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## ON INVARIANTS OF ROOT SUBGROUPS

OF FINITE CLASSICAL GROUPS*

## ПРО ІНВАРІАНТИ КОРЕНЕВИХ ПІДГРУП

 СКІНЧЕННИХ КЛАСИЧНИХ ГРУПWe show that invariant fields $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{G}$ are purely transcendental over $F_{q}$ if $G$ are root subgroups of finite classical groups. The key step is to find good similar groups of our groups. Moreover, the invariant rings of the root subgroups of special linear groups are shown to be polynomial rings, and their corresponding Poincaré series are presented.
Показано, що інваріантні поля $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{G}$ є чисто трансцендентними над $F_{q}$, якщо $G$ - кореневі підгрупи скінченних класичних груп. Ключовим місцем доведення є знаходження гарних подібних груп для наших груп. Крім того, показано, що інваріантні кільця кореневих підгруп спеціальних лінійних груп є поліноміальними кільцями. Також наведено відповідні ряди Пуанкаре.

1. Introduction. Let $F_{q}$ be a finite field with $\operatorname{char} F_{q}=p$, and $G L\left(n, F_{q}\right)$ be the general linear group. For any $T=\left(t_{i j}\right) \in G L\left(n, F_{q}\right)$, it induces an $F_{q}$-linear action $\sigma_{T}$ on the rational function field $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ defined by

$$
\sigma_{T}\left(f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)\right)=f\left(\sigma_{T}\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma_{T}\left(X_{n}\right)\right)
$$

for all $f\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) \in F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$, where

$$
\sigma_{T}\left(X_{i}\right)=t_{i 1} X_{1}+t_{i 2} X_{2}+\ldots+t_{i n} X_{n}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

For a group $G \leq G L\left(n, F_{q}\right)$, Noether's problem asks whether the rational invariant field

$$
F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{G}=\left\{f \in F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right): \sigma_{T}(f)=f \text { for all } T \in G\right\}
$$

is purely transcendental over $F_{q}$.
When $G=G L\left(n, F_{q}\right)$, Dickson [1] gave an affirmative answer by giving the explicit transcendental bases. Chu [6] considered the invariant fields of finite orthogonal groups and obtained similar results for $n=2,3$. Cohen [7] showed the result is true when $n=4$, and finally the general case was settled by Carlisle and Kropholler [8]. But they all assumed that the characteristic of $F_{q}$ is odd. The case of characteristic two was settled by Rajaei [12] using quadratic form language and by Tang and Wan [14] using matrix methods. Relatively recently, Chu [10] gave a unified treat on finding the transcendental bases of the invariant fields of some finite classical groups of the form

$$
G_{A_{\rho}}=\left\{Q \in G L\left(n, F_{q}\right): Q^{\prime} A Q^{\rho}=A\right\},
$$

where $A \in G L\left(n, F_{q}\right)$ and $\rho$ is an automorphism of $F_{q}$.
In the paper, we consider the root subgroups of finite classical groups by giving explicit transcendental bases. The key of our method is to find good similar groups of root subgroups and consequently obtain the explicit transcendental bases through studying the similar groups.

[^0]Based on our results on the invariant fields of root subgroups of the special linear groups, we show that the invariant rings of root subgroups of the special linear groups are polynomial rings and consequently derive the Poincaré series of these invariant rings. In the modular case, examples of groups whose invariant rings are polynomial rings are, to name a few, the general and special linear groups $G L\left(n, F_{q}\right)$ and $S L\left(n, F_{q}\right)$ [1], the group of unipotent upper triangular matrices $G \leq$ $\leq G L\left(n, F_{q}\right)$ [5], the orthogonal and unitary groups $O(n, K, S)$ and $U(n, K, H)$ for $n \leq 3$ and $n \leq 2$, respectively [4], and the complex reflection groups $G_{29}$ and $G_{31}$ of Shephard and Todd [2]. Also, the root subgroup of the special linear group is such an example.

Let us recall the definitions of the root subgroups of classical groups [11]. In these definitions, denote by $K$ an arbitrary field.

The root subgroup of the special linear group $S L(n, K)$ is the subgroup $\widetilde{X}_{i j}=\left\{T_{i j}(c): c \in K\right\}$ $(i \neq j)$ or its conjugate subgroup in $G L(n, K)$, where $T_{i j}(c)=I+c E_{i j}$ and $E_{i j}$ is the $(n \times n)$-matrix with the $(i, j)$-entry 1 and other entries 0 . We denote the root subgroup $P^{-1} \widetilde{X}_{i j} P$ of $S L(n, K)$ by $X_{i j, P}$ with $P \in G L(n, K)$.

Assume that $K$ has an involutive automorphism $\phi: a \mapsto \bar{a}$. The unitary group $U(n, K, H)$ is defined to be the group $\left\{A \in G L(n, K): A H \bar{A}^{\prime}=H\right\}$, where

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & I^{(\nu)} & 0 \\
-I^{(\nu)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & H_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the congruence normal form of the nonsingular Hermitian matrix and $H_{0} \in G L(n-2 \nu, K)$ is a definite diagonal matrix.

The long root subgroup of $U(n, K, H)$ is the subgroup

$$
T_{u}=\left\{I+H \bar{u}^{\prime} s u: s \in \operatorname{Tr} K\right\},
$$

where $u$ is a fixed $n$-dimensional row vector satisfying $u H \bar{u}^{\prime}=H$ and $\operatorname{Tr} K=\{a+\bar{a}: a \in K\}$. And the short root subgroup of $U(n, K, H)$ is

$$
T_{u, w}=\left\{I+H \bar{w}^{\prime} a u+H(\overline{a u})^{\prime} w: a \in K\right\},
$$

where $u, w$ are noncollinear $n$-dimensional row vectors satisfying $u H \bar{u}^{\prime}=w H \bar{w}^{\prime}=u H \bar{w}^{\prime}=0$.
Let $\operatorname{char} K \neq 2$. The orthogonal group $O(n, K, S)$ is defined to be the group $\{A \in G L(n, K)$ : $\left.A S A^{\prime}=S\right\}$, where

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & I^{(\nu)} & 0 \\
I^{(\nu)} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \Delta
\end{array}\right)
$$

is the congruence normal form of the nonsingular symmetric matrix and $\Delta \in G L(n-2 \nu, K)$ is a definite symmetric matrix. The long root subgroup of $O(n, K, S)$ is

$$
Y_{u, w}=\left\{I+S w^{\prime} a u-S a u^{\prime} w: a \in K\right\},
$$

where $u$, $w$ are noncollinear $n$-dimensional row vectors satisfying $u S u^{\prime}=w S w^{\prime}=u S w^{\prime}=0$. The element of $Y_{u, w}$ is also called an orthogonal 2-transvection. The short root subgroup of $O(n, K, S)$ is

$$
\widehat{Y}_{u, w}=\left\{I+S w^{\prime} a u-S a u^{\prime}(w+Q(w) a u): a \in K\right\},
$$

where $u, w$ are noncollinear $n$-dimensional row vectors satisfying $u S u^{\prime}=u S w^{\prime}=0$ and $w S w^{\prime}=$ $=2 Q(w) \neq 0, Q$ is a quadratic form on the $n$-dimensional vector space over $K$. For the definitions of the long root subgroups and short root subgroups of symplectic groups the reader is referred to [11].
2. Invariant fields of root subgroups of the special linear groups over finite fields. In this section, we give the explicit transcendental bases of invariant fields of root subgroups of the special linear groups over finite fields. First of all, we prove a lemma which is very useful in the paper.

Lemma 2.1. Let $K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ be the polynomial ring over an arbitrary field $K$. Assume that $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ are algebraically independent over $K$, then for any $P=\left(p_{i j}\right) \in$ $\in G L(n, K), \sigma_{P}\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma_{P}\left(f_{n}\right) \in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ are algebraically independent over $K$.

Proof. Since for any $P \in G L(n, K)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial \sigma_{P}\left(f_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)\right)}{\partial X_{1}} & \ldots & \frac{\partial \sigma_{P}\left(f_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)\right)}{\partial X_{n}} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial \sigma_{P}\left(f_{n}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)\right)}{\partial X_{1}} & \ldots & \frac{\partial \sigma_{P}\left(f_{n}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)\right)}{\partial X_{n}}
\end{array}\right)= \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{1 i} X_{i}, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{n i} X_{i}\right)}{\partial X_{1}} & \ldots & \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{1 i} X_{i}, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{n i} X_{i}\right)}{\partial X_{n}} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial f_{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{1 i} X_{i}, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{n i} X_{i}\right)}{\partial X_{1}} & \ldots & \frac{\partial f_{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{1 i} X_{i}, \ldots, \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{n i} X_{i}\right)}{\partial X_{n}}
\end{array}\right)= \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i 1} f_{1 i} & \ldots & \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i n} f_{1 i} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i 1} f_{n i} & \ldots & \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i n} f_{n i}
\end{array}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
f_{11} & \ldots & f_{1 n} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
f_{n 1} & \ldots & f_{n n}
\end{array}\right) \operatorname{det}(P)= \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{\partial X_{1}} & \ldots & \frac{\partial f_{1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{\partial X_{n}} \\
\vdots & & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial f_{n}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{\partial X_{1}} & \ldots & \frac{\partial f_{n}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}{\partial X_{n}}
\end{array}\right) \operatorname{det}(P) \neq 0 \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

where $f_{i j}=\frac{\partial f_{i}\left(Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}\right)}{\partial Y_{j}}$ if we let $Y_{i}=\sum_{l=1}^{n} p_{i l} X_{l}$. It follows that $\sigma_{P}\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots, \sigma_{P}\left(f_{n}\right) \in$ $\in K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ are algebraically independent over $K$.

Lemma 2.1 is proved.

Now we pay attention to discussing the root subgroup $X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P}$ of the special linear group. For convenience, we set $i_{0}>j_{0}$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f_{i}=X_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq i_{0}, f_{i_{0}}=X_{i_{0}} X_{j_{0}}^{q-1}-X_{i_{0}}^{q}$ and $g_{i}=\sigma_{P^{-1}}\left(f_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P}}=F_{q}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$.

Proof. It is clear that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial X_{j}}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \neq 0
$$

whence $g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}$ are algebraically independent over $F_{q}$ by Lemma 2.1.
Suppose that $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ is Galois over $F_{q}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ with Galois group $G_{1}$. We claim that $G_{1}=\widetilde{X}_{i_{0} j_{0}}$. The inclusion $\widetilde{X}_{i_{0} j_{0}} \subset G_{1}$ is trivial. Conversely, for any $Q=\left(q_{i j}\right) \in G_{1}$, the assumption $\sigma_{Q}$ leaves $f_{1}$ invariant implies that $q_{11}=1$ and $q_{12}=\ldots=q_{1 n}=0$. Similarly, we know that $q_{i i}=1$ and $q_{i j}=0$, for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ and $i \neq i_{0}$. Furthermore, $\sigma_{Q}$ leaves $f_{i_{0}}$ invariant, i.e., $\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} q_{i_{0} k} X_{k}\right)\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} q_{j_{0} k} X_{k}\right)^{q-1}-\sum_{k=1}^{n} q_{i_{0} k} X_{k}^{q}=X_{i_{0}} X_{j_{0}}^{q-1}-X_{i_{0}}^{q}$, which shows that $q_{i_{0} i_{0}}=1, q_{i_{0} j_{0}}$ is arbitrary and $q_{i_{0} j}=0$ for $j \neq i_{0}, j_{0}$. Hence $G_{1} \subset \widetilde{X}_{i_{0} j_{0}}$, and this proves the claim.

Let $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ be Galois over $F_{q}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ with Galois group $G_{2}$. To prove the theorem, it suffices to prove that $X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P}=G_{2}$. For any $Q=P^{-1} T P \in X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P}$ with $T \in \widetilde{X}_{i_{0} j_{0}}$, the fact $\sigma_{T}$ leaves $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ invariant implies that $\sigma_{Q}$ leaves $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ invariant. Consequently, $X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P} \subset G_{2}$. For the inverse inclusion, since for every $Q \in G_{2}, \sigma_{Q}$ leaves $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ invariant, i.e., $\sigma_{Q}\left(g_{i}\right)=g_{i}$ and $\sigma_{P Q P^{-1}}\left(f_{i}\right)=f_{i}(1 \leq i \leq n)$, we conclude that $P Q P^{-1} \in \widetilde{X}_{i_{0} j_{0}}$ by the previous claim. Hence, $Q \in X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P}$ and $X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P} \subset G_{2}$, as required.

Theorem 2.1 is proved.
Remark 2.1. (1) Let us present two examples to understand Theorem 2.1. When $P=I$, $X_{i_{0} j_{0}, I}=\widetilde{X}_{i_{0} j_{0}}$. Then $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{X_{i_{0} j_{0}, I}}=F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i_{0}} X_{j_{0}}^{q-1}-X_{i_{0}}^{q}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$; Assume that $P=\left(p_{i j}\right) \in G L\left(3, F_{q}\right)$ and $P^{-1}=\left(r_{i j}\right)$. By Theorem 2.1, we know that $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)^{X_{21, P}}=$ $=F_{q}\left(r_{11} X_{1}+r_{12} X_{2}+r_{13} X_{3},\left(r_{21} X_{1}+r_{22} X_{2}+r_{23} X_{3}\right)\left(r_{11} X_{1}+r_{12} X_{2}+r_{13} X_{3}\right)^{q-1}-\left(r_{21} X_{1}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.+r_{22} X_{2}+r_{23} X_{3}\right)^{q}, r_{31} X_{1}+r_{32} X_{2}+r_{33} X_{3}\right)$.
(2) For any two root subgroups $X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P_{1}}$ and $X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P_{2}}$ with $1 \leq i_{0} \neq j_{0} \leq n$, if $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P_{1}}}=F_{q}\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$, then $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{X_{i_{0 j}, P_{2}}}=F_{q}\left(\sigma_{T^{-1}}\left(h_{1}\right), \ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots, \sigma_{T^{-1}}\left(h_{n}\right)\right)$ with $P_{2}=P_{1} T$.
(3) More generally, if a group $G \leq G L(n, K)$ satisfies $G=Q \widetilde{G} Q^{-1}$ with $Q$ a fixed inverse $(n \times n)$-matrix, then the transcendental basis of the invariant field of $\widetilde{G}$ reduces a transcendental basis of the invariant field of our group $G$.
(4) If we let $\tilde{f}_{i}=X_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq i_{0}, \tilde{f}_{i_{0}}=\prod_{c \in F_{q}}\left(c X_{j_{0}}+X_{i_{0}}\right)$ and $\tilde{g}_{i}=\sigma_{P-1} \tilde{f}_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then following arguments similar to Theorem 2.1 we can prove that $\tilde{g}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{g}_{n}$ form a second transcendental basis of $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{X_{i_{0 j}, P}}$ over $F_{q}$.
3. Invariant fields of root subgroups of finite unitary, orthogonal and symplectic groups. In this section, we begin with discussing the long root subgroups of finite unitary groups. Let us define polynomials

$$
P_{n k}=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) H\left(\begin{array}{c}
X_{1}^{q^{2 k+1}} \\
\vdots \\
X_{n}^{q^{2 k+1}}
\end{array}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} h_{i j} X_{i} X_{j}^{q^{2 k+1}}, \quad k=0,1, \ldots .
$$

Before we prove the main result on the long root subgroup of $U(n, K, H)$, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 [10]. For $Q \in G L\left(n, F_{q}\right)$, the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $Q \in U\left(n, F_{q}, H\right)$;
(2) $\sigma_{Q}$ fixes $P_{n k}$ for all $k$;
(3) $\sigma_{Q}$ fixes $P_{n k}$ for some $k \geq 1$.

Lemma 3.2 [3]. If $X$ and $Y$ are $(m \times n)$-matrices with rank $m$, then there exists a unitary matrix $U \in U(n, K, H)$ such that $X=U Y$ if and only if $X H \bar{X}^{\prime}=Y H \bar{Y}^{\prime}$.

Remark 3.1. The analogues of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 for orthogonal and symplectic groups are also true (see [3, 10]).

Lemma 3.3 [3]. Any unitary transvection in $U(n, K, H)$ can be represented as $I+H \bar{u}^{\prime}$ su, where $u H \bar{u}^{\prime}=0$ and $\bar{s}=s$. And any unitary transvection is unitary similar to the normal form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I^{(\nu)} & &  \tag{3.1}\\
K & I^{(\nu)} & \\
& & I^{(n-2 \nu)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
s & \\
& 0^{(\nu-1)}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

By Lemma 3.3, there exists a unitary matrix $R$ such that

$$
R^{-1}\left(I+H \bar{u}^{\prime} s u\right) R=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I^{(\nu)} & &  \tag{3.2}\\
K & I^{(\nu)} & \\
& & I^{(n-2 \nu)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
s & \\
& 0^{(\nu-1)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and the matrix $R$ is independent on $s$. Let $\widetilde{T}_{u}$ be the group consisting of all the matrices of the form (3.2). Then we have that $T_{u}=R \widetilde{T}_{u} R^{-1}$ and $\widetilde{T}_{u}$ is the similar group of $T_{u}$ for which we are searching.

Lemma 3.4. Let $f_{2}=\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} h_{i j} X_{i} X_{j}^{q^{3}}, f_{\nu+1}=X_{\nu+1} X_{1}^{q-1}-X_{\nu+1}^{q}$ and $f_{i}=X_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq 2, \nu+1$. Then we have $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{\widetilde{T}_{u}}=F_{q}\left(f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$.

Proof. It is trivial that $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ are algebraically independent over $F_{q}$ by the fact

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial X_{j}}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \neq 0
$$

Suppose that $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ is Galois over $F_{q}\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ with Galois group $G$. We only need to prove that $G=\widetilde{T}_{u}$. The inclusion $\widetilde{T}_{u} \subset G$ is obvious. Conversely, for any $Q \in G$, one can easily conclude that $p_{i i}=1$ and $p_{i j}=0(1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq 2, \nu+1, i \neq j)$ from the fact that $\sigma_{Q}$ leaves $f_{i}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq 2, \nu+1)$.

Moreover, $\sigma_{Q}$ leaves $f_{\nu+1}$ invariant, i.e., $\left(p_{\nu+1,1} X_{1}+\ldots+p_{\nu+1, n} X_{n}\right) X_{1}^{q-1}-\left(p_{\nu+1,1} X_{1}+\ldots\right.$ $\left.\ldots+p_{\nu+1, n} X_{n}\right)^{q}=X_{\nu+1} X_{1}^{q-1}-X_{\nu+1}^{q}$, then we know that $p_{\nu+1, j}=0$ for $2 \leq j \leq n, j \neq \nu+1$ and $p_{\nu+1, \nu+1}=1$. According to Lemma 3.1, the fact $\sigma_{Q}$ leaves $f_{2}$ invariant shows that $Q \in U\left(n, F_{q}, H\right)$, which implies that $p_{22}=1, p_{2 j}=0(j \neq 2)$ and $p_{\nu+1,1}=\bar{p}_{\nu+1,1}$. Therefore, we have that $Q \in \widetilde{T}_{u}$ and $G=\widetilde{T}_{u}$.

Lemma 3.4 is proved.
From Lemmas 3.1, 3.3 and 3.4, we deduce the following theorem.
Theorem 3.1. Let $f_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, be as in Lemma 3.4 and $g_{i}=R f_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{T_{u}}=F_{q}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$.

Proof. By Lemmas 2.1 and 3.4, we know that $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ are algebraically independent over $F_{q}$.
Suppose that $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ is Galois over $F_{q}\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$ with Galois group $G$. According to Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, we have that $G=T_{u}$ by using the same arguments as in Theorem 2.1, and the proof of this theorem is complete.

Now we come to the short root subgroup $T_{u, w}$ of $U(n, K, H)$. By the normal form of $T_{u, w}$, we obtain the similar group of $T_{u, w}$.

Lemma 3.5. The element $I+H \bar{w}^{\prime} a u+H(\overline{a u})^{\prime} w$ of the short root subgroup $T_{u, w}$ is unitary similar to the normal form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I^{(\nu)} & &  \tag{3.3}\\
K & I^{(\nu)} & \\
& & I^{(n-2 \nu)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{llc}
0 & a & \\
\bar{a} & 0 & \\
& & 0^{(\nu-2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proof. We represent the matrix $I+H \bar{w}^{\prime} a u+H(\overline{a u})^{\prime} w$ as the following form:

$$
I+H\left((\overline{a u})^{\prime}, \bar{w}^{\prime}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1  \tag{3.4}\\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{a u}{w}
$$

Observe that

$$
\binom{a u}{w} H\left((\overline{a u})^{\prime}, \bar{w}^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

from the conditon that $u H \bar{u}^{\prime}=w H \bar{w}^{\prime}=u H \bar{w}^{\prime}=0$. Clearly,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right) H\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

So by Lemma 3.2, there exists a unitary matrix $R_{0}$ such that

$$
\binom{a u}{w}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0  \tag{3.5}\\
0 & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right) R_{0}
$$

Substituting (3.5) into (3.4), we get

$$
R_{0}\left(I+H \bar{w}^{\prime} a u+H(\overline{a u})^{\prime} w\right) R_{0}^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I^{(\nu)} & & \\
K & I^{(\nu)} & \\
& & I^{(n-2 \nu)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & a & \\
\bar{a} & 0 & \\
& & 0^{(\nu-2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Lemma 3.5 is proved.
Remark 3.2. In Lemma 3.5, it is proved that there exists a unitary matrix $R_{0}$ such that $R_{0}(I+$ $\left.+H \bar{w}^{\prime} a u+H(\overline{a u})^{\prime} w\right) R_{0}^{-1}$ has the form (3.3). We remark that the matrix $R_{0}$ here is independent on the choice of $a$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f_{\nu+1}=X_{\nu+1} X_{2}^{q-1}-X_{\nu+1}^{q}, f_{\nu+2}=\sum h_{i j} X_{i} X_{j}^{q^{3}}$ and $f_{i}=X_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq \nu+1, \nu+2$. Let $g_{i}=R_{0}^{-1} f_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have that $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{T_{u, w}}=$ $=F_{q}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$.

Proof. Similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1.
In the following, let us pay attention to the discussion of the long root subgroups and the short root subgroups of the finite orthogonal groups.

Lemma 3.6 [3]. Any orthogonal 2-transvection is orthogonal similar to the following normal form:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I^{(\nu)} & &  \tag{3.6}\\
K & I^{(\nu)} & \\
& & I^{(n-2 \nu)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & \\
-1 & 0 & \\
& & 0^{(\nu-2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Lemma 3.7. The element $I+S w^{\prime} a u-S a u^{\prime}(w+Q(w) a u)$ of the short root subgroup $\widehat{Y}_{u, w}$ is orthogonal similar to the normal form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
K_{2} & & &  \tag{3.7}\\
& I^{(\nu-2)} & & \\
K_{1} & & K_{3} & \\
& & & I^{(n-\nu-2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
K_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -a Q(w) \\
-a Q(w) & -a^{2} Q(w)
\end{array}\right), \quad K_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & a \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad K_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
-a & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Proof. We prove the normal form of $I+S w^{\prime} a u-S a u^{\prime}(w+Q(w) a u)$ through considering the normal form of its transpose matrix. Note that $I+a u^{\prime} w S-\left(a w^{\prime}+a^{2} Q(w) u^{\prime}\right) u S$ can be written as

$$
I+\left(w^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -a \\
a & -a^{2} Q(w)
\end{array}\right)\binom{w}{u} S .
$$

Let

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
Q(w) & 0 & 0^{(1, \nu-2)} & 1 & 0^{(1, \nu-1)} & 0^{(n-2 \nu)} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then we have

$$
T S T^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 Q(w) & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Moreover,

$$
\binom{w}{u} S\binom{w}{u}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2 Q(w) & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

from the assumption that $u S u^{\prime}=u S w^{\prime}=0$ and $w S w^{\prime}=2 Q(w)$. So by Remark 3.1 there exists an orthogonal matrix $R_{2}$ such that

$$
\binom{w}{u}=T R_{2} .
$$

Consequently, we know that

$$
R_{2}^{\prime-1}\left[I+\left(w^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -a  \tag{3.8}\\
a & -a^{2} Q(w)
\end{array}\right)\binom{w}{u} S\right] R_{2}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
K_{2}^{\prime} & & K_{1}^{\prime} & \\
& I^{(\nu-2)} & & \\
& & K_{3}^{\prime} & \\
& & & I^{(n-\nu-2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
K_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -a Q(w) \\
-a Q(w) & -a^{2} Q(w)
\end{array}\right), \quad K_{2}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
a & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad K_{3}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & -a \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Taking the transpose of both sides of equality (3.8), then we have that $I+S w^{\prime} a u-S a u^{\prime}(w+Q(w) a u)$ is orthogonal similar to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
K_{2} & & & \\
& I^{(\nu-2)} & & \\
K_{1} & & K_{3} & \\
& & & I^{(n-\nu-2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

as desired.
Lemma 3.7 is proved.
By Lemma 3.6, there exists an orthogonal matrix $R_{1}$ such that

$$
R_{1}^{-1}\left(I+S w^{\prime} a u-S a u^{\prime} w\right) R_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
I^{(\nu)} & & \\
K & I^{(\nu)} & \\
& & I^{(n-2 \nu)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
K=\left(\begin{array}{llc}
0 & a & \\
-a & 0 & \\
& & 0^{(\nu-2)}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Using similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get the following theorem. The details are omitted.

Theorem 3.3. (1) Let $f_{\nu+1}=X_{\nu+1} X_{2}^{q-1}-X_{\nu+1}^{q}, f_{\nu+2}=\sum s_{i j} X_{i} X_{j}^{q}$ and $f_{i}=X_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq \nu+1, \nu+2$. Assume that $g_{i}=R_{1} f_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{Y_{u, w}}=F_{q}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$.
(2) Let $f_{1}=X_{1} X_{2}^{q-1}-X_{1}^{q}, f_{\nu+1}=X_{\nu+1} X_{2}^{q-1}-X_{\nu+1}^{q}, f_{\nu+2}=\sum s_{i j} X_{i} X_{j}^{q}$ and $f_{i}=X_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n-1, i \neq 1, \nu+1, \nu+2$. Assume that $g_{i}=R_{2}^{-1} f_{i}$ with $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then we have $F_{q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)^{\widehat{Y}_{u, w}}=F_{q}\left(g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right)$.

Remark 3.3. Note that the techniques we use can be applied to the case of the root subgroups of finite sympletic groups.
4. Invariant rings of root subgroups of the special linear groups over finite fields and Poincaré series. In the section, we show that the invariant rings of the root subgroups $X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P}$ of the special linear groups over finite fields are polynomial rings, and we give the Poincaré series of the invariant rings $F_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]^{X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P}}$.

Here is an algorithm to check if the invariant ring is a polynomial ring.
Lemma 4.1 [9]. Let I be the invariant ring of a finite group $G \leq G L(n, K)$ over an arbitrary field $K$ and $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in I$ be homogeneous invariants of degrees $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{n}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $I=K\left[f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right]$;
(2) $f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}$ are algebraically independent over $K$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}=|G|$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ and $\tilde{g}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{g}_{n}$ be as in Theorem 2.1 and in Remark 2.1. Then $F_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]^{X_{i_{0} j 0}, P}=F_{q}\left[g_{1}, g_{2}, \ldots, g_{n}\right]=F_{q}\left[\tilde{g}_{1}, \tilde{g}_{2}, \ldots, \tilde{g}_{n}\right]$.

Proof. This assertion follows form Lemma 4.1 and the fact

$$
\left|X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P}\right|=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{deg}\left(g_{i}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{deg}\left(\tilde{g}_{i}\right)=q
$$

Theorem 4.1 is proved.
Let $M=K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ be a polynomial ring over an arbitrary field with $\operatorname{deg}\left(x_{i}\right)=k_{i}$. Then the Poincaré series of $M$ is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1-t^{k_{i}}}$ (see [13], Ch. 16.1, Ch. 7.1). From this assertion and Theorem 4.1, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. The Poincaré series of the invariant ring $F_{q}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]^{X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P}}$ of the root subgroup $X_{i_{0} j_{0}, P}$ is equal to

$$
\frac{1}{(1-t)^{n-1}\left(1-t^{q}\right)} .
$$
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