

The «Chernobyl issues» as element of Ukraine's «soft power» in the relations with the Republic of Belarus

In the article it was considered the usage of «Chernobyl issues», as part of «soft power» state and non–state political actors in Ukraine and Belarus. It was analyzed the influence and place of «Chernobyl issues» on the formation and implementation of foreign and domestic policy of Ukraine and Belarus. Also was analyzed the genesis of environmental issues in Belarus and Ukraine since the beginning of the collapse of the Soviet Union to the present. The author made the conclusion that today in Ukraine the small attention to nonnuclear issues is paid when developing foreign policy strategy. However this subject has considerable potential for development «soft power» of Ukraine on the international area.

Keywords: *Ukraine, Republic of Belarus, «soft power», «Chernobyl issues».*

[14].

-1

2012 .

[17].

» [20, .44].

« ».

« » (pattern of mobilization) « » (econationalism). « : , — » [4, .25].

— [4, .112].

1988–1989 . [9]. [1, .93].

— « » (), —

, 1990 .

.

,

,

' [12].

. 1994 .

«

»

«

»

80,7%,

6,5%

[10].

.

,

(

)

. , .

«

»

.

1996

10–

,

.

,

,

[16].

.

: «

» [16].

2000

2006

: «

«

»

» [19].

«The Ukrainian Weekly»

« ' »

« ' »,

[6].

[7, .163–164].

3 . 1) (1985–1995 .); 2)
(1995–2003 .), 3) (2004 .)
[13, .77–87].

2001 .,

«

» [13,c.87].

2004 .

« »,

« ».

[2, .2].

2011 .

[3].

. 28 1995 .,

,

,

,

.

«

—

» « ».

,

.

.

,

,

’ .

,

,

[21, .219].

,

. 10 1997 .

. 9 1999 .

«

,

,

» [18],

,

.

,

.

.

25—

26

2011 .

.

,

«

»,
19 2011 .,

«EcooM», 2011 . (83,2%)

. 34,2%

, 31,4% – , 3,9% – , 2,5% –
10,6%

[15].

: 1)

; 2)

« », 2004 .,

(),

1. Babcock G. The role of public interest groups in democratization. Soviet environmental groups and energy policy-making, 1985–1991: Ph.D. dissertation / Glenys Badcock // Public Policy Analysis. – Santa Monica.: RAND Graduate School, 1997. – 120 p.
2. Bogomolov ., Lytvynenko . Ghost in the mirror: russian soft power in Ukraine: briefing paper / Alexander Bogomolov, Oleksandr Lytvynenko // The Aims and Means of Russian Influence Abroad Series. – London: Chatham House, 2012. – REP RSP BP 2012/01. – 16 p.
3. Chernobyl's «liquidators» hold protest in Kiev / BBC. – 2011. – 18 April 2011 []. – : <http://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-13111415>

4. Dawson J. Eco–Nationalism: Anti–Nuclear Activism and National Identity in Russia, Lithuania, and Ukraine / Jane Dawson. – Durham: Duke University Press, 1996. – 224 p.
5. Heflik R. Bundesrat besiegelt Energiewende / Roman Heflick // Hamburger Abendblatt. – 2011. – 9 Juli.
6. Korsun L. Interview: Political scientist proposes a high civilization for Ukraine / Korsun Lydmula // The Ukrainian Weekly. – 2005. – 23 October. – 43.
7. Nye J. Bound to Lead: The Changing Nature of American Power / Joseph S. Nye. – New York: Basic Books, 1991 – 314 p.
8. Raviot J–R. L’ecologie et les forces profondes de la perestroika / Jean–Robert Raviot // Diogene. – PUF, 2001/2. – No.194. – P.152–159.
9. Rinkevicius L. Public Risk Perceptions in a «Double–Risk» Society: The Case of the Ignalina Nuclear Power Plant in Lithuania / Leonardas Rinkevicius // Innovation: The European Journal of Social Sciences. – London: Routledge, September 2000. – Vol.13. – P.279–289.
10. (1994–1996). – : . . « . », , 1996. – 52 .
11. . / . – ∴ . . . , 2000. – 80 .
12. . / , [. .]. – ∴ , 1998. – 48 .
13. . : // . – . – : , 2010. – .77–87.
14. . / // Deutsche Welle. – 2011. – 30 [.] – : <http://www.dw.de/dw/article/0,,14952432,00.html>

15. : 85% ,
 []. – : <http://focus.ua/society/188642>
16. . / //
 . – 1996. – 26 .
17. – .
 //
 . – 2012. – 18 []. –
 : <http://www.mns.gov.ua/news/21103.html>
18. 54/97 «
 [...] »; ,
 08.12.1999 54/97 // http://zakon3.rada.gov.ua/laws/show/995_h48
19. . ? / /
 . – 2001. – 26 .
20. .
 / . /
 . : . – ∴ ,
- 2011.
21. . : / . . – ∴
 , 2006. – 712 .