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Let $\alpha$ be a slowly increasing function and $\varphi$ be the characteristic function of probability law $F$ that is analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}=\{z:|z|<R\}, 0<R \leqslant+\infty$, $M(r, \varphi)=\max \{|\varphi(z)|:|z|=r\}$ and $W_{F}(x)=1-F(x)+F(-x), x \geqslant 0$. Conditions on $W_{F}$ and $\alpha$, under which $\alpha(\ln M(r, \varphi)) \geqslant(1+o(1)) \varrho \alpha(1 /(R-r))$ as $r \uparrow R$, are investigated.
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A non-decreasing, left-continuous function $F$ defined on $(-\infty,+\infty)$ is said [1, p. 10] to be a probability law if $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} F(x)=1$ and $\lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} F(x)=0$. Given real $z$, the function $\varphi(z)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{i z x} d F(x)$ is called [1, p. 12] the characteristic function of this law. If $\varphi$ has an analytic continuation on the disk $\mathbb{D}_{R}=\{z:|z|<R\}, 0<R \leqslant+\infty$, then we call $\varphi$ an analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}$ characteristic function of the law $F$. In the sequel, we always assume that $\mathbb{D}_{R}$ is the maximal disk of analyticity of $\varphi$. It is known [1, p. 37-38], that $\varphi$ is an analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}$ characteristic function of the law $F$ if and only if $W_{F}(x)=1-F(x)+F(-x)=$ $O\left(e^{-r x}\right)$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty$ for every $r \in[0, R)$. Hence it follows that $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{x} \ln \frac{1}{W_{F}(x)}=R$. If we put $M(r, \varphi)=\max \{|\varphi(z)|:|z|=r\}$ and $\mu(r, \varphi)=\sup \left\{W_{F}(x) e^{r x}: x \geqslant 0\right\}$ for $0 \leqslant r<R$, then [1, p. 54-55] $\mu(r, \varphi) \leqslant 2 M(r, \varphi)$. Therefore, the lower estimates for $\ln \mu(r, \varphi)$ imply the corresponding estimates for $\ln M(r, \varphi)$. Further, we assume that $\ln \mu(r, \varphi) \uparrow+\infty$ as $r \uparrow R$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{x \rightarrow+\infty} W_{F}(x) e^{R x}=+\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $L_{s i}$ we denote the class of positive, continuous functions $\alpha$, defined on $(-\infty,+\infty)$, such that $\alpha(x)=\alpha\left(x_{0}\right)$ for $x \leqslant x_{0}, \alpha(x) \uparrow+\infty$ and $\alpha(c x)=(1+o(1)) \alpha(x)$ as $x_{0} \leqslant x \uparrow+\infty$ for every $c \in(0,+\infty)$. In [2] the following statements are proved.

Proposition 1. Let $\alpha \in L_{s i}, \beta \in L_{s i}, \frac{d \ln \beta^{-1}(\alpha(x))}{d \ln x} \leqslant q<1$ for all $x$ large enough and $\alpha\left(\frac{x}{\beta^{-1}(\alpha(x))}\right)=(1+o(1)) \alpha(x)$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty$, and $\varphi$ be an analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}, 0<R<+\infty$, characteristic function of probability law $F$, for which $\beta\left(\frac{x_{k}}{\ln \left(W_{F}\left(x_{k}\right) e^{R x_{k}}\right)}\right) \leqslant \alpha\left(x_{k}\right)$ for some sequence of positive numbers $\left(x_{k}\right)$ increasing to $+\infty$ such that $\beta^{-1}\left(\alpha\left(x_{k+1}\right)\right)=$ $=O\left(\beta^{-1}\left(\alpha\left(x_{k}\right)\right)\right)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\ln \mu(r, \varphi)) \geqslant(1+o(1)) \beta(1 /(R-r)), \quad r \uparrow R . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2. Let $\alpha \in L_{s i}, \beta \in L_{s i}, \frac{d \ln \alpha^{-1}(\beta(x))}{d \ln x} \leqslant q<1$ for all $x$ large enough $\frac{d \alpha^{-1}(\beta(x))}{d x}=\frac{1}{f(x)} \downarrow 0$ and $\alpha^{-1}(\beta(f(x)))=O\left(\alpha^{-1}(\beta(x))\right)$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty$, and $\varphi$ be an analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}, 0<R<+\infty$, characteristic function of probability law $F$, for which $\alpha\left(\ln \left(W_{F}\left(x_{k}\right) e^{R x_{k}}\right)\right) \geqslant \beta\left(x_{k}\right)$ for some sequence of positive numbers $\left(x_{k}\right)$, increasing to $+\infty$, such that $\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(f\left(x_{k+1}\right) / f\left(x_{k}\right)\right)<2$. Then asymptotic inequality (2) holds.

The condition on $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in Proposition 1 assume that the function $\alpha$ increases slower than the function $\beta$. In Proposition 2, $\alpha$ increases quicker than $\beta$.

Here we consider the case when $\beta(x)=\varrho \alpha(x)$ for all $x \geqslant x_{0}$, where $0<\varrho<+\infty$, that is the functions $\beta$ and $\alpha$ have the same growth. We use a result from [2].

Let $\Omega(R)$ be a class of positive, unbounded functions $\Phi$, defined on $(0, R)$, such that the derivative $\Phi^{\prime}$ is positive continuously differentiable and increasing to $+\infty$ on $(0, R)$. For $\Phi \in \Omega(R)$ we denote by $\phi$ the function inverse to $\Phi^{\prime}$, and let $\Psi(r)=r-\frac{\Phi(r)}{\Phi^{\prime}(r)}$ be the function associated with $\Phi$ in the sense of Newton.

Lemma 1. Let $\Phi \in \Omega(R), 0<R<+\infty$, and $\varphi$ be an analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}$ characteristic function of a probability law $F$ for which (1) holds and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln W_{F}\left(x_{k}\right) \geqslant-x_{k} \Psi\left(\phi\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some sequence of positive numbers $\left(x_{k}\right)$ increasing to $+\infty$ such that $\phi\left(x_{k+1}\right)-\phi\left(x_{k}\right) \leqslant$ $h\left(x_{k+1}\right)$, where $h$ is a positive continuous and non-increasing function on $\left[x_{0},+\infty\right)$ and $R>\phi(x)-h(x) \rightarrow R$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \mu(r, f)) \geqslant \Phi\left(r-h\left(\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right)\right), \quad r_{0} \leqslant r<R \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using this lemma we prove the following theorem.
Theorem 1. Let $\alpha \in L_{s i}$ be a continuously differentiable function and $\varphi$ be an analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}$ characteristic function of a probability law $F$. Suppose that one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

1) $\varrho>1, \varlimsup_{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{d \ln \alpha^{-1}(x)}{d \ln \alpha^{-1}(\varrho x)}=q(\varrho)<1, \alpha\left(\frac{x}{\alpha(x)}\right)=(1+o(1)) \alpha(x)$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\frac{x_{k}}{\ln \left(W_{F}\left(x_{k}\right) e^{R x_{k}}\right)}\right) \leqslant \frac{\alpha\left(x_{k}\right)}{\varrho} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some sequence of positive numbers $\left(x_{k}\right)$ increasing to $+\infty$ such that $\alpha^{-1}\left(\alpha\left(x_{k+1}\right) / \varrho\right)=$ $=O\left(\alpha^{-1}\left(\alpha\left(x_{k}\right) / \varrho\right)\right)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$;
2) $0<\varrho<1, \varlimsup_{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{d \ln \alpha^{-1}(\varrho x)}{d \ln \alpha^{-1}(x)}=q(\varrho)<1, \frac{d \alpha^{-1}(\rho \alpha(x))}{d x}=\frac{1}{f(x)} \downarrow 0, \alpha^{-1}(\varrho \alpha(f(x)))=$ $=O\left(\alpha^{-1}(\varrho \alpha(x))\right)$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\ln \left(W_{F}\left(x_{k}\right) e^{R x_{k}}\right)\right) \geqslant \varrho \alpha\left(x_{k}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some sequence of positive numbers $\left(x_{k}\right)$ increasing to $+\infty$ such that $\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(x_{k+1}\right.}{f\left(x_{k}\right)}<2$.
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(\ln \mu(r, \varphi)) \geqslant(1+o(1)) \rho \alpha\left(\frac{1}{R-r}\right), \quad r \uparrow R . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. At first let $\varrho>1$. Then (5) implies the inequality $\ln W_{F}\left(x_{k}\right) \geqslant-R x_{k}+$ $\frac{x_{k}}{\alpha^{-1}\left(\alpha\left(x_{k}\right) / \varrho\right)}$. Since $\varlimsup_{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{d \ln \alpha^{-1}(x)}{d \ln \alpha^{-1}(\varrho x)}=q(\varrho)<1$, we have $\frac{d \ln \alpha^{-1}(\alpha(x) / \varrho)}{d \ln x} \leqslant$ $\leqslant(1+o(1)) q(\varrho)$ and $\frac{x}{\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(x) / \varrho)} \uparrow+\infty$ as $x_{0} \leqslant x \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore, using l'Hospital's rule we obtain

$$
\frac{x}{\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(x) / \varrho)} \geqslant(1+o(1))(1-q(\varrho)) \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{d t}{\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(t) / \varrho)}, \quad x \rightarrow+\infty
$$

and, thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln W_{F}\left(x_{k}\right) \geqslant-R x_{k}+\left(1-q_{1}\right) \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{k}} \frac{d t}{\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(t) / \varrho)} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $q_{1} \in(q(\varrho), 1)$ and all $k$ large enough. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(r)=\int_{r_{0}}^{r} \alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(\frac{1-q_{2}}{R-x}\right)\right) d x, \quad q_{1}<q_{2}<1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\Phi^{\prime}(r)=\alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(\frac{1-q_{2}}{R-x}\right)\right), \phi(x)=R-\frac{1-q_{2}}{\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(x) / \varrho)}$ and

$$
x \Psi(\phi(x))=\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \phi(t) d t+\text { const }=R x-\left(1-q_{2}\right) \int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{d t}{\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(t) / \varrho)}+\text { const },
$$

i. e. in view of (8) and of the inequality $q_{1}<q_{2}$ we obtain (3).

Since $\alpha^{-1}\left(\alpha\left(x_{k+1}\right) / \varrho\right) \leqslant K \alpha^{-1}\left(\alpha\left(x_{k}\right) / \varrho\right), K>1$, for all $k \geqslant k_{0}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{\alpha^{-1}\left(\alpha\left(x_{k}\right) / \varrho\right)}-\frac{1}{\alpha^{-1}\left(\alpha\left(x_{k+1}\right) / \varrho\right)} \leqslant \frac{K-1}{\alpha^{-1}\left(\alpha\left(x_{k+1}\right) / \varrho\right)}
$$

Therefore, putting $h(x)=\frac{(K-1)\left(1-q_{2}\right)}{\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(x) / \varrho)}$, we obtain $\phi(x)-h(x)=R-\frac{K\left(1-q_{2}\right)}{\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(x) / \varrho)} \rightarrow R$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty, h\left(\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right)=(K-1)(R-r)$ and $\phi\left(x_{k+1}\right)-\phi\left(x_{k}\right) \leqslant h\left(x_{k+1}\right)$ for $k \geqslant k_{0}$.

Finally, for every $\eta>0$ and all $r \in\left[r_{0}(\eta), R\right)$ from (9) it follows that

$$
\Phi(r)=\int_{r-\eta(R-r)}^{r} \alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(\frac{1-q_{2}}{R-x}\right)\right) d x \geqslant \eta(R-r) \alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(\frac{1-q_{2}}{(1+\eta)(R-r)}\right)\right) .
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 1

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ln \mu(r, \varphi) \geqslant \eta\left(R-r+h\left(\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right)\right) \alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(\frac{1-q_{2}}{(1+\eta)\left(R-r+h\left(\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right)\right)}\right)\right)= \\
=\eta K(R-r) \alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(\frac{1-q_{2}}{(1+\eta) K(R-r)}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

whence in view of the condition $\alpha(x / \alpha(x))=(1+o(1)) \alpha(x)$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha(\ln \mu(r, \varphi)) \geqslant \alpha\left(\eta K(R-r) \alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(\frac{1-q_{2}}{(1+\eta) K(R-r)}\right)\right)\right)= \\
=(1+o(1)) \alpha\left(\frac{\alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(\frac{1-q_{2}}{(1+\eta) K(R-r)}\right)\right)}{\frac{1-q_{2}}{(1+\eta) K(R-r)}}\right)= \\
=(1+o(1)) \varrho \alpha\left(\frac{1-q_{2}}{(1+\eta) K(R-r)}\right)=(1+o(1)) \varrho \alpha\left(\frac{1}{R-r}\right), r \uparrow R,
\end{gathered}
$$

thus we obtain (7).
Now let $0<\varrho<1$. If we put $x \Psi(\phi(x))=R x-\alpha^{-1}(\varrho \alpha(x))$ then (6) implies (3), $\phi(x)=(x \Psi(\phi(x)))^{\prime}=R-1 / f(x), \Phi^{\prime}(r)=f^{-1}(1 /(R-r))$ and since $\frac{d \ln \alpha^{-1}(\rho \alpha(x))}{d \ln x} \leqslant$ $q(\varrho)(1+o(1))$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi(r)=\int_{r_{0}}^{r} f^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{R-x}\right) d x=\int_{f^{-1}\left(1 /\left(R-r_{0}\right)\right)}^{f^{-1}(1 /(R-r))} t d\left(\frac{-1}{f(t)}\right)= \\
=-(R-r) f^{-1}(1 /(R-r))+\alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(f^{-1}(1 /(R-r))\right)\right)+\mathrm{const}= \\
=\alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(f^{-1}(1 /(R-r))\right)\right)\left\{1-\frac{(R-r) f^{-1}(1 /(R-r))}{\alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(f^{-1}(1 /(R-r))\right)\right)}\right\}+\mathrm{const} \geqslant \\
\geqslant(1-q) \alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(f^{-1}(1 /(R-r))\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

for every $q \in(q(\varrho), 1)$ and all $r \in\left[r_{0}(q), R\right)$. But the condition $\alpha^{-1}(\varrho \alpha(f(x)))=$ $O\left(\alpha^{-1}(\varrho \alpha(x))\right)$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty$ implies that $\alpha^{-1}(\varrho \alpha(1 /(R-r))) \leqslant K \alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(f^{-1}(1 /(R-\right.\right.$ $r))$ ),$K=$ const $>0$. Therefore, $\Phi(r) \geqslant K_{1} \alpha^{-1}(\varrho \alpha(1 /(R-r))), K_{1}=$ const $>0$, and if we put $h(x)=a(R-\phi(x)), 0<a<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi\left(r-h\left(\Phi^{\prime}(r)\right) \geqslant K_{1} \alpha^{-1}\left(\varrho \alpha\left(\frac{1}{(1+a)(R-r)}\right)\right) .\right. \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that, in view of the relation $\phi(x)=R-1 / f(x)$, the condition $\phi\left(x_{k+1}\right)-\phi\left(x_{k}\right) \leqslant$ $h\left(x_{k+1}\right)$ is equivalent to the condition $f\left(x_{k+1}\right) \leqslant(1+a) f\left(x_{k}\right)$ and the last one follows from the condition $\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f\left(x_{k+1}\right.}{f\left(x_{k}\right)}<2$. Therefore, by Lemma 1 we see that (4) and (10) implies (7). The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Since $\ln M(r, \varphi) \geqslant \ln \mu(r, \varphi)-\ln 2$, choosing $\alpha(x)=\ln x$ from Theorem 1 we obtain the following assertion.

Corollary 1. Let $\varphi$ be an analytic in $\mathbb{D}_{R}$ characteristic function of a probability law $F$. Suppose that one of the following conditions is fulfilled:

1) $\varrho>1$ and $\ln \left(W_{F}\left(x_{k}\right) e^{R x_{k}}\right) \geqslant x_{k}^{(\varrho-1) / \varrho}$ for some sequence of positive numbers $\left(x_{k}\right)$ increasing to $+\infty$ such that $x_{k+1}=O\left(x_{k}\right)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$;
2) $0<\varrho<1$ and $\ln \left(W_{F}\left(x_{k}\right) e^{R x_{k}}\right) \geqslant x^{\varrho}$ for some sequence of positive numbers $\left(x_{k}\right)$ increasing to $+\infty$ such that $\varlimsup_{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{x_{k+1}}{x_{k}}\right)^{1-\varrho}<2$.

Then $\ln \ln M(r, \varphi)) \geqslant(1+o(1)) \varrho \ln (1 /(R-r))$ as $r \uparrow R$.
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Нехай $\alpha$ - повільно зростаюча функція, а $\varphi$ - аналітична в $\mathbb{D}_{R}=\{z$ : $|z|<R\}, 0<R \leqslant+\infty$, характеристична функція ймовірнісного закону $\mathrm{F}, M(r, \varphi)=\max \{|\varphi(z)|:|z|=r<R\}$ i $W_{F}(x)=1-F(x)+F(-x), x \geqslant$ 0 . Досліджено умови на функції $W_{F}$ і $\alpha$, за яких правильна нерівність $\alpha(\ln M(r, \varphi)) \geqslant(1+o(1)) \varrho \alpha(1 /(R-r)), r \uparrow R$.
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