

• „

,

. (,), ziro4k@gmail.com

« »,

.

,

. ,

, ,

:

,

,

,

,

,

.

Zazuliak Z.M., graduate student of Department of International Relations and Foreign Service School of International Relations, National University of Lviv Ivan Franko (Ukraine, Lviv), ziro4k@gmail.com

Main approaches to the definition of multilateralism

The article deals with various definitions of the term «multilateralism», analyzes the dual nature of this phenomenon. Basic principles, sources and levels of multilateralism are determined, and a number of classifications is proposed. In addition, is made an attempt to justify the practical value of the institute of multilateralism, particularly in the U.S. foreign policy.

Despite numerous attempts to define the essence of multilateralism, holistic understanding of this phenomenon has not been proposed as a coherent, conceptually-oriented program of its research. But it is quite clear that multilateralism involves coordination between three or more states, which is carried out in accordance with certain rules and principles. It can be distinguished from other types of interstate relations in three ways. Firstly, as multilateralism involves coordination between a group of states, it cannot be correlated with bilateral, hub and spoke, and the imperialist agreements. Second, such a relationship is determined by

agreed rules and principles, and sometimes organizations. Thus, multilateralism can be compared with the interaction on the basis of special agreements or power politics. Third, multilateralism involves some restriction of autonomy in politics because the state choices and actions are limited by consistent rules and principles.

Keywords: multilateralism, principles of multilateralism, sources of multilateralism, levels of multilateralism, classifications of multilateralism, U.S. multilateralism.

• „

,

(,),

ziro4k@gmail.com

« »,

.

,

,

.

,

,

.

:

,

,

,

,

,

.

,

,

modus operandi

.

,

,

,

,

,

,

.

,

.

« », , 1858 .

– « » –

, , 1928 . . ,

« « » , ,

» [2,p.600–601]. , ’

1945 . ,

«

« », «

, , ,

» [6,p.681].

1980– .

,

,

,

.

,

,

:

,

.

,

«

»

,

,

.

,

.

« » . , , .

«

»,

,

,

–

[4,p.79].

,

.

« » « »,

,

(

)

«

»

,

—

.

,

,

,

,

.

,

.

,

«

»

,

«

»

,

.

«

»

.

,

.

«

»

.

,

«

»,

.

,

,

,

,

.

,

,

,

?

,

.

,

,

.

,

,

,

«

»

.

,

(

)

.

«

»,

,

.

,

.

« »

.

,

,

,

,

,

,

.

.

,

.

,

«

,

,

» [7,p.731].

.

,

«

ad hoc

».

,

()

,

«

,

,

,

,

».

,

.

«

,

ad hoc

,

»

[7,p.733].

.

.

«

»

,

«

» [13,p.564].

,

,

«

,

,

,

».

,

,

,

,

;

,

,

.

... «
».

» «
».

«
» [13,p.567–568].

«
[13,p.571].

«
» [2,p.602].

«

»
«
» [13,p.571].

«

».

«

»

[6].

50%

2005 . G20

19 , 20–

(,),

(,

).

«

» [16,p.270].

«

»

[15,p.227].

[8,p.782].

«

«

».

».

: «

» [3,p.191].

:

—

(

);

—

(

);

—

(

);

—

(

).

(

)

[1,p.68].

[10,p.35].

) [17,p.39].

:
— (;
— ,);
— (;);
— (/).

2000–

G20,

()

ad hoc,

, *hub and spoke*

« 1990 .,

»

[11,p.13]. 2003 .

de facto

1. Cameron F. Utilitarian Multilateralism: The Implications of 11 September 2001 for US Foreign Policy // POLITICS. – 2002. – vol. 22 (2). – P.68–75.
2. Caporaso J. International Relations Theory and Multilateralism: The Search for Foundations // International Organization. – 1992 – vol. 46 (3). – P.600–601.
3. Finnemore Martha. Fights about Rules: The Role of Efficacy and Power in Changing Multilateralism // Review of International Studies. – 2005. – 31. – P.187–206.
4. Ikenberry G.J. Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order // Perspectives on Politics. – 2009. – vol. 7 (1). – P.71–87.

5. Kahler M. Multilateralism with Small and Large Numbers // International Organization. – 1992. – vol. 46 (3). – P.681.
6. Keane J. Global Civil Society? [] // Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press. – :
http://is.muni.cz/el/1423/jaro2009/SOC777/Keane_GCS.pdf (2003).
7. Keohane R.O. Multilateralism: an Agenda for Research // International Journal. – 1990. – 45. – P.731.
8. Martin L. Interests, Power and Multilateralism // International Organization. – 1992. – vol. 46 (4). – P.765–792.
9. Minden K., Galant N. and Irwin P. Canada's Role in APEC // In Hampsen F.O., Molot M.A. and Rudner M. Asia Pacific Face-Off, Canada Among Nations. – 1997. – Ottawa: Carleton University Press. – P.119–144.
10. Newman E., Thakur R. and Tirman J. Multilateralism under challenge? Power, international order, and structural change. – The United Nations University: The United Nations University Press, 2006. – 558 p.
11. Patrick S., Forman S. Multilateralism and US Foreign Policy: Ambivalent Engagement. – USA: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2002. – 497 p.
12. Peterson J., Aspinwall, M., Damro, C. and Boswell, C. The Consequences of Europe: Multilateralism and the New Security Agenda. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh, Europa Institute, 2008. – 8–9 p.
13. Ruggie J.G. Multilateralism: the Anatomy of an Institution // International Organization. – 1992. – vol. 46 (3). – P.564.
14. Ruggie J.G. The United Nations and Globalization: Patterns and Limits of Institutional Adaptation // Global Governance: A Review of Multilateralism and International Organizations. – 2003. – 9. – P.301–22.
15. Smith K. E. The European Union at the Human Rights Council: Speaking with One Voice but Having Little Influence // Journal of European Public Policy. – 2010. – vol. 17 (2). – P.224–241.
16. Thakur R., Cooper A.F. Enhancing Global Governance: Towards a New Diplomacy? – Tokyo: United Nations University Press, 2002. – 310 p.

17. Van Oudenaren J. What Is Multilateral [] // Hoover Institution Stanford University []. – : <http://www.hoover.org/publications/policy-review/article/7264> (2003).

References

1. Cameron F. Utilitarian Multilateralism: The Implications of 11 September 2001 for US Foreign Policy // POLITICS. – 2002. – vol. 22 (2). – P.68–75.
2. Caporaso J. International Relations Theory and Multilateralism: The Search for Foundations // International Organization. – 1992 – vol. 46 (3). – P.600–601.
3. Finnemore Martha. Fights about Rules: The Role of Efficacy and Power in Changing Multilateralism // Review of International Studies. – 2005. – 31. – P.187–206.
4. Ikenberry G.J. Liberal Internationalism 3.0: America and the Dilemmas of Liberal World Order // Perspectives on Politics. – 2009. – vol. 7 (1). – P.71–87.
5. Kahler M. Multilateralism with Small and Large Numbers // International Organization. – 1992. – vol. 46 (3). – P.681.
6. Keane J. Global Civil Society? [Elektronnyj resurs] // Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press. – Rezhym dostupu: http://is.muni.cz/el/1423/jaro2009/SOC777/Keane_GCS.pdf (2003).
7. Keohane R.O. Multilateralism: an Agenda for Research // International Journal. – 1990. – 45. – P.731.
8. Martin L. Interests, Power and Multilateralism // International Organization. – 1992. – vol. 46 (4). – P.765–792.
9. Minden K., Galant N. and Irwin P. Canada's Role in APEC // In Hampsen F.O., Molot M.A. and Rudner M. Asia Pacific Face-Off, Canada Among Nations. – 1997. – Ottawa: Carleton University Press. – P.119–144.
10. Newman E., Thakur R. and Tirman J. Multilateralism under challenge? Power, international order, and structural change. – The United Nations University: The United Nations University Press, 2006. – 558 p.
11. Patrick S., Forman S. Multilateralism and US Foreign Policy: Ambivalent Engagement. – USA: Lynne Rienner Publishers, 2002. – 497 p.

12. Peterson J., Aspinwall, M., Damro, C. and Boswell, C. The Consequences of Europe: Multilateralism and the New Security Agenda. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh, Europa Institute, 2008. – 8–9 p.
13. Ruggie J.G. Multilateralism: the Anatomy of an Institution // International Organization. – 1992. – vol. 46 (3). – P.564.
14. Ruggie J.G. The United Nations and Globalization: Patterns and Limits of Institutional Adaptation // Global Governance: A Review of Multilateralism and International Organizations. – 2003. – 9. – P.301–22.
15. Smith K. E. The European Union at the Human Rights Council: Speaking with One Voice but Having Little Influence // Journal of European Public Policy. – 2010. – vol. 17 (2). – P.224–241.
16. Thakur R., Cooper A.F. Enhancing Global Governance: Towards a New Diplomacy? – Tokyo: United Nations University Press, 2002. – 310 p.
17. Van Oudenaren J. What Is Multilateral [Elektronnyj resurs] // Hoover Institution Stanford University []. – Rezhym dostupu: <http://www.hoover.org/publications/policy-review/article/7264> (2003).