

Tolkyn Azatbek

D.Sc. (Economics), Professor, Department of Economics, L. N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University 5 Munaytpasov Str., Astana, 010000, Republic of Kazakhstan tolkyn\_d2005@mail.ru ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0750-8811

> Axana Panzabekova PhD (Economics), Associate Professor, Institute of Economics, Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan 29 Kurmangazy Str., Almaty, 050010, Republic of Kazakhstan aksanat@mail.ru ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6389-9637



Lidiya Bekenova PhD (Economics), Almaty Academy of Economics and Statistics 59 Zhandosov Str., Almaty, 050035, Republic of Kazakhstan bekenova\_l@mail.ru ORCID ID: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0298-6817

> Zhaina Yegizbayeva MA (Economics), Senior Lecturer, L. N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University 5 Munaytpasov Str., Astana, 010000, Republic of Kazakhstan zhaina777\_kz@mail.ru ORCIDID: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1503-7581





# The share of drug trafficking in Kazakhstan's GDP: methods for evaluation

## Abstract

*Introduction.* In Kazakhstan, no systematic work is carried out to collect data and evaluate illicit activities in the form in which it could be compared with the main macroeconomic indicators.

The purpose of the article, therefore, is to examines methods for evaluation of the share of drug trafficking in Kazakhstan's GDP and GRP.

The methodology used in the research is based on the principles of the System of National Accounts.

*Results.* Based on international experience and practice of applying the evaluation of illicit activities according to the recommendations of Eurostat, the authors carry out an estimation of the share of illicit traffic in narcotic drugs in the economy of Kazakhstan in 2011-2016 as a whole and by regions. The most common drugs in Kazakhstan are heroin, cannabinoids (marijuana, hashish) and opiates. Thus, the analysis and evaluation were carried out for these types of drugs. Base at the proposed formulas, the calculations were made to evaluate the use of each drug in Kazakhstan, the volume of imports and domestic production, trade margins and gross value added (GVA) by activities related to its spread.

*Conclusion.* The calculations make it possible to estimate the real volumes of drug trafficking in Kazakhstan's GRP and GDP. The evaluation of the share of illicit drug trafficking in Kazakhstan's GDP has shown a declining trend in recent years: the share of GVA regarding GDP in 2016 amounted to KZT 199,306.5 million (USD 596.726 million), which was 0.58%. However, heroin consumption is the largest one, due to its prevalence among consumers. Despite the lack of production of heroin on the territory of Kazakhstan, its transit and distribution remains stable.

Keywords: GDP; GVA; GRP; Narcotics; Illicit Drug Trafficking; Statistics; Kazakhstan

## JEL Classification: A10

DOI: https://doi.org/10.21003/ea.V166-06

## Азатбек Т.

доктор економічних наук, професор,

Євразійський національний університет ім. Л. М. Гумільова, Астана, Республіка Казахстан

### Панзабекова А.

кандидат економічних наук, доцент,

Інститут економіки Міністерства освіти і науки Республіки Казахстан, Алмати, Республіка Казахстан

### Бекенова Л.

кандидат економічних наук, Алматинська академія економіки та статистики, Алмати, Республіка Казахстан Єгізбаєва Ж.

магістр економіки, старший викладач,

Євразійський національний університет ім. Л. М. Гумільова, Астана, Республіка Казахстан

Методика оцінки обсягу незаконного обігу наркотиків у структурі ВВП Казахстану

### Анотація

У статті наведено аналіз незаконного обігу наркотиків у Казахстані для того, щоб визначити частку такого обігу в структурі ВВП країни. Оцінку обсягів незаконного обігу наркотичних засобів в економіці Казахстану було проведено з урахуванням зарубіжного досвіду та рекомендацій Євростату. Використана методика ґрунтується на принципах системи національних рахунків. Проведені розрахунки за 2011–2016 рр. дали можливість визначити реальні обсяги незаконного обігу наркотиків у структурі ВВП та ВРП Казахстану.

Ключові слова: ВВП; валова додана вартість; ВРП; наркотики; наркоторгівля; Казахстан; статистика.

#### Азатбек Т.

доктор экономических наук, профессор,

Евразийский национальный университет имени Л. Н. Гумилева, Астана, Республика Казахстан

Панзабекова А.

кандидат экономических наук, доцент,

Институт экономики Министерства образования и науки Республики Казахстан, Алматы, Республика Казахстан Бекенова Л.

кандидат экономических наук, Алматинская академия экономики и статистики, Алматы, Республика Казахстан Егизбаева Ж.

магистр экономики, старший преподаватель,

Евразийский национальный университет имени Л. Н. Гумилева, Астана, Республика Казахстан

Методика оценки объемов незаконного оборота наркотиков в структуре ВВП Казахстана

Аннотация. В статье проведен анализ незаконного оборота наркотиков в Казахстане с целью определения его доли в ВВП страны. Оценка объемов незаконного оборота наркотических средств в экономике Казахстана проведена с учётом зарубежного опыта и рекомендаций Евростата. Использованная методика основана на принципах системы национальных счетов. Проведённые расчеты за 2011–2016 гг. дали возможность определить реальные объемы незаконного оборота наркотиков в структуре ВВП и ВРП Казахстана.

Ключевые слова: ВВП; валовая добавленная стоимость; ВРП; наркотики; наркоторговля; Казахстан; статистика.

## 1. Introduction and Brief Literature Review

Currently, drug trafficking, as a global illicit trade, is not formally incorporated into GDP of any country. Yet, scientists, scholars and researchers conduct studies on issues related to the shadow economy and drug trafficking. Here, we can mention the names of A. Bretteville-Jensen and E. Biørn (2004) [1], A. Robson (2012) [2], F. Schneider (2008) [3] and B. Brown (2006) [4]. Also, the World Drug Report is published annually by the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime [5]. A number of countries and national researchers have conducted calculations to evaluate the relevant activities using different approaches, namely: the Netherlands (2013), Denmark (2011-2013), Italy (1993; 1997), the UK (1998; 2006) and Sweden (2008) [6-10]. Countries such as Albania, Brazil, and Moldova are oriented toward labour costs in the calculation of illicit activities (UN, 2008) [11]. In Kazakhstan, an experimental evaluation of the share of profits obtained from drug trafficking in Kazakhstan's GDP was carried out by T. Azatbek and A. Řamazanov in 2015 [12].

At the present stage of development, the main methodological tool of EU countries to measure illicit activities is the international statistical standard known as the System of National Accounts (SNA) in the 2008 version [13], as well as the international dimension guidelines for measuring the non-observed economy developed by OECD (2002) [14], and Eurostat's Tabular Approach is a practical tool for implementing the principles of the abovementioned guidelines. Eurostat's Tabular Approach was therefore taken by us as the basis for the evaluation.

#### 2. Purpose

The purpose of the study is to develop a methodology for the evaluation of the share of profits obtained from drug trafficking in GDP of Kazakhstan by region.

#### 3. Results

The objective evidence pointing to the existence of the non-observed economy, a constituent element of which is illicit activity, including drug trafficking, necessitates the development of methodologies and guidelines for evaluating the share of profits obtained from drug trafficking in the national economy.

Drug trafficking is a big challenge in terms of statistical measurement due to its closed nature. Persons involved in illegal operations have more than obvious reasons to hide their involvement. Hence, it follows that the more dangerous for society the activity is and the more serious punishment is provided for it by the national criminal law, the less valid the traditional sources of information relevant to the measurement are.

Therefore, when calculating drug transactions, in order to obtain the optimal results of such evaluation, it is advisable to use the following basic identities of the System of National Accounts for the quantity and cost indicators for each type of drug (1):

Output + Import = Consumption + Export + Confiscation. (1)

Consideration of intermediate consumption in the production and trade of drugs depends on how it is accounted for in the accounts at the moment. There are two possibilities:

1. Intermediate consumption has already been taken into account as such. In this case, the added value will be the trade mark-up and the issue of domestic producers.

2. Intermediate consumption is mistakenly accounted for as final consumption. In this case, the added value will be trading margin issuance of domestic manufacturers minus intermediate consumption, removed from the final consumption.

The income created as a result of illicit drug operations is used for final consumption, capital investment in non-financial and financial assets (if necessary, after money laundering). It can be assumed that costs under legal items will be implicitly included in the indicators of the System of National Accounts.

In Kazakhstan, no systematic work is carried out to collect data and evaluate illicit activities in the form in which it could be compared with the main macroeconomic indicators. Statistics are often of forensic nature. Moreover, seizure statistics for types of offenses are often in physical terms, which does not carry economic content.

There are more systematic data on the drug business in Kazakhstan, which is the result of greater investment in this area, constant attention from the state, growing international concern regarding the problem and involvement of a large number of departments and government agencies in the fight against drug trafficking. In Kazakhstan, information on drug trafficking is aggregated by the Committee on Legal Statistics and Special Accounts of the Committee for Legal Statistics and Special Accounts of the State Office of Public Prosecutor of the Republic of Kazakhstan [15]. In addition, statistics on drugs, in the context of committed crimes, is maintained by the Department for Combating Drug Trafficking and Drug Control of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Kazakhstan [16], and information on drug addicts is processed by the Republican Center on Prevention and Control of AIDS [17].

The most common drugs in Kazakhstan are heroin, cannabinoids (marijuana, hashish) and opiates. Thus, the analysis and evaluation were carried out in the context of these types of drugs, because law enforcement and medical organisations have data available on them.

To begin with, it is necessary to evaluate the indicators in physical terms. Then, it is essential to convert them into cost indicators by using price data. The calculation of drug use is made on the basis of formula (2):

$$C = N * D, \qquad (2)$$

where C - annual consumption of drugs addicts (grams); N - the number of drug addicts (persons);

*D* - the average amount of drugs consumed by addicts per year (grams).

As numbers, we used data generated by the Republican Centre on Prevention and Control of AIDS (RC AIDS) under the rapid assessment of the situation. RC AIDS estimates the number of injecting drug users (IDUs) in accordance with its own methodology. Thus, according to the RC AIDS, has estimated the number of heroin users, since almost all IDUs use heroin. The number of injecting drug users ranges from 129 thousand people in 2011 to 112 thousand in 2013 [18]. The average dose and frequency were taken according to the National report on the Drug Situation in the Republic of Kazakhstan (2012) [19]. According to the report, it is assumed that one addict uses 2 gr. of heroin (normal receipt) 183 days in a year. More frequent consumption can significantly reduce a person's life. In addition, it depends on the solvency of the addict. Thus, the consumption of all drug addicts per year ranges from 47.3 tons in 2008 to 41.3 tons in 2016 [20].

Due to the nature and availability of raw materials, heroin

is not produced in Kazakhstan. It is imported from Afghanistan and other producers in the form of powder with high concentration. Further, in Kazakhstan, wholesale dealers dilute and adjust it to the consumer in the form of powder with low concentration. This dilution process is considered to production. For example, 80-percent heroin can be realised for bulk sales, while a single dose contains only 20% of the drug. Accordingly, it is possible to obtain 4 grams of product, which is purchased by the end user, from 1 gram of wholesale heroin. This relation allows us to estimate the volume of imports into the country (3).

$$I_{h} = C_{h} * Pr_{h} / Pw_{h}, \qquad (3)$$

where  $I_{b}$ - import (grams);

 $Pr_{h}$  - street purity of heroin (%);

 $Pw_{h}^{"}$  - wholesale purity of heroin (%);

 $C_{h}$  - heroin consumption (grams).

Further, the volume of domestic production in the country is determined by the difference (4):

$$DP_{h} = C_{h} - I_{h}, \qquad (4)$$

where  $DP_{h}$  - domestic production of heroin (grams);  $C_{h}$  - heroin consumption (grams);

 $I_{L}^{"}$  - import of heroin (grams).

After determining the physical volume (in grams) of the basic parameters, it is necessary to evaluate them in terms of value. Imports and domestic production of drugs are valued at wholesale prices, whereas consumption is valued at retail prices.

The main resulting indicator, which can be compared to GDP, is GVA. It is defined as follows (5):

$$GVA_{b} = DP_{b} + MU_{b} - IC_{b}, \qquad (5)$$

where  $GV\!A_{\hbar}$  - gross valued added of heroin (KZT million);

 $DP_{h}$  - domestic production of heroin (KZT million);

 $M U_{h}^{n}$  - trade margin of heroin (KZT million);

 $IC_h^{-h}$  - intermediate consumption of heroin (KZT million).

Intermediate consumption in the drug trade is not significant. Additional material used for diluting drugs is cheap enough. Therefore, the share of intermediate consumption is estimated at 10% of the trade margin.

The trade margin involved in the calculation of GVA is defined as follows (6):

$$MU_h = C_h * (Pr_h - Pw_h), \tag{6}$$

where  $MU_h$  - trade margin of heroin (KZT million);  $C_h$  - consumption of heroin (grams);

$$P\tilde{r}_{i}$$
 - retail price of heroin (tenge);

 $Pw_{k}^{n}$  - wholesale price of heroin (tenge).

Thus, on the basis of the proposed formulas, the calculations were made to evaluate the use of heroin in Kazakhstan, the volume of imports and domestic production, trade margins and gross value added by activities related to the spread of heroin.

Being the most important macroeconomic indicator, gross value added reveals the share of the relevant type of activity in the country's GDP.

Thus, according to Figure 1, there is «torn» dynamics through which the reduction of the share of GVA of heroin in gross rating points (GRP) from 2011 to 2016 is discerned.

After analysing the 2016 data in the context of the regions, we have the following situation: the maximum share of GVA from heroin trafficking is observed in Atyrau, Mangistau, South Kazakhstan and Zhambyl regions, which is associated with the highest number of heroin addicts in these regions and with the price of heroin. The lowest proportion is observed in Kyzylorda region - 1.84% (Figure 2).



Fig. 1: Share of GVA in GRP from heroin trafficking by region, 2011-2016 Source: Calculated and compiled by the authors based on data [5; 18-21]



Fig. 2: Structure of GVA heroin trafficking by regions in 2016 (%) Source: Calculated and compiled by the authors based on data [5; 18-21]

Azatbek, T., Panzabekova, A., Bekenova, L., & Yegizbayeva, Zh. / Economic Annals-XXI (2017), 166(7-8), 31-36

Drugs of cannabis group are of many varieties, depending on the processing of hemp. Nevertheless, in this work we consider only marijuana and hashish, because these drugs are the most consumed in this group.

According to experts, as well as due to the fact of existence of the largest production of cannabis in Kazakhstan (Chui valley), the import of marijuana and hashish is not reported. This means that all relevant products are produced and consumed within the country.

Domestic production of marijuana and hashish is defined by the formula (7).

$$DP_{mh} = N_{mh} * D_{mh} * Pw_{mh} , \qquad (7)$$

where  $DP_{mh}$  - domestic production of marijuana and hashish (tenge, million);

 $N_{mh}$  - number of drug addicts (persons);  $D_{mh}^{mh}$  - average amount of drugs consumed by addicts in one year (grams);

 $Pw_{mh}$  - wholesale price for marijuana and hashish (tenge).

The estimated number of drug addicts by cannabinoids is determined on the basis of the latest national survey in 2011 conducted by National Scientific and Practical Center on Medical and Social Problems of Drug Addiction [22] and operational data from the Department for Combating Drug Trafficking and Drug Control of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Kazakhstan [21]. Therefore, according to the data, 1.7% of the population at the age 7-55 uses cannabinoids.

Using data on the population in this age group, we estimated the number of drug addicts, which exceeds the number of those who had been registered by health authorities by almost 14 times. According to the estimates, the consumption of cannabinoids ranges from 6.4 tons to 7.2 tons in different years. Domestic production is estimated from KZT 424.1 million to KZT 1 376.9 million in different years.

Gross value added is estimated by the formula (8).

 $GVA_{mh} = DP_{mh} + MU_{mh} - IC_{mh}$ ,

where GVA<sub>wb</sub> - gross value added of marijuana and hashish (KZT million):

 $\mathit{DP}_{\scriptscriptstyle mh}$  - domestic production of marijuana and hashish (KZT million

 $M\dot{U}_{mh}$ - trade margin of marijuana and hashish (KZT million);

IC. - intermediate consumption of marijuana and hashish (KZT million).

The trade margin involves in the calculation of GVA and is defined as follows (9).

$$MU_{mh} = C_{mh} * (Pr_{mh} - Pw_{mh}),$$
(9)

where MU<sub>wh</sub> - trade margin of marijuana and hashish (KZT million);

Ì

 $C_{\rm mh}$  - consumption of marijuana and hashish (grams);

 $P_{mh}^{ma}$  - retail price of marijuana and hashish (tenge);  $P_{w_{mh}}^{ma}$  - wholesale price of marijuana and hashish (tenge).

According the calculations, we see the following picture (Figure 3): GVA dynamics of cannabinoids trafficking by regions in 2008-2013 has multidirectional nature.

In Akmola, Almaty, Zhambyl regions, a decrease in GVA is strongly expressed; in Karaganda, Mangistau and Almaty regions there is an increase in the GVA data. In other regions, we observe «torn» dynamics, thus it is difficult to discern trends and draw definite conclusions.

Data on Pavlodar region are guestionable due to a sharp increase in GVA in some years, which may be due to a deficit of the goods, and thus inflated retail prices.

However, from a macroeconomic point of view, the share of GVA to GRP is a more interesting indicator, because the nominal value of GVA as such does not mean anything. As shown in Figure 4, it is noticeable that the largest share of GVA is observed in Pavlodar, South Kazakhstan and Zhambyl regions. Moreover, GVA growth is much faster than the growth of gross regional product of the above regions.

Further, let us consider GVA related to cannabinoids trafficking in the regional context. According to Figure 5, the largest share of cannabinoids trafficking was in Pavlodar region



(8)

Fig. 3: GVA dynamics of cannabinoids turnover by regions in 2011-2016 (KZT million) Source: Calculated and compiled by the authors based on data [5; 18-21]

Azatbek, T., Panzabekova, A., Bekenova, L., & Yegizbayeva, Zh. / Economic Annals-XXI (2017), 166(7-8), 31-36



Fig. 4: Dynamics of GVA to GRP of cannabinoids by regions in 2008-2013 (%) Source: Calculated and compiled by the authors based on data [5; 18-21]

of Kazakhstan, which in 2008-2013 amounted to more than a quarter in the structure of the entire GVA, whereas the lowest share was in West Kazakhstan region.

Calculation of opium consumption indicators.

The situation with opium, as one of various types of drugs, is reversed - it lacks the domestic production. This drug is fully imported and the volume of its consumption is calculated by the formula (10):

$$I_{a} = N_{a} * D_{a} * P w_{a}, \qquad (10)$$

where I - import of opium (KZT million);

N - number of drug addicts (persons);

 $D_{o}^{'}$  - the average amount of drugs consumed by addicts per year (grams);

 $Pw_{a}$  - wholesale price of opium (tenge).

The approach to the definition of GVA and trade margin is similar to the approaches used to define other forms of drug abuse, namely (11) and (12).

$$GVA_{a} = DP_{a} + MU_{a} - IC_{a}, \qquad (11)$$

where  $GV\!A_{o}$ - gross value added of opium (KZT million);

 $DP_{o}$  - domestic production of opium (KZT million);

 $MU_{o}$  - trade margin of opium (KZT million);  $IC_{o}$  - intermediate consumption of opium (KZT million).

$$MU_{a} = C_{a} * (Pr_{a} - Pw_{a}), \qquad (12)$$

where  $MU_{\rm _{o}}\text{-}$  trade margin of opium (KZT million);

 $C_a$  - consumption of opium (grams);

Pr<sub>a</sub> - retail price of opium (tenge);

 $Pw_{a}$  - wholesale price of opium (tenge).

According to the calculations, opium consumption does not exceed 400 kg in different years, due to the high price of opium and non-proliferation measures in Kazakhstan. Here, GVA is estimated to be from KZT 214.1 million to KZT 826 million in different years. Consequently, its GVA in GRP is very small in connection with such insignificant amount and proportion.

The evaluation of heroin, opium and cannabinoids trafficking by regions is shown in Figure 6.

As shown in Figure 6, there is a steady decline in the share of GVA regarding GDP. In 2016, it amounted to KZT 199,306.5 million (USD 596.726 million), which is 0.58%. The largest share was observed in Zhambyl, Mangistau, South Kazakhstan regions. The persistently low share in Figure 6 shows the dynamics of GVA drug trafficking, which reflects a decrease.



Fig. 5: **GVA from cannabinoids turnover by regions in 2016, KZT million** Source: Calculated and compiled by the authors based on data [5; 18-21]





#### 5. Conclusions

The evaluation of the share of illicit drug trafficking in Kazakhstan's GDP and GRP in 2011-2016 has shown a declining trend in recent years: its share of GVA regarding GDP of Kazakhstan in 2016 amounted to KZT 199,306.5 million (USD 596.726 million), which was 0.58%. The most common drugs in Kazakhstan are heroin, cannabinoids and opiates. Heroin consumption has the largest share among all types of drugs, due to its prevalence among consumers. Despite the lack of production of heroin on the territory of Kazakhstan, its transit and distribution remains stable.

The results of the evaluation reflect the trend in general statistics for various government bodies in Kazakhstan which collect data on various areas of accounting for the amount of seized narcotic drugs and the number of drug addicts. In Kazakhstan, no systematic work is carried out to collect data and evaluate illicit activities in the form in which it could be compared with the main macroeconomic indicators.

This fact confirms that it is advisable to use the tabular method of Eurostat to estimate the volumes of drug business and the non-observed economy in Kazakhstan. The authors' findings and approaches used to determine the share of drug trafficking in Kazakhstan's GDP make it possi-

ble to determine the amount of penetration of drug business into the system of the country's national accounts. To apply the obtained results in practice, it is necessary to adjust the system for collecting and presenting statistical data for different state bodies, leading them to unified standards.

#### References

1. Bretteville-Jensen, A. L., & Biørn, E. (2004). Do prices count? A micro-econometric study of illicit drug consumption based on self-reported data. Empirical

Economics, 29(3), 673-695. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00181-004-0205-9
 Robson, A. (2012). Crime, Punishment and Deterrence - Markets for Illegal Activities and the Economics of Public Law Enforcement. Law and Markets. London: Palgrave Macmillan. Retrieved from https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1057%2F9780230354944\_9

3. Schneider, F. G. (2008). Shadow economy. In Ch. K. Rowley, & F. G. Schneider (Eds.), Readings in Public Choice and Constitutional Political Economy

(pp. 511-532). Boston: Springer. Retrieved from http://www.springer.com/us/book/9780387745749
 4. Brown, B. (2006). What Drives Global Capital Flows? Myth, Speculations and Currency Diplomacy. London: Palgrave Macmillan. doi: https://doi.org/10.1057/9780230627291

5. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (2017). World Drug Report 2017. Vienna: United Nations. Retrieved from https://www.unodc.org/wdr2017/index.html 6. Kazemier, B., Bruil, A., Steeg, van de, A., & Rensman, M. (2013). The contribution of illegal activities to national income in the Netherlands. *Public Finance Review, 41*(5), 544-577. doi: https://doi.org/10.1177/1091142113482354

7. Statistics Denmark (2011-2013). Component 1.2: Classifications in national accounts. Estimating Illegal Activities in Denmark. Retrieved from http://www.dst.dk/ext/846446758/0/ukraine/ENG\_Estimating-illegal-activities-in-Denmark--pdf 8. Rey, G. M. (1997). Economic analysis and empirical evidence of illegal activity in Italy. Rome: ISTAT.

Singleton, N., Murray, R., & Tinsley, L. (Eds.). (2006). Measuring different aspects of problem drug use: methodological developments. Home Office Online Report 16/06, (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Research Development and Statistics Directorate, Home Office. Retrieved from http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20110218140138/http://rds.homeoffice.gov.uk/rds/pdfs06/rdsolr1606.pdf
 Magnusson Wärmark, B., Björling, M., Pappila, M., & Engdah J. (2008). Illegal activities in Sweden. Statistics Sweden. Retrieved from http://www.scb.

se/statistics/publikationer/NR0103\_2008A01\_BR\_NRFT0801.pdf 11. United Nations (2008). Non-observed economy in national accounts. Survey of national practices. Geneva. Retrieved from https://www.unece.org/ fileadmin/DAM/stats/publications/NOE2008.pdf

Azatbek, T., & Ramazanov, A. (2015). Measurement of Kazakhstan's non-observed economy (based on the principles of the System of National Accounts). *Economic Annals-XXI, 155*(11-12), 67-72. Retrieved from http://soskin.info/userfiles/file/Economic-Annals-pdf/S155-0015(15)067.pdf
 United Nations (2008). *System of National Accounts, 2008.* Retrieved from https://unstats.un.org/unsd/nationalaccount/docs/sna2008.pdf

14. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (2002). Measuring the Non-Observed Economy. A Handbook. Paris: OECD Publications

Service. Retrieved from https://www.oecd.org/std/na/1963116.pdf 15. Committee for Legal Statistics and Special Accounts of the State Office of Public Prosecutor of the Republic of Kazakhstan (2017). Official web-site. Retrieved from http://pravstat.prokuror.kz/eng

16. Department for Combating Drug Trafficking and Drug Control of the Ministry of Internal Affairs of the Republic of Kazakhstan (2017). Official web-site.

Retrieved from http://mvd.gov.kz/portal/page/portal/mvd/MVD/mvd\_nav\_main1/Comitet\_dep/Dep\_anti\_narkobesiness (in Russ.) 17. Republican Center on Prevention and Control of AIDS (2018). *Official web-site*. Retrieved from http://www.rcaids.kz/en/?sid=ovop9st3urqftn2kk1bu7kkb50 18. Ganina, L. Yu., Yelizaryeva, A. V., Kaspirova, A. A., Ivakin, V. Yu., Kryukova, V. A., & Abishev, A. T. (2016). *Population size estimate of people who inject drugs (PWID) in the Republic of Kazakhstan*. Report. Ministry of Health and Social Development of the Republic of Kazakhstan. Republican Center on Prevention and Control of AIDS. Retrieved from http://www.rcaids.kz/files/00002589.pdf

19. Yusopov, O., Akhmetova, R., Gafarova, N., Elizaryeva, A., Ergaliev, Z., & Zhumataeva, G. (2012). National report on the Drug Situation in the Republic of Kazakhstan. Retrieved from http://md.gov.kz/portal/page/portal/mvd/%D0%90%D0%A0%D0%A5%D0%98%D0%92%20%D0%A1%D0%A2%D 0%A0%D0%90%D0%9D%D0%98%D0%A6%20%D0%9E%D0%A4%D0%9E%D0%A0%D0%9C%D0%9B%D0%95%D0%9D%D0%98%D0%AF/ KBN\_MVD/kbn\_nav\_activ/KBN\_situac/AR%20Kazakhstan%20ENG-.pdf

20. International Narcotics Control Board (2017). Report of the International Narcotics Control Board for 2016. New York: United Nations. Retrieved from

International National National Control Board (2017). Report of the Minimulational National Control Board (2017). New York: United Nations: Netherlevel information on the results of the operative activities of the Internal Affairs Agencies in combating the drug business in June 2017. Retrieved from http://mvd.gov.kz/portal/page/portal/mvd/mvd\_page/min\_activities of the Internal Affairs Agencies in combating the drug business in June 2017. Retrieved from http://mvd.gov.kz/portal/page/portal/mvd/mvd\_page/min\_activity/mvd\_materials/mvd\_dep\_anti\_narkobesiness/mvd\_rezultaty\_raboty\_dbn/%00%09b00%080%01%80%00%B
 Axb00%BE%D0%BF%D1%81%D1%82%D1%83%D0%BF%D0%BD%D0%BE%D1%81%D1%82%D1%83C.pdf (in Russ.)
 National Scientific and Practical Center on Medical and Social Problems of Drug Addiction (2012). National Report on the Drug Situation in the Republic of Kasakhatan (2017). National Report on the Drug Situation in the Republic of Kasakhatan (2017).

of Kazakhstan for 2012 (Drug situation in 2011). Astana/Prague: National Scientific and Practical Center on Medical and Social Problems of Drug Addiction/ CADAP. Retrieved from http://mvd.gov.kz/portal/page/portal/mvd/%D0%90%D0%A0%D0%A5%D0%98%D0%92%20%D0%A1%D0%A2%D0%A0%D 0%90%D0%9D%D0%98%D0%A6%20%D0%9E%D0%A4%D0%9E%D0%A0%D0%9C%D0%9B%D0%95%D0%9D%D0%98%D0%AF/KBN\_MVD/ kbn\_nav\_activ/KBN\_situac/AR%20Kazakhstan%20ENG-.pdf (in Russ.)

Azatbek, T., Panzabekova, A., Bekenova, L., & Yegizbayeva, Zh. / Economic Annals-XXI (2017), 166(7-8), 31-36