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Below we introduce concepts of partial derivatives of functions on anisotropic spaces and prove necessary conditions of the local extremum extending criterions of the classical analysis.

## 1. Anisotropic space.

1.1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a nonempty set and let $r: \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function with the following properties:

ג) $\quad r(x, x)=0$ and $r(x, y) \geq 0$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$;
$\beta) \quad r(x, y) \leq r(x, z)+r(z, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{X}$.
The pair ( $\mathcal{X}, r$ ) is called anisotropic space, and the function $r$ is called anisotropic metric. Note that we do not assume here the symmetry of the anisotropic metric $r$, i.e. in general $r(x, y) \neq r(y, x)$.

Special cases of anisotropic spaces are pseudometric and metric spaces (see, for example, [1, §21]).

For other examples of anisotropic spaces arising in the theory of abstract surfaces see, for example, $[2, \mathrm{Ch} .1]$.

Let $a \in \mathcal{X}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ be a real number. Define $\varepsilon$-neighbourhood of $a$ putting

$$
O(a, \varepsilon)=\{x \in \mathcal{X}: \rho(a, x)<\varepsilon\} \quad(\text { or } O(a, \varepsilon)=\{x \in \mathcal{X}: \rho(x, a)<\varepsilon\})
$$

and by standard way we define the basis topological concepts for anisotropic spaces.
1.2. Recall that the concept anisotropy (in Greek ánisos - unequal and trópos - direction) means the dependence of some properties of objects from directions. Thus, the space can be even metric, but to be anisotropic.

Simplest examples of anisotropic spaces are surfaces $\Omega=\left(D, d s_{\Omega}^{2}\right)$, where $D$ is a domain in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $d s_{\Omega}$ is a length element, defined by the relation

$$
d s_{\Omega}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} g_{k} d x_{k}^{2}, \quad g_{k} \equiv \mathrm{const} \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n)
$$

where coefficients $g_{k}$ are not equal among themselves.
Anisotropic surfaces $\Omega=\left(D, d s_{\Omega}^{2}\right)$ with length elements

$$
d s_{\Omega}^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} g_{i j}(x) d x_{i} d x_{j}
$$

where $g_{i j}(x), \quad i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$, are Lebesgue measurable functions, arise, for example, as graphs of locally Lipschitz functions $x_{n+1}=$ $=f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

If the length element $d s_{\Omega}$ is defined on $D$ by the relation

$$
d s_{\Omega}^{2}=\lambda^{2}(x) \sum_{k=1}^{n} d x_{k}^{2}
$$

then the variables $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}$ are called isotermal coordinates on the surface $\Omega$.

With respect to existence isothermal coordinates on surfaces see, for example, [3].
1.3. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian $C^{2}$-manifold. As in [2, Ch. 1] for two-dimensional case, we define an abstract surface over a domain $D \subset \mathcal{M}$ by presetting the length element of curves lying on it, and the area element.

Let $\Gamma(D)$ be the set of all Jordan arcs or curves $\gamma \subset D$ locally rectifiable (with respect to the metric of $\mathcal{M}$ ). We will assume that along every $\gamma \in \Gamma(D)$ there is defined a direction. Every closed rectifiable arc $\gamma$ can be given in the form $m=m(s):[0$, length $(\gamma)] \rightarrow D$, where
$0 \leq s \leq$ length $(\gamma)$ is the length of the arc, counting off the start point $m(0)$ up to the moving point $m(s)$ with respect to the direction along $\gamma$. Locally rectifiable curves $\gamma$ can be parametrized evidently with length of the arc, counting off a fixed point in positive or negative directions along $\gamma$.

Suppose that along every $\gamma \in \Gamma(D)$ it is given some nonnegative, Lebesgue measurable function $h_{\gamma}(m)$. The set of all such functions for the arcs $\gamma \in \Gamma(D)$, we will designate by the symbol $\mathcal{H}=\left\{h_{\gamma}\right\}$.

We will say that the set of functions $\mathcal{H}$ is coordinated at the point $a \in D$, if for all curves $\gamma \in \Gamma(D)$, passing through the point $a$ in the same direction $\xi$ (i.e. having the same tangent vector $\xi \in T_{a}(\mathcal{M}),|\xi|=1$ ) at $a$, values $h_{\gamma}(a)$ coincide.

Suppose that the set of functions $\mathcal{H}$ is coordinated almost every on the domain $D$. Thus, for almost everywhere $m \in D$ and all directions $\xi \in T_{m}(\mathcal{M}),|\xi|=1$, there is defined an nonegative function $H(m, \xi)$. Extend $H$ with respect to the second variable onto the all space $T_{m}(\mathcal{M})$, using the following rule $H(m, \lambda \xi)=\lambda H(m, \xi), \quad \lambda \geq 0$. As the result of such extension, along every $\gamma \in \Gamma(D)$ we have everywhere

$$
\begin{equation*}
H\left(m, \overrightarrow{d s}_{\mathcal{M}}\right)=h_{\gamma}(m)\left|\overrightarrow{d s}_{\mathcal{M}}\right| \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\overrightarrow{d s}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a vector of length $d s_{\mathcal{M}}$ on $T_{m}(\mathcal{M})$ with its beginning at the point $m$.

Fix arbitrarily an nonnegative function $\sigma(m)$ defined almost everywhere and Lebesgue measurable on $D$.

An arbitrary triple $\Omega=(D, H, \sigma)$ of the described form is called the abstract surface.

The quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
d s_{\Omega}=h_{\gamma}(m(s))\left|d s_{\mathcal{M}}\right| \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the length element of $\gamma \in \Gamma(D)$ at the point $m \in D$, and the quantity

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \Omega=\sigma(m) * \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}=\sigma(m) d \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{M}}^{n} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the area element of $\Omega$.
Here by $* \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{M}}$ we denote the volume form on the manifold $\mathcal{M}$.
The metric (1.2) is a Finsler metric (see [4, 5]).
Let $\Omega=(D, H, \sigma)$ be an abstract surface. For an arbitrary oriented, locally rectifiable arc (or curve) $\gamma \subset D$, the length of $\gamma$ with respect to the
metric (1.2) is given by the following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{length}_{\Omega} \gamma=\int_{\gamma} H\left(m, \overrightarrow{d s}_{\mathcal{M}}\right) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe, that in the general case, the length ${ }_{\Omega} \gamma$ depends on the direction, choose on $\gamma$, and the metric $d s_{\Omega}$, defined by the relation (1.2), is anisotropic.

Let $\Omega=(D, H, \sigma)$ be an abstract surface. Below we will need a function dual to the function $H(x, \xi)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, \eta)=\sup _{\xi \in \Xi(x)}\langle\xi, \eta\rangle \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Xi(x)=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|\xi|<1\right\}$ and $\langle\xi, \eta\rangle$ is the standard scalar product of vectors $\xi$ and $\eta$ on $T_{x}(\mathcal{M})$.

We put $G^{+}(x)=\sup _{|\eta|=1} \sup _{G(x, \xi)=1}\langle\xi, \eta\rangle$.
It is not difficult to prove that the function $G(x, \eta)$ satisfies the conditions: $G(x, \eta) \geq 0$ and for an arbitrary $x \in D$ the set $\left\{\eta \in T_{x}(\mathcal{M})\right.$ : $H(x, \eta)<1\}$ is convex. Moreover, everywhere on $D$ the following property holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, \xi)=\sup _{\eta: H(x, \eta) \neq 0} \frac{\langle\xi, \eta\rangle}{H(x, \eta)} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [6]).
In general, the function $G(x, \eta)$ assumes on $D \times T_{x}(\mathcal{M})$ values from $\overline{\mathbb{R}}^{1}$. Infinite values $G(x, \eta)$ arise, for example, in cases, when the convex set $\Xi(x)$ is unbounded. On the other hand, it is not difficult to prove, that the set $\Xi(x)$ is bounded if and only if $G^{+}(x)<+\infty$.

Example 1.1. Let $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ be an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $H(x, \xi)=\left|\left\langle e_{1}, \xi\right\rangle\right|$. Then the set

$$
\Xi(x)=\left\{\xi:\left|\left\langle e_{1}, \xi\right\rangle\right|<1\right\}=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:\left|\xi_{1}\right|<1\right\}
$$

Here the dual function has the form

$$
G(x, \eta)= \begin{cases}\left|\eta_{1}\right|, & \text { if } \eta_{i}=0, i=2,3, \ldots, n \\ +\infty, & \text { if there exists } i \geq 2: \eta_{i} \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

and has infinite values. The set $\Xi(x)$ is the open interval $(-1,+1)$, lying on the axis $O \eta_{1}$. The function $G^{+}(x) \equiv+\infty$.

Example 1.2. Let $k_{1}, k_{2} \geq 0$ are constants. Consider the function $k(\xi): \mathbb{R}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$, where

$$
k(\xi)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
k_{1} \xi & \text { if } & \xi \geq 0 \\
k_{2} \xi & \text { if } & \xi<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}^{1}$ be an arbitrary interval and let $h(x):(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ be an nonnegative measurable function. The function

$$
H(x, \xi)=h(x) k(\xi):(a, b) \times \mathbb{R}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}
$$

is homogeneous with respect to the variable $\xi$. The triple $\Omega=((a, b), H, \sigma)$, where the function $\sigma(x):(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ is nonegative and Lebesgue measurable, gives the simplest example of the abstract surface.

Example 1.3. Observe, that an arbitrary $p$-dimensional surface $\Sigma$, given by a locally Lipschitz vector function $f: D \subset \mathbb{R}^{p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad p<n$, is an abstract surface. In this case the vector function $f$ is absolutely continuous along every locally rectifiable arc $\gamma \subset D$, described by the relations $x=x(s):[0$, length $\gamma] \rightarrow D$. Here we have

$$
h_{\gamma}(x(s))=\left|\frac{d f(x(s))}{d s}\right|=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\frac{d f_{i}(x(s))}{d s}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

The vector function $f(x)$ has a total differential almost everywhere on the domain $D$. The family $\mathcal{H}$ is coordinated at every point, where $f(x)$ is differentiable, moreover

$$
H(x, \xi)=\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{p} g_{i j}(x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

with real Lebesgue measurable coefficients

$$
g_{i j}(x)=\left\langle\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}\right\rangle, \quad i, j=1,2, \ldots, p
$$

defined almost everywhere on $D$.
We put $g(x)=\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}(x)\right), \sigma(x)=\sqrt{g(x)}, d \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{p}=\sqrt{g(x)} d x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge$ $\wedge d x_{p}, \quad g^{i j}(x)=\left(g_{i j}(x)\right)^{-1}, \quad i, j=1,2, \ldots, p$, and

$$
G(x, \xi)=\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{p} g^{i j}(x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Thus, we obtain the abstract surface $(D, H, \sqrt{g})$.
See details, for example, in [4, Ch. 1, § 8], [2, Sections 1.1-1.7].

## 2. Anisotropic metric in special coordinates.

2.1. Let $D$ be a subdomain of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $r$ be an anisotropic metric on $D$. We put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{r}(a)=\limsup _{a^{\prime} \rightarrow a} \frac{r\left(a, a^{\prime}\right)}{\left|a^{\prime}-a\right|} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|a^{\prime}-a\right|$ is the Euclidean distance between the points $a, a^{\prime} \in D$.
For an arbitrary pair of points $a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime} \in D$ let $\gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)$ denote an oriented, locally rectifiable arc in $D$, leading from $a^{\prime}$ to $a^{\prime \prime}$.

Fix arbitrarily a set of points

$$
a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k} \in \gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

following one to another in the positive direction from $a^{\prime}$ to $a^{\prime \prime}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq & \leq r\left(a^{\prime}, a_{1}\right)+r\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)+\ldots+r\left(a_{k}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)= \\
= & \frac{r\left(a^{\prime}, a_{1}\right)}{\left|a^{\prime}-a_{1}\right|}\left|a^{\prime}-a_{1}\right|+\frac{r\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)}{\left|a_{1}-a_{2}\right|}\left|a_{1}-a_{2}\right|+\ldots+\frac{r\left(a_{k}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)}{\left|a_{k}-a^{\prime \prime}\right|}\left|a_{k}-a^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq \\
\leq & \sup _{a \in \gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a_{1}\right)} \Lambda_{r}(a)\left|a^{\prime}-a_{1}\right|+\sup _{a \in \gamma\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)} \Lambda_{r}(a)\left|a_{1}-a_{2}\right|+\ldots+ \\
& \quad+\sup _{a \in \gamma\left(a_{k}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)} \Lambda_{r}(a)\left|a_{k}-a^{\prime \prime}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

If the function $\Lambda_{r}(x)$ is continuous on $D$, then the quantity on the right side of this relation is the upper integral Darboux sum for the integral

$$
\int_{\gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)} \Lambda_{r}(x)|d x|=\int_{0}^{s(\gamma)} \Lambda_{r}(x(s)) d s
$$

where $x(s):[0, s(\gamma)] \rightarrow \gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the natural parametrization of the arc $\gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Thus, making the partition of $\gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with points $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}$ sufficiently small, we obtain

Theorem 2.1. If the quantity $\Lambda_{r}(x)$ is continuous on the domain $D$, then for an arbitrary pair of points $a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime} \in D$ the following property holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \inf _{\gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)} \int_{\gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)} \Lambda_{r}(x)|d x| \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. If an anisotropic metric $r$ belongs to the class $C^{1}(D \times D)$, then the differential

$$
d r(x, a)=\left.\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{x_{i}}^{\prime}(x, a)\right|_{x=a} d x_{i}
$$

exists at every point $a \in D$ and continuous. The function

$$
H_{r}(a, \xi)=\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{x_{i}}^{\prime}(x, a)\right|_{x=a} \xi_{i} \mid: D \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}
$$

satisfies the conditions, imposed on the function $H$ in (1.1), and the Finsler metric (1.2) is defined.

Theorem 2.2. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a domain and let $r$ be an anisotropic distance on $D$. If $r \in C^{1}(D \times D)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \inf _{\gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)} \int_{\gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)} H_{r}(x, d x) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. For the proof it is sufficient to consider the case, where the arc $\gamma=\gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in the right side of (2.9) is smooth. Namely, for an arbitrarily pair of points $a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime} \in D$ let $\gamma$ means an oriented arc of the class $C^{1}$ on $D$, leading from $a^{\prime}$ to $a^{\prime \prime}$.

Fix arbitrarily a collection of points $a_{0}=a^{\prime}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m+1}=a^{\prime \prime} \in \gamma$, following one to another in the positive direction from $a^{\prime}$ to $a^{\prime \prime}$. Denote by $\gamma_{k}$ the part of $\gamma$ lying between the points $a_{k}$ and $a_{k+1}$.

For an arbitrary $k, 0 \leq k \leq m$, let

$$
x_{k}(s):\left(0, s\left(\gamma_{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow D, \quad x_{k}(0)=a_{k}, \quad x_{k}\left(s\left(\gamma_{k}\right)\right)=a_{k+1},
$$

be the natural parametrization of $\gamma_{k}$ and let $s_{k}$ be the Euclidean length of $\gamma_{k}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& r\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{m} r\left(a_{k}, a_{k+1}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{m} r\left(x_{k}(0), x_{k}\left(s_{k}\right)\right)= \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{m} \int_{0}^{s_{k}} \frac{d r}{d s}\left(x_{k}(s)\right) d s=\left.\sum_{k=0}^{m} \int_{0}^{s_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{x_{i}}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}, a_{k}\right) \frac{d x_{i}}{d s}\left(x_{k}\right)\right|_{x_{k}=x_{k}(s)} d s= \\
& =\left.\sum_{k=0}^{m} \int_{0}^{s_{k}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{x_{i}}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}, a_{k}\right)\right|_{x_{k}=x_{k}(s)} d x_{i}(s) . \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)} H_{r}(x, d x)=\sum_{k=0}^{m} \int_{0}^{s_{k}} H_{r}\left(x_{k}(s), d x\right)= \\
=\sum_{k=0}^{m} \int_{0}^{s_{k}}\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{x_{i}}^{\prime}\left(x, a_{k}\right)\right|_{x=a_{k}} d x_{i}(s) \mid . \tag{2.11}
\end{array}
$$

Next we observe, that because the anisotropic metric $r$ belongs to the class $C^{1}(D \times D)$, then for sufficiently small partitions of the arc $\gamma\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)$ with points $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$, the quantities

$$
\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{x_{i}}^{\prime}\left(x_{k}, a_{k}\right)\right|_{x_{k}=x_{k}(s)}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{x_{i}}^{\prime}\left(x, a_{k}\right) \mid
$$

are uniformly small. Comparing (2.10) and (2.11), we conclude the validity of (2.9).
3. Derivative and Differential. Below we recall some concepts of [7]. These concepts are generalizations of classical notions.
3.1. Because an anisotropic space is a regular topological space, then by the standard way we can define in it oriented continuous arcs and curves. The anisotropic metric $r$ permits to define the (oriented) length element $d s_{\gamma}$ of an oriented arc (or curve) $\gamma$, and also the (oriented) linear measure on it.

An oriented arc (curve) is called rectifiable, if its length is finite.
For an arbitrary set $D \subset \mathcal{X}$ by the symbol $\Gamma(D)$ we will denote the family of the simple arcs or simple curves (open or closed), lying on $D$. We will assume also that on every $\gamma \in \Gamma(D)$ there is showed an direction (in particular, from one end point to another). Every closed, locally rectifiable arc $\gamma \in \Gamma(D)$ can be given in the following form

$$
a=a(s):[0, \text { length }(\gamma)] \rightarrow D,
$$

where $0 \leq s \leq$ length $(\gamma)$ is the length of the arc between the start point $a(0)$ and the moving point $a(s)$ with the given along $\gamma$ direction. The locally rectifiable arcs $\gamma \in \Gamma(D)$ can be evidently parametrized with the length of arc between a fixed point in the positive and negative directions along $\gamma$.

Let $D \subset \mathcal{X}$ be an nonempty set. By a foliation ${ }^{1} x_{D}$ we will call a family $\{\gamma\}$ of arcs (or curves) $\gamma \in \Gamma(D)$ with the property: through every point $a \in D$ one and only one arc (or curve) $\gamma \in \Gamma(D)$ passes.

Curves $\gamma \in x_{D}$ are called layers of the foliation $x_{D}$.
Two foliations $x_{1 D}=\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}$ and $x_{2 D}=\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}$ coincide, if families of layers $\left\{\gamma_{1}\right\}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{2}\right\}$ coincide and the given on them orientations coincide also.
3.2. Let $x_{D}=\{\gamma\}$ be a foliation of a domain $D \subset \mathcal{X}$. We will name $x_{D}$ coordinate foliation if there exists a function $x: x_{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$, which is constant on every layer $\gamma \in x_{D}$.

Thus, if a coordinate foliation $x_{D}$ is given, then a function

$$
\begin{equation*}
x: M \in D \rightarrow x_{D}(M) \in \mathbb{R}^{1} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is defined. This function we will call by the coordinate function of the foliation $x_{D}$. A foliation $x_{D}$ is called continuous (at a point, or a set), if the corresponding coordinate function is continuous.

Example 3.1. Let $D=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the plane with coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and Euclidean distance $r=\sqrt{x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}}$. We define the foliation $x_{D}$ by assignment in the capacity of layers $\gamma \in x_{D}$ the straight lines parallel to the axis $0 x_{1}$ and lying on the half-plane $\Pi_{1}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x_{2} \leq 0\right\}$, and also rays, formed by intersections of the straight lines, perpendicular to the axis $0 x_{1}$, with the half-plane $\Pi_{2}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 0<x_{2}\right\}$.

In the capacity of the coordinate function we put

$$
x\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}x_{2} & \text { if } \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Pi_{1} \\ 1 / x_{2} & \text { if } \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Pi_{2} .\end{cases}
$$

It is clear, that this coordinate foliation is discontinuous on the set $\partial \Pi_{1} \cap \partial \Pi_{2}$.

If $x: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ is a coordinate function of a foliation $x_{D}$ and $\varphi: x(D) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ is a strongly monotone function, then $\varphi(x): D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ is also a coordinate function of the foliation $x_{D}$.

Suppose that on the set $D \subset \mathcal{X}$ it is given a system of coordinate foliations $x_{\overline{1, n} D}=\left(x_{1 D}, x_{2 D}, \ldots, x_{n D}\right), 1 \leq n \leq \infty$, and, therefore, it is defined the mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\overline{1, n} D}: M \in D \rightarrow\left(x_{1 D}, x_{2 D}, \ldots, x_{n D}\right) \in V \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is some vector space.

[^0]If the system of foliations such that the mapping (3.13) is one-to-one, then we will call the system $x_{\overline{\overline{1, n} D}}$ by the coordinate system on $D$, and the quantity $n$ by the dimension of the set $D \subset \mathcal{X}$, and write $\operatorname{dim} D=n$.

In the case of two-dimensional surfaces $M$, prescribed by locally biLipschitz immersions to $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, examples of mappings (3.13) are conformal mappings $M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$, which introduce isothermal coordinates on $M$.

If an anisotropic metric space $\mathcal{X}$ such that every point $a \in \mathcal{X}$ has a neighbourhood $D$, in which there exists a coordinate system, then we will call

$$
x_{1 D}, x_{2 D}, \ldots, x_{n D}
$$

local coordinates in $\mathcal{X}$.
In particular, if $V=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and the mapping (3.13) is one-to-one, then investigation of the geometric structure of the anisotropic metric (sub)space

$$
(D, r), \quad D \subset \mathcal{X}, \quad r=r\left(a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

is equivalent to investigation of the anisotropic metric space

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta, \bar{r}), \quad \Delta=x_{\overline{1, n} D}(D) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad \bar{r}=r\left(x_{\overline{1, n} D}^{-\frac{1}{1}}\left(b^{\prime}\right), x_{\overline{1, n} D}^{-1}\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right)\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Simplest examples of anisotropic metric spaces (3.14) are the above described abstract surfaces.
3.3. Let $D$ be a domain in an anisotropic metric space $\mathcal{X}$ with an anisotropic metric $r$. Let $S$ be a $k$-dimensional surface in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, 1 \leq k<n$, given by a bi-Lipschitz mapping $U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{k}$. Suppose that there exists a system of coordinate foliations $x_{\overline{1, n} D}=$ $=\left(x_{1 D}, x_{2 D}, \ldots, x_{n D}\right), 1 \leq n<\infty$, such that the mapping

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\overline{1, n} D}: M \in D \rightarrow\left(x_{1 D}, x_{2 D}, \ldots, x_{n D}\right) \in S, \quad x_{\overline{1, n} D}(D)=S \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is one-to-one. Here investigation of the geometric structure of $(D, r)$ is reducing to investigation of the anisotropic metric space

$$
(S, \bar{r}), \quad \bar{r}=r\left(x_{\overline{1, n} D}^{-1}\left(b^{\prime}\right), x_{\overline{1, n} D}^{-1}\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)
$$

3.4. Introduce the concept of derivatives of functions on an anisotropic metric space. Let $D$ be an nonempty subset of $\mathcal{X}, f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ be a function and let $x_{D}=\{\gamma\}$ be a foliation of $D$. The derivative of the function $f$ with respect to $x_{D}$ at the point $a \in D$ is the following quantity

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{D}}(a)=\lim _{a^{\prime} \rightarrow a} \frac{f\left(a^{\prime}\right)-f(a)}{\vec{r}\left(a^{\prime}, a\right)},
$$

where $a^{\prime}$ strives to $a$ along the $\operatorname{arc} \gamma \in x_{D}, \gamma \ni a$, and the quantity $\vec{r}\left(a^{\prime}, a\right)=r\left(a^{\prime}, a\right)$ if the point $a^{\prime}$ follows the point $a$ on $\gamma$, and $\vec{r}\left(a^{\prime}, a\right)=$ $=-r\left(a^{\prime}, a\right)$ if $a^{\prime}$ precides $a$.

In the special case, where $D$ is a domain on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and the foliation $x_{D}$ is a collection of intervals parallel (and equally directed) to the coordinate axis $0 x$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the introduced quantity is the partial derivative of the function $f$ with respect to the variable $x$.

If $x_{1 D}, x_{2 D}$ are foliations of $D$, then we put

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{1 D} \partial x_{2 D}}(a)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1 D}}\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{2 D}}\right](a) .
$$

By standard way we define (partial) derivatives of higher orders:

$$
\frac{\partial^{k} f}{\partial x_{1 D} \partial x_{2 D} \ldots \partial x_{k D}}
$$

where $2<k<\infty$ is an integer and $x_{1 D}, x_{2 D}, \ldots, x_{k D}$ are some foliations.
In the case, if

$$
x_{1 D}=x_{2 D}=\ldots=x_{k D}=x_{D}
$$

we will use the short notation

$$
\frac{\partial^{k} f}{\partial x_{D}^{k}}=\frac{\partial^{k} f}{\partial x_{1 D} \partial x_{2 D} \ldots \partial x_{k D}}
$$

There exist analogs of partial differential equations. For example, the following (formal) generalization of the Laplace equation, corresponding to the pair of foliations $x_{D}$ and $y_{D}$, has the form

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial x_{D}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial y_{D}{ }^{2}}=0
$$

3.5. Let $D$ be a domain on $\mathcal{X}$ and let $x_{D}=\{\gamma\}$ be a foliation of $D$. The differential of the foliation at a point $a \in D$ is defined as the quantity

$$
d x_{D} \equiv \vec{r}\left(a^{\prime}, a\right),
$$

where $a^{\prime}$ are points, belonging to the layer $\gamma \in x_{D}, \gamma \ni a$.

If $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ is a function and $x_{D}=\{\gamma\}$ is a foliation, then the differential of the function $f$ at a point $a \in D$ with respect to the foliation $x_{D}$ is, by definition, the quantity

$$
d f(a)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{D}}(a) d x_{D}
$$

Let $x_{\overline{1, n} D}=\left\{x_{1 D}, x_{2 D}, \ldots, x_{n D}\right\}, 1<n<\infty$, be a system of foliations of $D$ and let $a \in D$ be a point. For an arbitrary $1 \leq k \leq n$ by $\gamma_{k}(a)$ we denote the layer $x_{k}(D)$, containing the point $a$. We will call a function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ is differentiable at the point $a \in D$ with respect to the system of foliations $x_{\overline{1, n} D}$, if there exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ such that the function's increment is representable in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(a^{\prime}\right)-f(a)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} \vec{r}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, a\right)+o\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \vec{r}^{2}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, a\right)\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad a^{\prime} \rightarrow a \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{k}^{\prime}=\left(x_{1 D}(a), \ldots, x_{(k-1) D}(a), x_{k D}\left(a^{\prime}\right), x_{(k+1) D}(a), \ldots, x_{n D}(a)\right)$, $k=1,2, \ldots, n$, and for an arbitrary $l=1,2, \ldots, n$ the following relations hold

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{r}\left(a_{l}^{\prime}, a\right)=o\left(\vec{r}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, a\right)\right) \quad \text { as } \quad a^{\prime} \rightarrow a, \quad a^{\prime} \in \gamma_{k}(a), k \neq l . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Because $D$ is a domain and $a$ its inner point, then for $a^{\prime}$ sufficiently near to $a$, the points $a_{k}^{\prime}$ belong to $D$.)

Theorem 3.1. If a function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$ is differentiable at a point $a \in D$ with respect to a system of foliations $x_{\overline{1, n} D}$ and exist the derivatives

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k D}}(a), 1 \leq k \leq n
$$

then for the constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{n}$ of (3.16) the following relations hold

$$
c_{k}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k D}}(a), \quad k=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Proof. Fix arbitrarily $k \in \overline{1, n}$ and the corresponding layer $\gamma_{k}(a)$ of the foliation $x_{k D}$. By (3.16) for $a^{\prime} \rightarrow a, a^{\prime} \in \gamma_{k}(a)$, we have

$$
\frac{f\left(a^{\prime}\right)-f(a)}{\vec{r}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, a\right)}=c_{k}+\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^{n} c_{j} \frac{\vec{r}\left(a_{j}^{\prime}, a\right)}{\vec{r}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, a\right)}+\varepsilon\left(a^{\prime}, a\right)
$$

where $\varepsilon\left(a^{\prime}, a\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $a^{\prime} \rightarrow a$.

The supposition (3.17) implies

$$
\frac{f\left(a^{\prime}\right)-f(a)}{\vec{r}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, a\right)}=c_{k}+\varepsilon_{1}\left(a^{\prime}, a\right) \quad \text { as } \quad a^{\prime} \rightarrow a
$$

and since the derivative $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k D}}(a)$ exists, then

$$
\lim _{a^{\prime} \rightarrow a} \frac{f\left(a^{\prime}\right)-f(a)}{\vec{r}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, a\right)}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k, D}}(a)
$$

and the statement is proved.
Some sufficient conditions for existence of the total differential of functions in anisotropic metric spaces see [8].
4. Points of local extremum. As in the case of the metric space, we define the concept of the local extremum of a function on domains of anisotropic metric spaces.

The following statement holds
Theorem 4.1. If $a \in D$ is a point of local extremum of a function $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{1}$, the function $f$ is differentiable at the point a with respect to a system of foliations $x_{\overline{1, n} D}$, there exist derivatives $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k D}}(a), 1 \leq k \leq n$ and the point $a$ is the inner point of a layer $\gamma_{k}(a)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k D}}(a)=0 . \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix foliations $x_{1 D}, x_{2 D}, \ldots, x_{n D}, 1 \leq n<\infty$, of the domain $D$ and corresponding layers $\gamma_{k}(a)$. For an arbitrary $k=1,2, \ldots, n$ and $a^{\prime} \rightarrow a, a^{\prime} \in \gamma_{k}(a)$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(a^{\prime}\right)-f(a)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k D}}(a) \vec{r}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, a\right)+\sum_{\substack{i=1 \\
i \neq k}}^{n} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i D}}(a) \vec{r}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}, a\right)+ \\
+\bar{o}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \vec{r}^{2}\left(a_{i}^{\prime}, a\right)\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

From here, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(a^{\prime}\right)-f(a)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k D}}(a) \vec{r}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, a\right)+o\left(\vec{r}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, a\right)\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\quad a^{\prime} \rightarrow a, a^{\prime} \in \gamma_{k}(a)$.

Suppose that $a$ is the point of a local minimum of the function $f$, however the derivative $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k D}}(a) \neq 0$. Then by (4.19) we conclude, that

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{k D}}(a) \vec{r}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, a\right)+o\left(\vec{r}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, a\right)\right) \geq 0
$$

for all $a^{\prime} \in \gamma_{k}(a)$, sufficiently near to $a$. This is impossible, because $a$ is the inner point of $\gamma_{k}(a)$, and the quantity $\vec{r}\left(a_{k}^{\prime}, a\right)$ changes its sign if $a^{\prime}$ passes over the point $a$ on $\gamma_{k}(a)$.

We indicate a simple counterexample.
Example 4.1. Let $D=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ be the plane with coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ and the Euclidean distance. Define the foliation $x_{D}$ as in Example 3.1.

Consider the function

$$
x\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Pi_{1} \\ x_{1} & \text { if } \quad\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \Pi_{2}\end{cases}
$$

Here at every point $a \in\left(\partial \Pi_{1} \cap \partial \Pi_{2}\right)$ we have

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{D}}(a)=0
$$

however these points are not points of a local extremum.
Concerning setting of this problem and similar questions see [9].
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