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We study operator equations generalizing the chain rule and the substitution rule for the integral and the derivative of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \circ g+c=I(T f \circ g \cdot T g), \quad f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$ and where $I$ is defined on $C(\mathbb{R})$. We consider suitable conditions on $I$ and $T$ such that (1) is well-defined and, after reformulating (1) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(f \circ g)=T f \circ g \cdot T g, \quad f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $V: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$, give the general form of $T, V$ and $I$. Simple initial conditions then guarantee that the derivative and the integral are the only solutions for $T$ and $I$. We also consider an analogue of the Leibniz rule and study surjectivity properties there.
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## 1. Introduction and Preliminary Discussion

Generalizing the chain rule $D(f \circ g)=D f \circ g \cdot D g$ for $f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, we studied in $[\mathrm{AKM}]$ the operator equation $T(f \circ g)=T f \circ g \cdot T g$ for non-degenerate operators $T: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$. These operators turned out to be of the form

$$
T f(x)=\frac{H \circ f(x)}{H(x)}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p}\left\{\operatorname{sgn} f^{\prime}(x)\right\}
$$

for a suitable function $H \in C(\mathbb{R}), H>0$, a number $p \geq 0$ and where the term $\left\{\operatorname{sgn} f^{\prime}(x)\right\}$ may be present or not, cf. Theorem 1 of $[\mathrm{AKM}]$. The more general equation $V(f \circ g)=T_{1} f \circ g \cdot T_{2} g ; f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for operators $V, T_{1}, T_{2}: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow$ $C(\mathbb{R})$ has, up to multiplication by continuous functions, very similar solutions, cf. Theorem 3 of [KM2].

Looking at the indefinite integral $J$ and the derivative $D$, the chain rule takes the form

$$
f \circ g+c=J(D f \circ g \cdot D g), \quad f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}),
$$

with $c$ being a constant. Motivated by this equation, we look for operators $T: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$ and $I$ defined on $C(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \circ g \sim I(T f \circ g \cdot T g) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. The equivalence $\sim$ has to be understood in a way so that (1) yields a well-defined operator $I$ : Assume, e.g., that $\sim$ means equality. Then, choosing $g=c$ to be a constant function yields for all $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(c)=I((T f)(c) \cdot T c) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that $T$ is non-degenerate in the sense that for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ there are functions $f_{1}, f_{2} \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $f_{1}(c)=f_{2}(c)$ and $T f_{1}(c) \neq T f_{2}(c)$, we find that

$$
I\left(T f_{1}(c) \cdot T c\right)=f_{1}(c)=f_{2}(c)=I\left(T f_{2}(c) \cdot T c\right)
$$

so that either $T c=0$ holds for all constant functions or $I$ will not be injective. If $T c=0$, using (1) for $f=c$ and general $g$ and (3) for general $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, we arrive at the conclusion

$$
c=I((T c) \circ g \cdot T g)=I(0)=I((T f)(c) \cdot T c)=f(c) .
$$

Therefore, if $T c=0$, as in the case of the derivative and the indefinite integral, the image of $I$ should consist of classes of functions modulo the constants. Let $\mathcal{C} \subset C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the constant functions. To make (1) a meaningful equation (and also motivated by the indefinite integral) we may require that there are maps
(a) $I: C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{C}$ and $T: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{C} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying (1) with $I$ being injective.
For $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$, denote $[f]:=f+\mathcal{C} \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{C}$. Equation (1) then might be interpreted as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[f \circ g]=I(T[f] \circ g \cdot T[g]) \quad ; \quad f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{1'}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note here that $[f] \circ g=[f \circ g]$.
Alternatively, motivated by the definite integral, we may ask that there are operators
(b) $I: C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $T: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$ and a fixed number $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $I$ is injective and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \circ g-(f \circ g)(c)=I(T f \circ g \cdot T g) ; \quad f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. In the next section we give precise statements describing the solutions of the operator equations (1') and (1).

## 2. Results for the Chain Rule

To state the results, we need the following notion of non-degeneracy of $T$.
Definition 1. A map $T: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$ is called non-degenerate provided that there is $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there is $f \in C_{b}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $f(x)=y$ and $(T f)(x) \neq 0$. Here $C_{b}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the half-bounded $C^{1}$-functions on $\mathbb{R}$, i.e., bounded from above or below (or both). We use a corresponding definition if $T$ acts as $T: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{C} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$.

In case (b) we have the following result.
Theorem 1. Assume that $I: C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and $T: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$ are operators such that for some fixed $c \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \circ g-(f \circ g)(c)=I(T f \circ g \cdot T g), \quad f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Suppose further that $T$ is non-degenerate and that $I$ is injective. Then there are constants $p>0, d \neq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
T f(x) & =d\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p}\left(\operatorname{sgn} f^{\prime}(x)\right), \quad f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \\
\operatorname{Ih}(x) & =d^{-2 / p} \int_{c}^{x}|h(s)|^{1 / p} \operatorname{sgn} h(s) d s, \quad h \in C(\mathbb{R}) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

If $T$ satisfies the initial conditions $T(2 \mathrm{Id})=2$ and $T(3 \mathrm{Id})=3$ (the constant functions 2 and 3), we have that $p=1$ and $d=1$,

$$
T f(x)=f^{\prime}(x), \quad \operatorname{Ih}(x)=\int_{c}^{x} h(s) d s
$$

Hence $T$ is a generalized derivative and $I$ a generalized definite integral. The two initial conditions may be replaced by $T(b \mathrm{Id})=b$ for two different constants $b \in \mathbb{R}$ different from 0 and 1. Case (a) leads to an analogue of the indefinite integral.

Theorem 2. Assume that $I: C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{C}$ and $T: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{C} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$ are operators such that

$$
[f \circ g]=I(T[f] \circ g \cdot T[g]), \quad f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})
$$

holds. Suppose further that $T$ is non-degenerate and that there is $W: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow$ $C(\mathbb{R})$ such that $W I: C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$ is injective. Then there are constants $p>0$, $d \neq 0$ and such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T[f](x) & =d\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p}\left(\operatorname{sgn} f^{\prime}(x)\right), \quad f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \\
\operatorname{Ih}(x) & =d^{-2 / p} \int^{x}|h(s)|^{1 / p} \operatorname{sgn} h(s) d s+\mathcal{C}, h \in C(\mathbb{R})
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Put $d:=T(I d), d \in C(\mathbb{R})$. Choose $g=$ Id in (1) to find that $f-f(c)=I(d \cdot T f)$, where $f(c)$ denotes the constant function with value $f(c)$. Since this holds for all $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}), d$ cannot be identically zero and $I$ is surjective onto the space $C_{c}^{1}(\mathbb{R}):=\left\{h \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \mid h(c)=0\right\}$ of $C^{1}$-functions which are zero in $c$. Since $I$ is injective by assumption, $I$ is bijective as a map $I: C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Denote its inverse by $\tilde{V}, \tilde{V}: C_{c}^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$ and define $V: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$ by $V f:=\tilde{V}(f-f(c))$. Applying $I^{-1}$ to (1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(f \circ g)=\tilde{V}(f \circ g-(f \circ g)(c))=T f \circ g \cdot T g \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Choosing $g=I d$ and $f=I d$, respectively, we find that $V f=d T f$ and $V g=(d \circ g) T g$, i.e. for any $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
V f=d \circ f \cdot T f=d \cdot T f
$$

Since $T$ is assumed to be non-degenerate, there is $y \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there is $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $f(x)=y$ and $T f(x) \neq 0$. By the preceeding equality, $d(y)(T f)(x)=d(x)(T f)(x)$, i.e., $d$ is a constant function with constant $d \neq 0$
since $d$ was not identically zero. Define $S f:=T f / d$. Then $V f=d^{2} S f, T f=d S f$ and by (2)

$$
S(f \circ g)=S f \circ g \cdot S g, \quad f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})
$$

holds. Since $T$ is non-degenerate and $d \neq 0$, also $S$ is non-degenerate. Hence by Theorem 1 of $[\mathrm{AKM}]$ there is $H \in C(\mathbb{R}), H>0$ and $p \geq 0$ such that either

$$
S f(x)=\frac{H \circ f}{H}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p}, \quad p \geq 0, f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})
$$

or

$$
S f(x)=\frac{H \circ f}{H}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p} \operatorname{sgn} f^{\prime}, \quad p>0, f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})
$$

We indicate by brackets $\left\}\right.$ that the term sgn $f^{\prime}$ may be present or not in the solution formulas. Then the operators $V, T$ satisfying (2) with $T$ being nondegenerate are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
V f=d^{2} \frac{H \circ f}{H}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p}\left\{\operatorname{sgn} f^{\prime}\right\}, T f=d \frac{H \circ f}{H}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p}\left\{\operatorname{sgn} f^{\prime}\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $H>0, d \neq 0$ and $p \geq 0$.
Let $b \in \mathbb{R}$. Applying (1) to $g=\operatorname{Id}$ and $f$ as well as $f+b$ yields

$$
f-f(c)=I(d \cdot T(f+b))=I(d \cdot T f)
$$

The injectivity of $I$ together with $d \neq 0$ implies that $T(f+b)=T f$, i.e., $T$ does not depend on shifts by $b$. Therefore (5) yields for $f=\mathrm{Id}$ that $H(x+b)=H(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ which means that $H$ is constant. Therefore $T f=d\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p}\left\{\operatorname{sgn} f^{\prime}\right\}$, and choosing $g=\mathrm{Id}$ in (1) we have

$$
f-f(c)=I(d T f)=I\left(d^{2}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p}\left\{\operatorname{sgn} f^{\prime}\right\}\right)=: I h
$$

Since $I: C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{c}^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ is bijective and defined also on all negative functions, the sgn $f^{\prime}$-term has to be present in the right side and $p>0$ is required. To find a formula for $I$, we have to solve $h=d^{2}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p} \operatorname{sgn}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$, i.e., $f^{\prime}=d^{-2 / p}|h|^{1 / p} \operatorname{sgn}(h)$. Since $\operatorname{Ih}(c)=0$ is required, this gives that

$$
\operatorname{Ih}(x)=f(x)-f(c)=d^{-2 / p} \int_{c}^{x}|h(s)|^{1 / p} \operatorname{sgn} h(s) d s
$$

Clearly these operators satisfy Eq. (1). In the case that additionally $T(2 \mathrm{Id})=2$ and $T(3 \mathrm{Id})=3$, we have $p=1, d=1$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Choosing $g=I d$ in (1') shows that $I$ is surjective onto $C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{C}$. Let $V:=I^{-1}: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathcal{C} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$. Then

$$
V([f \circ g])=T[f] \circ g \cdot T[g] ; \quad f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})
$$

holds. This is similar as in (2), however, here $T$ and $V$ are defined on function classes only. Equation (2') has similar solutions as (2) in terms of $H, p$ and $f^{\prime}$, cf. (5). The requirement that $T[f]$ depends only on the class $[f]=f+\mathcal{C}$ again implies that $H$ is constant, being invariant under shifts by constants $b$. Then with $d, p$ as before

$$
V[f]=d^{2}\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p}\left\{\operatorname{sgn} f^{\prime}\right\}, T[f]=d\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|^{p}\left\{\operatorname{sgn} f^{\prime}\right\},
$$

$V[f]=I^{-1}[f],[f]=I h$. Again we solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=V[f]=d^{2}\left|f^{\prime}\right|^{p}\left\{\operatorname{sgn} f^{\prime}\right\} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

also for non-positive functions $h$ requires the term $\operatorname{sgn} f^{\prime}$ to be present in $V$ and $T$. We have

$$
f^{\prime}=d^{-2 / p}|h|^{1 / p} \operatorname{sgn} h
$$

and hence

$$
[f](x)=d^{-2 / p} \int^{x}|h(s)|^{1 / p} \operatorname{sgn} h(s) d s+\mathcal{C}, h \in C(\mathbb{R})
$$

yields a solution $[f]=I h$ of (6) and ( $1^{\prime}$ ).

## 3. Leibniz Rule

We now turn to the Leibniz rule operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(f \cdot g)=T f \cdot g+f \cdot T g, \quad f, g \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$. It is known $[\mathrm{KM} 1]$ that any operator $T$ satisfying (7) has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
T f=b f^{\prime}+a f \ln |f|, \quad f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b, a \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and $0 \ln |0|:=0$. The results for the chain rule operator equation actually imply that the map $T$ there is surjective. We will now study surjectivity conditions for $T$ satisfying (7): Let $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$. We want to find $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $T f=g$. Then $I g:=f$ is a "generalized" integral in the Leibniz rule sense. We prove:

Proposition 3. Assume $T: C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(f_{1} \cdot f_{2}\right)=T f_{1} \cdot f_{2}+f_{1} \cdot T f_{2} ; \quad f_{1}, f_{2} \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R}) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there are $g_{1}, g_{2} \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $g_{1}(x)=g_{2}(x)$ and $\left(T g_{1}\right)(x) \neq\left(T g_{2}\right)(x)$. Then $T$ is surjective, i.e. $T f=g$ has a solution $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for any $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$.

Proof. Choose any $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$. To find $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ with $T f=g$, we have to solve the differential equation

$$
T f=b f^{\prime}+a f \ln |f|=g
$$

in $\mathbb{R}$, using (8). The assumption on $T$ implies that $b(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $A:=a / b, G:=g / b$. Then $A, G \in C(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}+A f \ln |f|=G \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

has to be solved for a suitable $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. Locally solutions of (9) exist; we only have to show that no singularity occurs on finite intervals. Assume that on some bounded open interval $J$ we have that $\left.f\right|_{J} \geq 2$ holds. Since $A$ and $G$ are continuous and bounded on $\bar{J}$, we conclude from (9) that there is a constant $M_{j}>0$ such that $f^{\prime} \leq M_{J} f \ln |f|$. The differential equation $F^{\prime}=M_{J} F \ln |F|$, however, has a bounded solution in $\bar{J}$ since for $x_{0} \in J$ and initial value $F\left(x_{0}\right)=$ $f\left(x_{0}\right) \geq 2$

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{J}\left(x-x_{0}\right) & =\int_{x_{0}}^{x} \frac{d F(t)}{F(t) \ln |F(t)|}=\int_{F\left(x_{0}\right)}^{F(x)} \frac{d s}{s \ln |s|}=\ln \frac{\ln F(x)}{\ln F\left(x_{0}\right)}, \\
F(x) & \leq F\left(x_{0}\right)^{\exp \left(M_{J}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the generalized Gronwall inequality, cf. [H], Ch. III, Cor. $4.3,|f| \leq|F|$ on $\bar{J}$. Therefore (9) admits a locally bounded solution $f \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. A similar argument applies when $\left.f\right|_{J} \leq-2$ holds.

We now claim that $T$ is uniquely determined by its values $T f_{1}$ and $T f_{2}$ for two functions $f_{1}, f_{2} \in C^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ for which there is no open interval in $\mathbb{R}$ such that either for some $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{R}\left|\left|f_{1}(x)\right|^{c_{1}}\right. & \left.=\left|f_{2}(x)\right|^{c_{2}}\right\} \text { or }\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f_{1}(x) \in\{0,1\}\right\} \\
& \text { or }\left\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f_{2}(x) \in\{0,1\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this case

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
f_{1}^{\prime} & f_{1} \ln \left|f_{1}\right| \\
f_{2}^{\prime} & f_{2} \ln \left|f_{2}\right|
\end{array}\right)=f_{1}^{\prime} f_{2} \ln \left|f_{2}\right|-f_{2}^{\prime} f_{1} \ln \left|f_{1}\right| \\
& \quad=\quad f_{1} f_{2}\left[\left(\ln \left|f_{1}\right|\right)^{\prime}\left(\ln \left|f_{2}\right|\right)-\left(\ln \left|f_{2}\right|\right)^{\prime}\left(\ln \left|f_{1}\right|\right)\right] \\
& \quad=\left(f_{1} \ln \left|f_{1}\right|\right)\left(f_{2} \ln \left|f_{2}\right|\right) \cdot\left[\left(\ln \ln \left|f_{1}\right|\right)^{\prime}-\left(\ln \ln \left|f_{2}\right|\right)^{\prime}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\left(\ln \ln \left|f_{1}\right|-\ln \ln \left|f_{2}\right|\right)^{\prime}=0$ would hold on some open interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, we would get $\ln \ln \left|f_{1}\right|=\ln \ln \left|f_{2}\right|+\ln c$ for some constant $c>0$ and hence $\ln \left|f_{1}\right|=$
$c \ln \left|f_{2}\right|=\ln \left|f_{2}\right|^{c}$, so that $\left|f_{1}\right|=\left|f_{2}\right|^{c}$ would be true. Hence the above determinant is non-zero in suitable points in arbitrarily small open intervals. If $g_{1}=T f_{1}$ and $g_{2}=T f_{2}$ are given, the continuous functions $b$ and $a$ in (10) are uniquely determined by the linear equations for $b(x)$ and $a(x)$,

$$
b(x) f_{j}^{\prime}(x)+a(x) f_{j}(x) \ln \left|f_{j}(x)\right|=g_{j}(x)
$$

in points $x$ where the above determinant is non-zero, and outside these points by a limiting argument using the continuity of $b$ and $a$.
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