

() ;

() ;

() ;

[1];

() ,

.

,

4.

1. : , ,

() .

[4].

- , ;

- , ;

: () ,

() ,

[7].

;

?

-

4.

[3, .139-

141], -

() ,

“ ”

[6].

2. , ,

() ,

2007 . ,

;

-

-

-

-

() ,

()

() ;

[9];

() ,

() ,

[8].

3.

() ,

() ,

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

5. . . . : -, 2003. – 193 .
 / // / // . – 2008. – 4.
 . – 8-9. – 2009. – . 11-18. – . 217-222.
6. , - 9. , -
 / // / /
 . – 4. – 2007. – . 7-14. // . – 5. – 2009. – . 19-28.
7. , : - 10. , : /
 / [. . . . , - - , 2001. –
 ,] . – : - . 560 .

657.6:330.4:303.094.7

657.6:330.4:303.094.7

UDC 657.6:330.4:303.094.7

- **Proskurina Nelia Miculaiyvna**, candidate of
 - economic sciences, accounting and auditing associate
 - professor of Zaporizhzhya National University.
 - **Management technology of entities relations in the
 audit process.** This article considers the scientific
 - principles of relations management technology in the
 - provision of audit services, taking into account the
 - composition and specific features of the organizational
 - and legal mechanism for audit activities regulation. It
 - includes the preventive measures that are adequate to
 - the actual production and economic processes in the
 - formation of an independent auditor’s opinion
 - concerning the reliability of financial reporting. The most
 - important distinction in various fields of activity with
 - external users of the audit was delimited.
Keywords: audit, arrangement, preventive actions,
 financial reporting reliability, management technologies,
 tools, relationships.

06.11.2010 .