

327(477)

„ . , , ,  
, ,  
, , . ,  
,  
« » ,  
 . ,  
— ,  
 .  
 : , « » ,  
, , .  
„ . , , ,  
, ,  
, .  
« » , .  
, —  
 .  
 : , « » ,  
, .

**Rzhevskaja N.**, candidate of political science, associate professor, head of foreign

information department, Kyiv National Aviation University, Ukraine, Kyiv

## **Direct influence ways of the USA think tanks on the political decision taking subjects**

*This article is highlighting the ways and methods of the USA think tanks direct influence on those who are in charge of taking foreign–policy decisions. There is also mentioned the fact that they key features are serving not only for creating new conceptions and an intellectual product but also for making them clear for the certain user. The key feature of the think tanks influence is that they are creating political doctrine. There is also shown the way of bringing the intellectual product to its user. It looks like its direct selling to the political elite and working out the public opinion that could provide, after to lobby this idea for the political elite. All in all, influence of the USA think tanks on the political decision taking subjects gives an opportunity to deny the indisputable USA dominance in the modern foreign relations system. Moreover, such things are very topical in terms of transformational changes.*

**Keywords:** *analytic centre, think tank, foreign–policy decision, taking decision subject.*



« »

: « »

, « »

(

).

« »

,

.

.

,

,

,

,

.

,

«

»

,

,

—

.

«

, «

»

.

,

:

,

,

,

», —

[3].

,

,

«

,

.

,

,

—

,

,

,

,

» [4, .180].

«

»

,

.

.

.



» [3].

« »

2009 .

« »,

. , 1 2008 . 30

1 .

– 200 500 ., 100 250

[6].

100 250 . .

« »

«

» [3].

1992 .

«

».

1994 .,

. 1995 .,

« » . , 2009 . 125

80

— , 2009 .

» [7].

, 1976 . .

, « ».  
 « », .  
 , « « » .  
 , « ».  
 , « » [8].  
 « »  
 . — « » —  
 . , —  
 . .  
 « », .  
 . « »  
 ..  
 1996–1997 .,  
 , « », .  
 , ,  
 « » ,  
 . —  
 .  
 . — .  
 , « » ( .  
 , ) ,  
 — «  
 ». , «



»

,

.

,

«

». «

,

,

—

.

,

,

,

—

.

.

—

,

,

», —

.

[4, .191].

«

».

,

(

«

»,

).

,

.

,

.

—

«

,

—

.

.

—

22

,

.

.

.

,

.

—

(

),

.

—

,

.

—

,

.

—

» [9].

«

» —

,

«

»,

,





—  
Duke University,  
National Democratic Institute for International Affairs.

Johns Hopkins University, Council on Foreign Relations.

International Institute for Strategic Studies, RAND Corporation,  
Brookings Institution, Project  
on National Security Reform,

Stanford University  
Institute for International Studies.

44—

1. . . . « »,  
// « »  
:  
. . . . — .: , 2002. — .6–29.
2. McGann J. Think Tanks and Policy Advice in The US / J.McGann // Foreign Policy Research Institute, 2005. — 4 p.
3. . « » :  
/ . // . — ., 2002. — .7. — 3. — .48–60.

4. Donald E. Abelson A. Capitol Idea. Think Tanks & US Foreign Policy / E.Donald, A.Abelson // McGill–Queen’s University Press, 2006. – P.180.
5. Savimbi Jonas The Coming Winds of Democracy in Angola / Jonas Savimbi // Heritage Foundation Lecture #217. – Washington, D.C., October 5, 1989. – 6 .
6. Brookings Institution Annual Report 2009. – [ . – : <http://www.brookings.edu>.
7. McCormick James American Foreign Policy and Process / James McCormick. – Fifth Edition. – Wadsworth, 2010. – P.496.
8. . / . . – [ ]. – <http://www.intertrends.ru/tenth/011.htm>
9. . « » – / . // . – 2007. – 5. – .2–6.