

321.65

• ”

,
(,),

wolfisthegreatestpredator@gmail.com

« »

« »

: , , , , ,

, .

Vovk Y.B., PhD student at department of theory and history of political science of Lviv national university of Ivan Franko (Ukraine, Lviv), wolfisthegreatestpredator@gmail.com

Principles of neocorporatism under the conditions of globalized world

Author examines the neocorporatism under the conditions of globalized world. It's found there are no well-defined principles of modern neocorporatism due to the low interest in phenomenon in the ukrainian political science. Author tries to fix that scientific gap by: analyzing the etymology of the word «principle» and clearing the ways of its modern usage; suggesting the own definition of «neocorporatism» and revealing the impact of environment on the examined phenomenon. It's stated principles of modern neocorporatism must be treated as a joint substance of internal and external factors. According to this author founds 8 principles of neocorporatism that are incorporated into 3 groups: internal (collectivism, concentration, consensus), external (globalism, democratism) and mixed (dialectic, traditionalism, institutional-functionalism). Author warns due to uncertainty of globalization and occasional logic of principle making there's no guarantee that revealed principles will be maintained even in the closest future.

Keywords: corporatism, neocorporatism, principle, globalization, political

institutions, tradition.

• ”

· (,),

wolfisthegreatestpredator@gmail.com

« »

« »

·

·

:

,

,

,

,

,

·

,

·

·

,

:

, (

),

(

)

·

·

:

,

,

·

,

;

·

·

,

«

».

·

·

,

—

,

(), — , , ,

[7,c.85–88].

:

— ,

(, « » , .). « »

,

, .

—

(, — « » « »)

.

: ,

« » , — ; ;

.

,

:

, (),

« » . (, , ,

« » , ,

« » . « » ,

,

.

« » «

— — » . « — »

, . , , « — », ,
(, ,
).

.. , « »

.. , ,
[1,c.322], ,

, , , , ,
.
« »

(, ,),

— :

,
(, ,);

..
« ».

, , , , ,
, , — .
, , ,
.. « » . [2,c.8],

, , , , ,
[3,c.14–20] « , » (,
) ,

[5, .37–95].

(, ,
)

«

»

-2:

«...»

-1

» [4, .130].

(

).

;

, .

.

,

.

(

)

,

).

:

.

,

.

(

),

.

« »,

,

.

:

—

,

.

,

— —

,

.

—

,

,

.

,

—

.

,

,

—

,

,

,

« »

« ».

« » () [14,c.3,8].

, « — ».

),

).

(

[17,c.87].

(, , , , , , , ,) ,

)

).

— : 2008 .

[22,c.378–381].

« »

:

: «

» (.).

[18,c.11-16].

[18,c.24-25].

60- - 90- .). , 15

[19,c.263].

[10,c.35].

, - ,
 , - .
 : -
 .
 : (,
). ,
 « - »
 « ».
 ,
 .
 ; ,
 , .
 ,
 (,
 ,
),
 ; -1
 , , -2; ; .
 - ,
 . - .
 , .
 , :
 : , ,
 , . 1)

() 2)
 (). ,
 : 1)
 ; 2) ; 3) - ; 4) -
 ; [16,c.9].
 ; ,

[11,c.3–11;20,c.32;21,c.27–35]. :

,
 . ,
 ,
 - - .
 ()
 . ,
 . , ,
 . ,
 , [3,c.9].
 , -

(,)
 . ,
 -2 -1
 (),

[14,c.27]

[9,c.1340–1348].

(, : ()
(,)
[12,c.93–94;18,c.18].

« –
» [15,c.640].

[8,c.42]. :

,
[13,c.20],

.
, . .
:
, . ,
– ,

() [6,c.125–127].

« » , –
. :

, « » , .
, , ,
, « » –
– (

« ») – :

, .
, ,
«

» «

»

,

.

,

.

:

,

.

,

,

—

.

,

—

,

,

.

,

,

.

,

.

,

,

,

,

.

,

:

,

:

(

,

,

);

(

,

);

(

,

,

—

).

,

—

,

—

,

.

:

,

.

.

«

»

.

1. . . . / – M.: . . . , 2003.– 374 .
2. . . . / . . . ; . . . ; – . . . : . . . , 2008. – 240 .
3. . . . ? – . . . / . . . ; / . . . ; – . . . : . . . – . . . , 2001. – 304 .
4. . . . () . . . / . . . // . . . – 2013. – .5 (97). – .127–132.
5. / – . . . : . . . , 2004. – 120 c.
6. . . . : : 23.00.02 / – .:[. .], 2009. – 176 .
7. / // – 2009. – 4. – .82–90.
8. Aidt T. The cost and benefits of collective bargaining / T.Aidt, Z.Tzannatos; Cambridge, 2001. – 50 p.
9. Baccaro L. Policy Concertation in Europe: explaining government choice / L.Baccaro, M.Simoni // Comparative political studies. – 2008. – Vol. 41 (10). – P.1323–1348.
10. Baccaro L. Similar Structures, different outcomes: the surprising resilience of corporatist policy-making in Europe / L.Baccaro. – Geneva, 2009. – 50 p.
11. Block R.N. Collective bargaining in context: comparing the United States and Europe / R.N. Block, P.Berg // Bargaining for competitiveness: law, research, and case studies; ed. R.N. Block.– Kalamazoo: Upjohn Institute for Employment

Research, 2003. – P.1–13.

12. Brady D. Party to inequality: Right party power and income inequality in affluent Western democracies / D.Brady, K.T. Leicht // Research in social stratification and mobility. – 2008. – Vol. 26 (1). – P.77–10.

13. Cardoso J.L. Corporatism and beyond: an assessment on recent literature / J.L. Cardoso, P. Mendonca. Lisboa, 2012.– 31 p.

14. Ebbinghaus B. Union Growth and Decline in Western Europe, 1950–95 / B.Ebbinghaus, J.Visser // Arbeitsbereich I. – 1998. – Vol. 30. – P.1–32.

15. Enyedi Z. Structure versus culture again: corporatism and the «new politics» in 16 Western European countries / Z.Enyedi, B.Todosijevic // European Journal of Political Research. – 2003. – Vol. 42. – P.629–642.

16. Jepperson R.L. Institutional logics: on the constitutive dimensions of the modern nation–state polities / R.L. Jepperson // European forum series. – 2000. – Vol. 36. – P.1–61.

17. Katzenstein P. Small states in world markets: industrial policy in Europe / P.Katzenstein; London: Cornell University Press, 1985. – 268 p.

18. Mahler V.A. Economic globalization, domestic politics and income inequality in the developed countries: a cross–national analysis / V.A. Mahler // Luxembourg Income Study Working Paper. – 2001. – Vol. 273. – P.1–37.

19. Micheletti M. Toward interest inarticulation. A major consequence of corporatism for interest organizations / M.Micheletti // Scandinavian political studies. – 1990. – Vol. 13. – P.255–273.

20. Paloheimo H. Pluralism, Corporatism and the Distributive Conflict in Developed Capitalist Countries / H.Paloheimo // Scandinavian political studies. – 1984. – Vol. 7 – P.17–35.

21. Therborn G. Lessons from «corporatist» theorizations / G.Therborn // Social corporatism: a superior economic system? – Oxford: Oxford university press, 1992. – P.24–43.

22. Thorhallsson B. The corporatist model and its value in understanding small european states in the neoliberal world of the twenty–first century: the case of Iceland

/ B.Thorhallsson // European political science. – 2010. – Vol. 9. – P.375–386.
75–386.