraulic rock drill.

2. Structure and Working Principle of the Double Damper System.

As shown in Fig. 1, the impact piston hit the shank adapter with high frequency and high speed under the action of impact system. Its kinetic energy was transmitted to rock through shank adapter, drill rod and drill bit in the form of stress wave. The shank adapter would rebound under the reflected wave because of the difference on wave resistance. The damper system was essential to prevent the shank adapter's direct hit to the block.



Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the percussive drilling.

As shown in Fig. 2, the double damper system was mainly composed of damping piston, damping valve, damping accumulator. The oil inlet was independent and the flow rate was constant. There were two damping chambers in it which was different from the single damper system.



Fig. 2. Structure model of the double damping system.

3. Design on the Unloading Damping Pressure and Damping Flow.

Fig. 3 was the fuel circuit of the double damper system. In the unloading condition, the damping piston was on the far left, the oil-return hole was fully open, the primary and secondary damping chamber were connected. There was a one-to-one correspondence between the damping pressure and damping flow at the moment. Generally, the unloading damping pressure was assigned to 3.5 MPa to make sure damping chambers' existence. Then, the damping flow could be calculated.



Fig. 3. Fuel circuit of the double damper system.

Formula (1) was relation equations of pressure difference and flow rate.

$$\begin{cases} Q_{d} = C_{d} \cdot A_{t2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{\rho}} \cdot (P_{d0} - P_{0}); \\ \frac{Q_{d}}{2} = C_{d} \cdot A_{t1} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{\rho}} \cdot (P_{1} - P_{d0}). \end{cases}$$
(1)

Among them

$$A_{12} = \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot d_2^{2};$$
 (2)

$$P_1 = P_2 = P'_{\rm d},\tag{3}$$

where Q_d was the damper flow rate. P_l and P_2 were the pressure of the primary and secondary damping chamber. P'_d was the unloading damping pressure, $P'_d = 3,5$ MPa. C_d was the flow coefficient, $C_d = 0,8$. A_{t1} , A_{t2} , were flow areas of the oil-return hole and orifice. ρ was the density of hydraulic oil.

The damping flow could be calculated by solving the simultaneous equations of Eq. (1), Eq. (2) and Eq. (3).

4. Determination of the damping Piston's Equilibrium Position.

The double damper system was in equilibrium state before strike. The pressures in primary and secondary damping chambers were equal. Damping piston's statics equation was as follows:

$$F_0 = P_1 \cdot A_{d1} + P_2 \cdot A_{d2}, \tag{4}$$

among them

$$P_1 = P_2$$
, (5)

where F_0 was the feed force. A_{d1} , A_{d2} , were action areas of primary and secondary damping chamber respectively.

Formula (5) was the expression of A_{t1} derived by simultaneous equations of (1), (2), (4) and (5).

$$A_{t1} = \frac{Q_{d}}{2 \cdot C_{d} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{\rho} \cdot (\frac{F_{0}}{A_{d1} + A_{d2}} - P_{0}) - (\frac{4Q_{d}}{C_{d} \cdot \pi \cdot d_{2}^{2}})^{2}}} .$$
(6)

Formula (6) was the cross section of oil-return hole. Formula (7) was the relation equation of A_{t1} and x. Among them, x represented the damping piston's equilibrium position.

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1; (7)$$

$$A_{t1} = 2 \cdot \int_{t}^{a} b \cdot \sqrt{1 - \frac{x^2}{a^2}} dx.$$
 (8)

5. Design on the Double Damping Clearance.

The double damping clearance δ_l had a great influence on the performance of damper system, which was one of key parameters of the double damper system.



Fig. 4. Structure size schematic diagram of the double damper system.

Mathematical model of the double damper system was showed in Eq. (9) referring to Fig. 4.

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dP_2}{dt} = \frac{K_e \cdot \left[A_{d2} \cdot v_p - \left(\frac{\pi D_1 \delta_1^3}{12 \mu l_1} + \frac{\pi D_2 \delta_2^3}{12 \mu l_2} \right) \cdot (P_2 - P_1) \right]; \\ \frac{d^2 x_p}{dt^2} = \frac{A_{d1} P_1 + A_{d2} P_2}{-m'}; \\ \frac{dx_p}{dt^2} = v_p; \\ \Delta V = Q_d \cdot t + A_{d2} \cdot x_p; \\ P_1 = P' \cdot \left(\frac{V}{V - \Delta V}\right)^{1.4}, \end{cases}$$

$$(9)$$

where m' was the mass of the damping piston and sleeve. x_p and v_p were the displacement and velocity of the damping piston. V was the gas volume of the accumulator. V_0 was the initial volume in the secondary damping chamber. K_e was the bulk modulus of oil.

6. Design example.

The double damper system of YYG170 hydraulic rock drill was designed by the above method. Parameters were listed in Table 1.

Variable	Name	Value	Unit
P'	Unloading Damping Pressure	3.5	MPa
$Q_{ m d}$	Damping Flow	8	L/min
V	Gas Volume of the Accumulator	0.12	L
<i>m</i> '	Mass of the Damping Piston and Sleeve	4	kg
A_{d1}	Action Area of the Primary Damping Chamber	608.7	mm ²
A_{d2}	Action Area of the Secondary Damping Chamber	569.4	mm ²
F_0	Feed Force	10	kN
t	Damping Piston's Equilibrium Position	0.86	mm
δ_1	Double Damping Clearance	0.05,0.06,0.07,0.08	mm
l_1	Fit Length	2.6	mm
D_1	Fit Diameter	75	mm
δ_2	Fit Clearance	0.03	mm
l_2	Fit Length	12	mm
D_2	Fit Diameter	70	mm

Table 1. Parameters of the double damper system

The damping piston's initial rebound velocity $v_p(0)$ could be calculated according to the referring literature[11 – 15]. Then, the simulation was conducted while the double damping clearance δ_1 was 0,05 mm, 0,06 mm, 0,07 mm and 0,08 mm, respectively



Fig. 5. Velocity curve of the damping piston.



Fig. 6. Displacement curve of the damping piston.



Fig. 7. Pressure curve of the primary damping chamber.



Fig. 8. Pressure curve of the secondary damping chamber.

Fig. 5, 6 were the velocity and displacement curve of damping piston. Fig. 7, 8 were the pressure curve of the primary and secondary damping chambers. We could see that:

1) The damping piston's velocity decreased faster and the final return-speed was smaller if the double damping clearance was smaller.

2) The damping piston's displacement was smaller if the double damping clearance was smaller. And the range of the displacement was from 0.9 mm to 2.5 mm.

3) The range of the damping period was from 1.3 ms to 2.5 ms. The shorter damping cycle corresponded to the smaller double damping clearance.

4) The pressure of primary damping chamber changed little and the range was from 8.5 to 9.2 MPa.

5) The double damping clearance had a great effect on the pressure of the secondary damping chamber. The peak pressure ranged from 50 to 150 MPa with different δ_l .

The double damping clearance δ_1 should be 0.07 mm through comprehensive consideration of the spatial structure, processing difficulty and damping performance.

7. Conclusion.

Key parameters of the double damper system such as the unloaded damping pressure, damping flow, damping piston's equilibrium position and double damping clearance were designed. The design methods were applied to the YYG170 hydraulic rock drill. The double damper system's dynamic characteristics were simulated. The advantage of responsive and efficient in absorbing the rebounding energy was verified. The research had a guiding significance to the research and development of the hydraulic rock drill with double damper system.

Р Е З Ю М Е. Відсутність досліджень в області подвійної системи демпфування серйозно обмежувало збільшення потужності гідравлічного буріння. Описано структуру і принцип роботи системи подвійного демпфера. Досліджено ключові параметри, такі як розвантаження тиску демпфування, демпфуючий потік, положення рівноваги демпфуючого поршня і подвійний просвіт демпфера. Нарешті, метод розрахунку застосовано для системи гідравлічного буріння YYG170. Переваги подвійної системи демпфування, які полягають у високій ефективності і швидкодії, підтверджуються симуляцією.

 Wang X., Gong J., Zou X.F., Li Y.W. The Study of Research Status and Trend of Hydraulic Impactor // Pneumatic Tools Used in Rock Drilling Machines. – 2006. – N 3. – P. 19 – 23.

 Kahraman S., Bilgin N., Feridunoglu C. Dominant Rock Properties Affecting the Penetration Rate of Percussive Drills // Int. J. of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences. – 2003. – 40, N 5. – P. 711 – 723.

Jun L.I. Development and Application of Hydraulic Rock Drill // Nonferrous Metals (Mining Section). – 2008. – N 3. – P. 11.

- Hu Q., Yang C., Zheng H., Chen M. Dynamic simulation and test research of impact performance of hydraulic rock drill with no constant-pressurized chamber // Automation in Construction. – 2014. –N 37. – P. 211 – 216.
- Guo Y., Yang S.Y., Liu D.S., Zhang L.Y., Chen J.W. Impact Performance for High Frequency Hydraulic Rock Drill Drifter with Sleeve Valve // Int. J. of Fluid Machinery and Systems. – 2016. – 9, N 1. – P. 39–46.
- Gustavsson D., Wallin J. Simulering av hydrauliska dämpare i borrslagverk. Master thesis. 2008. Linköpings universitet. – 155 p.
- Oh J.Y., Lee G.H., Kang H.S., Song C.S. Modeling and Performance Analysis of Rock Drill Drifters for Rock Stiffness // Int. J. of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing. – 2012. – 13, N 12. – P. 2187 – 2193.
- Seo J., Noh D.K., Lee G.H., Jang J.S. A Percussion Performance Analysis for Rock-Drill Drifter through Simulation Modeling and Experimental Validation // Int. J. of Precision Engineering and Manufacturing. – 2016. – 17, N 2. – P. 163 – 170.
- Liu Z., Li D., Li Y. Dynamic modeling and simulation of drill rod rebound buffer device in hydraulic rock drill // Mining and Processing Equipment. – 2012. – 40(10):10.
- Lu Z., Wang Z., Ma F., Li Y. Design on annular damping clearance of double damper system in hydraulic rock drill // Mining & Processing Equipment. – 2014. – 42(1):11.
- Li Y., Ma F., Geng X. Effect of annular clearance inside the double damping chamber on the dynamic characteristics of a rock drill damping system // J. of University of Science and Technology Beijing. – 2014. – 36, №12. – P. 1676 – 1682.
- Geng X., Ma W., Ma F., Zhou Z., Liu Y., Tian X. Effects of Double Damper System's Floating Characteristic on Percussion Performance of Hydraulic Rock Drill // In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering. – 2018. – 394, N 3. – P. 032060.
- Li Y., Luo Y., Wu X. Fault Diagnosis Research on Impact System of Hydraulic Rock Drill Based on Internal Mechanism Testing Method // Shock and Vibration. – 2018. – Article ID 4928438, 9 pages. (In Press).
- 14. Li X., Rupert G., Summers D. A., Santi P., Liu D. Analysis of impact hammer rebound to estimate rock drillability // Rock mechanics and rock engineering. 2000. **33**, №1. P. 1 13.
- 15. Byun Y., Kang J., Chang J., Sharma V., Lee H. J. Impact rebound type inertia latch for load/unload technology // Microsystem Technologies. 2002. 8, №1. P. 37 40.

From the Editorial Board: The article corresponds completely to submitted manuscript.

Поступила 04.09.2018

Утверждена в печать 04.06.2019