

classification signs of concept "system of economic security of the enterprise" according to theories of systems seems the important scientific task which performance will allow to order properly components of this system, to determine consistent patterns and the principles of their interaction. **Aims:** Theoretical pre-empirical connection of the main concepts and interpretation of system approach in science with gnoseological requirements of a system-creation in the field of economic security of the enterprise. **Results:** Rational functioning of system of economic security of the enterprise is one of the main tasks of science about security, and the general theory of systems established that any difficult organizational system always tries to optimize efficiency of functioning concerning achievement of the purposes set for it. Here full coincidence of the purpose of functioning of diverse systems is watched the character. Approaches to interpretation of concepts "system of economic security" and "system of economic security of the enterprise" can conditionally be divided into two groups. The first group is approaches which don't make a start from any systemacity postulates. In this case the used semanteme "system" makes exclusively connotative sense and doesn't add to concept of system of economic security of signs of systemacity. The second group is approaches which for term definition "system of economic security" use concept of system according to theories of systems and their versions. Extremely urgent task is establishment of identity or difference of concept "system of economic security" within these approaches. Use of set of scientific opinions of the first group for the purpose of identification of theoretical, syntagmatic and pragmatological aspects of systemacity in concept "system of economic security of the enterprise" is low-expedient. Proceeding from the analysis of scientific opinions of the second group, the concept of system of economic security is "superconcept" concerning system of economic security of the enterprise, but the principles of their internal decomposition different. And application of system approach "system of economic security" and "system of economic security of the enterprise (the subject of housekeeping, the organization)" allows fully and to interpret consistently a difference between concepts, to divide areas of their application. The decompositional and intrinsic difference between the specified concepts predetermines differentiation of approaches to a choice and application of a system-creation factor which has exclusive value at creation of systems of economic security. It gives the grounds to consider research within the second group such which form theoretical basis of concept "system of economic security of the enterprise" on the principles of systemacity and can be used for further researches. **Conclusions:** Term use "system" in ontologic sense is for today low-expedient concerning opportunities of further research of objects systems. Comparison of the concept "systemacity" to the concept "integrity" for interpretation of systemacity limits concept volume "system" only to ascertaining of a certain nature of object which doesn't predetermine direct emergence of the gnoseological principles of further researches. The prevailing direction of scientific researches in the field of systems is what forms object of research in all completeness of its natural manifestations, instead of adapts to research opportunities of mathematical apparatus. Within such direction there were essentially new interpretation of concept of system which have other base of an explication. The system of economic security of the enterprise at the heart of which, on the one hand, the homeostasis and equifinality lies that predetermine its attempt to be in a condition of dynamic balance, and, on the other hand, at the heart of this system is the person who predetermines nonlinearity, a non-equilibrium, in certain cases – asymmetry, is system for which search and establishment natural isomorphine and transferring from them of essence and the principles of functioning is more expedient from the gnoseological point of view, than establishment of mathematical interrelation between components.

Keywords: economic security, enterprise, system, theoretical base.

" [1, . 13].

[2, 3].

" [5].

[4].

“ ”

—

[6].

[7].

： ()，

“ ”

—

[8, . 62].

—

()

(. . .) ;

(. . .) ;

(. . .) .

(. . .)

” (“ “ ”)”

“ “ “ ”

” [9, . 212].

” [10].

() " : (S) ' ()
 [11].
 1. — : , 1973. — 272 .
 2. // : , 1969. — : , 1969. —
 . 30–54.
 3. c / . . ; . c . — : ,
 1966. — 343 .
 4. / . . ; . .
 . — : , 1998. — 397 .
 5. []. — :
<http://slovari.yandex.ru/~%D0%BA%D0%BD%D0%B8%D0%B3%D0%B8/%D0%91%D0%A1%D0%AD/~%D0%A1%D0%B8/25/>.
 6. / . . . [.]; .
 . — : - : , 1998. — 488 .
 7. : : [.] . — : /
 , 1973. — . 5–61.
 8. : [.]/
 . . . — : , 2003. — 328 .
 9. / . . . — : "
 " , 2005. — 464 .
 10. / . . .
 // . 1981. — : . 1981. — . 7–38.
 11. / . . .
 // . — 1998. — 7. — . 170–179.

References

1. Blauberg I.V., Yudin E.G. *Stanovleniye i sushchnost sistemnogo podkhoda* [Formation and essence of system approach]. Moscow: Nauka, 1973. 272 p.
2. Bertalanffy L.V. *Sistemnyye issledovaniya* [System researches]. Moscow: Nauka, 1969. pp. 30–54.
3. Ashby W.R. *Printsipy samoorganizatsii* [The principles of self-organization]. Moscow: Mir, 1966. 343 p.
4. Anokhin P.K. *Kibernetika funktsionalnykh sistem* [Cybernetics of functional systems]. Moscow: Meditsina, 1998. 397 p.
5. *Bolshaya sovetskaya entsiklopediya* [Big Soviet encyclopedia]. Available at: <http://slovari.yandex.ru/~%D0%BA%D0%BD%D0%B8%D0%B3%D0%B8/%D0%91%D0%A1%D0%AD/~%D0%A1%D0%B8/25/>.
6. *Filosofskiy entsyklopedychnyi slovnyk* [Encyclopedic Dictionary of Philosophy]. Kyiv: Abris, 2002. 742 p.

7. Anokhin P.K. *Printsipy sistemnoy organizatsii funktsiy* [Principles of the system organization of functions]. Moscow: Nauka, 1973. pp. 5–61.

8. Ponomarenko V.S., Trydid O.M., Kyzym M.O. *Strateh ia rozvytku p dpryemstva v umovakh kryzy* [Strategy of development of the enterprise in the conditions of crisis]. Kharkiv: NZhEK, 2003. 328 p.

9. Husserl E. *Izbrannyye raboty* [The best works]. Moscow: Territoriya budushchego, 2005. 464 p.

10. Yemelyanov S.V. *Sistemnyye issledovaniya* [System researches]. Moscow: Nauka, 1981. pp. 7–38.

11. Agoshkova Ye.B., Akhlibininskiy B.V. *Voprosy filosofii*, 1998, no. 7, pp. 170–179.

© . . . , . . . , 2013

Reviewer

. R. Buzko
 Doctor of Economic Sciences, Professor
 Volodymyr Dahl East Ukrainian National University, Luhansk, Ukraine

65.012.8

* Burda I.Ya. – Degree applicant of Ukrainian Academy of Printing, Lviv, Ukraine.