удК 517.54

## L. V. Vyhivska

(Institute of Mathematics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, Kyiv)
liudmylavygivska@ukr.net

## Some inequalities for inner radii of partially overlapping domains

Dedicated to Prof. Yu. B. Zelinskii on the occasion of his $70^{\text {th }}$ birthday
In this paper we consider a problem on an extremal decomposition of the complex plane in the geometric function theory.

У даній роботі розглядається задача екстремального розбиття комплексної площини у геометричній теорії функцій.

1. Denotations and definitions. Let $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{R}$ be the sets of natural and real numbers, respectively, $\mathbb{C}$ be the complex plane, $\overline{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ be its one-point compactification, and $\mathbb{R}^{+}=(0, \infty)$. Let $r(D, a)$ be an inner radius of the domain $D \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to the point $a \in D$ (cf., e.g., [1-4]). An inner radius is a generalization of a conformal radius for multiply connected domains. An inner radius of the domain $D$ is associated with the generalized Green's function $g_{D}(z, a)$ of the domain $D$ by the relations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{D}(z, a)=\ln \frac{1}{|z-a|}+\ln r(D, a)+o(1), \quad z \rightarrow a, \\
& g_{D}(z, \infty)=\ln |z|+\ln r(D, \infty)+o(1), \quad z \rightarrow \infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

For a system of points $A_{n}:=\left\{a_{k}: a_{0}=0,\left|a_{k}\right|=1, k=\overline{0, n}\right\}$ and for an open set $D, A_{n} \subset D$, we denote by $D\left(a_{k}\right)$ a connected component of $D$ containing $a_{k}, k=\overline{0, n}$.

Denote by $P_{k}:=\left\{w: \arg a_{k}<\arg w<\arg a_{k+1}\right\}, a_{n+1}:=a_{1}$, $\alpha_{k}:=\frac{1}{\pi} \arg \frac{a_{k+1}}{a_{k}}, \alpha_{n+1}:=\alpha_{1}, k=\overline{1, n}, \sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}=2$.

We denote by
$D_{k}(0):=D(0) \cap \bar{P}_{k}, D_{k}\left(a_{k}\right):=D\left(a_{k}\right) \cap \bar{P}_{k}, D_{k}\left(a_{k+1}\right):=D\left(a_{k+1}\right) \cap \bar{P}_{k}$,
for each $k=\overline{1, n}, a_{n+1}:=a_{1}$.
The open set $D, A_{n} \subset D$, satisfies the non-overlapping condition with respect to the system of points $A_{n}$, if the equality
$\left[D_{k}(0) \cap D_{k}\left(a_{k}\right)\right] \cup\left[D_{k}(0) \cap D_{k}\left(a_{k+1}\right)\right] \cup\left[D_{k}\left(a_{k}\right) \cap D_{k}\left(a_{k+1}\right)\right]=\emptyset$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, holds for all different points $a_{k}$ which belong to $\bar{P}_{k}$.

The system of domains $\left\{D_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{n}$ satisfies a partially overlapping condition with respect to the system of points $A_{n}$, if the open set $D=\cup_{k=0}^{n} D_{k}$ satisfies the non-overlapping condition with respect to the system $A_{n}$.
2. Formulation of the problem. The main goal of the work is to obtain a sharp upper bound for the functional

$$
J_{n}(\gamma)=r^{\gamma}\left(D_{0}, 0\right) \prod_{k=1}^{n} r\left(D_{k}, a_{k}\right)
$$

where $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{+},\left|a_{k}\right|=1, a_{0}=0,\left\{D_{k}\right\}_{k=0}^{n}$ are the system of partially overlapping domains such that $a_{k} \in D_{k} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ for $k=\overline{0, n}$. The problem was formulated in the work [1].
3. Results and proofs. The following theorem strengthens the main result of the work [5].

Theorem 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geqslant 4, \gamma \in\left(0, \gamma_{n}\right], \gamma_{4}=4,17, \gamma_{5}=5,71$, $\gamma_{6}=7,5, \gamma_{7}=9,53, \gamma_{8}=11,81$, and $\gamma_{n}=0,1215 n^{2}$ for $n \geqslant 9$. Then for any different points of the unit circle $\left|a_{k}\right|=1$ such that $0<\alpha_{k}<2 / \sqrt{\gamma}$, $k=\overline{1, n}$, and for any system domains $D_{k}, a_{k} \in D_{k} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}, k=\overline{0, n}$, which satisfy the partially overlapping condition with respect to points of the unit circle, the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}(\gamma) \leq\left(\frac{4}{n}\right)^{n} \frac{\left(\frac{4 \gamma}{n^{2}}\right)^{\frac{\gamma}{n}}}{\left(1-\frac{\gamma}{n^{2}}\right)^{n+\frac{\gamma}{n}}}\left(\frac{1-\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{n}}{1+\frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{n}}\right)^{2 \sqrt{\gamma}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality is attained if $a_{k}$ and $D_{k}, k=\overline{0, n}$, are, respectively, poles
and circular domains of the quadratic differential

$$
Q(w) d w^{2}=-\frac{\left(n^{2}-\gamma\right) w^{n}+\gamma}{w^{2}\left(w^{n}-1\right)^{2}} d w^{2}
$$

Proof. Let domains $D_{k}, k=\overline{0, n}$, satisfy conditions of Theorem, and we have the open set $D=\cup_{k=0}^{n} D_{k}$. Then the inequality

$$
r\left(D_{k}, a_{k}\right) \leq r\left(D, a_{k}\right)
$$

holds, and, obviously, we obtain

$$
r^{\gamma}\left(D_{0}, 0\right) \prod_{k=1}^{n} r\left(D_{k}, a_{k}\right) \leq r^{\gamma}(D, 0) \prod_{k=1}^{n} r\left(D, a_{k}\right)
$$

Further, consider the system of functions:

$$
\zeta=\pi_{k}(w)=-i\left(e^{-i \theta_{k}} w\right)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{k}}}, \quad k=\overline{1, n}
$$

The family of the functions $\left\{\pi_{k}(w)\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ is called admissible for the separating transformation of the open set $D$, with respect to the angles $\left\{P_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$. Let $M_{k}^{(1)}, k=\overline{1, n}$, denote the domain of the plane $\zeta$, obtained as a result of the union of the connected component of the set $\pi_{k}\left(D \bigcap \bar{P}_{k}\right)$ containing the point $\pi_{k}\left(a_{k}\right)$ with the own symmetric reflection with respect to the imaginary axis. In turn, by $M_{k}^{(2)}, k=\overline{1, n}$, one denotes the domain of the plain $\mathbb{C}_{\zeta}$, which are obtained as a result of the union of the connected component of the set $\pi_{k}\left(D \bigcap \bar{P}_{k}\right)$ containing the point $\pi_{k}\left(a_{k+1}\right)$ with the own symmetric reflection with respect to the imaginary axis, $\pi_{n}\left(a_{n+1}\right):=\pi_{n}\left(a_{1}\right)$. Moreover, we denote $M_{k}^{(0)}$ as the domain of the plane $\mathbb{C}_{\zeta}$, obtained as a result of the union of the connected component of the set $\pi_{k}\left(D \bigcap \bar{P}_{k}\right)$ containing the point $\zeta=0$ with the own symmetric reflection with respect to the imaginary axis. Denote by $\pi_{k}\left(a_{k}\right):=m_{k}^{(1)}, \pi_{k}\left(a_{k+1}\right):=m_{k}^{(2)}, \quad k=\overline{1, n}$, $\pi_{n}\left(a_{n+1}\right):=m_{n}^{(2)}$. From the definition of the function $\pi_{k}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\pi_{k}(w)-m_{k}^{(1)}\right| \sim \frac{1}{\alpha_{k}} \cdot\left|w-a_{k}\right|, \quad w \rightarrow a_{k}, \quad w \in \overline{P_{k}} \\
\left|\pi_{k}(w)-m_{k}^{(2)}\right| \sim \frac{1}{\alpha_{k}} \cdot\left|w-a_{k+1}\right|, \quad w \rightarrow a_{k+1}, \quad w \in \overline{P_{k}}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\left|\pi_{k}(w)\right| \sim|w|^{\frac{1}{\alpha_{k}}}, \quad w \rightarrow 0, \quad w \in \overline{P_{k}} .
$$

Further, using the result of the papers [1,2], we obtain the inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
r\left(D, a_{k}\right) \leq\left[\alpha_{k} \alpha_{k-1} r\left(M_{k}^{(1)}, m_{k}^{(1)}\right) r\left(M_{k}^{(2)}, m_{k}^{(2)}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad k=\overline{1, n}  \tag{2}\\
r(D, 0) \leq\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} r^{\alpha_{k}^{2}}\left(M_{k}^{(0)}, 0\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

From inequalities ((2)), ((3)), we obtain the inequality

$$
J_{n}(\gamma) \leq \prod_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} r^{\gamma \alpha_{k}^{2}}\left(M_{k}^{(0)}, 0\right) r\left(M_{k}^{(1)}, m_{k}^{(1)}\right) r\left(M_{k}^{(2)}, m_{k}^{(2)}\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

Using the technique developed in [4, p. 269-274], we obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}(\gamma) \leqslant\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}\right)\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} r^{\alpha_{k}^{2} \gamma}\left(G_{k}^{(0)}, 0\right) r\left(G_{k}^{(1)},-i\right) r\left(G_{k}^{(2)}, i\right)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{k}^{(0)}, G_{k}^{(1)}, G_{k}^{(2)}$ are circular domains of the quadratic differential

$$
Q(w) d w^{2}=\frac{\left(4-\alpha_{k}^{2} \gamma\right) w^{2}-\alpha_{k}^{2} \gamma}{w^{2}\left(w^{2}+1\right)^{2}} d w^{2}
$$

such that $0 \in G_{k}^{(0)},-i \in G_{k}^{(1)}, i \in G_{k}^{(2)}$. Let

$$
S(x)=2^{x^{2}+6} \cdot x^{x^{2}} \cdot(2-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}(2-x)^{2}} \cdot(2+x)^{-\frac{1}{2}(2+x)^{2}}, \quad x \in[0,2]
$$

Then, from inequality (4) according to [1, 2], we obtain the estimate

$$
J_{n}(\gamma) \leqslant \gamma^{-n / 2}\left(\prod_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}\right)\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} S(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leqslant \gamma^{-n / 2}\left[\prod_{k=1}^{n} L(x)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

where

$$
L(x)=2^{x^{2}+6} \cdot x^{x^{2}+2} \cdot(2-x)^{-\frac{1}{2}(2-x)^{2}} \cdot(2+x)^{-\frac{1}{2}(2+x)^{2}}, \quad x \in[0,2] .
$$

Consider the extremal problem

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{n} L\left(x_{k}\right) \longrightarrow \max ; \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}=2 \sqrt{\gamma}
$$

$$
x_{k}=\alpha_{k} \sqrt{\gamma}, \quad 0<x_{k} \leqslant 2
$$

Let $F(x)=\ln (L(x))$ and $X^{(0)}=\left\{x_{k}^{(0)}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ is any set of extremal points of the problem which is considered above.

Repeating the arguments of [5] we obtain the statement: if $0<x_{k}^{(0)}<x_{j}^{(0)}<2, k \neq j$, then the following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F^{\prime}\left(x_{k}^{(0)}\right)=F^{\prime}\left(x_{j}^{(0)}\right), k, j=\overline{1, n}, k \neq j \\
& F^{\prime}(x)=2 x \ln 2 x+(2-x) \ln (2-x)- \\
& -(2+x) \ln (2+x)+\frac{2}{x}(\text { see Fig. } 1)
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 1: A graph of the function $F^{\prime}(x)$

Let us verify that for the above-accepted relations the following condition is valid: $x_{1}^{(0)}=$ $=x_{2}^{(0)}=\ldots=x_{n}^{(0)}$. Let $F^{\prime}(x)=h, y_{0} \leqslant h \leqslant 1, y_{0} \approx-0,17$. Consider values $h$ :

$$
h_{1}=1, h_{2}=0,95, h_{3}=0,9, h_{4}=0,85, \cdots, h_{23}=-0,15, h_{24}=-0,17
$$

We need to find a solution of the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\prime}(x)=h_{k}, k=\overline{1,24} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $h_{k} \in\left[y_{0}, 1\right]$ the equation has two solutions:

$$
x_{1}\left(h_{k}\right) \in\left(0, x_{0}\right], x_{2}\left(h_{k}\right) \in\left(x_{0}, 2\right], x_{0} \approx 1,324683
$$

Results of direct calculations are given in the following table.

| $k$ | $h_{k}$ | $x_{1}\left(h_{k}\right)$ | $x_{2}\left(h_{k}\right)$ | $3 x_{1}\left(h_{k}\right)+x_{2}\left(h_{k+1}\right)$ | $4 x_{1}\left(h_{k}\right)+x_{2}\left(h_{k+1}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1,00 | 0,697331 | 2,000000 |  | 4,781964 |
| 2 | 0,95 | 0,708144 | 1,992640 | 4,084633 | 4,815810 |
| 3 | 0,90 | 0,719344 | 1,983233 | 4,107666 | 4,849925 |
| 4 | 0,85 | 0,730957 | 1,972549 | 4,130581 | 4,884614 |
| 5 | 0,80 | 0,743014 | 1,960786 | 4,153657 | 4,920085 |
| 6 | 0,75 | 0,755550 | 1,948028 | 4,177071 | 4,956513 |
| 7 | 0,70 | 0,768602 | 1,934315 | 4,200964 | 4,994064 |
| 8 | 0,65 | 0,782217 | 1,919654 | 4,225462 | 5,032904 |
| 9 | 0,60 | 0,796446 | 1,904035 | 4,250687 | 5,073211 |
| 10 | 0,55 | 0,811347 | 1,887429 | 4,276766 | 5,115178 |
| 11 | 0,50 | 0,826991 | 1,869791 | 4,303831 | 5,159023 |
| 12 | 0,45 | 0,843462 | 1,851059 | 4,332032 | 5,204996 |
| 13 | 0,40 | 0,860858 | 1,831149 | 4,361534 | 5,253389 |
| 14 | 0,35 | 0,879304 | 1,809955 | 4,392531 | 5,304553 |
| 15 | 0,30 | 0,898950 | 1,787338 | 4,425249 | 5,358914 |
| 16 | 0,25 | 0,919989 | 1,763115 | 4,459964 | 5,417001 |
| 17 | 0,20 | 0,942675 | 1,737044 | 4,497012 | 5,479494 |
| 18 | 0,15 | 0,967348 | 1,708794 | 4,536819 | 5,547283 |
| 19 | 0,10 | 0,994487 | 1,677892 | 4,579935 | 5,582811 |
| 20 | 0,00 | 1,059462 | 1,604865 | 4,588325 | 5,797340 |
| 21 | $-0,05$ | 1,100561 | 1,559491 | 4,737878 | 5,904991 |
| 22 | $-0,10$ | 1,152868 | 1,502748 | 4,804430 | 6,027642 |
| 23 | $-0,15$ | 1,234855 | 1,416172 | 4,874775 | 6,264103 |
| 24 | $-0,17$ | 1,324683 | 1,324683 | 5,029248 |  |

Taking into consideration properties of the function $F^{\prime}(x)$ and the condition of Theorem, we obtain the following inequality from the table, respectively, for $n=\overline{4,8}, h_{k} \leqslant h \leqslant h_{k+1}, k=\overline{1,23}$ :

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{k}(h)>(n-1) x_{1}\left(h_{k}\right)+x_{2}\left(h_{k+1}\right) \geqslant 2 \sqrt{\gamma_{n}}
$$

Thus, the case $\left\{x_{k}^{(0)}\right\}_{k=1}^{n} \in\left(0, x_{0}\right], x_{0} \approx 1,324683, n=\overline{4,8}$, is possible only for the extremal set $X^{(0)}$, and, therefore, $x_{1}^{(0)}=x_{2}^{(0)}=\cdots=x_{n}^{(0)}$.

The inequality

$$
\left(x_{1}\left(h_{k}\right)-0,6973\right) n+\left(x_{2}\left(h_{k+1}\right)-x_{1}\left(h_{k}\right)\right)>0, n \geqslant 9,
$$

the proof of which is based on the technique developed in [5], is true for roots of the equation (5).

Then

$$
n x_{1}\left(h_{k}\right)+\left(x_{2}\left(h_{k+1}\right)-x_{1}\left(h_{k}\right)\right)>0,6973 n .
$$

Solving the inequality

$$
0,6973 n>2 \sqrt{\gamma_{n}},
$$

conclude that $\gamma_{n}=0,1215 n^{2}$, for $n \geqslant 9$.
Therefore, in the case $n \geqslant 9$, the set of the points $\left\{x_{k}^{(0)}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$ can not be the extremal, provided $x_{n}^{(0)} \in\left(x_{0} ; 2\right]$. Then, the case may be only for the extremal set $\left\{x_{k}^{(0)}\right\}_{k=1}^{n}$, when $x_{k}^{(0)} \in\left(0, x_{0}\right], k=\overline{1, n}$, and $x_{1}^{(0)}=x_{2}^{(0)}=$ $=\ldots=x_{n}^{(0)}$. For all $\gamma<\gamma_{n}, n \geqslant 9$, all the previous reasoning holds. The Theorem is proved

Corollary 1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geqslant 4, \gamma \in\left(0, \gamma_{n}\right]$, $\gamma_{4}=4,17, \gamma_{5}=5,71$, $\gamma_{6}=7,5, \gamma_{7}=9,53, \gamma_{8}=11,81$, and $\gamma_{n}=0,1215 n^{2}$ for $n \geqslant 9$. Then for any different points of the unit circle $\left|a_{k}\right|=1$ such that $0<\alpha_{k}<2 / \sqrt{\gamma}$, $k=\overline{1, n}$, and for any system domains $D_{k}, a_{k} \in D_{k} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}, k=\overline{0, n}$, which satisfy the partially overlapping condition with respect to points of a unit circle, the following inequality holds

$$
r^{\gamma}\left(D_{0}, 0\right) \prod_{k=1}^{n} r\left(D_{k}, a_{k}\right) \leq r^{\gamma}\left(\Lambda_{0}, 0\right) \prod_{k=1}^{n} r\left(\Lambda_{k}, \lambda_{k}\right),
$$

where $\lambda_{k}$ and $\Lambda_{k}, k=\overline{0, n}$, are, respectively, poles and circular domains of the quadratic differential

$$
Q(w) d w^{2}=-\frac{\left(n^{2}-\gamma\right) w^{n}+\gamma}{w^{2}\left(w^{n}-1\right)^{2}} d w^{2}
$$

Corollary $2([5,6])$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geqslant l \in\{5,4\}(l=5$ in [5], $l=4$ in [6]), $\gamma \in\left(0, \gamma_{n}\right], \gamma_{n}=n$. Then for different points of the unit circle $\left|a_{k}\right|=1$ such that $0<\alpha_{k}<2 / \sqrt{\gamma}, k=\overline{0, n}$, and for any non-overlapping domains $B_{k}, a_{k} \in B_{k} \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}, k=\overline{1, n}, a_{0}=0 \in B_{0}$, the inequality (1) holds. The equality is attained under the same condition as in Theorem 1.
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