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#### Abstract

We study the problem of uniqueness of meromorphic functions concerning differential polynomials, and obtain some results. The results improve earlier results by Li [J. Sichuan Univ. (Natural Science Edition). - 2008. - 45. - P. 21-24] and Dyavanal [J. Math. Anal. and Appl. - 2011. - 374. - P. 335-345].

Вивчається проблема єдиності мероморфних функцій щодо диференціальних поліномів, отримано деякі результати. Ці результати покращують результати, що отримані раніше в роботах Лі [J. Sichuan Univ. (Natural Science Edition). 2008. - 45. - P. 21 -24] та Д’яванала [J. Math. Anal. and Appl. - 2011. - 374. - P. 335-345].


1. Introduction and results. Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function defined in the whole complex plane. It is assumed that the reader is familiar with the notations of the Nevanlinna theory such as $T(r, f), m(r, f), N(r, f), S(r, f)$ and so on, and these can be found, for instance in [5, 7].

Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If for $a \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}, f-a$ and $g-a$ have the same set of zeros with the same multiplicities we say that $f$ and $g$ share the value $a$ CM (counting multiplicities), and if we do not consider the multiplicities then $f$ and $g$ are said to share the value $a$ IM (ignoring multiplicities). When $f$ and $g$ share the value 1 IM, Let $z_{0}$ be a 1-points of $f$ of order $p$, a 1-points of $g$ of order $q$, we denote by $N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)$ the counting function of those 1-points of $f$ and $g$ where $p=q=1$ and $\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-1}\right)$ is the counting function of those 1-points of both $f$ and $g$ where $p>q$. In the same way, we can define $N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)$ and $\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g-1}\right)$. For any constant $a$, we define

$$
\Theta(a, f)=1-\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)}
$$

Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function. Let $a$ be a finite complex number, and $k$ be a positive integer, we denote by $N_{k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\bar{N}_{k)}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)\right)$ the counting function for zeros of $f-a$ with multiplicity $\leq k$ (ignoring multiplicities), and by $N_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)\left(\right.$ or $\left.\bar{N}_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)\right)$ the counting function for zeros of $f-a$ with multiplicity at least $k$ (ignoring multiplicities). Set

$$
N_{k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)+\bar{N}_{(2}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)+\ldots+\bar{N}_{(k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)
$$

We further define

[^0]$$
\delta_{k}(a, f)=1-\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)}{T(r, f)} .
$$

Hayman [2] and Clunie [1] proved the following result.
Theorem A. Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental entire function, $n \geq 1$ be a positive integer, then $f^{n} f^{\prime}=1$ has infinitely many solutions.

In 1997, Yang and Hua [6] obtained a unicity theorem corresponding to the above result and proved the following result.

Theorem B. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions, $n \geq 6$ be a positive integer. If $f^{n} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} g^{\prime}$ share $1 C M$, then either $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n+1}=1$, or $f(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}, g(z)=c_{1} e^{c z}$, where $c, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are three constants satisffing $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=-1$.

Theorem C. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, $n \geq 11$ be a positive integer. If $f^{n} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} g^{\prime}$ share $1 C M$, then either $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n+1}=1$, or $f(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}, g(z)=c_{1} e^{c z}$, where $c, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are three constants satisfying $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=-1$.

Recently, R. S. Dyavanal [4] improve above results and obtain the following results.
Theorem D. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities at least $s$, where $s$ is a positive integer. Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer satisfying $(n+1) s \geq 12$. If $f^{n} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} g^{\prime}$ share $1 C M$, then either $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n+1}=1$, or $f(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}, g(z)=c_{1} e^{c z}$, where $c, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are three constants satisfying $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=-1$.

Theorem E. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions, whose zeros are of multiplicities at least $s$, where $s$ is a positive integer. Let $n$ be a positive integer satisfying $(n+1) s \geq$ $\geq 7$. If $f^{n} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} g^{\prime}$ share $1 C M$, then either $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n+1}=1$, or $f(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}, g(z)=c_{1} e^{c z}$, where $c, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are three constants satisfying $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=-1$.

Remark 1.1. If $s=1$ in Theorem D and Theorem E, respectively, then Theorem D and Theorem E reduces to Theorem B and Theorem C, respectively.

Naturally, one can pose the following question: what can be stated if CM is replaced with IM in the above results.

In 2008, Li [3] prove the following result.
Theorem F. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, $n \geq 23$ be a positive integer. If $f^{n} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} g^{\prime}$ share $1 I M$, then either $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n+1}=1$, or $f(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}, g(z)=c_{1} e^{c z}$, where $c, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are three constants satisfying $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=-1$.

In this paper, we shall generalize and improve the above the results and obtain the following two theorems.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two nonconstant meromorphic functions, whose zeros and poles are of multiplicities at least $s$, where $s$ is a positive integer. Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer satisfying $(n+1) s \geq 24$. If $f^{n} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} g^{\prime}$ share $1 I M$, then either $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n+1}=1$, or $f(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}, g(z)=c_{1} e^{c z}$, where $c, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are three constants satisfying $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=-1$.

Remark 1.2. If $s=1$ in Theorem 1.1, then Theorem 1.1 improves Theorem F.
Remark 1.3. Giving specific values for $s$ in Theorem 1.1, we can get the following interesting cases:
(i) if $s=1$, then $n \geq 23$,
(ii) if $s=2$, then $n \geq 11$,
(iii) if $s=3$, then $n \geq 7$,
(iv) if $s \geq 4$, then $n \geq 5$.

We can conclude that $f$ and $g$ have zeros and poles of higher order multiplicity, then we can reduce the value of $n$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions, whose zeros are of multiplicities at least $s$, where $s$ is a positive integer. Let $n$ be a positive integer satisfying $(n+1) s \geq$ $\geq 13$. If $f^{n} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} g^{\prime}$ share $1 I M$, then either $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n+1}=1$, or $f(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}, g(z)=c_{1} e^{c z}$, where $c, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are three constants satisfying $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=-1$.

If $s=1$ in Theorem 1.2, then Theorem 1.2 reduces to the following result.
Corollary 1.1. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two transcendental entire functions, and let $n$ be a positive integer satisfying $n \geq 12$. If $f^{n} f^{\prime}$ and $g^{n} g^{\prime}$ share $1 I M$, then either $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n+1}=1$, or $f(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}, g(z)=c_{1} e^{c z}$, where $c, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are three constants satisfying $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=-1$.
2. Some lemmas. For the proof of our result, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (see [2]). Let $f$ be nonconstant meromorphic function, $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ be finite complex numbers such that $a_{n} \neq 0$.Then

$$
T\left(r, a_{n} f^{n}+a_{n-1} f^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{0}\right)=n T(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

Lemma 2.2 (see [2]). Let $f(z)$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, $k$ be a positive integer, and let c be a nonzero finite complex number. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-c}\right)-N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, f) \leq \\
& \quad \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-c}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, f) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)$ is the counting function which only counts those points such that $f^{(k+1)}=0$ but $f\left(f^{(k)}-c\right) \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.3 (see [3]). Let $f(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function, and let $a_{1}(z), a_{2}(z)$ be two meromorphic functions such that $T\left(r, a_{i}\right)=S(r, f), i=1,2$. Then

$$
T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a_{1}}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a_{2}}\right)+S(r, f)
$$

Lemma 2.4 (see [8]). Let $f$ be a nonconstant meromorphic function, $k, p$ be two positve integers, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{p}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq N_{p+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \leq \\
& \quad \leq(p+k) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)=N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)$.
Lemma 2.5. Let $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ be two meromorphic functions, and let $k$ be a positive integer. If $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share the value 1 IM and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta=(2 k+3) \Theta(\infty, f)+(2 k+4) \Theta(\infty, g)+(k+2) \Theta(0, f)+(2 k+3) \Theta(0, g)+ \\
+\delta_{k+1}(0, f)+\delta_{k+1}(0, g)>7 k+13, \tag{2.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

then either $f^{(k)} g^{(k)} \equiv 1$ or $f \equiv g$.
Proof. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(z)=\frac{f^{(k+2)}(z)}{f^{(k+1)}(z)}-2 \frac{f^{(k+1)}(z)}{f^{(k)}(z)-1}-\frac{g^{(k+2)}(z)}{g^{(k+1)}(z)}+2 \frac{g^{(k+1)}(z)}{g^{(k)}(z)-1} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $z_{0}$ is a common simple 1 -point of $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$, substituting their Taylor series at $z_{0}$ into (2.2), we see that $z_{0}$ is a zero of $h(z)$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)= & N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{h}\right) \leq T(r, h)+O(1) \leq \\
& \leq N(r, h)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By our assumptions, $h(z)$ have poles only at zeros of $f^{(k+1)}$ and $g^{(k+1)}$ and poles of $f$ and $g$, and those 1-points of $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ whose multiplicities are distinct from the multiplicities of corresponding 1-points of $g^{(k)}$ and $f^{(k)}$ respectively. Thus, we deduce from (2.2) that

$$
\begin{align*}
N(r, h) & \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}(r, g)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)+ \\
& +N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

here $N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)$ has the same meaning as in Lemma 2.2.
By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& T(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-c}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, f)  \tag{2.5}\\
& T(r, g) \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-c}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, g) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ share the value 1 IM , we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq \\
\leq N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right)+N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\leq N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right)+T\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)+O(1) \leq \\
\leq N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right)+m(r, f)+ \\
+m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f}\right)+N(r, f)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \leq \\
\leq N_{11}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)+\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right)+T(r, f)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) . \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Noting that, by Lemma 2.4, we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)=N_{1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq N_{1+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \leq \\
\leq(k+1) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f) \\
\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right)-\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq N\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) \leq  \tag{2.8}\\
\leq N\left(r, \frac{f^{(k+1)}}{f^{(k)}}\right)+S(r, f) \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right)+S(r, f)
\end{gather*}
$$

So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq(k+1) \bar{N}(r, f)+(k+1) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}_{L}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right) \leq(k+1) \bar{N}(r, g)+(k+1) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, g) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain from (2.3) - (2.10) that

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(r, g) \leq(2 k+3) \bar{N}(r, f)+(2 k+4) \bar{N}(r, g)+(k+2) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+ \\
+(2 k+3) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g)
\end{gathered}
$$

Without loss of generality, we suppose that there exists a set $I$ with infinite measure such that $T(r, f) \leq T(r, g)$ for $r \in I$. Hence

$$
T(r, g) \leq\{[(7 k+14)-(2 k+3) \Theta(\infty, f)-(2 k+4) \Theta(\infty, g)-
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.-(k+2) \Theta(0, f)-(2 k+3) \Theta(0, g)-\delta_{k+1}(0, f)-\delta_{k+1}(0, g)\right]+\varepsilon\right\} T(r, g)+S(r, g) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r \in I$ and $0<\varepsilon<\Delta-(7 k+13)$. Thus, we obtain from (2.1) and (2.11) that $T(r, g) \leq S(r, g)$ for $r \in I$, a contradiction.

Hence, we get $h(z) \equiv 0$; that is

$$
\frac{f^{(k+2)}(z)}{f^{(k+1)}(z)}-2 \frac{f^{(k+1)}(z)}{f^{(k)}(z)-1}=\frac{g^{(k+2)}(z)}{g^{(k+1)}(z)}-2 \frac{g^{(k+1)}(z)}{g^{(k)}(z)-1} .
$$

By solving this equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}=\frac{b g^{(k)}+a-b}{g^{(k)}-1} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $a, b$ are two constants. Next, we consider three cases.
Case 1. $b \neq 0$ and $a=b$.
Subcase 1.1. $b=-1$. Then we deduce from (2.12) that $f^{(k)}(z) g^{(k)}(z) \equiv 1$.
Subcase 1.2. $b \neq-1$. Then we get from (2.12) that

$$
\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}=\frac{b g^{(k)}}{(1+b) g^{(k)}-1}
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-\frac{1}{1+b}}\right) \leq \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.13) and (2.8), we get

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-\frac{1}{1+b}}\right) \leq(k+1) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(r, g) \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-\frac{1}{b+1}}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right) \leq \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+k \bar{N}(r, f)+(k+1) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \leq \\
& \quad \leq(2 k+3) \bar{N}(r, f)+(2 k+4) \bar{N}(r, g)+(k+2) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+ \\
& \quad+(2 k+3) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is $T(r, g) \leq(7 k+14-\Delta) T(r, g)+S(r, g)$ for $r \in I$.
Thus, by (2.1), we obtain that $T(r, g) \leq S(r, g)$ for $r \in I$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq b$.
Subcase 2.1. $b=-1$. Then we obtain from (2.12) that

$$
f^{(k)}=\frac{a}{-g^{(k)}+a+1}
$$

Therefore

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{a}{-g^{(k)}+a+1}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, f^{(k)}\right)=\bar{N}(r, f)
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(r, g) \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-(a+1)}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, g) \leq \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \leq \\
& \leq(2 k+3) \bar{N}(r, f)+(2 k+4) \bar{N}(r, g)+(k+2) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+ \\
&+(2 k+3) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the argument as in case 1 , we get a contradiction.
Subcase 2.2. $b \neq-1$. Then we get from (2.12) that

$$
f^{(k)}-\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\right)=\frac{-a}{b^{2}\left(g^{(k)}+\frac{a-b}{b}\right)}
$$

Therefore

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}+\frac{a-b}{b}}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, f^{(k)}-\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\right)\right)=\bar{N}(r, f)
$$

By Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
T(r, g) \leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}+\frac{a-b}{b}}\right)-N_{0}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k+1)}}\right)+S(r, g) \leq \\
\leq \bar{N}(r, g)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+\bar{N}(r, f)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) \leq \\
\leq(2 k+3) \bar{N}(r, f)+(2 k+4) \bar{N}(r, g)+(k+2) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+ \\
+(2 k+3) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, f)+S(r, g) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Using the argument as in case 1 , we get a contradiction.

Case 3. $b=0$. From (2.12), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{1}{a} g+P(z), \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(z)$ is a polynomial. If $P(z) \neq 0$, then by Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T(r, f) & \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f-P}\right)+S(r, f) \leq \\
& \leq \bar{N}(r, f)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right)+\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g}\right)+S(r, f) \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.14), we obtain $T(r, f)=T(r, g)+S(r, f)$. Hence, substituting this into (15), we get

$$
T(r, f) \leq\{3-[\Theta(\infty, f)+\Theta(0, f)+\Theta(0, g)]+\varepsilon\} T(r, f)+S(r, f)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
0< & \varepsilon<1-\delta_{k+1}(0, f)+1-\delta_{k+1}(0, g)+(2 k+2)[1-\Theta(\infty, f)]+ \\
& +(2 k+4)[1-\Theta(\infty, g)]+[1-\Theta(0, f)]+2[1-\Theta(0, g)] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $T(r, f) \leq[7 k+14-\Delta] T(r, f)+S(r, f)$.
That is $[\Delta-(7 k+13)] T(r, f)<S(r, f)$.
Hence, by (2.1), we deduce that $T(r, f) \leq S(r, f)$ for $r \in I$, a contradiction.
Therefore, we deduce that $P(z) \equiv 0$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=\frac{1}{a} g . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a \neq 1$, then $f^{(k)}$ and $g^{(k)}$ sharing the value 1 IM , we deduce from (2.16) that $g^{(k)} \neq 1$. That is $\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^{(k)}-1}\right)=0$.

Next, we can deduce a contradiction as in case 1 . Thus, we get that $a=1$, that is $f \equiv g$.
Lemma 2.5 is proved.
Lemma 2.6 (see [9]). Let $f$ and $g$ be two nonconstant entire functions, $n \geq 1$. If $f^{n} f^{\prime} g^{n} g^{\prime}=1$, then $f(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}, g(z)=c_{1} e^{c z}$, where $c, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are three constants satisfying $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=$ $=-1$.
3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $F=\frac{f^{n+1}}{n+1}$ and $G=\frac{g^{n+1}}{n+1}$. Then $F^{\prime}=f^{n} f^{\prime}$ and $G^{\prime}=g^{n} g^{\prime}$ share the value 1 IM.

Consider

$$
\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)=\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{n+1}}\right) \leq \frac{1}{s(n+1)} N\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right) \leq \frac{1}{s(n+1)}\left[T\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)+O(1)\right] .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Theta(0, F)=1-\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)}{T(r, F)} \geq 1-\frac{1}{s(n+1)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{k+1}(0, F)=1-\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N_{k+1}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)}{T(r, F)} \geq 1-\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(k+1) \bar{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F}\right)}{T(r, F)} \geq 1-\frac{k+1}{s(n+1)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Theta(0, G) \geq 1-\frac{1}{s(n+1)},  \tag{3.3}\\
\Theta(\infty, F) \geq 1-\frac{1}{s(n+1)},  \tag{3.4}\\
\Theta(\infty, G) \geq 1-\frac{1}{s(n+1)},  \tag{3.5}\\
\delta_{k+1}(0, G) \geq 1-\frac{k+1}{s(n+1)} \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (3.1)-(3.6), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta=(2 k+3) \Theta(\infty, f)+(2 k+4) \Theta(\infty, g)+(k+2) \Theta(0, f)+(2 k+3) \Theta(0, g)+ \\
+\delta_{k+1}(0, f)+\delta_{k+1}(0, g) \geq 7 k+14-\frac{9 k+14}{s(n+1)} . \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since $s(n+1) \geq 24$, for $k=1$, we obtain $\Delta>20$ from (3.7). Hence by Lemma 2.5, we get either $F^{\prime} G^{\prime} \equiv 1$ or $F \equiv G$.

Consider the case $F^{\prime} G^{\prime} \equiv 1$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{n} f^{\prime} g^{n} g^{\prime} \equiv 1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $f$ has a pole $z_{0}$ (with order $p \geq s$ say). Then $z_{0}$ is a zero of $g$ (with order $m \geq s$ say). By (3.8), we get

$$
n m+m-1=n p+p+1 .
$$

That is, $(m-p)(n+1)=2$, which is impossible since $n \geq 2$ and $m, p$ are positive integers. Therefore, we conclude that $f$ and $g$ are entire functions. From Lemma 2.6, we get $f(z)=c_{2} e^{-c z}$, $g(z)=c_{1} e^{c z}$, where $c, c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are three constants satisfying $\left(c_{1} c_{2}\right)^{n+1} c^{2}=-1$.

Next we consider another case $F \equiv G$. This gives $f^{n+1}=g^{n+1}$. So $f=t g$ for a constant $t$ such that $t^{n+1}=1$.

Theorem 1.1 is proved.
4. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $f$ and $g$ are entire functions, we have $N(r, f)=N(r, g)=0$. Proceeding as in the proof Theorem 1.1 and applying Lemma 2.5 we shall obtain that Theorem 1.2 holds.

## 5. One open question.

Question 1. Can the condition $(n+1) s \geq 24$ in Theorem 1.1 be further relaxed?
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