

2 × 2 Achievement goals profiles in Chilean competitive and recreational athletes: a first look

Marc R. Lochbaum¹, Javan Jean-Noel², Zişan Kazak Çetinkalp³, Felipe Andrés Vallejo-Reyes⁴, Jose Mena-Campbell⁴

¹Department of Kinesiology and Sport Management, Texas Tech University, Lubbock Texas, USA

²College of Graduate and Professional Studies, JKF University, Pleasant Hill California, USA

³Department of Physical Education and Sports Teaching, Ege University, Izmir, Turkey

⁴Escuela de Psicología, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Chile

Abstract:

Purpose: was to examine the 2 × 2 achievement goal profiles of Chilean young adults regularly participating in competitive and recreational sport. **Materials:** participants were 108 female and 132 males who were recruited from the Valparaíso and Viña del Mar areas of Chile. Participants completed a valid and reliable measure of the 2 × 2 achievement goals referenced to sport participation. **Results:** indicated that the entire sample significantly ($p < .05$) and very meaningfully (Hedges' g range 1.13 - 2.91) endorsed the mastery-approach goal more so than the other three achievement goals. Male participants significantly ($p < .05$) endorsed both approach goals and the mastery goal contrast more so than the female participants. These differences approached medium in meaningfulness (Hedges' g range .40 - .46). Significant differences did not exist between competitive and recreational athletes on any of the achievement goals or goal contrasts. Confirming the lack of significant differences were the computed small to negligible in magnitude effect sizes. **Conclusions:** the present data were a first look into profiling sport participants on the 2 × 2 achievement goals in Chile. Given this sample of Chilean participants endorsed the performance goals far less than found in the sport psychology 2 × 2 achievement goal literature, more research is needed before these results are generalized to Chilean sport participants. Future research must also examine the relationships of antecedents and consequences to the 2 × 2 achievement goals to advance sport psychology in Chile.

Keywords:

approach, avoidance, achievement, goals, contrasts, athlete, sex differences.

Марк Лохбаум Р., Жаван Жан-Ноэль, Зисан Казак Çетинкалп, Фелипе Андрес Вальехо-Рейес, Хосе Мена Кэмпбелл. План 2×2 в исследовании профилей чилийских атлетов, участников соревнований спортивного и оздоровительного характера: первый обзор. **Цель:** изучить с помощью плана 2 × 2 профили чилийской молодежи, регулярно участвующей в соревнованиях спортивного и оздоровительного характера. **Материал:** участники 108 девушки и 132 юноши из Чили. Участники были распределены с надлежащей надежностью и уровнем достоверности с использованием плана 2×2 (латинский квадрат). **Результаты:** показано, что полная выборка имела достоверные ($p < 0,05$) и значимые (Hedges' g range 1.13 - 2.91) отличия в уровне мастерства по сравнению с другими тремя критериями успешности. Мужчины значительно ($p < 0,05$) превосходили женщин по обоим критериям и мастерству. Эти различия определены в средней значимости (Hedges' g range .40 - .46). Не обнаружено значительных различий между участниками в видах спорта и в оздоровительных видах конкуренции на всех этапах соревнований. Подтверждено отсутствие существенных различий, что не позволяет утверждать об успехе одной из сторон. **Выводы:** настоящие данные представляют первый взгляд профилей участников спортивных соревнований в Чили с использованием плана 2×2. Полученные данные выборки чилийских участников гораздо меньше, чем можно найти в спортивной психологии и в литературе. Поэтому необходимы дополнительные исследования, прежде чем эти результаты можно распространить на чилийских участников спортивных соревнований. Будущие исследования должны также изучить взаимосвязи, предпосылки и последствия использования плана 2×2 в спортивной психологии в Чили.

подход, предупреждения, достижения, результат, цели, контрасты, спортсмен, половые различия.

Марк Лохбаум Р., Жаван Жан-Ноэль, Зисан Казак Çетинкалп, Фелипе Андрес Вальехо-Рейес, Хосе Мена Кэмпбелл. План 2×2 у дослідження профілів чилійських атлетів, учасників змагань спортивного і оздоровчого характеру: перший огляд. **Мета:** вивчити за допомогою плану 2×2 профілі чилійської молоді, що регулярно бере участь у змаганнях спортивного і оздоровчого характеру. **Матеріал:** учасники 108 дівчини і 132 юнаки з Чилі. Учасники були розподілені з належною надійністю і рівнем достовірності з використанням плану 2×2 (латинський квадрат). **Результати:** показано, що повна вибірка мала достовірні ($p < 0,05$) і значущі (Hedges' g range 1.13 - 2.91) відмінності в рівні майстерності в порівнянні з іншими трьома критеріями успішності. Чоловіки значно ($p < 0,05$) перевершували жінок за двома критеріями і майстерністю. Ці відмінності визначені в середній значущості (Hedges' g range .40 - .46). Не виявлено значних відмінностей між учасниками у видах спорту і в оздоровчих видах конкуренції на всіх етапах змагань. Підтверджено відсутність істотних відмінностей, що не дозволяє стверджувати про успіх однієї зі сторін. **Висновки:** справжні дані представляють перший погляд профілів учасників спортивних змагань в Чилі з використанням плану 2×2. Отримані результати вибірки чилійських учасників набагато менші, ніж можна знайти в спортивній психології і в літературі. Тому необхідні додаткові дослідження, перш ніж ці результати можна поширити на чилійських учасників спортивних змагань. Майбутні дослідження повинні також вивчити взаємозв'язки, передумови та наслідки використання плану 2×2 в спортивній психології в Чилі.

підхід, попередження, досягнення, результат, мети, контрасти, спортсмен, статеві відмінності.

Introduction

The majority of social-cognitive studies regarding sport motivation are related to achievement goal theory. Achievement goal theory studies have been conducted in the sport environment across the globe in countries such as France [1], Turkey [2], Spain [3], and USA [4]. Achievement goal theory focuses on two main goals that define the purpose of achievement driven behavior. These two goals are mastery, task or learning goals and performance, ego or win goals [5-7]. Goal orientations are distinguished by their reference of personal competence [5].

The mastery or task goal orientation defines competence and success in terms of task mastery and/or task improvement. Individuals endorsing a mastery orientation are focused on skill development, learning new skills, demonstrating mastery and working hard. The performance orientation, in contrast, defines competence and success in normative terms, for example, by winning or outperforming others. This dichotomous achievement goal model has been widely used in achievement contexts. For instance, Lochbaum and colleagues [8] have reported on 236 such studies using the dichotomous achievement goal framework in competitive sport contexts.

Elliot and colleagues [9-11] argued that goal orientation frameworks should be revised to include the

distinction between approach and avoidance motivations because of conflicting results with the performance or ego goal relationships with achievement behaviors. An approach goal indicates a behavior that is initiated by a positive or desirable event or possibility. In contrast, an avoidance goal indicates a behavior which is initiated by a negative or undesirable event or possibility. Elliot and his colleagues [9-11] initially proposed a trichotomous achievement goal framework including the mastery goal and performance-approach and performance-avoidance goals. The performance-approach goal indicates a desire to attain normative competence in terms of doing better than others, whereas the performance-avoidance goal indicates a desire to avoid normative incompetence in terms of doing worse than others. The trichotomous goals framework continues to be examined in the sport and physical activity literature [12].

Building upon the trichotomous framework, Elliot and McGregor [13] proposed four types of goals. Thus, a 2×2 achievement goal framework that is derived by combining mastery versus performance as one dimension and approach versus avoidance as the second dimension. The performance-approach and performance-avoidance goals are identical to those in the three-factor framework. The mastery-approach goal focuses on task-based or intrapersonal competence by striving to master a skill, whereas the mastery-avoidance goal avoids task-based or intrapersonal incompetence by striving to not perform a skill more poorly compared to a previous performance.

Meta-analytic research has demonstrated that Elliot's 2×2 achievement goals have been researched extensively in many countries in sport, exercise, and physical education contexts [14, 15]. The approach goals are very important as both are meaningfully related in experimental, prospective, and cross-sectional studies to performance in a variety of physical activity and sport situations [14]. In addition to the importance of both approach goals to performance, contrast scores (i.e. mastery-approach goal – mastery-avoidance goal; performance-approach goal – performance-avoidance goal) are also predictive of sport performance [4, 14]. Thus, measuring both approach and avoidance goals are of importance in sport psychology research especially when related to performance.

One clear omission to date is the lack of investigations in many sporting countries around the world with achievement goals. Based on Lochbaum and his colleagues' approach-avoidance achievement goal meta-analyses [14, 15] as well as his recent dichotomous achievement goal review [8], no achievement goal research appears to exist in the published literature with a Chilean sample. Hence, we examined the 2×2 achievement goal profiles in competitive and recreational Chilean sport participants in this study.

Purpose, materials and methods

The purpose of the research was to obtain preliminary information about the achievement goal motivational structure of Chilean athletes from Elliot's 2×2 framework. In achieve our purpose, 108 female and 132 male participants were recruited from the Valparaiso and

Viña del Mar areas of Chile with an average age of 22.00 ± 3.29 (age range 18 – 38). Informed consent approved by Pontifical Catholic University of Chile was obtained from all of the participants. The research was carried out via an online survey link. Participants in both competitive ($n = 132$) and recreational ($n = 89$) sports were specifically recruited. The competitive sport participants (62 females; 70 males) were from a number of sports such as basketball, rugby, volleyball, soccer, gymnastics and tennis while the recreational participants (46 females; 43 males) were in recreational activities such as aerobic dance and yoga as well as in traditional sports such as soccer, Taekwondo, and swimming.

The 2×2 Achievement Goals Questionnaire for Sport translated in Spanish [3] was used in the present investigation to measure the 2×2 achievement goals (mastery-approach: “it is important to me to as well as I possibly can”; performance-approach: “it is important for me to do well as compared to others”; mastery-avoidance: “I worry that I may not do as well as I possibly can”; and performance-avoidance: “I just want to avoid being worse than others”) at the dispositional level. The scale has three statements per achievement goal using a Likert type scale. This measure has demonstrated acceptable psychometric properties since its inception [16] as well as the Spanish version [3] used in this investigation. The questionnaire required participants to rate their agreement with each statement on a scale from 1 (*not at all true of me*) to 7 (*very true of me*). A higher score on any of the achievement goal subscales indicates a stronger orientation toward that achievement goal. Participants responded to the following statement, “When competing in sport, I...”

The achievement goal contrasts were calculated following past research in the sport context [4]. All goals were first standardized prior to the contrast calculation (performance contrast = $z_{\text{performance-approach}} - z_{\text{performance-avoidance}}$; mastery contrast = $z_{\text{mastery-approach}} - z_{\text{mastery-avoidance}}$). Using standardized scores gives all goals equal weight in the contrast calculation.

Results of the research

A number of analyses were carried out to examine the collected data. All data are found in Table 1-4. Table 1 contains the intercorrelations and descriptive data for the entire sample. Table 2 contains the results for the paired t-tests amongst the 2×2 achievement goals for the entire sample. Table 3 (competitive and recreational samples) and Table 4 (male and female samples) contain the descriptive data, univariate statistics, and effect size data for the two conducted multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) with sex and sport level as the independent variables in both analyses. For the first MANOVA, the 2×2 achievement goals were the dependent variables. For the second MANOVA, the two achievement goal contrasts were the dependent variables. Hedge's g and partial eta squared (η_p^2) were calculated and used to interpret the results in terms of meaningfulness. Cohen's [17] guidelines for effect sizes magnitudes were followed to interpret Hedge's g such that a g of .20 was consider

small, .50 medium, and .80 large. In addition, η_p^2 was interpreted as .01 as small, .06 as moderate, and .14 as large [18].

As detailed in Table 1 and Table 2, the mastery-approach goal was the dominant goal. This goal was significantly ($p < .001$) and meaningfully larger than the other three goals with effect size values of 1.13, 1.78, and 2.91 for mean differences between the mastery-avoidance, performance-approach, and performance-avoidance goals, respectively.

The pattern of differences amongst the other goals was as follows. The mastery-avoidance goal was endorsed significantly and very meaningfully more than both performance-approach ($g = .73$) and performance-avoidance ($g = 1.54$) goals. The performance-approach goal was endorsed significantly and medium in meaningfulness ($g = .65$) more than the performance-avoidance goal. Except for the performance goal

correlation, the goals were mostly independent. The scale had adequate reliability (Cronbach alpha range .68 - .83).

For the MANOVA with the 2×2 achievement goals as the dependent variables, the results revealed a significant and medium in meaningfulness multivariate effect for sex, Wilk's $\lambda = 0.91$; $F(4, 214) = 5.06$, $p < .01$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.09$. The multivariate effect for sport level (i.e. competitive or recreational) and the sex by sport level interaction were not significant (all sport level data found in Table 3).

As found in Table 4, the follow-up univariate F -tests were significant for both approach goals. The difference between the males and females approached medium in meaningfulness (g 's = .46 and .40) for both approach goals. For the achievement goal contrast MANOVA (see Table 3 for competitive and recreational data and Table 4 for male and female data), the results revealed a significant and small to medium in meaningfulness multivariate effect only for sex, Wilk's $\lambda = 0.95$; $F(4, 216)$

Table 1. Correlations, means, standard deviations, and reliability coefficients for the entire sample

Variables	MAp	MAv	PAP	PAv	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	Reliability
MAp	1.00	.26*	.20*	-.06	6.32	.82	.72
MAv		1.00	.29*	.33*	5.03	1.39	.68
PAP			1.00	.60*	3.86	1.77	.83
PAv				1.00	2.79	1.50	.71

Note. * $p \leq .001$; MAp = mastery-approach; MAv = mastery-avoidance; PAP = performance-approach; PAv = performance-avoidance.

Table 2. Results for paired t -tests amongst the 2×2 achievement goals

Paired Differences	95% Confidence Intervals						
	Comparison	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	Lower	Upper	<i>t</i>	<i>p</i>
MAp – MAv		1.29	1.42	1.10	1.48	13.49	< .001
MAp – PAP		2.46	1.79	2.23	2.70	20.40	< .001
MAp – PAv		3.53	1.75	3.29	3.76	29.84	< .001
MAv – PAP		1.17	1.92	.92	1.43	9.07	< .001
MAv – PAv		2.23	1.67	2.01	2.46	19.82	< .001
PAP – PAv		1.06	1.47	.86	1.25	10.69	< .001

Note. MAp = mastery-approach; MAv = mastery-avoidance; PAP = performance-approach; PAv = performance-avoidance.

Table 3. Means, standard deviations, univariate statistics, and effect size values for the sport level

Variables	Sport Level				Univariate Statistics		ES
	Competitive		Recreational		<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>g</i>
	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>			
MAp	6.38	.80	6.24	.85	1.31	.25	.17
MAv	5.16	1.44	4.85	1.29	2.76	.09	.22
PAP	4.01	1.84	3.62	1.64	2.12	.14	.22
PAv	2.80	1.41	2.77	1.63	.02	.88	.02
MC	-.02	1.34	.03	1.00	.20	.65	-.09
PC	.08	.97	-.11	.73	2.18	.14	.21

Note. ES = effect size; MAp = mastery-approach; MAv = mastery-avoidance; PAP = performance-approach; PAv = performance-avoidance; MC = mastery contrast; PC = performance contrast

Table 4. Descriptive data, univariate statistics, and effect size values for sex analyses

Variables	Sex of Sample				MANOVA		
	Males		Females		Univariate Statistics		ES
	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>p</i>	<i>g</i>
MAp	6.50	.60	6.13	.97	11.41	<.01	.46
MAv	4.97	1.41	5.10	1.36	.146	.70	-.09
PAp	4.20	1.75	3.50	1.72	7.48	<.01	.40
PAv	2.87	1.52	2.70	1.48	1.15	.28	.11
MC	.26	1.13	-.28	1.24	9.54	<.01	.45
PC	.14	.87	-.15	.89	3.43	.06	.33

Note. ES = effect size; MAp = mastery-approach; MAv = mastery-avoidance; PAp = performance-approach; PAv = performance-avoidance; MC = mastery contrast; PC = performance contrast

= 5.35, $p < .01$, $\eta_p^2 = .05$. As found in Table 4, the follow-up univariate *F*-test was significant for the mastery-approach goal contrast. The difference ($g = .45$) between the males and females on the mastery contrast approached medium in meaningfulness. Though not significantly different at the traditional level ($p < .05$), the effect size difference ($g = .33$) between the males and females on the performance contrast approached medium in meaningfulness.

Discussion

To date, no research has examined Chilean participants and the 2×2 achievement goals in sport. Hence, this descriptive study was unique and a step forward for sport psychology motivation research in Chile. A number of observations based on the results are warranted. First, the mean data are very different in magnitude when compared to published sport psychology data. For instance, the performance goal values are lower in the Chilean participants than American recreational sport participants [4, 19] and competitive athletes from a number of countries [1, 3, 20]. Interestingly, the current Chilean sample's performance-approach mean data are more in line with fitness based recreational participants across a number of American investigations [22-24] as well as a Spanish investigation [25].

When examined from an independent/interdependent culture framework as in past achievement goal research [26], the performance goals conceptually should have been endorsed to a greater extent in the present sample. The independent/interdependence framework states that interdependent or collectivist countries are more socially aware. Thus, performance or other based achievement goal orientations should be more prominent. Within the dichotomous achievement goal framework, there are data to support more interdependent cultures endorsing ego or other based achievement goals more so than independent cultures [8].

The next observation concerns the intercorrelations. For the most, the intercorrelations mirror Lochbaum and colleagues' findings nicely. Lochbaum and colleagues' [15] meta-analyses of the intercorrelations amongst the achievement goals was based on more than 12,000 participants. Only the performance-approach and performance-avoidance intercorrelation in the present investigation strayed a bit higher from the meta-analytic

results. Even so, the performance goals are not too similar to be of concern in the current Chilean sample. Hence, the achievement goals are fairly independent constructs and supports future research moving ahead with confidence in Chilean samples.

Last, the pattern of data suggested that sex of sample and not competitive level is important in the present sample. Males endorsed the approach goals more so than the females. Though for the master-approach goal, both males and females strongly endorsed this goal with the mean value being close to the top of the scale range. Endorsing a mastery-approach goal is important as it is related to many adaptive achievement behaviors such as better sport performance [14]. These results are very different than reported by Lochbaum and his colleagues' meta-analyzed results for sex differences [15]. The meta-analyzed sex differences for each goal indicated no meaningful differences [15]. Hence, future research in Chile must explore whether the present results are simply an artifact or enduring differences between males and females in Chile.

Conclusions

1. Sport psychology research and applied services are valued across the globe. Expanding sport psychology research to Chile is an important first step in order to increase awareness and applied sport psychology services.
2. More research is needed to determine if the much lower than expected performance goal means are artifact. Compared to other samples, the present sample with competitive and recreational sport participants is more similar to recreational fitness based samples. Though all Chilean sport participants should benefit in achievement contexts from endorsing the mastery-approach goal, they may be missing out on the benefits of endorsing a performance-approach goal in the same achievement contexts.
3. Future research should seek to understand if previously and extensively researched antecedents [15] and outcomes [14] are related in Chilean sport and recreational participants. Understanding antecedent and outcome relationships will greatly enhance achievement motivation research in Chile.

References

1. Bois JE, Sarrazin PG., Southon J, Boiche JCS. Psychological characteristics and their relations to performance in professional golfers. *Sport Psychologist*. 2009;23(2): 252-270.
2. Kazak Çetinkalp Z. Achievement goals and physical self-perceptions of adolescent athletes. *Social Behavior and Personality*. 2012;40(3): 473-480.
3. Castillo I, Duda JL, Álvarez MS, Mercé J, Balaguer I. Motivational climate, approach-avoidance achievement goals and well-being in young soccer players. *Revista De Psicología Del Deporte*. 2011;20(1): 149-164.
4. Lochbaum M, Smith C. Making the cut and winning a golf putting championship: the role of approach-avoidance achievement goals. *International Journal of Golf Science*. 2015;4(1): 50-66.
5. Ames C. Classrooms: Goals, structures, and student motivation. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 1992;84(3): 261-271.
6. Dweck CS, Leggett E. A social-cognitive approach to motivation and personality. *Psychological Review*. 1988;95(2): 256-273.
7. Nicholls J. Achievement motivation: Conceptions of ability, subjective experience, task choice, and performance. *Psychological Review*. 1984;91(3): 328-346.
8. Lochbaum M, Kazak Çetinkalp Z, Graham KA, Wright T. *Task and ego goal orientations in the competitive sport contexts: A quantitative review of the literature from 1989 – 2015*. Manuscript under review.
9. Elliot AJ, Harackiewicz JM. Approach and avoidance achievement goals and intrinsic motivation: A mediational analysis. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1996;70(3): 461-475.
10. Elliot AJ, Church MA. Hierarchical model of approach and avoidance achievement motivation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1997;72(1): 218-232.
11. Elliot AJ, McGregor HA, Gable SL. Achievement goals, study strategies, and exam performance: A mediational analysis. *Journal of Educational Psychology*. 1999;91(3): 549-563.
12. Lochbaum M, Stevenson S. Effects of achievement goals on perceptions of success and achievement emotions in minority children. *Kinesiology*. 2014;46(2): 202-209.
13. Elliot, AJ, McGregor, HA. A 2 x 2 achievement goal framework. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2001;80(3): 501-519.
14. Lochbaum M, Gottardy J. A meta-analytic review of the approach-avoidance achievement goals and performance relationships in the sport psychology literature. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*. 2015;4(2): 164-173.
15. Lochbaum M, Jean-Noel J, Pinar C, Gilson T. A meta-analytic review of Elliot's (1999) Hierarchical Model of Approach and Avoidance Motivation in the sport, physical activity, and physical education literature. *Journal of Sport and Health Science* [Internet]. 2015 Nov [cited 2016 Jan 30]; Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S2095254615001234>
16. Conroy DE, Elliot AJ, Hofer SM. A 2 x 2 achievement goals questionnaire for sport: Evidence for factorial invariance, temporal stability, and external validity. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*. 2003;25(4): 456 – 476.
17. Cohen J. *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences* (2nd ed.). 1988. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
18. Green SB, Salkind NJ. *Using SPSS for Windows and Macintosh: Analyzing and understanding data* (5th ed.). Pearson Prentice Hall: Upper Saddle River, NJ; 2008.
19. Yeatts PE, Lochbaum M. Coping in sport: A test of Elliot's hierarchical model of approach and avoidance motivation. *Kinesiology*. 2013;45(2): 186-193.
20. Stoeber J, Crombie R. Achievement goals and championship performance: Predicting absolute performance and qualification success. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*. 2010;11(6): 513-521.
21. Ryska TA, Yin Z. Dispositional and situational goal orientations as discriminators among recreational and competitive league athletes. *The Journal of Social Psychology*. 1999;139(3): 335-342.
22. Lochbaum M, Litchfield K, Podlog L, Lutz R. Extraversion, emotional instability, and self-reported exercise: The mediating effects of approach-avoidance achievement goals. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*. 2013;2(3): 176-183.
23. Lochbaum M, Podlog L, Litchfield K, Surlis J, Hilliard S. Stage of physical activity and approach-avoidance achievement goals in university students. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*. 2013;14(2): 161-168.
24. Lochbaum M, Stevenson S, Hilario D. Achievement goals, thoughts about intense physical activity, and exerted effort: a mediational analysis. *Journal of Sport Behavior*. 2009;32(1): 53-68.
25. Murcia JAM, Camacho AS, Rodríguez JMM. Prognostic of the perceived competence through motivation in practitioners of physical exercise. *Fitness and Performance Journal*. 2008;7(6): 357-365.
26. Kim BJ, Williams L, Gill D. A cross-cultural study of achievement orientation and intrinsic motivation in young USA and Korean athletes. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*. 2003;34(2): 168-184.

Информация об авторах:

Марк Лохбаум Р.; <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7640-7075>; marc.lochbaum@ttu.edu; Департамент кинезиологии и управления в спорте Технический университет Техаса; Лаб-бок, Техас 79409-3011 США.

Жаван Жан-Ноэль; <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8174-8090>; javanjn@gmail.com; Колледж аспирантов и профессиональных исследований, Кеннеди университет; Плезант Хилл, Калифорния 94523 США.

Зисан Казак Цетинкалп; <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7588-411X>; f.zisan.kazak@ege.edu.tr; Департамент физической культуры и спортивной тренировки, Эгейский университет; Борнова, 35040, Измир, Турция.

Фелипе Андрес Вальехо-Рейес; <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7941-6858>; felipe.vallejo@pucv.cl; Школа психологии, Папский католический университет Вальпараисо; Саусалито кампус, 1 290 Лес проспект, Вина дель Мар, Чили.

Хосе Мена Кэмпбелл; <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3672-7765>; menacampbell@gmail.com; Школа психологии, Папский католический университет Вальпараисо; Саусалито кампус, 1 290 Лес проспект, Вина дель Мар, Чили.

Цитируйте эту статью как: Марк Лохбаум Р., Жаван Жан-Ноэль, Зисан Казак Цетинкалп, Фелипе Андрес Вальехо-Рейес, Хосе Мена Кэмпбелл. План 2×2 в исследовании профилей чилийских атлетов, участников соревнований спортивного и оздоровительного характера: первый обзор // Педагогика, психология та медико-біологічні проблеми фізичного виховання і спорту. – 2016. – N1. – С. 41–46. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15561/18189172.2016.0106>

Электронная версия этой статьи является полной и может быть найдена на сайте: <http://www.sportpedagogy.org.ua/html/arhive.html>

Это статья Открытого Доступа распространяется под терминами Creative Commons Attribution License, которая разрешает неограниченное использование, распространение и копирование любыми средствами, обеспечивающими должное цитирование этой оригинальной статьи (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.ru>).

Дата поступления в редакцию: 18.01.2016
Принята: 29.01.2016; Опубликована: 30.01.2016

Information about the authors:

Marc R. Lochbaum; <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7640-7075>; marc.lochbaum@ttu.edu; Department of Kinesiology and Sport Management; Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409-3011 USA.

Javan Jean-Noel; <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8174-8090>; javanjn@gmail.com; College of Graduate and Professional Studies ; JKF University, Pleasant Hill, CA 94523 USA.

Zişan Kazak Çetinkalp; <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7588-411X>; f.zisan.kazak@ege.edu.tr; Department of Physical Education and Sports Teaching, Ege University; Bornova, 35040, Izmir, Turkey.

Felipe Andrés Vallejo-Reyes; <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7941-6858>; felipe.vallejo@pucv.cl; Escuela de Psicología, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso; Campus Sausalito. Avda El Bosque 1290, Viña del Mar, Chile.

Jose Mena-Campbell; <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3672-7765>; menacampbell@gmail.com; Escuela de Psicología, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso; Campus Sausalito. Avda El Bosque 1290, Viña del Mar, Chile.

Cite this article as: Marc R. Lochbaum, Javan Jean-Noel, Zişan Kazak Çetinkalp, Felipe Andrés Vallejo-Reyes, Jose Mena-Campbell. 2 × 2 Achievement goals profiles in chilean competitive and recreational athletes: a first look. *Pedagogics, psychology, medical-biological problems of physical training and sports*, 2016;1:41–46. <http://dx.doi.org/10.15561/18189172.2016.0106>

The electronic version of this article is the complete one and can be found online at: <http://www.sportpedagogy.org.ua/html/arhive-e.html>

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en>).

Received: 18.01.2016
Accepted: 29.01.2016; Published: 30.01.2016