

327(4)

• ” ,
,
(,), kii1969@mail.ru

• ” ,
,
(,), kii1969@mail.ru

:

,

, ,

,

, ,

.

,

,

,

,

.

,

,

,

,

—

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

,

.

,

. , 2011

, 2013 — ,

.

,

,

.

:

,

,

,

,

.

Karasevych A.A., professor, head of the department of Umansky state university (Ukraine, Uman), kii1969@mail.ru

Lysenko L.G., candidate of historical sciences, associate professor of Umansky state university (Ukraine, Uman), kii1969@mail.ru

The future of the European Union: department or superpowers

Among actual processes connected with European Union improvement the greatest influence on civilization development of humanity will be had by processes of rapprochement of Europe and America; synthesis of political models of the East and the West, modernization of the East, spatial expansion eurocentrist the world and its values on the new countries and regions. Though there is a number of questions of perspective development of the European Union. In respect of the accession to the European Union of new subjects of political partnership with which decision will be automatically realized a number of barrier contradictions for future status membership.

To avoid the mistakes made at assistance to Greece – Finland, the Netherlands and Germany plan to protect the Eurozone from idea of combination of debts, to strengthen the main limits of euro, are defined by the Maastricht contract which provides that each country itself has to be responsible for the economic policy. And if the southern EU countries speak about a possible exit from the Eurozone, the Baltic states express desire to join it. So, in 2011 to the Eurozone Estonia, in 2013 – Latvia attached, declared the intentions to enter the Eurozone Poland and Lithuania. Spain and Italy to the state support need not only the central banks, but also regions just when the governments of these states look for loans under available percent.

Keywords: Eurozone union, state, policy, Eurozone, economic problems.

• „ ,
,
(,), kii1969@mail.ru

• „ ,
,
(,), kii1969@mail.ru

：

，

，

，

，

，

·

，

，

·

，

，

，

，

—

，

，

，

，

，

，

·

，

·

， 2011

， 2013

—

，

·

，

，

·

：

，

，

，

，

·

—

，

：

《

》，

《

—

》，

《

—

》；

，

；

，

，

2011 .

5 2011

. 15 2012

2014 .

30–40

[8, .6].

70–

. 1991

« »

2 .

1936

1937

11 2012

: « —

».

« »,

8%

16

[9, .20].

2014

[8, .6].

«

»,

[8, .6].

15

2013

« » « »

43].

[10, .42–

«The Economist»

[11, .28–29].

[12, .24–27].

«Terra Nova»,

[13, .34–35].

« — »,
2003 .

« »,

,

,

.

,

,

.

—

,

.

.

,

—

,

,

.

,

.

,

,

,

.

,

,

,

.

,

,

—

,

,

.

.

—

.

,

.

.

1.

.

,

/

//

2. : –
w // , / h c
. – 2013. – 14. – .34–35.
3. : w //
. – 2012. – 31. – .30–31.
4. .
/ // . – 2013. – 2 . – .9.
5. :
w // / h c w
. – 2012. – 12. – .38–39.
6. . :
/ // . – 2013. – 5. – .36–37.
7. . – :
/ // . – 2013. – 20. – .34–35.
8. . , / // .
– 2012. – 28 . – .6.
9. : / h c
w // . – 2012. – 39. – .20.
10. . : « –
» / // . – 2013. – 8. – .42–43.
11. . : , ,
/ //
. – 2012. – 47. – .28–29.
12. : – ,
/ h c w // . – 2012. –
22. – .24–27.

13.

// . – 2012. – 13. – .34–35.

Reference

1. Vivorka M. Moral, kultura i vyzhyvannia Yevropy / Mishel Vivorka // Ukrainskyi tyzhden. – 2012. – 37. – S.39.
2. Suvora pivnich: Naibilsha zahroza dlia poriatunku yevro – tse dedali hirsha polityka ekonomichnoi dopomohy yak na pivnochi, tak i na pivdni / The Economist Newspaper Limited // Ukrainskyi tyzhden. – 2013. – 14. – S.34–35.
3. Shche odna slabka lanka: Bahatostrazhdalni uriady v Madrydi ta Rymi voiuut iz zaborhovanistiu rehioniv / The Economist Newspaper Limited // Ukrainskyi tyzhden. – 2012. – 31. – S.30–31.
4. Tymchenko V. Khorvatiia vzhe tsoho roku otrymaie pershi sotni milioniv yevro z fondiv YeS / Viktor Tymchenko // Holos Ukrainy. – 2013. – 2 lypnia. – S.9.
5. Svitlishyi vidtinok siroho: Chlenstvo u YeS pishlo na koryst Bolharii ta Rumunii, ale yevrobiurokratam uryvaietsia terpets / The Economist Newspaper Limited // Ukrainskyi tyzhden. – 2012. – 12. – S.38–39.
6. Binion M. Skrynka Pandory: Perspektyva vykhodu Velykoi Brytanii z obiednanoi Yevropy mozhe pryzvesty do lantsiuhovoi reaktsii sered inshykh chleniv YeS / Maikl Binion // Ukrainskyi tyzhden. – 2013. – 5. – S.36–37.
7. Binion M. Skladna pereprava cherez La–Mansh: Pytannia vykhodu Spoluchenoho Korolivstva zi skladu YeS dedali bilshe poliaryzuie brytanskyi politykum / Maikl Binion // Ukrainskyi tyzhden. – 2013. – 20. – S.34–35.
8. Koval A. Myr, kotor i treshchyt po shvam / Aleksei Koval // Zerkalo nedely. – 2012. – 28 dekabria. – S.6.
9. Nezalezhna Kataloniia: Skandal cherez hroshi i suverenitet / The Economist Newspaper Limited // Ukrainskyi tyzhden. – 2012. – 39. – S.20.
10. Trehub H. Pol Tibo: «Suchasna problema YeS – tse yoho orhanizatsiia i ne vidkrytist do zovnishnoho svitu. Obiednana Yevropa sohodni duzhe zainiata sama soboiu» / Hanna Trehub // Ukrainskyi tyzhden. – 2013. – 8. – S.42–43.

11. Buhrii M. Yak uriatuvaty YeS: Nikolia Tenzer pro te, yak obiednana Yevropa mozhe podolaty ekonomichni ta politychni vyklyky / Maksym Buhrii // Ukrainskyi tyzhden. – 2012. – 47. – S.28–29.
12. Vybir Yevropy: Obmezhenyi variant federalizmu – menshe zlo, nizh rozval yevrozony / The Economist Newspaper Limited // Ukrainskyi tyzhden. – 2012. – 22. – S.24–27.
13. Pahiria O. Deklaratyvna rivnist: Mark–Olivie Padis pro yevropeisku multykulturnist i politychni rozbizhnosti mizh Frantsiieiu i Nimechchynoiu / Oleksandr Pahiria // Ukrainskyi tyzhden. – 2012. – 13. – S.34–35.