<u>PEDIATRICS</u> Riga O.O.¹, Gonchar M.O.¹, Uryvayeva M.K.¹, Samsonenko V.I.², Shulga A.A.¹

EVALUATION OF INFANT DEVELOPMENT USING A GUIDE FOR MONITORING CHILD DEVELOPMENT

Kharkiv National Medical University, Ukraine 1 CSHC "Kharkiv Municipal Children Polyclinic No 2", Ukraine ²

The purpose of the study was to assess the main domains of child development in healthy infants of Novobavarsky district Abstract: of Kharkiv (Ukraine) with the use of A GUIDE FOR MONITORING CHILD DEVELOPMENT (GMCD). The development of 100 children aged 0-42 months was evaluated. Developmental delay was assessed in standard deviations in comparison with the indices of the corresponding age. Developmental delay in 1 domain by -2σ was detected in 19 infants, in 2 domains - in 6, in 3 domains - in 1 and in 4 domains - in 1 infant. Developmental delay in 1 domain by -3 of was detected in 3 children. Besides, 2 infants had a considerably delayed development. Children born prematurely were shown to have statistically significant higher incidence rate of developmental delays in impressive language and play. In authors' opinion, the use of the GMCD allows to give medical recommendations on supporting communication skills and emotions development to parents, as well as to refer the families to early intervention service.

KeyWords: development, young children

-----*****-----

INTRODUCTION

Pediatrics of development and behavior is one of the most important and perspective fields of pediatrics, dealing with monitoring and studying the child development in cognitive, communicative, socio-emotional, motor and adaptive spheres [1,2]. Pediatrics of development plays a key role in preservation of future population health, which is important from medical, social, and economic perspective, because economics of the country directly depends on the health level of its population [3]. In developed countries, the health care for children of different ages is at a high level; however, the majority of children of the world live in low-income countries, where the conditions of their life, levels of safety and health care do not promote their development. Such factors, as domestic violence, poverty, lack of appropriate attention exert a considerable influence on the child's upbringing [4]. The sooner these deviations are revealed and corrected, the less children will have serious developmental problems in future, when it is too late to correct them.

Corresponding Author:

Olena Riga, MD, PhD, Professor of Department of Pediatrics 1 and Neonatology of Kharkiv National Medical University, Ukraine. E-mail: yeletskayaelena@gmail.com

2 PURPOSES, SUBJECTS and METHODS:

2.1 Purpose

The purpose of the study was to assess the development of healthy infants in Novobavarsky district of Kharkiv according to main functional domains using GMCD for referring to early intervention service.

2.2 Subjects & Methods

Assessment of child development of infants aged 0-42 months involved the employment of GMCD [7]. The Guide is a standardized method of assessment, observation and supporting the child development. GMCD has been elaborated for 20 years at the University of Ankara according to the modern theories of child development. The Guide is based on the principles of bioecological theory of child development and the WHO's International Classification of Functioning, Disability and Health (ICF) with the use of family-centered approach.

The Guide consists of three components: 1) assessment of child development; 2) monitoring of the child development in infancy; 3) supporting the child development. The assessed developmental domains are expressive and impressive language, fine and gross motor functions, play, relationship, self-help skills. The GMCD consists of three components: 1) monitoring; 2) supporting

development; and 3) early intervention. Attention is also paid to domestic environment, risks and family decisions.

GMCD includes record data, developmental risks and plan of actions, 10 open-ended questions for parents about developmental domains of their children at the age of 1-2, 3-4, 5-6, 7-8, 9-11, 12-14, 15-17, 18-21, 22-25, 26-29, 30-35, 36-42 months and card for parents support.

During 2016, the development of 100 infants (45 boys and 54 girls) was assessed with GMCD scale. The infants were divided into groups depending on their age: 7 children aged 0-3 months, 6 aged 4-6 months, 27 aged 7-12 months, 18 aged 13-18 months, 7 aged 19-24 months, 12 aged 25-30 months, 13 aged 31-36 months, 10 aged 37-42 months. The parents were interviewed for 10-15 minutes at home, according to the requirements of the questionnaire. The results were assessed by root-meansquare deviation method. According to the methodology of the questionnaire, if a child reached all the indices of the column, corresponding to the child's age interval, the development was defined as standard. If a child reached several indices of the child's own age interval, and all the indices of the previous column (age interval), that was defined as -1σ . If a child was able to reach only the indices of the two age intervals, previous to the child's own one, that was defined as -2σ . If a child was able to complete only the requirements of the age intervals, located 2 and more columns far from the child's own age interval, that was defined as -3σ . If the development forestalls the child's own age interval, the development was assessed as $+1\sigma$, $+2\sigma$, $+3\sigma$.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First of all, biological risk factors of development deviations, included in GMCD were detected (anemia (<105 g/l) in 6 infants, premature birth (before full 37 gestation weeks) in 6 infants, low birth weight (<2500g) in 7 infants, perinatal pathology or chronic diseases in 20 infants) among 100 children, whose parents were invited to complete the questionnaire. It should be noted, that 2 infants had very low birth weight (≤1500g). Table 1 presents the distribution of the child development indices

by the main domains according to sex.

Table 1:

Absolute values of standard deviations in developmental domains in infants according to sex (n=100)

Developmental	-3σ	-2σ	-1σ	Standard	+1σ	+2σ	+3σ
domain							
Expressive	B: 1	B: 8	B:1	B: 28	B: 5	B: 3	B: -
language	G: 2	G:5	G:5	G: 30	G: 3	G: 6	G: 2
Impressive	B: -	B: 4	B:2	B: 32	B: 2	B: 6	B: -
language	G: 2	G:2	G:2	G: 38	G: 4	G: 4	G: 2
Gross motor	B: -	B: 1	B:2	B: 35	B: 3	B: 5	B: -
function	G: -	G:4	G:1	G: 36	G: 2	G:11	G: -
Fine motor	B: -	B: 1	B: -	B: 40	B: 2	B: 3	B: -
function	G: -	G:4	G:2	G: 40	G: 2	G: 6	G: -
Relationship	B: -	B:3	B: -	B: 34	B: 4	B: 5	B: -
	G: -	G:2	G:1	G: 38	G: 2	G:11	G: -
Play	B: -	B: 2	B:1	B: 33	B: 5	B: 5	B: -
	G: 1	G:4	G:6	G: 33	G: 4	G: 6	G: -
Self-help skills	B: 1	B:1	B:1	B: 34	B: 2	B: 7	B: -
	G: -	G:3	G:1	G: 41	G: 1	G: 8	G: -

Note: B, boys; G, girls

Thus, development, described as -2σ and -3σ , in speech was ¬¬¬detected in 17% of infants, in language understanding - in 8% of infants, in gross motor function - in 5, in fine motor function - in 5%, in socio-emotional development in 5%, in play - in 7%, in self-help skills - in 5% of infants. On the whole, developmental delay by -2σ in 1 domain was detected in 19 infants, in 2 domains - in 6, in 3 domains in 1 and in 4 domains - in 1 infant. The developmental delay by -3σ in 1 domain was detected in 3 infants. Furthermore, 2 infants were found to have considerable developmental delay: one infant delayed development by - 2σ in 2 domains and by -3σ in 2 another domains at the same time, and another infant had developmental delay by -2σ in 2 domains and by -3σ in 3 domains. These infants were born prematurely and were reported to have combined perinatal pathology: low birth weight, respiratory disorders and intrauterine growth retardation.

Numerous studies concerning remote effects of development and behavior of the children, born prematurely, detect lots of problems [8, 9, 10]. In total population of children, about 17% aged 0-18 years have developmental disorders; however, only 30% have them diagnosed before school [8]. The children born prematurely are in a special

risk group of developmental disorders; therefore the subsequent thorough surveillance after their discharge from obstetric hospitals and skilled training of specialists may decrease these risks [9]. Very preterm children have an increased incidence rate of cerebral paralysis [10].

In preterm children, 20% of them (p=0.1338) had developmental delay in expressive language by -1σ , 34% had delay in impressive language by -2σ , 17% delayed development in impressive language by -3σ (p=0,0001).

Delay in gross motor function by -2 σ (p=0,1511) was detected in 17% of children, 17% delayed development in play domain by -3 σ (p=0,073). However, 17% of children of the same group were found to forestall the level of development of their age in expressive and impressive language by +1 σ and 17% - by +2 σ .

In gross motor function and relationship 50% of children forestalled their age by $+2\sigma$. In play and self-help skills 34% of children forestalled their age by $+2\sigma$. Table 2 represents the distribution of standard deviations in main developmental domains in full-term child infants.

Table 2
Absolute values of standard deviations in developmental domains in full-term infants according to sex (n=94)

Develop-	-3σ	-2σ	-1σ	Stan	+1σ	+2σ	+3σ
mental				dard			55
domain				uu. u			
Expressive	3	14	5	55	7	8	2
speech, %	(3.2)	(14.9)	(5.3)	(58.5)	(7.4)	(8.5)	(2.1)
Impressive	1	5	4	68	5	9	2
speech, %	(1.1)	(5.3)	(4.3)	(72.3)	(5.3)	(9.6)	(2.1)
Gross	-	4	3	69	5	13	-
motor		(4.3)	(3.2)	(73.4)	(5.3)	(13.8)	
function,%							
Fine motor	-	5	2	74	4	9	-
function,%		(5.3%)	(2.1)	(78.7)	(4.3)	(9.6)	
Relation-	-	5	1	69	6	12	-
ship form-		(5.3)	(1.1)	(73.4)	(6.4)	(12.8)	
ing, %							
Play, %	-	6	7	63 (67)	9	9	-
		(6.4)	(7.4)		(9.6)	(9.6)	
Self-	1	4	2	71	3	13	-
depend-	(1.1)	(4.3)	(2.1)	(75.5)	(3.2)	(13.8)	
ence, %							

Thus, children born prematurely had statistically significant developmental delay rate in impressive language, and that indicates socio-emotional development delay and may be a predictor of autism development in these children. Therefore, parents are offered to make parents' evaluation

of developmental status arrangements, such as development of communicational skills and emotions.

Developmental delay in expressive language by -2σ was detected in 17% of children with anemia, as compared with 11.7% of children without anemia. In other domains (play and self-help skills) children with anemia showed either standard developmental levels or even higher ones as compared with their age intervals.

Thus, developmental delays in infants may be detected due to the use of the tool for parents' inquiry - "A Guide for Monitoring Child Development in Low- and Middle-Income Countries". Assessment of child development in developed countries showed 10-20% of infants with one or several developmental problems [5].

In 2005-2006, an investigation concerning the child development in 18 developing countries, showed, that 23% of children (from 3% to 38%, depending on the country) aged 2 to 17 years have developmental deviations [6]. The authors reported, that the assessment of the development of children aged 0-3 years old is the most difficult, however just that very age is the most favorable for the correction of the deviations.

A group of authors has made a universal questionnaire for parents - "A Guide for Monitoring Child Development in Low- and Middle-Income Countries" (GMCD). It is used by doctors and permits to assess the child's development and behavior, regardless of the country the children live in, and to detect the deviations in child development at early stages [7].

4 CONCLUSIONS

- 1. The use of GMCD allows to diagnose delays in main developmental domains in infants aged 0-42 months.
- 2. Among 100 infants, 19 delayed development in 1 domain, 6 in 2 domains, 1 in 3 domains and 1 in 4 domains.
- 3. Infants born prematurely had statistically significant delay in impressive language and play.
- 4. The use of GMCD allows to give medical recommendations on supporting communication skills and emotions development to parents, as well as to refer the fami-

~ 82 ~

INTER COLLEGAS, VOL. 4, No. 2 (2017) ISSN 2409-9988

lies to the early intervention service.

The perspectives of the further studies should pertain to evaluation of children's development in larger populations.

REFERENCES

- 1. Identifying Infants and Young Children With Developmental Disorders in the Medical Home: An Algorithm for Developmental Surveillance and Screening. Council on Children With Disabilities, 2006. Pediatrics, №108(1):192.
- 2. Grantham G.S., Cheung, Y.B, Cueto S. (2007). Developmental potential in the first 5 years for children in developing countries. Lancet, 369(9555):60 -70.
- 3. Glascoe, F.P., Trimm, F. (2014). Brief Approaches to Developmental-Behavioral Promotion in Primary Care: Updates on Methods and Technology. Pediatrics, 133: 884.
- 4. Ertem, I.O. (2012). Developmental difficulties in early childhood: prevention, early identification, assessment and intervention in low- and middle-income countries: a review. WHO publication. Retrieved from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/97942/1/97892 41503549_eng.pdf
- 5. Durkin, M.S., Jamison, D.T. (2006). Learning and developmental disabilities. Disease control priorities in developing countries, 2nd ed. New York, Oxford University Press: 933-951.
- 6. Gottlieb, C.A. (2009). Child disability screening, nutrition, and early learning in 18 countries with low and middle incomes: data from the third round of UNICEF's Multiple Indicator Cluster Survey (2005 06). Lancet, 374(9704):1831-1839.
- 7. Ilgi, O., Ertem, M.Da., Derya, G., Dogan, M.Da, Canan,

- G., Gok, M.Sca, Domenic, V. (2008). A Guide for Monitoring Child Development in Lowand Middle-Income Countries. PhDcPEDIATRICS, Vol. 121, N.3:581.
- 8. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

 Developmental Screening. Retrieved from: http://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/child/devtool.htm.
- 9. Hospital discharge of the high-risk neonate. American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Fetus and Newborn, 2008. Pediatrics, №122(5): 1119-1126.
- 10. Ancel, P.Y., Livinec ,F., Larroque, B. (2006). Cerebral palsy among very preterm children in relation to gestational age and neonatal ultrasound abnormalities: the EPIPAGE cohort study. Pediatrics, 117(3): 828-835.

Received: 17-Jan. - 2017

Accepted: 23-Jun. - 2017