Ishfaq Ahmed¹, Tehmina Fiaz Qazi², Shaista Jabeen³ FOLLOWERS' PERSONALITY IN THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN LEADERSHIP STYLE AND PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM HIGHER EDUCATION SECTOR

The present research has been carried out to discover the influence of leadership style of a teacher on the academic performance of students with self-efficacy as moderating variable. The object of this study was the students so that we can evaluate the leadership style of a teacher and to observe the influence of leadership style on the academic performance of students. An estimated sample of 250 students was asked for volunteer contribution, out of which 212 students contributed to the research by filling out the questionnaires. All these students have been taught by a researcher, a faculty member at Hailey College of Commerce, University of Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. These students were further categorized on the basis of a tenure they have been taught by the said teacher. 111 students have been taught for 1 semester, 70 students for 2 semesters and 31 students were those who assessed the leadership style after 1 year of their class interaction with the teacher. Questionnaire consisted of 3 portions for the assessment of a leadership style of the teacher; indication of their own self-efficacy level and their perceived academic performance. Study concluded that there is a significant effect of transformational leadership style of a teacher and students' self-efficacy on the students' academic performance and presumed that the moderator (self-efficacy) does not really moderate the effects of the predictor (teacher's transformational leadership style) on the outcome variable (students' academic performance).

Keywords: transformational leadership style; teacher; students; academic performance; self-efficacy; Pakistan.

Ішфак Ахмед, Теміна Фіаз Казі, Шаіста Джабін ЗВ'ЯЗОК МІЖ СТИЛЕМ ЛІДЕРСТВА ТА УСПІШНІСТЮ (ЗА ДАНИМИ ВИЩОЇ ОСВІТИ)

У статті продемонстровано вплив стилю лідерства викладача на академічну успішність студентів, при цьому самоефективність розглядається як стримуючий фактор. Анкети було роздано 250 студентам, 212 з них погодились їх заповнити. Всі опитані студенти навчались у одного й того ж викладача Комерційного коледжу Університету Пенджаба (Лахор, Пакистан). Студентів поділено на групи за тривалістю навчання у викладача: 111 студентів — 1 семестр; 70 — 2 семестр; 31 — більше року. Анкета складалася з 3 блоків: оцінювання стилю лідерства викладача; визначення рівня самоефективності та сприйняття власної успішності. Зроблено висновки, що стиль лідерства викладача та самоефективність студентів суттєво впливають на їхню успішність, а очікуваний стримуючий ефект самоефективності не було доведено.

Ключові слова: стиль лідерства; викладач; студент; академічна успішність; самоефективність; Пакистан.

Рис. 1. Табл. 4. Літ. 56.

Lecturer, Hailey College of Commerce, University of Punjab, Pakistan.

² Research Scholar, Hailey College of Commerce, University of Punjab, Pakistan.

³ Research Scholar, Hailey College of Commerce, University of Punjab, Pakistan.

Ишфак Ахмед, Темина Фиаз Кази, Шаиста Джабин СВЯЗЬ МЕЖДУ СТИЛЕМ ЛИДЕРСТВА И УСПЕВАЕМОСТЬЮ (ДАННЫЕ ПО ВЫСШЕМУ ОБРАЗОВАНИЮ)

В статье показано влияние стиля лидерства преподавателя на академическую успеваемость студентов, при этом самоэффективность студентов рассматривается как сдерживающий фактор. Анкеты были розданы 250 студентам, 212 из них согласились их заполнить. Все опрошенные студенты учились у одного и того же преподавателя Коммерческого колледжа Университета Пенджаба (Лахор, Пакистан). Студенты поделены на группы по длительности обучения у преподавателя: 111 студентов – 1 семестр; 70 – 2 семестра; 31 – больше года. Анкета состояла из 3 блоков: оценивание стиля лидерства преподавателя; определение уровня самоэффективности и их восприятие собственной успеваемости. Сделаны выводы, что стиль лидерства преподавателя и самоэффективность студентов существенно влияют на их успеваемость, а предпологаемый фактор сдерживания влияния (самоэффективность) не является на самом деле сдерживающим.

Ключевые слова: стиль лидерства; преподаватель; студенты; академическая успеваемость; самоэффективность; Пакистан.

Introduction. For the prosperous growth of any society the role of education can not be neglected. Education is the major element for development of any state (Aikaman & Unterhalter, 2005). A nation can succeed without vast natural and monetary resources, but the economic progress in the absence of human capital is not possible, and the key element in the development of human capital is a sound education system (Nsubuga, 2003). For normal growth of a country educational institutions serve as a boosting engine that generates positive thoughts and values.

Effective leadership and educational efficiency. Productive work of an organization depends upon strong leadership. Similarly successful running and effectiveness of an educational institution can be improved with leading improvements such as: maximizing the time for a teacher to accomplish the tasks, enhancing responsibility, and minimizing replication (Nsubuga, 2003). Effective leadership strengthens motivation so that people can realize their objectives and this has resultant effect on strong output and productivity (Porter and Applewhite, 1968; Davis, 1969; Byar and Rue, 1970). Ultimately, competences of students rise to maximum level through the efficient leadership style of a teacher who puts forward his best efforts to enhance the motivation of his students.

An educational institution requires the enhanced devotion from its teachers for the fulfillment of the objectives through active leadership (Cole, 2002) in which a teacher plays a leading role, considers all – students as members of a team, assumes the responsibility to keep the students at the right track, and helps them to achieve their academic goals. Performance and efficiency of an academic institution can be maximized through leadership activities, i.e., building self-confidence and compensation (Balunywa, 2000). Therefore, it becomes clear that efficient leadership style of a teacher increases the confidence of the students so that they can promote their academic performance and boost-up the institutional progress as well.

Self-efficacy and academic performance. Performance is not only a function of leadership styles, some intrinsic factors also affect performance of individuals. Out of

these factors individual personality is an important factor. Out of various personality traits self-efficacy is one of the widely discussed.

Vialle (n.d.) proposes that there is a positive relationship between self-efficacy and students' performance. The educational attainment of students reveales that those students perform well who have confidence as compared to the students who are less confident. Studies show that self-confident students participate well in class, solve out complicated tasks, and organize their activities. The students who have low self-efficacy level are less confident, hesitate in class, and can not be relied on in some situations.

Leadership styles of a teacher effecting students' performance. Walberg and Anderson (1968) propose that authority is fundamentally a complete control which a teacher can fully exercise in a classroom to supervise the students (Jenkins and Lipitt, 1951). This supremacy of a teacher sustains a definite psychological environment in a classroom that influences the academic performance of the students. Walberg & Anderson (1968) conclude that if self-governed environment is maintained in a class, it positively affects the students and they show proficient output in the learning. If the teachers maintain high-quality understanding with their students, their academic performance will of the latter definitely be increased (Cogan, 1954).

The far-away and commanding relationship of a teacher with the students is considered to be an authoritative style. The main emphasis of an authoritative teacher is only to perform the task without proper understanding of the students (Krech and Crutchfield, 1962; Edem 1979). The authoritative style of a teacher generates nervousness, irritation, violence, fighting, and stress among the students (Ekpe, 1982). Therefore, attitude of a teacher is the major element that explores the output level of the students (Ann, 1974, Bergan and Dunn, 1976). Flander (1965); Porter & Applewhite (1968); Donaldson and Elias (1976); and Gronund (1985) propose conclusions that vigorous, strong, pleasant and two-way connection between a teacher and the students has an effect on the performance of the students. A teacher assumes a leadership role, chooses the way to interact with the students, and acts as a representative in class so that communication and mode of interaction associate with the performance standards of the students. Gronlund (1985) suggests that if a teacher adopts democratic leadership style, it ultimately encourages collaboration among students and, therefore, strengthens their knowledge, skills and performance teacher. Teacher serves as a representative and provides sense of independency to the students so that they can express themselves and interact with their fellows. If the students are fully independent in their dealings, they feel they are an important part of the society and are content both in individual and work-related issues. This ultimately influences their academic performance (Walberg & Anderson, 1968).

This research plays its part in building a connection between leadership style of a teacher according to students' evaluation and their supposed academic performance, by taking their level of self-efficacy as the moderator of this relationship.

Literature review

Transformational leadership style. Burns (1978) establishes the "transformational leadership theory" with additional improvements made by different authors (Bass, 1985, 1998; Bennis & Nanus, 1985; Tichy & Devanna, 1986; Avolio & Bass, 1988; Bass & Avolio, 1994). Krishnan (2005) gives details of this theory with the main

emphasis on its core principle of the leader's capability to stimulate the students and to place over this motivation on what they intend to perform. Therefore, a teacher who has transformational leadership style has effects on the students in 4 major ways, i.e., "inspirational motivation, individualized consideration, intellectual stimulation, idealized influence". The major emphasize of a transformational leader is to create harmony in basic beliefs of the students and the organizational objectives. He creates in his students a self-dependency to do their work by putting their own efforts. He encourages the students being a role model. He supports the students in pinpointing their positive and negative points and enhancing their performance to the desired level. (Bass, 1985).

Individualized effects	Characteristics	Previous researches
Empowerment	1) Self-confidence	Masi & Cooke, 2000
	2) Students point of view to think by	
	putting their own efforts	
	3) Participative environment	
Devotion	1) Workplace knowledge, managerial	Allen & Meyer, 1990, 1996;
	and individual factors serve as	Dee, Henkin, & Singleton,
	experience	2004; Nguni, Sleegers, &
	2) Keenness	Denessen, 2006
Self-confidence	1) Have impact on thinking, feelings,	Bandura, 1977, 1993; Gist,
Beliefs	and achievement	1987; Waldman & Spangler,
	2) Enhances when a teacher shows trust	1989
	to students	
Faith	1) Crucial for the association of a	Podsakoff et al. 1990;
	transformational leader and students	Butler, Cantrell, & Flick,
	2) Find out the nature of an	1999; Gillespie & Mann,
	organization and have impact on	2000;
	organizational arrangement	
Stimulus	1) Additional attempt is a gauge	Hatter & Bass, 1988; House
	2) One of three main areas of student's	& Shamir, 1993
	growth	
T 1	3) High enthusiasm among the students	N. (6.4000 N
Job contentment	1) Accountability and independence in	Maeroff, 1988; Nguni,
	jobs	Sleegers, & Denessen, 2006;
	2) Stems from student's awareness	Emery & Barker, 2007

Self-efficacy and academic performance. The idea of self-efficacy can be clarified as self-sufficiency of individuals on their own ability to take some explicit steps, or fully use their potential and as a result attain the desired performance (Bandura, 1977, 1982, 1997). The approach to take some outgoing steps, the willpower to defeat the troubles, and active measures to fulfill the allocated jobs, all these have to bear the influence of self-efficacy (Bandura, 1997). Different researchers study this in multiple time periods, and their findings show that self-efficacy and academic performance are significantly interrelated (Sadri & Robertson, 1993; Pajares, 1996; Vrugt, Langereis & Hoogstraten, 1997; Manstead & Van-Eekelen, 1998; Newby-Fraser & Schlebusch, 1998; Stajkovic & Luthans, 1998; Wolters & Pintrich, 1998). In the real world there is still an uncertainty about self-efficacy and performance relationship. Because a lot of declarations have been given on complex issues and there is no solid base to realize the assigned tasks (Lent & Hackett, 1987).

Some research has been carried out in academic settings to focus on self-efficacy assessment and the consequential performance. Schunk et al. (1987) and Zimmerman et al. (1992) propose that in the fields which are newest to students such as at the beginning of a semester or new topics, efficacy potential based on the competence to take experience of new things, or on the potential occurring from the prior academic performance as compared to the knowledge about the task. Multon et al. (1991) find that self-efficacy measures depend upon the preferred field as compared to the assigned tasks. If someone gives superior performance, it enhances the efficacy potential and it turns out to be lesser through poor performance. Self-efficacy not only takes the effect of poor or winning performance, it takes the consequences of how information is assessed by performing easily in difficult tasks. Hence, current research is an addition to the body of knowledge as it is conducted with an intention to explore the association between transformational leadership style of a teacher and students' perceived academic performance and to analyze the impact of students' selfefficacy level on this association as a moderating factor in the current research model.

Research methodology

The present research has been carried out to discover the influence of transformational leadership style of a teacher on the academic performance of the students with self-efficacy as a moderating variable l.



Figure 1. The research model

The target population of this study was the students so that we can evaluate the leadership style of a teacher and observe the influence of leadership style on the academic performance of the students. A sample of 250 students was asked for volunteer contribution, out of which 212 students contributed to the research by filling out the questionnaires (data collection tool). All these students had been taught by "Sir. Ishfaq Ahmed", a permanent faculty member of Hailey College of Commerce, University of Punjab, Lahore, Pakistan. These students were further categorized on the basis of the tenure they had been taught by the said teacher. 111 students had been taught for 1 semester, 70 students for 2 semesters and 31 students were those who assessed the leadership style and responded after 1 year of their studies. The questionnaire consisted of 3 portions, i.e., assessment of transformational leadership style of the teacher; indication of their own self-efficacy level and their perceived academic performance. Major portion of the questionnaire was adopted from the research by Sherer et al. (1982).

Research findings. Table 1 shows the frequencies of demographical factors of the respondents. In the current research, majority (81.6%) of the respondents were in the age of 21-25 years, 14.6% respondents were 15-20 years and rest of them (3.8%) were

between 26-30 years. Majority of the respondents were male (61.3%) and the rest (38.7%) were female students. As for the educational qualification, 60.8% of the respondents were Bachelors, 32.2% were Master level students, and remaining students (9%) were at M. Phil. level.

[Encouronau	Democratoria
		Frequency	Percentage
	15-20 years	31	14.6
Age	21-25 years	173	81.6
	26-30 years	8	3.8
	Male	130	61.3
Gender	Female	82	38.7
	Bachelors	129	60.8
Educational	Masters	64	32.2
Level	M. Phil.	19	9.0

Table 1. Frequencies of Demographical Traits

Table 2 shows the descriptive statistics of the variables of this study.

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation		
Performance	212	3.6562	.62528		
Transformational	212	3.2028	.48596		
Self-efficacy	212	3.3074	.54756		
Transformational leadership-Efficacy	212	.1062	1.09316		

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of variables

Regression results of transformational leadership style of a teacher, self-efficacy and academic performance. Table 3 shows the summarized resultant values of the regression analyses. Firstly, R value (.227) shows a weak positive relationship between IVs and DV. Correlation coefficients of dependent and independent variables are always considered to be equivalent to the R value. Hence, R value (.227) depicts a weak positive correlation between IVs and DV. R square value shows the percentage variation in DV that is caused by the IV. In this model, it is explicit that IVs cause 5.2% (.052) change in DV and rest of the portion is accounted for other factors held constant in the model. This shows a weak dependency (5.2%) of performance of the students upon transformational leadership and self-efficacy. Positive sign of B value (.364) shows there is a positive relation between IVs and DV. P value (.004) for IV is below the significant level (.05). Hence, the results suggest there is a significant effect of transformational leadership style of a teacher and students' self-efficacy (IV) on students' academic performance (DV) at the p<.05 level for [F= 5.699].

Table 3. Impact of IVs on Academic Performance (DV)

Independent Variables	R	\mathbb{R}^2	В	F	Р
Self-efficacy					
Transformational leadership	.227	.052	.364	5.699	.004

Dependant Variable= Academic Performance

Table 4 shows the summarized resultant values of the regression analyses. Under change statistics, it is found that *R* Square Change is 0.010 when the interaction variable (transformational leadership-self efficacy) is added to the IV and MV. This change is insignificant, F(1,208) = 2.277, p = (0.133>.05). The insignificant inter-

action suggests that presumed moderator (self-efficacy) does not indeed moderate the effects of the predictor (teacher's transformational leadership style) on the outcome variable (students' academic performance).

Model	R	R	Change Statistics				
	[Square	R Square	F Change	df1	df2	Sig. F Change
		_	Change	_			
1	.227ª	.052	.052	5.699	2	209	.004
2	.249 ^b	.062	.010	2.277	1	208	.133

Table 4. Impact of moderator (self-efficacy) on the model

a. Predictors: (constant), self-efficacy, transformational leadership style of the teacher

b. Predictors: (constant), self-efficacy, transformational, transformational efficacy

Conclusion. This research is an addition to the body of knowledge as it was conducted to explore the association between transformational leadership style of a teacher and students' perceived academic performance and to analyze the impact of students' self-efficacy level on this association as a moderating factor. The findings of the study suggest there is a significant effect of transformational leadership style of a teacher and students' self-efficacy on students' academic performance and the presumed moderator (self-efficacy) does not indeed moderate the effects of the predictor (a teacher's transformational leadership style) on the outcome variable (students' academic performance).

Future implications. Current research could further be elaborated by taking different leadership style of the teachers under consideration and comparing their impacts on the students' academic performance. Scope of the study could definitely be enlarged by taking different teachers' leadership style incorporated as predictors of the study model.

References:

1. Aikman, S. & Unterhalter, E. (2005). Beyond access: Transforming policy and practice for gender equality in education. London: Oxford.

2. Allen, N. J., & Meyer, J. P. (1990). The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization. Journal of Occupational Psychology, 63, 1-18.

3. *Allen, N. J., & Meyer, J. P.* (1996). Affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization: An examination of construct validity. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 49, 252-276.

4. Ann, J. K. (1974). Sex differences in performance ability test as a function of masculity, feminity and androgyny. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 4, 42-51.

5. Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (1988). Transformational leadership, charisma, and beyond. In: J. G. Hunt, B. R. Baliga, H. P. Dachler, & C. A. Schriesheim (eds.), Emerging leadership vitas (pp. 29-49). Lexington, MA: Lexington Books.

6. Balunywa, W.S. (2000). A hand book of business management. Kampala: Ugandan Press.

7. Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: Toward a unified theory of behavioral change. Psychological Review, 84, 191-215.

8. Bandura, A. (1982). Self-efficacy mechanisms in human agency. American Psychologist, 37, 122-147.

9. *Bandura*, *A*. (1993). Perceived self-efficacy in cognitive development and functioning. Educational Psychologist, 28(2), 117-148.

10. Bandura, A. (1997). Self-efficacy: The exercise of control. New York: W.H. Freeman.

11. Bass, B. M. (1985). Leadership and performance beyond expectations. New York: The Free Press.

12. *Bass, B. M.* (1998). Transformational leadership: industrial, military, and educational impact. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

13. *Bass, B. M., & Avolio, B. J.* (1994). Improving organizational effectiveness through transformational leadership. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

14. Bennis, W. G., & Nanus, B. (1985). Leaders: The strategies for taking charge. New York: Harper & Row.

15. Bergan, J., Dunn, J. (1976). Psychology and education: A science for instruction. New York: John Wiley and Sons.

16. Burns, J.M. (1978). Leadership. New York: Harper & Row.

17. Butler, J., Cantrell, R., & Flick, R. (1999). Transformational leadership behaviors, upward trust and satisfaction in self-managed work teams. Organization Development Journal, 17(1), 13-28.

18. *Byars, U., Rue, L.W.* (1970). Personnel Management: Concepts and application. New York: W. E. Saunder Press.

19. Cogan, M.L. (1954). Preparation for college in the United States. Higher Education Quarterly, 8(3), 251-264.

20. Cohen, D., & Strayer, J. (1996). Empathy in conduct-disordered and comparison youth. Developmental Psychology, 32, 988-998.

21. Cole, G.A. (2002). The administrative theory and workers' motivation, Zante Institute of Administration Press LtD, ABU Zaria, Nigeria.

22. Davis, J.H. (1969). Group performance. Reading. Mass: Addison Wesley.

23. Dee, J. R., Henkin, A. B., & Singleton, C. A. (2004). Organizational commitment of teachers in urban schools: Examining the effects of team structures. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Diego, CA.

24. Donaldson, B., Elias, R. F. (1976). Mood and memory in learning. San Francisco: The Free Press.

25. *Ekpe, E.S.* (1982). The personal characteristics of secondary school principals and their relationship to teachers' participation in decision making in secondary schools in Calabar Municipality. Unpublished M.Ed Thesis, University of Calabar.

26. *Emery, C. R., & Barker, K. J.* (2007). The effect of transactional and transformational leadership styles on the organizational commitment and job satisfaction of customer contact personnel. Journal of Organizational Culture, Communication and Conflict, 11(1), 77.

27. Flander, N.A. (1965). Teachers' influence on students' altitudes and achievement. Coop. Res. Mono. 12: 17-21.

28. *Gillespie*, *N. & Mann*, *L.* (2000). The building blocks of trust: The role of transformational leadership and shared values in predicting team members' trust in their leaders. Paper presented at the 2000 Academy of Management Conference, Toronto.

29. Gist, M. E. (1987). Self-efficacy: Implications for organizational behavior and human resource management. Academy of Management Review, 12, 472-485.

30. Gronlund, N.E. (1985). Measurement and evaluation in teaching. New York: Macmillan Press.

31. *Hatter, J. J., & Bass, B. M.* (1988). Superiors' evaluations and subordinates' perceptions of transformational and transactional leadership. Journal of Applied Psychology, 73, 695-702.

32. *House, R. J., & Shamir, B.* (1993). Toward the integration of transformational, charismatic, and visionary theories. In: M. M. Chemers & R. Ayman (Eds.), Leadership theory and research: Perspectives and directions (pp. 81-107). San Diego: Academic Press.

33. *Jenkins, D.H., Lipitt, R.* (1951). Interpersonal perception of teachers, students and parents. Washington DC: National Education Association.

34. Krech, D., Crutchfield, L. (1962). Individuals in society: A textbook of social psychology. New York: McGraw-Hill.

35. *Krishnan, V. R.* (2005). Transformational leadership and outcomes: Role of relationship duration. Leadership & Organization Journal, 26(5/6), 442-457.

36. Lent, R. W., & Hackett, G. (1987). Career self-efficacy: Empirical status and future directions. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 30, 347-382.

37. Maeroff, G. (1988). The empowerment of teachers. New York: Teachers College Press.

38. *Manstead, A. S. R., & Van-Eekelen, S. A. M.* (1998). Distinguishing between perceived behavioral control and self-efficacy in the domain of academic intentions and behaviors. Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 28, 1375-1392.

39. *Masi, R. J., & Cooke, R.A.* (2000). Effects of transformational leadership on subordinate motivation, empowering norms, and organizational productivity. The International Journal of Organizational Analysis, 8(1), 16-47.

40. *Multon, K. D., Brown, S. D., & Lent, R. W.* (1991). Relation of self-efficacy beliefs to academic outcomes: A meta-analytical investigation. Journal of Counseling Psychology, 38, 30-38.

41. *Newby-Fraser, E., & Schlebusch, L.* (1998). Social support, self-efficacy and assertiveness as mediators of student stress. Journal of Human Behavior, 34, 61-69.

42. Nguni, S., Sleegers, P., & Denessen, E. (2006). Transformational and transactional leadership effects on teachers' job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and organizational citizenship behavior in primary schools: The Tanzanian case. School Effectiveness and School Improvement, 17(2), 145-177.

43. *Nsubuga, Y.K.K.* (2003). Development and examination of secondary education in Uganda: Experience and challenges Kampala; Uganda.

44. Pajares, F. (1996). Self-efficacy beliefs in academic settings. Review of Educational Research, 66, 543-578.

45. Porter, D.E., Applewhite, P.A. (1968). Studies of organizational behavior and management. Scranton: Textbook Press.

46. Sadri, G., & Robertson, I. T. (1993). Self-efficacy and work-related behavior: A review and metaanalysis. Applied Psychology, 42, 139-152.

47. Schunk, D. H., Hanson, A. R., & Cox, P. D. (1987). Peer-model attributes and children's achievement behaviors. Journal of Educational Psychology, 79, 54-61.

48. Sherer, M., Maddux, J.E., Mercandante, B., Prentice-Dunn, S., Jacobs, B. & Rogers, R.W. (1982). The self-efficacy scale: construction and validation. Psychological Reports, 51, 663-671.

49. *Stajkovic, A. D., & Luthans, F.* (1998). Self-efficacy and work-related performance: A metaanalysis. Psychological Bulletin, 124, 240-261.

50. Tichy, N. M., & Devanna, M. A. (1986). The transformational leader. New York: Wiley.

51. Vialle Wilma. (n.d) Retrieved June 8, 2011 from http://www.aare.edu.au/98pap/and98319.htm.

52. Vrugt, A. J., Langereis, M. P., & Hoogstraten, J. (1997). Academic self-efficacy and malleability of relevant capabilities as predictors of exam performance. Journal of Experimental Education, 66, 61-72.

53. *Walberg, H.J., Anderson, G.J.* (1968). Classroom climate and individual learning. Journal of Educational Psychology, 59(6), 414-419.

54. Waldman, D. A., & Spangler, W. D. (1989). Putting together the pieces: A closer look at the determinants of job performance. Human Performance, 2(1), 29-59.

55. Wolters, C. A., & Pintrich, P. R. (1998). Contextual differences in student motivation and selfregulated learning in mathematics, English, and social studies classrooms. Instructional Science, 26, 27-47.

56. Zimmerman, B. J., Bandura, A., & Martinez-Pons, M. (1992). Self-motivation for academic attainment: The role of self-efficacy beliefs and personal goal setting. American Educational Research Journal, 29, 663-676.

Стаття надійшла до редакції 18.07.2011.