# A comparative analysis of flow state in basketball performance: a psychological probe

Nishan Singh Deol<sup>1</sup>, Davinder Singh<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physical Education, Punjabi University, Patiala, India <sup>2</sup>Department of Physical Education, D.A.V. College, Amritsar, India

#### Abstract:

The present study was Purpose: conducted to examine the flow state in basketball performance. Materials: The investigator had selected Forty female basketball players of 19 to 25 years of age to act as subjects. They were divided into three groups; (i.e., N<sub>1</sub>=15; District, N<sub>2</sub>=15; State and N<sub>3</sub>=15 National). The purposive sampling technique was used to select the subjects. All the subjects, after having been informed about the objective of the study, gave their consent and volunteered to participate in this study. <u>Statistical\_Analyses</u>: To measure the level of dispositional flow state of the subjects, the flow state battery constructed by Jackson & Eklund (2004) was administered. way ` Análysis of Variance (ANOVA) was employed to find out the intra-group differences. Where F values were found significant, LSD (Least Significant Difference) Posthoc test was applied to find out the direction and degree of difference. For testing the hypotheses, level of significance was set at Results & Conclusion: The significant results revealed no differences found were amond female basketball players on the sub-variables of Dispositional Flow Scale-2 i.e., Challenge Skill Balance, Action-Awareness Merging, Clear Action-Awareness Clear Feedback. Unambiguous Goals. Concentration on the Task at Hand, Sense of Control, Loss Consciousness, Time transformation and Autotelic experience.

## Keywords:

flow, dispositional, state, basketball, players.

Нишан Сингх Деол, Давиндер Сингх. Сравнительный анализ характеристик структуры движений В баскетболе: психологический тест. Цель: настоящее исследование было проведено с целью изучения состояния характеристик движений в баскетболе. *Материал*: для исследования были выбраны сорок пять (N = 45) женщин баскетболисток возрастом от 19 до 25 лет. Они были разделены на три группы в соответствие с масштабом их участия в соревнованиях: (N1 = 15, район; N2 = 15, штат; N3 = 15 - страна). Была использована целенаправленная техника выборки, чтобы выбрать испытуемых. Все испытуемые были проинформированы о цели и солержании исспелования и дап свое согласие и желание принять участие в этом исследовании. Статистический анализ: Для измерения уровня диспозиционного состоянии испытуемых использована батарея тестов, предложенная Джексоном и Эклундом (2004). Был использован один из способов анализа дисперсии (ANOVA) для определения различий внутри группы. Если были найдены значительные значения F, тогда после специальной процедуры применялся тест LSD (наименее существенное различие) для определения направления и степени различия. Для проверки гипотез, уровень значимости был установлен на уровне 0,05. *Результаты и Выводы*: Результаты не показали существенных различий среди женщин баскетбопистов на суб-переменных диспозиционных движениях по Шкале-2: соревновательный баланс навыков, действия, информированность, объединение, четкие цели, однозначная обратная связь, концентрация на задаче, чувство контроля. потеря самосознания, преобразования во времени, жизненно важный опыт.

движение, диспозиционные, структура, баскетбол, игроки.

Нишан Сингх Деол, Давиндер Сингх. Порівняльний аналіз характеристик структури рухів в баскетболі: пси-хологічний тест. <u>Мета</u>: дослідження було проведено з метою вивчення стану характеристик рухів у баскетбо-<u> Матеріал</u>: для дослідження були обрані сорок п'ять (N = 45) жінок баскетболісток віком від 19 до 25 років. кетоолісток віком від 19 до 25 роль. Вони були розділені на три групи у відповідності з масштабом їх участі у змаганнях: (N1 = 15, район; N2 = 15, штат; N3 = 15 - країна). Була використана цілеспрямована техніка вибірки, щоб вибрати піддослідних. Всі піддослідні були проінформовані про цілі та зміст дослідження і дали свою згоду і бажання взяти участь у цьому дослідженні. <u>Статистичний аналіз</u>: Для вимірювання рівня діспозіціонного стану випробовуваних використана батарея тестів, запропонована Джексоном і Еклундом (2004). Був використаний один із способів аналізу дисперсії (ANOVA) для визначення відмінностей усередині групи. Якщо були знайдені значні значення F, тоді після спеціальпроцедури застосовувався LSD (найменш істотна відмінність) для визначення напрямку і ступеню відмінності. Для перевірки гіпотез рівень значимості був встановлений на рівні 0,05. *Результати та Висновки*: Результати не показали істотних відмінностей серед жінок баскетболістів на суб-змінних діспозіціонних рухах за Шкалою-2: змагальний баланс навичок, дії, інформованість, об'єднання, чіткі цілі, однозначний зворотний зв'язок, концентрація на завданні, почуття контролю, втрата самосвідомості, перетворення в часі, життєво важливий досвід

рух, диспозиційний, структура, баскетбол, гравці.

### Introduction

the psychological factors Understanding that accompany successful athletic performance is a high priority for applied sport psychology, with a major area of focus being mental links to optimal performance. To advance knowledge in this area, it is important to examine specific psychological constructs with theoretical relevance to optimal performance in order to understand what psychological processes might be contributing to quality of performance. The first and primary construct examined was flow. Flow is an optimal psychological state that occurs when there is a balance between perceived challenges and skills in an activity [3]. According to Jackson, [8] flow experience during exercise can lead to high enjoyment, which, in turn, appears to play an important role in exercise adherence [9, 10, 14]. To advance knowledge in this area, it is important to examine specific

psychological constructs with theoretical relevance to optimal performance in order to understand what psychological processes might be contributing to quality of performance. Empirical research has substantiated this prediction [16]. Hence, an understanding of factors that promote flow states in exercise will inform the strategies of exercise practitioners who are interested in promoting enjoyment and adherence to exercise. Jackson and Eklund [18] developed and revised the dispositional flow scale (DFS-2) to assess athletes'experience of the nine flow characteristics. In addition, Kimiecik and Harris [13] suggested that flow leads to positive affective reactions, which they equate with enjoyment. Research has shown that each one of these dimension is part of the definition of flow [9, 10, 12]. Using in-depth interviews, Jackson [8], Sugiyama and Inomata [17], and Young [19] assessed athletes' responses regarding the importance of the nine dimensions of flow, as proposed by Csikszentmihalyi (1975). Sugiyama and Inomata [17] investigated the

<sup>©</sup> Nishan Singh Deol, Davinder Singh, 2015 http://dx.doi.org/10.15561/18189172.2016.0107

flow experience among semi-professional and university athletes, who were between 18 and 29 years of age, representing three sports, namely, track and field, skating, and swimming. The investigations on flow during sport performance have focused on three main research topics. Firstly, to refine the understanding of the flow construct, as proposed by Csikszentmihalyi [2,3] in a sport context, several studies have analysed qualitative results and their connection to flow dimensions [8, 17,19]. The findings of these studies will be presented concurrently to point out similarities or differences in the flow experience between groups of elite athletes from various sports [6, 7] college and university athletes Sugiyama & Inomata, [17] and elite tennis players Young [19]. Flow is generally viewed as a peak performance state, and there is some support for this assumption [11, 15]. It appears that attaining flow during exercise may promote intrinsic motivation, which, in turn, has been shown to enhance persistence in participation Ryan et al. [16]. Brewer et al. [1] noted that the effect of performance outcome on self-report assessments of psychological states could be compromised by methods of retrospective introspection. The athletes are asked about general experiences of the flow experience in a particular activity the athlete chooses. Another scale developed by the same authors is the flow state scale-2 (FSS-2), which assesses the flow state right after completing an activity. As a result, the present study was conducted to determine the significant difference between Flow State in basketball players with regards to dispositional Flow Scale-2.

## **Method and Procedure**

**Sample:** The investigators had selected Forty Five (N=45) female basketball players of 19 to 25 years of age to act as subjects. They were divided into three groups; (i.e.,  $N_1$ =15; District,  $N_2$ =15; State and  $N_3$ =15 National). The purposive sampling technique was used to select the subjects. All the subjects, after having been informed about the objective and protocol of the study, gave their

consent and volunteered to participate in this study.

*Instrument*: To measure the level of Dispositional Flow State of the subjects, the Flow State Battery constructed by (Jackson & Eklund, 2004) was administered.

Statistical Analysis: One way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was employed to find out the intra-group differences. Where F values were found significant, LSD (Least Significant Difference) Post-hoc test was applied to find out the direction and degree of difference. For testing the hypotheses, the level of significance was set at 0.05.

#### **Results**

It can be seen from table-1 that insignificant differences were found with regard to the sub-variable Challenge Skill Balance among District, State and National female basketball players as the P-value (Sig.) .346 was found higher than the 0.05 level of significance (p>0.05).

It can be seen from table-2 that insignificant differences were found with regard to the sub-variable Action-Awareness Merging among District, State and National female basketball players as the P-value (Sig.) .499 was found higher than the 0.05 level of significance (p>0.05).

It can be seen from table-3 that insignificant differences were found with regard to the sub-variable Clear Goals among District, State and National female basketball players as the P-value (Sig.) .756 was found higher than the 0.05 level of significance (p>0.05).

It can be seen from table-4 that insignificant differences were found with regard to the sub-variable Unambiguous Feedback among District, State and National female basketball players as the P-value (Sig.) .813 was found higher than the 0.05 level of significance (p>0.05).

It can be seen from table-5 that insignificant differences were found with regard to the sub-variable Concentration on the Task at Hand among District, State and National female basketball players as the P-value (Sig.) .155 was found higher than the 0.05 level of significance (p>0.05).

**Table 1.** Significant differences in the results among Female Basketball Players with regard to dispositional Flow Scale-2 on the sub-Variable Challenge skill balance.

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degree of Freedom	Mean Square	F-value	P-value (Sig.)
Between Groups	32.933	2	16.467		
Within Groups	635.067	42	15.121	1.089	.346
Total	668.000	44			

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at 0.05

**Table 2.** Significant differences in the results among Female Basketball Players with regard to Dispositional Flow Scale-2 on the sub-Variable Action-Awareness Merging.

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degree of Freedom	Mean Square	F-value	P-value (Sig.)
Between Groups	22.711	2	11.356	.707	.499
Within Groups	674.533	42	16.060		
Total	697.244	44			

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at 0.05

It can be seen from table-6 that insignificant differences were found with regard to the sub-variable Sense of Control among District, State and National female basketball players as the P-value (Sig.) .637 was found higher than the 0.05 level of significance (p>0.05)

It can be seen from table-7 that insignificant differences were found with regard to the sub-variable Loss of Self-

Consciousness among District, State and National female basketball players as the P-value (Sig.) .249 was found higher than the 0.05 level of significance (p>0.05).

It can be seen from table-8 that insignificant differences were found with regard to the sub-variable Transformation of Time among District, State and National female basketball players as the P-value (Sig.)

**Table 3.** Significant differences in the results among Female Basketball Players with regard to Dispositional Flow Scale-2 on the sub-Variable Clear Goals.

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degree of Freedom	Mean Square	F-value	P-value (Sig.)
Between Groups	16.044	2	8.022	.282	.756
Within Groups	1194.267	42	28.435		
Total	1210.311	44			

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at 0.05

**Table 4.** Significant differences in the results among Female Basketball Players with regard to Dispositional Flow Scale-2 on the sub-Variable Unambiguous Feedback.

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degree of Freedom	Mean Square	F-value	P-value (Sig.)
Between Groups	5.644	2	2.822	.208	.813
Within Groups	569.333	42	13.556		
Total	574.978	44			

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at 0.05

**Table 5.** Significant differences in the results among Female Basketball Players with regard to Dispositional Flow Scale-2 on the sub-Variable Concentration on the Task at Hand.

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degree of Freedom	Mean Square	F-value	P-value (Sig.)
Between Groups	41.378	2	20.689	1.952	.155
Within Groups	445.200	42	10.600		
Total	486.578	44			

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at 0.05

**Table 6.** Significant differences in the results among Female Basketball Players with regard to Dispositional Flow Scale-2 on the sub-Variable Sense of Control.

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degree of Freedom	Mean Square	F-value	P-value (Sig.)
Between Groups	13.911	2	6.956	.456	.637
Within Groups	641.333	42	15.270		
Total	655.244	44			

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at 0.05

**Table 7.** Significant differences in the results among Female Basketball Players with regard to Dispositional Flow Scale-2 on the sub-Variable Loss of Self-Consciousness.

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degree of Freedom	Mean Square	F-value	P-value (Sig.)
Between Groups	58.533	2	29.267	1.439	.249
Within Groups	854.267	42	20.340		
Total	912.800	44			

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at 0.05

**Table 8.** Significant differences in the results among Female Basketball Players with regard to *Dispositional Flow Scale-2 on the sub-Variable Transformation of Time.* 

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degree of Freedom	Mean Square	F-value	P-value (Sig.)
Between Groups	164.311	2	82.156	6.600	.133
Within Groups	522.800	42	12.448		
Total	687.111	44			

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at 0.05

**Table 9.** Significant differences in the results among Female Basketball Players with regard to Dispositional Flow Scale-2 on the sub-Variable Autotelic Experience.

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degree of Freedom	Mean Square	F-value	P-value (Sig.)
Between Groups	141.911	2	70.956	3.225	.050
Within Groups	924.000	42	22.000		
Total	1065.911	44			

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at 0.05

**Table 10.** Significant differences in the results among Female Basketball Players with regard to Dispositional Flow Scale-2.

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degree of Freedom	Mean Square	F-value	P-value (Sig.)
Between Groups	5.911	2	2.956	.012	.988
Within Groups	10408.667	42	247.825		
Total	10414.578	44			

<sup>\*</sup>Significant at 0.05

.133 was found higher than the 0.05 level of significance (p>0.05).

It can be seen from table-9 that insignificant differences were found with regard to the sub-variable Autotelic Experience among District, State and National female basketball players as the P-value (Sig.) .050 was found higher than the 0.05 level of significance (p>0.05).

It can be seen from table-10 that insignificant differences were found with regard to the variable Dispositional Flow Scale-2 among District, State and National female basketball players as the P-value (Sig.) .988 was found higher than the 0.05 level of significance (p>0.05).

# Conclusion

Summarizing from the above findings we can say that no significant differences were found among female basketball players on the sub-variables of Dispositional Flow Scale-2 i.e., Challenge skill balance, Actionawareness merging, Clear goals, Unambiguous feedback, Concentration on the task at hand, Sense of control, Loss of self-consciousness, Time transformation and Autotelic experience.

# **Declaration of Conflicting Interests**

The authors declare, that there are no potential conflicts of interest in respect to research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### References

- Brewer BW, Van RJL, Linder DE, & Van RNS. Peak Performance and the Perils of Retrospective Introspection. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 1991;8(3):227-238.
- 2. Csikszentmihalyi M. Beyond Boredom and Anxiety. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass; 1975.
- 3. Csikszentmihalyi M. Flow: The Psychology of Optimal Experience. New York: Harper & Row; 1990.
- Csikszentmihalyi M. A Response to Kimiecik & Stein and Jackson Papers. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 1992;4(2):181-183.
- 5. Dishman RK, Sallis JF, & Orenstein DR. The Determinants of Physical Activity and Exercise. *Public Health Reports*, 1985;100(1):158-170.
- 6. Jackson SA. Athletes in Flow: A Qualitative Investigation of Flow States in Elite Figure Skaters. *Journal of Applied Sport Psychology*, 1992;4(2):161-180.
- Jackson SA. Factors Influencing the Occurrence of Flow in Elite Athletes. Journal of Applied Sport Psychology, 1995;7(2):138-166
- 8. Jackson SA. Towards a Conceptual Understanding of the Flow Experience in Elite Athletes. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 1996;67(1):76-90.
- 9. Jackson SA, & Csikszentmihalyi M. Flow in Sports. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics; 1999.
- 10. Jackson SA, & Eklund RC. The Flow Scales Manual. Morgantown, WV: Fitness Information Technology; 2004.
- 11. Jackson SA, & Roberts GC. Positive Performance States of Athletes: Toward a Conceptual Understanding of Peak Performance. *The Sport Psychologist*, 1992;6(2):156-171.
- 12.Jackson SA, Kimiecik JC, Ford S, & Marsh HW. Psychological Correlates of Flow in Sport. *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 1998;20(4):358-378.
- 13.Kimiecik JC, & Harris AT. What is Enjoyment? A Conceptual Definitional Analysis with Implications for Sport and Exercise Psychology. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 1996;18(3):257-263.
- 14.Martin JE, & Dubbert PM. Exercise Applications and Promotion in Behavioural Medicine: Current Status and Future Directions. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 1982;50(6):1004-1017.
- 15.McInman AD, & Grove JR. Peak Moments in Sport: A Literature Review. Quest, 1991;43:333-351.
- 16.Ryan RM, Frederick CM, Lepes D, Rubio N, & Sheldon KM. Intrinsic Motivation and Exercise Adherence. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 1997;28(4):335-354.
- 17. Sugiyama T, & Inomata K. Qualitative Examination of Flow Experience among Top Japanese Athletes. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 2005;100(3):969-982.
- 18. Wankel LM. Personal and Situational Factors Affecting Exercise Involvement: The Importance of Enjoyment. Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport, 1985;56(3):275-282.
- 19. Young JA. Professional Tennis Players in the Zone. In Haake SJ, & Coe A. (Eds.), *Tennis Science & Technology*, 2000. P. 417-422.
- 20.Deci EL, & Ryan RM. Intrinsic Motivation and Self- Determination in Human Behavior. New York and London: Plenum Press; 1985.

## Информация об авторах:

Нишан Сингх Деол; http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4297-9029; ds\_rajput87@yahoo.in; Отдел физической культуры, Панджаби университета; НХ 64, Патиала, Пенджаб, 147002, Индия.

Давиндер Сингх; http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6208-1895; davinder.singhrajput41@gmail.com; Департамент физической культуры, Д.В.А. Колледж; Катра Шер Синх, И/С Хатхи Гате, АМРИТСАК, Ринджаб-143001, Индия.

**Цитируйте эту статью как:** Нишан Сингх Деол, Давиндер Сингх. Сравнительный анализ характеристик структуры движений в баскетболе: психологический тест // Педагогіка, психологія та медико-біологічні проблеми фізичного виховання і спорту. — 2016. — N1. — С. 47—51. http://dx.doi.org/10.15561/18189172.2016.0107

Электронная версия этой статьи является полной и может быть найдена на сайте: http://www.sportpedagogy.org.ua/html/arhive.html

Это статья Открытого Доступа распространяется под терминами Creative Commons Attribution License, которая разрешает неограниченное использование, распространение и копирование любыми средствами, обеспечивающими должное цитирование этой оригинальной статьи (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.ru).

Дата поступления в редакцию: 18.01.2016 Принята: 29.01.2016; Опубликована: 30.01.2016

# Information about the authors:

**Nishan Singh Deol**; http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4297-9029; ds\_rajput87@yahoo.in; Department of Physical Education, Punjabi University; NH 64, Patiala, Punjab, India, 147002; India.

**Davinder Singh;** http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6208-1895; davinder.singhrajput41@gmail.com; Department of Physical Education, D.A.V. College; Katra Sher Singh, I/S Hathi Gate, AMRITSAR, Punjab-143001, India.

**Cite this article as:** Nishan Singh Deol, Davinder Singh. A comparative analysis of flow state in basketball performance: a psychological probe. *Pedagogics, psychology, medical-biological problems of physical training and sports*, 2016;1:47–51. http://dx.doi.org/10.15561/18189172.2016.0107

The electronic version of this article is the complete one and can be found online at: http://www.sportpedagogy.org.ua/html/arhive-e.html

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/deed.en).

Received: 18.01.2016

Accepted: 29.01.2016; Published: 30.01.2016