Вісник Одеського національного морського університету $N\!\!_{2}$ 4 (46), 2015

УДК 629.5

G.V. Egorov, N.V. Avtutov

BASED ON MARKET DEMAND LINE-UP OF RIVER-SEA DRY CARGO-VESSELS

Анализ рынка перевозок речных сухих грузов и существующего флота позволил построить параметрическую линейку сухогрузных судов смешанного река-море и морского ограниченного района плавания, которые были объективно востребованы отечественными судовладельцами и стали основой для разработанных и новых проектов Морского Инженерного Бюро.

Ключевые слова: проектирование, параметрическая линейка, суда внутреннего плавания, суда смешанного река-море плавания.

Аналіз ринку перевезень річкових сухих вантажів і існуючого флоту дозволив побудувати параметричну лінійку суховантажних суден змішаного ріка-море й морського обмеженого району плавання, які були об'єктивно затребувані вітчизняними судновласниками й стали основою для розроблених і нових проектів Морського Інженерного Бюро.

Ключові слова: проектування, параметрична лінійка, судна внутрішнього плавання, судна змішаного ріка-море плавання.

The analysis of dry-cargo river market and existing fleet allowed building a parametrical line-up of river-sea and marine dry-cargo vessels of restricted sailing region which were objectively demanded by domestic shipowners and became the basis of Marine Engineering Bureau developed and new projects.

Keyword: design, a parametrical line, vessels of internal navigation, mixed river-sea navigation vessels.

Problem statement. As it was noted in [1; 2], the Marine Engineering Bureau has solved earlier problem of justification the parametrical line of the modern multi-purpose dry cargo vessels taking into account the essential changes of economic conditions and way restrictions. These vessels should be interesting to the post-Soviet shipping companies operating at domestic ports and, respectively, to Russian shipbuilding. Those today such vessels are mixed river-sea vessels (RSV) and similar (due to cargo loading) vessels for work in European shore regions (European «coaster»), and also vessels of sea restricted navigation regions (RSNAV). During the time which has passed from the date of the first publication [3] about domestic dry cargo vessels of the XXI century, the number of the projects which are under construction has been increased practically twice while keeping the main classes. Up to July 2011, 70 new dry cargo vessels constructed due to the Marine Engineering Bureau pro-

[©] Egorov G.V., Avtutov N.V., 2015

Вісник

Одеського національного морського університету № 4 (46), 2015

jects since 2004, have been in the operation. «Volga-Don Max» type was the most demanded for design and construction. These vessels maximally correspond to dimensions of locks of the Volga-Don Navigation Canal; they have the increased range of opportunities from the RS R1 class till the RRR O-PR class. The number of the vessels intended for voyages in the conditions of the European cabotage without calling to RF inland waterways has considerably increased.

Aim of the paper is to specify parametrical line of the modern multipurpose dry cargo vessels which are of interest for the post-Soviet shipping companies that operate at domestic ports and, respectively, for Russian shipbuilding. According to the increased cargo transportation from river and estuary ports, at the beginning of the century the task of creation of new universal dry-cargo ships and tankers with reliable hulls and equipment which would be economically effective at linear work on internal waterways of Russia and at the limited draughts in the Azov and Caspian seas has appeared [4]. Besides it, vessels should operate all-the-year-round with the minimal losses of running time in coastal areas of Europe, including transitions through Bay of Biscay during the winter period. Further on, during the process of these vessels construction, the additional aims on coverage of other segments of the market, on specialization, on accounting of local conditions have appeared from domestic customers.

Main text. Generally, accepting of main characteristics of RSNAV is completely defined by way restrictions, strategy of the future shipowner, his position in the market, loyalty to those or other directions of transportations and types of cargoes.

Despite outward subjectivity, such choice should be realized from discrete number of alternatives which quite objectively have arisen in the Russian transportation market as result of the compromise at a choice of waterways limitations and consignments (2000 t, 3000 t and their variations in 4000 t, 5000 t, 6000 t ± 10 % according to uniform charters on transportations).

It is necessary to notice that the most «popular» cargo capacity of a ship (3000 t) corresponds to historically usual consignment on the Russian market which equal weight of the cargo transported by one train at 50-52 wagons. This circumstance allows to transport mass cargoes from river harbors of Russia to ports of Europe and Mediterranean Sea without intermediate storage in stores of a port and, accordingly, without additional flow rates on an overload and storage.

The analysis of such alternatives has allowed to make a parametric line of dry-cargo ships of the river-sea and marine restricted navigation areas objectively claimed by Russian shipowners and to make projects of new vessels on its basis in MEB.

The renewed version of such parametric line is shown in the table 1.

Main types of dry-cargo RNAV, designed by Marine Engineering Bureau

Register Class		INT BUILDI		TVI II ZOTI @ JVN	O'LLOY EN PAT SINN	INTERIOR	KAL & LUZ III A1	KAN & LUZ [1] IISP A1	SV dSII [1] IDT & IVM	STATE	STATION	STATEM TO	DO-PR 2,0 (ice 20) A		KM & LUSTAL	KAT IN TAS	KAC LUZIAL		VSE 45TV	EV dS II E ZOT # PON	SECTION	+100AS "G" "E1" MC AUT
Capacity of curgo holds. m3	8	10929		10956	10920	11408	11401	10870	11000	9761	9780	9843	7090	.00	8790	9450	9370	"/ "Sormovday"	4973	7833	6388	0009
Deadweight at draught 360 m t (in river)	Cargo capacity approximately 7000 t in Taganrog "Azov mar" clean	5008	22	4343	4518	4535	3961	4696	4778	1584	510H	4830	5543	Cargo capacity approximately 5000 t at draughts 4,2.4,5 m, "Azov 5000"	3834	3930	3530	Cargo capacity approximately 3000 t at draught 3,6 m, in substitution "Volgo-Balts"	3116	3340	3758	2690
Deadweight at characteristically draught. t	mately 7000 tim Tag	7155 (4.40 m)	Volgo-Don max" class	(m 09°E) ETET	(m 09°E) 815F	(1000) 5837	(m 057) 5909	1696 (3.60 国)	4778 (3.60 四)	官(9/5) 1587	(m 09°E) #015	4830 (3.60 皿)	100000000	tely 5000 t at drang	S129 (4.20 m)	S185 (4.20 m)	官057)0905	draught 3,6 m, in su	3116 (3.60 m)	3340 (3.60 m)	(m 09°E) 857E	2690 (3.60 m)
Deadmeight at menimum draught. t	capacity approxim	8048 (4.77 m)	in .	(m 09°T) 05'U	(年0亿分) 15亿	6933 (4.60 m)	(1162 (5.00 m)	(面 09寸) 160亿	7215 (4.60 m)	6135 (4.11 m)	(m 56'g) (b'09	6081 (4.10 m)	5562 (3.53)	pacity approxima	(m (K.20 m)	6125 (4.672 m)	(世90%) [2.06 田)	imately 3000 t at	3787 (3.96 m)	(年76亿分)6675	(年68.6) 3888	(年087) 到分
Overall Length × Breadth ×Depth. m	Cargo	142.00~18.20~6.00		139.95~16.70~6.00	139.95~16.50~6.00	139.63×16.70×6.00	139.72×16.50×6.20	139.63×16.70×6.00	139.99~16.70~6.00	138.30×16.75×5.50	137.52×16.75×5.45	139.60×16.70×5.50	139.99×16.80×5.00	Cargo ca	123.17×16.70×5.50	123.17×16.70×5.50	121.70×16.70×6.20	Cargo capacity approx	111.75×15.02×6.00	108.33×16.70×5.50	107.57×15.02×5.00	99.90×15.80×5.80
Side view (xe. table 2)		1		2	8	Ť	- 5	9	7	99	6	10	11		12	13	14		15	16	П	18
Dictionine feature of a ship class number of project of the Marine Engineering Bureau		RSD12		RSD19	RSD49	006ESD05	RSD30	006RSD02	007ESD07	KSD11	005RSD06.01	005RSD06	ROM		RSD32	RSD18	RSD17		DCV29	005ESD03	RSD08	RSD10

Continuied Table 1

Register Class		KM & LULI AS	KM & LUI II A3	NAMES IN SELECTED	NAT SELECT THE AS (OR HAN)	type	Hall: 100 AS EL GAMB.	Purpose Dry Cargo Ship, SOLAS-IL-2, Keg.19, Equipped	for Carriage of Containers,	DBC	Machinery: WACELAUT	NAC# LPLIAL		IA'A'I		NAME LOS AUTI	Hull: EB 100 AS E2, G, DBC, SOT AS IT 2 Res 10 Council	Carno Sim Perimend for	Carriage of Contemers	Machinery: W MC E2 AUT	Hull: 图 100 A5 E2 SOLAS-IL.	2, Reg 19, G. DBC, Multi-	Purpose Dry Cargo Slup,	Equipped for Carriage of	Machinery: WMC E2 AUT
Copacity of cargo bolds. m3	"dipmerate	1837	1617	187.	4300	2000-0005 years		11111				1578		£/99		8779		195					2827		
Deadsreight at draught 3.60 m t (in river)	24,5 m, "Azov dares-thousandth"	1887	2339	2243	2106	"European Coasters" with cargo capacity about 5000-8000 t at draught 5.5 m "Dambe estuary 5000-8000"							oth less than 100 m		eth less than 85 m										
Deadneight at characterizhoally draught t	(A calegorate at 1000	×15.80×5.75 3756 (4.461 m) 3422 (4.20 m)	3176(4.20 m)	30億(4.20 画)	3152 (4.50m)	nt 5000-6000 tat dr		4674 (4.50)	(m oc +) + (o+			(四05/5) 8079	European Coasters" with overall length less than 100 m	3678 (4.50 m)	European Coasters" with overall length less than 85 m.	2748 (4.50 m)		3019 (4 50 m)	The same				3009 (4.50 皿)	,	
Deadmeight at maximum draught, t	approximately 30	3736 (4.461 m)	3510 (4.464 m)	4416(5.286m)	3152 (4.50 m)	urgo capacity abox		7442 AC 11 -00	(m ma)			6208 (5.50 四)	European Coaste	(m 25'S) \$105	European Coaste	(年(年)) 9(7)(年)		ASIN IS 817 m)	The same of the same of				499681円		
Overall Length × Breadth ×Depth m	Cargo capacity	89.73×15.80×5.75	89.85×15.60×5.75	89.17×15.60×6.80	89.73×13.59×6.10	pean Coasters" with c		110 67 17 00 0 10	119.6/×15.80×8.30			$109.12 \times 16.70 \times 6.50$		99.80×15.80×7.10		89,96-14.50-7.20		80 00-14 00-715					80 99×14 00×7 15		
Side view (see, table 2)		10	8	71	77	Euro		E	3			74		92		20			ì				23		
Dirincine feature of a thip clear, number of project of the Marne Engineering Burean		00385004	003RSD04/ALB02	003RSD04/ALB03	RSD16			902.00	06400			RSD09		DCV27		DC/36		DCV33					DCV43		

Вісник

Одеського національного морського університету № 4 (46), 2015

The important feature of new projects is an excess freeboard for characteristic draught. That allows increasing vessel's cargo capacity for work at marine ports. For this purpose the minimal freeboard is appointed at designing.

The structure of this line of projects includes the following basic classes of dry-cargo RSV and RSNAV which are claimed in the modern market of transport services:

- «Azov max»: type; this class is defined by waterways restrictions in Russian ports of the Sea of Azov; cargo vapacity at maximum draught is about 8000 t, entry in Volgo-Don Shipping Channel (VDSC) locks is not stipulated;
- «Volgo-Don max» type; this class is defined by overall dimensions of VDSC locks and has the greatest possible cargo capacity up to 5000 t for character river draught of 3.60 m; cargo capacity at maximal draught is about 7000 t;

«Azov-Caspian Coasters» type; this class has cargo capacity about 5000 t for character river draught of 4.20-4.50 m at Russian ports of the Azov and Caspian Seas; it also are able to pass through VDSC (for relocation);

- «Volgo-Balt / Sormovskiy» type; this class has cargo capacity about 3000 t at character river draught of 3.60 m;
- «European Coasters» type; this class has cargo capacity about 3000 t for character river draught of 4.20-4.50 m at Russian ports of the Azov Sea; conventional length is less than 85 m (that allows to place no life boats). There is single «box shape» cargo hold which is designed for long-length cargoes. These vessels can work on internal RF waterways [5];
- «European Coasters» type; this is estuary dry cargo vessels with cargo capacity 5000-6000 t at draught 5.5 m; they are intended to work at Ukraine Danube port (Izmail, Reni), Dnepr ports (Nikolaev, Kherson), Azov ports (Mariupol) and at Northern-Western RF ports if high ice class is presented;
- «European Coasters» type; this vessel have overall length less than 100 m; that allows working at European ports without pilot service;
- «European Coasters» type; these vessels are intended to work at Northern-Western RF ports and Ukraine Azov ports without entering the RF inland waterways. Theyse vessels have cargo capacity about 4500 t at draught 5.5-6.5 m, conventional length less than 85 m and high ice class for winter work at St. Petrsburg and Arkhangelsk.

Additionally, shipowners that work at Northern-Western RF ports, are interested for creating high ice class vessels (LU3-LU4) that require no ice conveyance in winter through Gulf of Finland and Gulf of Bothnia, White Sea. Such projects are: RSD32 (it is also an «Azov 5000» type; DCV33, DCV36, DCV43 and DCV46 types that are not intended for operation at RF rivers at all.

The extending participation of domestic shipowners in the worldwide trade requires construction of vessel that meet requirements of the international market, e.g. DCV33, DCV36 and DCV43 coasters that accepted for transportation between EC ports.

Вісник Одеського національного морського університету \mathbb{N}_2 4 (46), 2015

These vessels have conventional length less than 85 m and maximal available for such vessels deadweight (about 4500-5000 t). Also river-sea DCV29 vessel can be introduced as example; their dimensions correspond to Rhine River restrictions.

RSD16 vessels should be noted especially among the offered European «coaster»; these vessels have possibility to work through the Belomor-Baltic Canal keeping the cargo capacity about 2000 t.

New vessels have increased cargo holds' capacity and main engines' capacity comparing with existing RSV.

Schemes of side views of offered line of MEB dry-cargo vessels are resulted in uniform scale in the table 2.

Table 2
Schemes of side views of MEB dry-cargo vessels' parametric line

No	Design, maximum deadweight	Side view											
1	2	3											
	Cargo capacity approximately 7000 t in Taganrog, «Azov max» class												
1	RSD12, 8048 t												
	«Volgo-Don max» class												
2	RSD19, 6750 t												
3	RSD49, 7154 t												
4	006RSD05, 6933 t												
5	RSD20, 7162 t												
6	006RSD02, 7094 t												
7	007RSD07, 7215 t												
8	RSD11, 6135 t												
9	005RSD06.01, 6041 t												
10	005RSD06, 6081 t												

Вісник Одеського національного морського університету № 4 (46), 2015

Continuied Table 2

1	2	3
11	RSD44, 5562 t	
	Cargo capacity approximately	5000 t at draughts 4.2-4.5 m, «Azov 5000»
12	RSD32, 5129 t	
13	RSD18, 6125 t	
14	RSD17, 6271 t	
		oximately 3000 t at draught 3.6 m, Volgo-Balts» / «Sormovskiy»
15	DCV29, 3787 t	
16	005RSD03, 5499 t	
17	RSD08, 3888 t	
18	RSD10, 4505 t	
	Cargo capacity approximately	3000 t at draughts 4.2-4.5 m, «Azov 3000»
19	003RSD04, 3756 t	
20	003RSD04/ALB02, 3510 t	
21	003RSD04/ALB03, 4416 t	13
22	RSD16, 3152 t	
		capacity about 5000-6000 t at draught 5.5 m.
	«Danube e	stuary 5000-6000» type
23	DCV46, 7443 t	
24	RSD09, 6208 t	

Вісник Одеського національного морського університету N 4 (46), 2015

Continuied Table 2

1	2	3									
	«European Coasters» with overall length less than 100 m										
25	DCV27, 5018 t										
	«European Coasters» with overall length less than 85 m										
26	DCV36, 5026 t										
27	DCV33, 4509 t										
28	DCV43, 4499 t										

Vessels were designed in accordance with different Rules, as follows: RS Rules (18 projects), RRR Rules (6 projects), GL Rules (3 projects), RU Rules (1 project).

Conclusions. All vessels are assigned for transportation of general, solid bulk, grain, timber, heavy and large-sized cargoes; ISO 8.5' and 9.0' containers, dangerous goods of 1.4S, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.1, 8, 9 classes of IMDG Code and cargoes of category B of BC Code.

By 5 projects conform to the requirements of the unrestricted sailing area and RS sailing area R1, 10 ones conform to RS sailing area R2, 2 ones conform to RS sailing area R2-RSN, 5 ones conform to RRR sailing area M-SP (with fulfilment R2-RSN requirements), and single one corresponds to RRR sailing area O-PR.

10 vessels' series have 4 cargo holds, 8 series have 3 cargo holds, 3 series have 2 cargo holds. 7 series have single enlarged cargo hold, that allows to carry out voyages with equipment and other long-sized cargoes from European ports to roughly developing region of the Caspian Sea (RSD49 triple-hold vessels have such cargo hold).

7 of 28 developed projects use the full-turned rudder propellers (FRP) as the integrated running and manoeuvring unit. 14 projects have single-shaft propulsion, the others ones have double-shaft propulsion. Fourteen projects variable pitch propellers, including FRP ones (RSD12 and RSD10 projects), the others ones have fixed pitch propellers. 13 projects have propellers in nozzles. Main engines of 22 projects can use IFO 180-380 HFO (2 series in operation have been such modified). All propulsion units applied on the MEB projects belong to mid-speed diesels. Operational vessels' speed with full cargo at 85-90 % engines' loading makes 10.0-12.5 kn.

Вісник

Одеського національного морського університету № 4 (46), 2015

Full-turned rudder propellers usage provides enhanced manoeuvrability at restricted conditions, increasing the cargo zone, decreased for about 20 % ER length; expenses, necessary time for mounting, expected repair and service costs reducing. The last was most brightly reflected in terms of construction of vessels of the Armada series in Turkey.

Hull structures of 7 series correspond to RS Ice2 category (LU2 or L3 old notations), 6 ones correspond to RS Ice3 category (LU3 or L2 old notations), other ones correspond to RS Ice1 category (LU1 or L4 old notations).

For the series which are under construction it is observed the tendency of modifying up to ice category LU2 requirements, including replacement of main engines in order to increase their capacity required ice-passing criteria of Classification Society rules (prj. 003RSD04 «Caspian Express»).

23 projects have automation class. Crew consists of 8-14 people.

RS single-compartment unsinkability requirements were used additionnally to SOLAS probability index requirements for 5 projects in accordance with owner demand.

As shown in the table 3, vessels of 28 projects of this parametric line of the Marine Engineering Bureau already are under constructions on various shipyards in Russia, Ukraine, Turkey and China. 70 vessels are handed over into operation in 2004-2011, 23 vessels are under construction now. The resulted data allows to make a conclusion about successful solution of the posed problem both in theoretical, and in the practical plan.

Table 3

Dry cargo RSV construction due to MEB projects

№	MEB design	Shipyard	Completed	Under construction	Ordered total
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	RSD12, «Rostov monstr» type	Gelibolu, Turkey	4		4
2	RSD19, «Khazar» type	Volgograd Shipyard	4		4
3	RSD49	Neva Shipyard, Lotos Shipyard		4	12
4	006RSD05, «Palmali Trader» type	Volgograd Shipyard	8		8
5	RSD20, «St. Nikolay» type	Kherson Shipyard	1		1
6	006RSD02, «Nadezhda» type	Oka Shipyard (Navashino)	1		1
7	007RSD07, «Tanais» type	Volgograd Shipyard	1		1
8	RSD11, «Chelsea-1» type	Kherson Shipyard	1		1
9	005RSD06.01, «Chelsea-3» type	Kherson Shipyard «Yuzhnyi Sevastopol» Shipyard	7		7
10	005RSD06, «Chelsea-2» type	Kherson Shipyard	1		1
11	RSD44, «Kapitan Ruzmankin» type	Oka Shipyard (Navashino)	3	7	10
12	RSD32	China, Huaxia Shipping-Business CO., LTD (Wuhan)			

Вісник Одеського національного морського університету \mathbb{N}_2 4 (46), 2015

Continuied Table 3

1	2	3	4	5	6
13	RSD18, «UCF-1» type	China, Huaxia Shipping-Business CO., LTD (Wuhan), Hengyu Shipyard		6	8
14	RSD17, «Euro cruiser» type	Krasnoe Sormovo Shipyard	5		5
15	DCV29	Kherson Shipyard			1
16	005RSD03, «Kareliya» type	Onega Shipyard (Petrozavodsk)	12		12
17	RSD08, «Ommax» type	China, Xingang Shipyard	1		1
18	RSD10				
19	003RSD04, «Caspian Express» type	Turkey, Ceksan Shipyard	6		6
20	003RSD04/ALB02, «Helios» type	Turkey, Aksoy Shipyard Turkey, Ceksan Shipyard	3		3
21	003RSD04/ALB03, «Modulus» type	Turkey, Aksoy Shipyard	3		3
22	RSD16	Onega Shipyard (Petrozavodsk)			
23	DCV46	Onega Shipyard (Petrozavodsk)			
24	RSD09, «Danube Seamen» type	Kiliya Shipyard		2	2
25	DCV27, «Saxona» type	China, Taidzhou	1		1
26	DCV36, «Ametist» type	China, Qingdao Hyundai Shipbuilding Co. Ltd	2	8	10
27	DCV33	Onega Shipyard (Petrozavodsk)	6		6
28	DCV43	China		-	
Total			70	23	108

REFERENCES

- 1. Egorov G.V. Design of ships of restricted navigation area based on risk theory. St. Petersburg: Shipbuiding, 2007. 384 p.
- 2. Egorov G.V. Design and construction of coasters and river-sea vessels. Odessa: Publishing House of «Sudostroenie i sudoremont» magazine. 2008. 128 p.
- 3. Egorov G.V. «Line» of multipurpose dry cargo vessels of Marine Engineering Burea // Morskaya Birzha. − 2005. − № 4 (14). − C. 16-20.

Вісник Одеського національного морського університету \mathbb{N}_2 4 (46), 2015

- 4. Egorov G.V. Transportation by national water transport, river fleet conditions and new shipbuilding prospect // Morskaya Birzha. 2010. Vol. 4 (34). P. 20-26.
- 5. Egorov G.V, Alekperov R.A., Tonyuk V.I. Family of multipurpose dry cargo vessels of «Caspian Express» type // Sudostroenie. 2011. Vol. 1. P. 11-17.

Стаття надійшла до редакції 25.11.2016

Рецензент — доктор технічних наук, професор, головний науковий співпрацівник, науковий консультант Морського інженерного бюро **В.В. Козляков**