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Given co-H-spaces $X$ and $Y$, B. Gray [13] has defined a co-H-space $X \circ Y$ and a natural transformation $X \circ Y \rightarrow X \vee Y$ which leads to a generalized Whitehead product. We make use of that product and sketch ideas on its dual to examine cyclic and cocyclic maps. Given spaces $X$ and $Y$, some results on Gottlieb sets $\mathcal{G}(X, Y)$ and dual Gottlieb sets $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{G}(X, Y)$ are stated.

## Introduction

The Gottlieb group $G_{n}(X)$ of a space $X$ is the subgroup of the homotopy group $\pi_{n}(X)$ of $X$ consisting of homotopy classes of maps $f: \mathbb{S}^{n} \rightarrow X$ such that the map $f \vee \operatorname{id}_{X}: \mathbb{S}^{n} \vee X \rightarrow X$ admits an extension $F: \mathbb{S}^{n} \times X \rightarrow X$. The study of the properties and structure of the Gottlieb groups represents a fundamental problem in homotopy theory dating back to their introduction by D. Gottlieb in the 1960's
[8, 10]. Connections between the Gottlieb groups and fixed point theory $[8,15,22]$, transformation groups [11, 20], covering spaces [11, 16] and the homotopy theory of fibrations [9, 12, 21] have been extensively researched.

The definition of $G_{n}(X)$ uses the concept of cyclic homotopies. K. Varadarajan [23] studies the role of cyclic and cocyclic (dual of cyclic) maps in the set-up of Eckmann-Hilton duality. The set of homotopy classes of cyclic maps $X \rightarrow Y$, denoted by $\mathcal{G}(X, Y)$ is a group provided $X$ carries an H-cogroup structure. Dually, the set of homotopy classes of cocyclic maps $X \rightarrow Y$, denoted by $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{G}(X, Y)$ is a group provided $Y$ carries an H-group structure. Relationships between these generalized Gottlieb (dual Gottlieb groups) and the generalized Whitehead product (the dual generalized Whitehead product) [1] have been considered in [ $14,17,18,19]$ and other various papers.

The aim of this paper is to present those results in the context of the so called Theriault product considered by B. Gray in [13] being an extended version of the generalized Whitehead product from [1] and its dual. The first section expounds the notions and clarify results needed in next two sections. Section 2 recalls results on cyclic maps and then takes up the systematic study of these maps in the context of results from [13].

Section 3 is devoted to cocyclic maps. First, their relations with the dual generalized Whitehead product [1] are summarized. In particular, a characterization of co-H-spaces in terms of the cocyclicity of maps is concluded. Then, following mutatis mutandis the construction presented by B. Gray in [13] and the cotelescope concept, we sketch ideas of the dual Theriault product extending the dual generalized Whitehead [1] and relate cocyclic maps to this product. Many results and proofs on the Theriault product can be dualized. The details will be published somewhere shortly.
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## 2 Prerequisites

We concentrate with connected and based spaces having the homotopy type of $C W$-complexes. All maps and homotopies preserve base points. For simplicity, we sometimes use the same symbol for a map and its homotopy class. Denote by $[X, Y]$ the set of homotopy classes of continuous maps $X \rightarrow Y$ and write $\mathbb{S}^{n}$ for the $n$-dimensional sphere. In particular, let $\pi_{n}(X)=\left[\mathbb{S}^{n}, X\right]$ be the $n$th homotopy group of a space $X$ for $n \geq 0$.

Next, write $\Sigma X$ and $\Omega X$ for the suspension and the loop space of $X$. Recall that $\Sigma X$ and $\Omega X$ are an H-cogroup and an H-group, respectively. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ then for every space $Z$, we have homomorphisms $(\Sigma f)^{*}$ : $[\Sigma Y, Z] \rightarrow[\Sigma X, Z]$ and $(\Omega f)_{*}:[Z, \Omega X] \rightarrow[Z, \Omega Y]$. Further, there are canonical natural maps $e: \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow X$ and $e^{\prime}: X \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma X$.

The following well-known results are frequently used:
Proposition 2.1. (1) If $X$ is a co-H-space, then there is a map $s: X \rightarrow$ $\Sigma \Omega X$ such that es $\simeq \mathrm{id}_{X}$;
(2) If $X$ is an H-space, then there is a map $s^{\prime}: \Omega \Sigma X \rightarrow X$ such that $s^{\prime} e^{\prime} \simeq \mathrm{id}_{X}$;
(3) Let $X$ and $Y$ be an $H$-cogroup and an H-group, respectively. Then, $[X, Z]$ and $[Z, Y]$ are groups for any space $Z$.

Let $X b Y$ be the flat product and $X \wedge Y$ the smash product, that is, the fibre and the cofibre of the inclusion $X \vee Y \hookrightarrow X \times Y$. Next, write $\Delta: X \rightarrow X \times X$ and $\nabla: X \vee X \rightarrow X$ for the diagonal and folding maps, respectively.

The Whitehead product $[-,-]: \pi_{m}(X) \times \pi_{n}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{m+n-1}(X)$, determined by the Whitehead map $w: \mathbb{S}^{m+n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{m} \vee \mathbb{S}^{n}$ plays a crucial role in the homotopy theory. The generalized Whitehead map $w: \Sigma(X \wedge Y) \rightarrow \Sigma X \vee \Sigma Y$ constructed in [1] leads to the generalized Whitehead product

$$
[-,-]:[\Sigma X, Z] \times[\Sigma Y, Z] \rightarrow[\Sigma(X \wedge Y), Z]
$$

Now, let $\mathcal{C O}$ be the category of simply connected co-H-spaces and co-Hmaps. In [13], a functor

$$
\text { - }: \mathcal{C O} \times \mathcal{C O} \rightarrow \mathcal{C O}
$$

(called the Theriault product) and a natural transformation $w: X \circ Y \rightarrow$ $X \vee Y$ for co-H-spaces $X, Y$ generalizing the Whitehead product have been defined. More precisely, in [13, Theorem 1, Theorem 2] it has been shown:

Theorem 2.2. There is a functor

$$
\circ: \mathcal{C O} \times \mathcal{C O} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C O}
$$

and equivalences in $\mathcal{C O}$ :
(1) $(\Sigma X) \circ Y \cong X \wedge Y$;
(2) $\Sigma(X \circ Y) \cong X \wedge Y$;
(3) $\left(X_{1} \vee X_{2}\right) \circ Y \cong\left(X_{1} \circ Y\right) \vee\left(X_{2} \circ Y\right)$
and homotopy equivalences:
(4) $X \circ Y \cong Y \circ X$;
(5) $(X \circ Y) \circ Z \cong X \circ(Y \circ Z)$.

Theorem 2.3. There is a natural transformation

$$
w_{\circ}: X \circ Y \longrightarrow X \vee Y
$$

which is the Whitehead product map in case $X$ and $Y$ are both suspensions. Furthermore, there is a homotopy equivalence

$$
X \times Y \cong(X \vee Y) \cup_{w_{\circ}} C(X \circ Y)
$$

where $(X \vee Y) \cup_{w_{\circ}} C(X \circ Y)$ is the mapping cone of $w_{\circ}: X \circ Y \longrightarrow X \vee Y$.
Notice that $w_{\circ}: X \circ Y \longrightarrow X \vee Y$ defines a map

$$
[-,-]_{\circ}:[X, Z] \times[Y, Z] \rightarrow[X \circ Y, Z]
$$

for any space $Z$.

## 3 Cyclic maps and evaluation groups

According to [23], a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be cyclic if there exists a map $F: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$ such that the diagram

is homotopy commutative.
Write $\mathcal{G}(X, Y)$ for the set of homotopy classes of cyclic maps from $X$ to $Y$ called the Gottlieb subset of $[X, Y]$. If $X$ is an H-cogroup then by [23, Theorem 1.5] the subset $\mathcal{G}(X, Y) \subseteq[X, Y]$ is a subgroup of $[X, Y]$. If $X=\mathbb{S}^{n}$, the $n$-dimensional sphere then $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}, Y\right)=G_{n}(Y)$ is called the $n$th evaluation subgroup of $Y$ or the $n$th Gottlieb group defined in [8] for $n=1$ and then in [10] for any $n \geq 1$. Then, $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ and $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{F} P^{n}\right)$ have been extensively studied in [6] and [7], respectively, where $\mathbb{F} P^{n}$ is the projective space over $\mathbb{F}$ being the reals $\mathbb{R}$, complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$, quaternions $\mathbb{H}$ or the Cayley algebra $\mathbb{K}$.

To show the existence of cyclic maps, we recall:
Proposition 3.1 ([23, Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4]). Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a cyclic map and $g: Z \rightarrow X$ an arbitrary map. Then:
(1) $f g: Z \rightarrow Y$ is a cyclic map;
(2) if a map $g: Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ has a right homotopy inverse then $g f: X \rightarrow$ $Y^{\prime}$ is a cyclic map.

In particular, let $X$ be a co-H-space, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $e: \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow X$ the usual map. Then $f$ is cyclic if and only if $f e: \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow Y$ is cyclic.

Proposition 3.2 ([17, Proposition 3.3]). Let $Y$ be a space. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $Y$ is an $H$-space;
(2) $\mathrm{id}_{Y}$ is cyclic;
(3) $\mathcal{G}(X, Y)=[X, Y]$ for any space $X$.

Another way in which cyclic maps arise naturally is by fibrations. Suppose $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$ is a fibration. Then we have an operation $\rho$ : $F \times \Omega B \rightarrow F$ and the restriction $\partial=\left.\rho\right|_{\Omega B}$ is cyclic.

Now, we make use of Theorem 2.3 to deduce results being key ones in sequel.

Corollary 3.3. Let $X, Y$ be spaces. Then:
(1) the map $w_{\circ}: \Sigma \Omega X \circ \Sigma \Omega Y \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega X \vee \Sigma \Omega Y$ coincides with the generalized Whitehead map $w: \Sigma(\Omega X \wedge \Omega Y) \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega X \vee \Sigma \Omega Y$;
(2) there is the commutative diagram


Then, the result [18, Proposition 4.6] leads to:
Proposition 3.4. Let $X$ be a co-H-space and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a cyclic map. Then $[f, g]_{\circ}=0$ for any map $g: Z \rightarrow Y$ provided $Z$ is a co- $H$-space.

Proof. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a cyclic map. Then by Proposition 3.1 the map $f e: \Sigma \Omega X \rightarrow Y$ is cyclic as well. Hence, in view of [18, Proposition $4.6]$, we get $[f e, g e]=0$. Because $X$ and $Z$ are co-H-spaces, Corollary 3.3 leads to $[f, g]_{\circ}=0$ and the proof is complete.

Further [5, Proposition 2.3] and Proposition 2.1 yield:
Proposition 3.5. For a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of $H$-groups, the following are equivalent:
(1) $f_{*}$ maps $[Z, X]$ into the center of $[Z, Y]$;
(2) $\nabla\left(f \vee \operatorname{id}_{Y}\right) i \simeq \star$, where $i: X b Y \hookrightarrow X \vee Y$ is the inclusion map.

If one of the conditions above is fulfilled, T. Ganea [5] says that $f$ maps $X$ into the center of $Y$.

The proof of the result below is a direct consequence of Corollary 3.3 and [14, Corollary 3 ].

Theorem 3.6. Let $X, Y$ be co-H-spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $f$ is cyclic;
(2) $f$ maps $\Omega X$ into the center of $\Omega Y$;
(3) $\left[f, \operatorname{id}_{Y}\right]_{\circ}=0$.

Theorem 3.6 generalized the result known to spheres: $f \in$ $\mathcal{G}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n+k}, \mathbb{S}^{n}\right)=G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ if and only if the Whitehead product $\left[f, \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}^{n}}\right]=0$ which has been applied in [6] to find $G_{n+k}\left(\mathbb{S}^{n}\right)$ for $k \leq 13$. Certainly, the computations depend on the Whitehead product on spheres.

Now, let $i_{1}: Y_{1} \hookrightarrow Y_{1} \vee Y_{2}$ and $i_{2}: Y_{2} \hookrightarrow Y_{1} \vee Y_{2}$ be the inclusion maps. Then, Theorem 3.6 leads to the following generalization of $[3$, Proposition 2.3]:

Corollary 3.7. Let $X, Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ be co- $H$-spaces and $f: X \rightarrow Y_{1} \vee Y_{2}$. Then, $f$ is cyclic if and only if $\left[f, i_{1}\right]_{\circ}=\left[f, i_{2}\right]_{\circ}=0$.

If $A$ is an abelian group and $n \geq 2$ then the Moore space $M(A, n)$ is a co-H-space as a suspension of some space. Because $M\left(A_{1} \oplus A_{2}, n\right) \cong$ $M\left(A_{1}, n\right) \vee M\left(A_{2}, n\right)$ for some abelian groups $A_{1}, A_{2}[3$, Proposition 2.3] has been applied to compute $G_{n}(M(A, n))$ provided $A$ is a finitely generated abelian group. The paper [2] considers the set of homotopy classes of co-structures on a Moore space $M(A, n)$, where $A$ is an abelian group and $n \geq 2$ is an integer. It is shown that for $n>2$ the set has one element and for $n=2$ the set is in one-to-one correspondence with $\operatorname{Ext}(A, A \otimes A)$. Further, a detailed investigation of the co-H-structures on $M(A, 2)$ in the case $A=\mathbb{Z}_{m}$, the integers mod $m$ has been considered. It has been shown that all co- H -structures on $M\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}, 2\right)$ are associative and commutative if $m$ is odd, and all co-H-structures on $M\left(\mathbb{Z}_{m}, 2\right)$ are associative and non-commutative if $m$ is even. Therefore, Corollary 3.7 should be useful to describe $G_{2}(M(A, 2))$ with respect to all possible co- H -structures on $M(A, 2)$ provided $A$ is a finitely generated group or more generally, $A=\bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathbb{Z}_{m_{j}}$.

Let $Y$ be an H-group and $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Recall that $f$ is called central if $c\left(\operatorname{id}_{Y} \times f\right) \simeq \star$, where $c: Y \times Y \rightarrow Y$ is the basic commutator map. If
$Y$ is an H-space then, in view of Proposition 2.1, the map $\Omega:[X, Y] \rightarrow$ $[\Omega X, \Omega Y]$ given by $f \mapsto \Omega f$ is injective. Write $[\Omega X, \Omega Y]_{\mathcal{C} \Omega}$ for the subset of $[\Omega X, \Omega Y]$ consisting of those homotopy classes of maps $\Omega f$ which are central. Following [18, Definition 4.1], we set $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)=\Omega^{-1}[\Omega X, \Omega Y]_{\mathcal{C}} \Omega$. By [18, Propositions 4.6 and 5.1], it holds:

Proposition 3.8. Let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be spaces.
(1) If $f \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma X, Z)$ then $[f, g]=0$ for any $g \in[\Sigma Y, Z]$.
(2) $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ is a subgroup contained in the center of $[X, Y]$ if $X$ is a co-H-space with a right homotopy inverse and $Y$ is any space.

It follows that if $X$ is a co-H-space with a right homotopy inverse, then for every space $Y, \mathcal{G}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(X, Y) \subseteq$ center of $[X, Y]$ as subgroups. In particular, $\mathcal{G}(X, Y)$ and $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ are abelian groups provided $X$ is a co-H-space. This generalizes Gottlieb's result from [8] that the Gottlieb group $G_{1}(Y)$ lies in the center of the homotopy group $\pi_{1}(Y)$.

## 4 Cocyclic maps and coevaluation groups

According to [23], a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be cocyclic if there is a map $F^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X \vee Y$ such that the diagram

is homotopy commutative.
Write $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{G}(X, Y)$ for the set of homotopy classes of cocyclic maps from $X$ to $Y$ called the dual Gottlieb subset of $[X, Y]$. If $Y$ is an H-group then by [23, Theorem 1.5] the subset $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{G}(X, Y) \subseteq[X, Y]$ is a subgroup of $[X, Y]$.

Certainly, every map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is cocyclic provided $X$ is a co-Hspace.

Another way in which cocyclic maps arise naturally is by cofibrations (cf. [19]). Suppose $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ is a cofibration. Then we have a cooperation $\phi: C \rightarrow C \vee \Sigma A$. Then the map $s=p_{2} \phi: C \rightarrow \Sigma A$ is cocyclic, where $p_{2}: C \vee \Sigma A \rightarrow \Sigma A$ is the projection map.

Notice that if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a cocyclic map and $g: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ has a left homotopy inverse then $f g: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ is also a cocyclic map. Then, in view of [23, Lemma 7.2], Proposition 3.1 can be dualized as follows:

Proposition 4.1. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a cocyclic map. Then:
(1) $g f: X \rightarrow Z$ is a cocyclic map for an arbitrary map $g: Y \rightarrow Z$;
(2) if a map $g: X^{\prime} \rightarrow X$ has a left homotopy inverse then $f g: X^{\prime} \rightarrow Y$ is a cocyclic map.

In particular, let $Y$ be an H-space, $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $e^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma Y$ the usual map. Then $f$ is cocyclic if and only if $e^{\prime} f: X \rightarrow \Omega \Sigma Y$ is cocyclic. Further, [19, Proposition 3.2] provides a characterization of a co-H-space in terms of the cocyclicity of maps.

Proposition 4.2. Let $X$ be a space. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) $X$ is a co-H-space;
(2) $\mathrm{id}_{X}$ is cocyclic;
(3) $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{G}(X, Y)=[X, Y]$ for any space $Y$.

Recall from [1] that given spaces $X$ and $Y$, there is a dual Whitehead map $w^{\prime}: \Omega X \times \Omega Y \rightarrow \Omega(X b Y)$. This leads to the dual generalized Whitehead product

$$
[-,-]^{\prime}:[Z, \Omega X] \times[Z, \Omega Y] \rightarrow[Z, \Omega(X b Y)]
$$

for any space $Z$.
Now, let $\mathcal{C O} \mathcal{O}^{\prime}$ be the category of simply connected H -spaces and H maps. Following mutatis mutandis the construction presented by B. Gray in [13] and the cotelescope construction, we get a functor

$$
\circ^{\prime}: \mathcal{C O}^{\prime} \times \mathcal{C O}^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C O}^{\prime}
$$

(called the dual Theriault product) and a natural transformation

$$
w^{\prime}: X \times Y \longrightarrow X \circ^{\prime} Y
$$

which leads to a map

$$
[-,-]_{\circ^{\prime}}:[Z, X] \times[Z, Y] \rightarrow\left[Z, X \circ^{\prime} Y\right]
$$

for H-spaces $X, Y$ and any space $Z$. Many results and proofs of $[-,-]$ 。 can be dualized. We mention only that the products $[-,-]^{\prime}$ and $[-,-]_{\circ^{\prime}}$ coincide provided $X, Y$ are loop spaces. However, many cannot since $[-,-]_{\circ^{\prime}}$ is not precise a dual of $[-,-]_{\circ}$. The details and dual version of Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 will be published somewhere shortly.

The dual version of Corollary 3.3 and the result [18, Proposition 4.6] yield:

Proposition 4.3. Let $Y$ be an $H$-space and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ a cocyclic map. Then $[f, g]_{\circ^{\prime}}=0$ for any map $g: X \rightarrow Z$ provided $Z$ is an $H$-space.
$>$ From this a dual version of Corollary 3.7 follows:
Corollary 4.4. Let $X_{1}, X_{2}, Y$ be $H$-spaces and $f: X_{1} \times X_{2} \rightarrow Y$. Then, $f$ is cocyclic if and only if $\left[f, p_{1}\right]_{\circ^{\prime}}=\left[f, p_{2}\right]_{\circ^{\prime}}=0$ for the projection maps $p_{1}: X_{1} \times X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1}$ and $p_{2}: X_{1} \times X_{2} \rightarrow X_{2}$.

Let $A$ be an abelian group and $n \geq 2$. Then the associated Eilenberg-MacLane space $K(A, n)$ inherits an H-structure. Because $K\left(A_{1} \times A_{2}, n\right) \cong K\left(A_{1}, n\right) \times K\left(A_{2}, n\right)$ for any abelian groups $A_{1}, A_{2}$, Corollary 4.4 should be very useful to compute $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{G}(K(A, n), Y)$ provided that $A$ is an abelian finitely generated group and $Y$ is an H -space.

The dual version of Proposition 3.5 and [5, Proposition 2.3] lead to:
Proposition 4.5. For a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ of H-cogroups, the following are equivalent:
(1) $f^{*}$ maps $[Y, Z]$ into the center of $[X, Z]$;
(2) $j\left(\operatorname{id}_{X} \times f\right) \Delta \simeq \star$, where $j: X \times Y \rightarrow X \wedge Y$ is the quotient map.

If one of the conditions above is fulfilled, we follow T. Ganea [5] to say that $f$ maps $X$ into the cocenter of $Y$. Let $X$ be an H-cogroup and $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Recall that $f$ is called cocentral if $\left(\operatorname{id}_{X} \vee f\right) c \simeq \star$, where $c: X \rightarrow X \vee X$ is the basic cocommutator map.

If $X$ is a co-H-space then the map $\Sigma:[X, Y] \rightarrow[\Sigma X, \Sigma Y]$ given by $f \mapsto \Sigma f$ is injective. A subset $\mathcal{D C}(X, Y)$ of $[X, Y]$ which is the dual of $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ has been studied in [19]. If $Y$ is an H-space then the map $\Sigma$ : $[X, Y] \rightarrow[\Sigma X, \Sigma Y]$ given by $f \mapsto \Sigma f$ is injective. Let $[\Sigma X, \Sigma Y]_{\mathcal{C} \Sigma}$ denote the subset of $[\Sigma X, \Sigma Y]$ consisting of those homotopy classes of maps $\Sigma f$ which are cocentral. Following [19, Definition 4.7], we set $\mathcal{D C}(X, Y)=$ $\Sigma^{-1}[\Sigma X, \Sigma Y]_{\mathcal{C} \Sigma}$.

In view of [19, Propositions 4.8 and 5.2], it holds:
Proposition 4.6. Let $X, Y$ and $Z$ be spaces.
(1) If $f \in \mathcal{D C}(Z, \Omega X)$ then $[f, g]^{\prime}=0$ for any $g \in[Z, \Omega Y]$;
(2) the set $\mathcal{D C}(X, Y)$ is a subgroup contained in the center of $[X, Y]$ if $Y$ is an $H$-space with a left homotopy inverse and $X$ is any space.

It follows that if $Y$ is an H -space with a right homotopy inverse, then for every space $X$ there are inclusions $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{G}(X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{D C}(X, Y) \subseteq$ center of $[X, Y]$ of subgroups. In particular, $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{G}(X, Y)$ and $\mathcal{D C}(X, Y)$ are abelian groups provided $X$ is an H-space.
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