

1990- :

1990- :

Natali Drapushko. Privatization in Autonomous Republic of Crimea and its influence on economic life of region in 1990th: historical aspect

The process of privatization of basic industries of economy of ARK in the first ten years from the day of renewal of independence of Ukraine is described in this article. Influence of policy of privatization on the economy of peninsula on the whole and on its separate industries am certain. The basic features of privatization process

in a region are exposed also.

Keywords: *privatization, public policy, economy, Autonomous Republic of Crimea.*

1991 – 2000 .

« :

», , «

(1991–2010)»,

[19, . 531 – 538].

1990-

[16, 18, 23, 24, 28].

1990-

, 1991 .

. [23, . 28].

1990 .

36,1%

, 25,5%

, 8,5%

1,6% –

29,3% –

(

31,6%, 17,3%, 9,8%, 1,4%

39,9%

),

:

– 29,4%,

– 25,5%,

– 10,3%,

– 1,5%,

– 29,4% [23, . 29].

:

-

, , .

, (, , , -), (, -), (). « », « ».

. [: 23, . 259]

(-), : (-), (-). 517 , 26- [23, . 245; 17, . 669].

1990- . 25 1992 . « » [12, . 39]. 1993 .

[12, . 9, 10, 39, 140].

26 1993 .

[11, . 44].

15 1994 .

- , 27 1994 .

1994 .

1994 .

1994 . -

[12, . 2, 10, 15, 17, 24 – 27].

1994 . 25 , . . 15 .

21793,3 . .

97,6% , - 2,4%. [1, . 253 - 258].

1992 .

1993 .

« » ,

0,1%. [21, .29].

1993 .

33 (14

, 7 , 8 , 3

, 1 -

). 49 (26 -

, 6 , 5 -

, 4 , 2 , 1). 1995

189 (109

, 29 , 24 , 12 , 5

- , 4 , 3 -

, 2). 1993 .

19 14 -

, 1994 . - - 29 20, 1995 . - 30 159 [20, .44, 45].

« »

1992 - 1995 .

: 1992 . 297,8 . .

(-) 210,2 . . (70,6%)

87,6 . ., 29,4%, -

. 1993 . 10345,1

. . 73,42%,

– 26,58%. 1994 67645,4 . .
39,5% , 1995 – 42,44% [
: 22, . 3].

. 1992
41% , 1993 . – 46,3%, 1994 . – 57,93%.
1995 . 42,0%
[. : 22, . 3].

1993 . 33 14
, 12 – , 3 –
, 2 – , 1 –

. 1994 49
, 10 – 2
, 3

, 1 – . 1995 . 189 82
. 53 – , 30 –
, 17 – , 7

[20, . 46]. 1996 .
,

1992 – 1996 .
: 1992 . – 354, 1993 . – 310, 1994 . – 311,
1995 . – 322, 1996 . – 337. 213

(60,2%), 203 (65,5%), 165 (53,1%), 188 (58,4%) 150 (44,5%) [22, . 4].

,

1992 – 1996 .
(,

, -30,9 , - 34).
(1992 . - 0,1%, 1993
. - 0,1%, 1994 . - 0,2%, 1995 . - 0,3%, 1996 . - 0,2%) [22, . 33].

()
, ,
.
1992 . - 62,34%, 1993 . - 62,41%, 1994 . - 67,21%, 1995 . - 72,48%
1996 . - 71,79%.

,
, 1992 .
51,35% , 1993 . - 44,6%, 1994
. - 42,7%, 1995 . - 39,95%, 1996 . - 41,14% [. : 22, . 5].

(
, ()
, -
().

1993 - 1996 .
1 111 (32,9% 60% -
) . 1996 . 27,7%
2,9% 1994 . 8,9% 1995 . [21, . 29].

. 1996 .
147 . , 84%.
- 99,9%,

(86,3%), (64,1%)
 (63,4%) [: 21, . 15].

1992

70%

– 26%, – 4%. 1996 . –

63%, – 5%, – 28%, –

3%, , – 1% [21, . 30].

1995 . 3311

1740 (52,6%), 30,4%

, – 1369 (412,3%), 68,8%

, – 200 (6,0%) 0,7% . 2

(0,1%) [20, . 39].

18 1995 .

33 22

161 136 ,

1996 .

[: 4, 5, 6].

122,6 . ,

24,2 . . (

, 10,7 . .) [22, . 15].

, 11582,6 . . 1996
 . 11429,4 . . ,
 1055 . .
 - [22, . 14].
 ,)
 33,8 . . (. .
 -22,6 . . -11,2 . .),)
 -5,5 . . (. .
 -702,3 . . , -4,8 . .).
 (16,6 . .) (14,5 . .)
 .
 80% , (12,4
 . . 3,4 . .), (9,3 .
 . 6,4 . .) [. : 22, . 16 – 17].
 ,
 (.
 90% ,).
 , [22, . 18 – 19].
 « »
 . ,
 , (.
).
 [8, . 71].

1995 – 2000 .

249 (95
, 45 , 38 , 34 , 24
, 13
) . 240 ()
: 94, 42, 37, 31, 24, 12) 427515 .
73662 . . (17,23%
) . 21870 . . ,
– 51792 . .
492747 348744 –
, – 48247 270293. [27, . 2, . 444].
94 303,7
48,9 ., 16,11%
28,15% ,
– 23% [, . 444].
(45,5%
) , 10 11
(39,9%) 26
(34,3%) .
1
43,24 . . ,
3,0% [27, . 2, . 482 - 483].
20 1997 . ,
3 . 1997 .
- , .

,
 ,
 ,
 1990- .
 , 1997 . 31,8% 1990 . [23, . 127].
 22,7% (16,3%), – 16,4%
 (21,9%), – 4,3% (6,5%),
 – 1,5% (2,6%), –
 55,1% (52,7%). , 1997
 : – 3,1%,
 – 3,6%, – 2,4%, – 2,1%,
 – 3,4%,
 – 3,2%, – 3,3% [23, . 29, 195 – 198].
 ()
 1991 – 1997 .
 , 71,5%
 42,2%, (,)
 28,5% 57,8% [23, . 212].
 1997 .
 : – 18,7% , – 16,4%,
 – 4,3%, – 1,5%,
 , – 14,4%, – 8,7%, – 8,0%,
 , – 12,0, – 16,0%. [23, . 215]
 (52,3%
).
 (15,5% 9,5%). [23, . 216]
 1999 .

[9].

1998 – 1999 .

,

[9].

1996 – 2000 .

.

(- 3,6% 3,6%).

2,5% 1,9%.

3,8% 3,6% [17, 32].

, 1997 .

, - 1998 – 1999 .

47% 1990 ., 2000 .

54% 1990 . 1999 – 2000 .

52,0% 46,7%,

- 40,9% 22,3% [17, 59].

1995 – 2000 . :

(1995 . – 53% 1990 ., 1999 . – 38%,

2000 . – 42%), (1998 . 20%,

2000 . – 2,5%) (1999 . –

76,9%, 2000 . – 46,1%).

. 2000 . :

- 19,9%, - 18,8%, - 6,6%,

- 45%, , - 13,1%,

- 11,7%, - 6,2%, , ,

- 14,7%, - 4,5%. 1996 – 2000 .

(+1,2%),

(+2,4%), (+2,3%),

(+3%)

(+2,9%).

, , ' (7,6%) [17, . 672].

1990 . – 2000 .

(15,4 . 1,1 .),

(138 . 8,4 .), (3694 . 131 .),

(7859 . 78 .), (578

. 184 .), (834 . 140 .),

(446 . 36 .) .

. ,

(10400 2000 .) [17, . 674, 679].

15 . [17, . 671].

2000

2000

– 2002 .

. 15 2000 .

53

, ,

[. : 10].

:

–

4,5%,

– 15,0%,

– 1,3%,

,

–

0,6%,

,

–

78,6% [14, . 94].

, , 1992 – 1999 .:

– : – 1992 – 1995 .,

, « »

, ; – 1996 – 1999 .,

— ; 2 – 3

— 1996 . ;

— ,

1996 – 1999 .;

— ;

— « »

— ;

· ,

, 2002. – 690 .

18. 1991 – 2009 / [. . . ; .

. . . .]; , -

. – ∴ , 2010. – 112 .

19. : - : 2 ./

[. . . (), . . . , . . . ;

; . ∴ . . . , . . . ,].

; с . – ∴ - , 2011. – . 2. – 608 .

20. : . / ;

. –

, 1996. – 248 .

21. -

1992 – 1996 / .

. – , 1997. – 55 .

22.

1996 (.) . – , 1997. – 86 .

23. / . . . (.);

. – ∴ , 2000. – 517 .

24.

. . . : . . . : 08.06.02. /

. - . – , 1998. – 152 .

25.

2000 : / -

. – ∴ , 2001. – 598 .

26.

: 1991 – 1998 / . . ,

. . . ∴; . . . , . . . (. . .) . – 2 .,

., . . . – ∴ - , 1999. – 280 .

27.

(1991 – 2001): 2- ./ . .

(. - .) . – ∴ - , 2002. – . 2. – ., 2002. – 516 .

28.

. . . () : . . .

. : 08.06.02. / . . - . - , 2001. – 204 .