

UDC 519.6+517.983.54

**IMPLICIT ITERATION METHOD OF SOLVING
LINEAR EQUATIONS WITH APPROXIMATING
RIGHT-HAND MEMBER AND APPROXIMATELY
SPECIFIED OPERATOR**

OLEG MATYSIK

РЕЗЮМЕ. У гільбертовому просторі досліджується неявний метод ітерацій розв'язування лінійних рівнянь з ненегативним самоспряженим і несамопряженим обмеженим оператором. Доведено збіжність методу у випадку апріорного вибору числа ітерацій у вихідній нормі гільбертового простору, в припущенні, що похибки є не тільки в правій частині рівняння, а й в операторі. Отримано оцінки похибки і апріорний момент зупинки.

ABSTRACT. The article deals with the study of the implicit method of solving linear equations with nonnegative self-adjoint and nonself-adjoint limited operator in Hilbert space. It aims at proving the method convergence in case of a priori choice of the number of iterations in the basic norm of Hilbert space on the assumption of existing errors not only in the equation right-hand member but in the operator as well. Error estimation and a priori stop moment are obtained.

1. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Let H and F be Hilbert spaces and $A \in \mathcal{L}(H, F)$, i. e. A is a linear continuous operator functioning from H to F . It is assumed that zero belongs to operator spectrum A , but it is not its characteristic constant. The following equation is solved

$$Ax = y. \quad (1)$$

The problem of searching for element $x \in H$ by element $y \in F$ is incorrect, for arbitrary small disturbances in the right-hand member y may result in arbitrary disturbances in solution.

Let us suppose that the accurate development $x^* \in H$ of equation (1) exists and is the unique one. We shall search for it with the help of iteration process

$$(E + \alpha^2 A^{2k})x_{n+1} = (E - \alpha A^k)^2 x_n + 2\alpha A^{k-1} y, x_0 = 0, k \in N, \quad (2)$$

where E is an identity operator while α is an iteration parameter.

We consider that operator A and the right-hand member of equation (1) are specified approximately, i.e. approximation $y_\delta, \|y - y_\delta\| \leq \delta$ is known instead of y , and operator $A_\eta, \|A - A_\eta\| \leq \eta$ is known instead of operator A . Suppose $0 \in Sp(A_\eta), Sp(A_\eta) \subseteq [0, M]$. Then method (2) will look

$$(E + \alpha^2 A_\eta^{2k})x_{n+1} = (E - \alpha A_\eta^k)^2 x_n + 2\alpha A_\eta^{k-1} y_\delta, x_0 = 0, k \in N. \quad (3)$$

Key words. Regularization, iteration method, incorrect problem, Hilbert space, self-conjugated and non self-conjugated approximately operator.

The case of approximate right-member of equation y_δ and faithful operator A for the method under consideration (3) has been studied in monograph [1]. It deals with a priori and a posteriori choice of a regularization parameter and the case of non-unique solution of problem (1), as well as with proving the method convergence in Hilbert space energy norm.

Let us prove the method convergence (3) in case of a priori choice of a regularization parameter in solving the equation $A_\eta x = y_\delta$ with the approximate operator A_η and the approximate right-hand member y_δ and obtain a priori estimated errors.

2. THE CASE OF SELF-ADJOINT NONNEGATIVE OPERATORS

Let H equal F , $A = A^* \geq 0$, $A_\eta = A_\eta^* \geq 0$, $Sp(A_\eta) \subseteq [0, M]$, $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$. The iteration method (3) will be presented in the following way:

$$x_\eta = g_n(A_\eta)y_\delta, \quad (4)$$

where $g_n(\lambda) = \lambda^{-1} \left[1 - \frac{(1 - \alpha\lambda^k)^{2n}}{(1 + \alpha^2\lambda^{2k})^n} \right]$. There have been obtained in [1-2] the conditions for functions $g_n(\lambda)$ with $\alpha > 0$:

$$\sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq M} |g_n(\lambda)| \leq \gamma n^{1/k}, \gamma = 2k\alpha^{1/k}, n > 0, \quad (5)$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq M} \lambda^s |1 - \lambda g_n(\lambda)| \leq \gamma_s n^{-s/k}, (n > 0), 0 < s < \infty, \gamma_s = \left(\frac{s}{2k\alpha e} \right)^{s/k}, \quad (6)$$

(here s is the degree of source representability of exact solution $x^* = A^s z$, $s > 0$, $\|z\| \leq \rho$),

$$\sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq M} |1 - \lambda g_n(\lambda)| \leq \gamma_0, \gamma_0 = 1, n > 0, \quad (7)$$

$$\sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq M} \lambda |1 - \lambda g_n(\lambda)| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (8)$$

The following is valid:

Lemma 1. *Let $A = A^* \geq 0$, $A_\eta = A_\eta^* \geq 0$, $\|A_\eta - A\| \leq \eta$, $Sp(A_\eta) \subseteq [0, M]$, ($0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$), $\alpha > 0$ and conditions (7), (8) be satisfied. Then $\|G_{n\eta}v\| \rightarrow 0$ at $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\eta \rightarrow 0 \forall v \in N(A)^\perp = \overline{R(A)}$, where $N(A) = \{x \in H | Ax = 0\}$ and $G_{n\eta} = E - A_\eta g_n(A_\eta)$.*

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{n\eta}v\| &= \|(E - A_\eta g_n(A_\eta))v\| = \\ &= \left\| \int_0^M (1 - \lambda g_n(\lambda)) dE_\lambda v \right\| = \left\| \int_0^M \frac{(1 - \alpha\lambda^k)^{2n}}{(1 + \alpha^2\lambda^{2k})^n} dE_\lambda v \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \left\| \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{(1 - \alpha\lambda^k)^{2n}}{(1 + \alpha^2\lambda^{2k})^n} dE_\lambda v \right\| + \left\| \int_\varepsilon^M \frac{(1 - \alpha\lambda^k)^{2n}}{(1 + \alpha^2\lambda^{2k})^n} dE_\lambda v \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\| \int_{\varepsilon}^M \frac{(1 - \alpha\lambda^k)^{2n}}{(1 + \alpha^2\lambda^{2k})^n} dE_{\lambda}v \right\| \leq q^n(\varepsilon) \left\| \int_{\varepsilon}^M dE_{\lambda}v \right\| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty,$$

as for $\lambda \in [\varepsilon, M]$

$$\frac{(1 - \alpha\lambda^k)^2}{(1 + \alpha^2\lambda^{2k})^n} \leq q(\varepsilon) < 1.$$

$$\left\| \int_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{(1 - \alpha\lambda^k)^{2n}}{(1 + \alpha^2\lambda^{2k})^n} dE_{\lambda}v \right\| \leq \left\| \int_0^{\varepsilon} dE_{\lambda}v \right\| = \|E_{\varepsilon}v\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

owing to integrated spectrum properties [3-4]. Consequently, $\|G_{n\eta}v\| \rightarrow 0$ at $n \rightarrow \infty, \eta \rightarrow 0$. Lemma 1 is proved. \square

The convergence condition for method (3) is given by

Theorem 1. *Let $A = A^* \geq 0, A_{\eta} = A_{\eta}^* \geq 0, \|A_{\eta} - A\| \leq \eta, Sp(A_{\eta}) \subseteq [0, M], (0 < \eta \leq \eta_0), \alpha > 0, y \in R(A), \|y - y_{\delta}\| \leq \delta$ and conditions (5), (7), (8) be satisfied. Let us choose parameter $n = n(\delta, \eta)$ in approximation (3) so that $(\delta + \eta)n^{1/k}(\delta, \eta) \rightarrow 0$ at $n(\delta, \eta) \rightarrow \infty, \delta \rightarrow 0, \eta \rightarrow 0$. Then $x_{n(\delta, \eta)} \rightarrow x^*$ at $\delta \rightarrow 0, \eta \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. According to (4) we have $x_n = g_n(A_{\eta})y_{\delta}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x_n - x^* &= g_n(A_{\eta})y_{\delta} - x^* = -G_{n\eta}x^* + G_{n\eta}x^* + g_n(A_{\eta})y_{\delta} - x^* = \\ &= -G_{n\eta}x^* + (E - A_{\eta}g_n(A_{\eta}))x^* + g_n(A_{\eta})y_{\delta} - x^* = -G_{n\eta}x^* + g_n(A_{\eta})(y_{\delta} - A_{\eta}x^*). \end{aligned}$$

Condition (5) being as follows $\|g_n(A_{\eta})\| \leq \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq M} |g_n(\lambda)| \leq \gamma n^{1/k}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_{\delta} - A_{\eta}x^*\| &\leq \|y_{\delta} - y\| + \|y - A_{\eta}x^*\| = \\ &= \|y_{\delta} - y\| + \|Ax^* - A_{\eta}x^*\| \leq \delta + \|A - A_{\eta}\| \|x^*\| \leq \delta + \eta \|x^*\|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\|x_{n(\delta, \eta)} - x^*\| \leq \|G_{n\eta}x^*\| + \|g_n(A_{\eta})(y_{\delta} - A_{\eta}x^*)\| \leq \|G_{n\eta}x^*\| + \gamma n^{1/k}(\delta + \eta \|x^*\|).$$

As appears from Lemma 1, $\|G_{n\eta}x^*\| \rightarrow 0$ at $n \rightarrow \infty, \eta \rightarrow 0$, and according to the condition of Theorem 1, $n^{1/k}(\delta + \eta) \rightarrow 0$ at $\delta \rightarrow 0, \eta \rightarrow 0$. Thus, $\|x_{n(\delta, \eta)} - x^*\| \rightarrow 0, \delta \rightarrow 0, \eta \rightarrow 0$. Theorem 1 is proved. \square

Theorem 2. *Let $A = A^* \geq 0, A_{\eta} = A_{\eta}^* \geq 0, \|A_{\eta} - A\| \leq \eta, Sp(A_{\eta}) \subseteq [0, M], (0 < \eta \leq \eta_0), \alpha > 0, y \in R(A), \|y_{\delta} - y\| \leq \delta$ and conditions (5), (6) be satisfied. If the exact solution is source representable, i.e. $x^* = A^s z, s > 0, \|z\| \leq \rho$, then error estimation is equitable*

$$\|x_{n(\delta, \eta)} - x^*\| \leq \gamma_0 c_s \eta^{\min(1, s)} \rho + \gamma_s n^{-s/k} \rho + \gamma n^{1/k}(\delta + \eta \|x^*\|), 0 < s < \infty.$$

Proof. Using the source representability of the exact solution we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_{n\eta}x^*\| &= \|G_{n\eta}A^s z\| \leq \|G_{n\eta}(A^s - A_{\eta}^s)z\| + \|G_{n\eta}A_{\eta}^s z\| \leq \\ &\leq \gamma_0 c_s \eta^{\min(1, s)} \rho + \gamma_s n^{-s/k} \rho, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

as according to Lemma 1.1 [5, p. 91] $\|A_\eta^s - A^s\| \leq c_s \eta^{\min(1,s)}$, $c_s = \text{const}$, ($c_s \leq 2$ for $0 < s \leq 1$). Then

$$\|x_{n(\delta,\eta)} - x^*\| \leq \gamma_0 c_s \eta^{\min(1,s)} \rho + \gamma_s n^{-s/k} \rho + \gamma n^{1/k} (\delta + \eta \|x^*\|), 0 < s < \infty. \quad (10)$$

Theorem 2 is proved. \square

If the right side of estimation (10) is minimized by n , we get the meaning of a priori stop moment:

$$n_{opt} = \left[\frac{s\gamma_s \rho}{\gamma (\delta + \|x^*\| \eta)} \right]^{k/(s+1)} = d_s \rho^{k/(s+1)} [\delta + \eta \|x^*\|]^{-k/(s+1)},$$

where $d_s = \left(\frac{s\gamma_s}{\gamma} \right)^{k/(s+1)} = \left(\frac{s}{2k} \right)^{(s+k)/(s+1)} \alpha^{-1} e^{-s/(s+1)}$. Consequently,

$$n_{opt} = \left(\frac{s}{2k} \right)^{(s+k)/(s+1)} \alpha^{-1} e^{-s/(s+1)} \rho^{k/(s+1)} [\delta + \eta \|x^*\|]^{-k/(s+1)}.$$

Let us substitute n_{opt} in estimation (10) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n(\delta,\eta)} - x^*\|_{opt} &\leq \gamma_0 c_s \eta^{\min(1,s)} \rho + \gamma_s \rho \left(d_s \rho^{k/(s+1)} \right)^{-s/k} (\delta + \eta \|x^*\|)^{s/(s+1)} + \\ &\quad + \gamma (\delta + \eta \|x^*\|) d_s^{1/k} \rho^{1/(s+1)} (\delta + \eta \|x^*\|)^{-1/(s+1)} = \\ &= \gamma_0 c_s \eta^{\min(1,s)} \rho + (\delta + \eta \|x^*\|)^{s/(s+1)} \left(d_s^{-s/k} \gamma_s \rho^{1/(s+1)} + \gamma d_s^{1/k} \rho^{1/(s+1)} \right) = \\ &= \gamma_0 c_s \eta^{\min(1,s)} \rho + \rho^{1/(s+1)} c'_s (\delta + \eta \|x^*\|)^{s/(s+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c'_s &= d_s^{-s/k} \gamma_s + \gamma d_s^{1/k} = \left(s^{1/(s+1)} + s^{-s/(s+1)} \right) \gamma^{s/(s+1)} \gamma_s^{1/(s+1)} = \\ &= \left(\frac{s}{2k} \right)^{s(1-k)/(k(s+1))} (1+s) e^{-s/(k(s+1))}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n(\delta,\eta)} - x^*\|_{opt} &\leq c_s \eta^{\min(1,s)} \rho + \\ &+ \left(\frac{s}{2k} \right)^{s(1-k)/(k(s+1))} (1+s) e^{-s/(k(s+1))} \rho^{1/(s+1)} (\delta + \eta \|x^*\|)^{s/(s+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note. *Optimal error estimation does not depend on α , whereas n_{opt} depends on α . Since there are no contingencies concerning α upwards ($\alpha > 0$), it is possible to choose α so as to make $n_{opt} = 1$. For that it is enough to take*

$$\alpha_{opt} = \left(\frac{s}{2k} \right)^{(s+k)/(s+1)} e^{-s/(s+1)} \rho^{k/(s+1)} [\delta + \eta \|x^*\|]^{-k/(s+1)}.$$

3. THE CASE OF NONSELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

In case of nonself-adjoint problem iteration method (3) will be presented as

$$\begin{aligned} \left[E + \alpha^2 (A_\eta^* A_\eta)^{2k} \right] x_{n+1} &= \left[E - \alpha (A_\eta^* A_\eta)^k \right]^2 x_n + \\ &+ 2\alpha (A_\eta^* A_\eta)^{k-1} A_\eta^* y_\delta, \quad x_0 = 0, \quad k \in N. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

It can be written as follows:

$$x_n = g_n(A_\eta^* A_\eta) A_\eta^* y_\delta. \quad (12)$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that

Lemma 2. *Let $A, A_\eta \in \mathcal{L}(H, F)$, $\|A_\eta - A\| \leq \eta$, $\|A_\eta\|^2 \leq M$, $\alpha > 0$ and conditions (7), (8) be satisfied. Then*

$$\|K_{n\eta} v\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ at } n \rightarrow \infty, \eta \rightarrow 0, \forall v \in N(A)^\perp = \overline{R(A^*)}, \quad (13)$$

$$\|\tilde{K}_{n\eta} z\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ at } n \rightarrow \infty, \eta \rightarrow 0, \forall z \in N(A^*)^\perp = \overline{R(A)}, \quad (14)$$

where $K_{n\eta} = E - A_\eta^* A_\eta g_n(A_\eta^* A_\eta)$, $\tilde{K}_{n\eta} = E - A_\eta A_\eta^* g_n(A_\eta A_\eta^*)$.

Lemma 2 is used for proving the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let $A, A_\eta \in \mathcal{L}(H, F)$, $\|A - A_\eta\| \leq \eta$, $\|A_\eta\|^2 \leq M$, ($0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$), $\alpha > 0$, $y \in R(A)$, $\|y_\delta - y\| \leq \delta$ and conditions (5), (7), (8) be satisfied. Parameter $n = n(\delta, \eta)$ is chosen so as to get*

$$(\delta + \eta)^2 n^{1/k}(\delta, \eta) \rightarrow 0 \text{ at } n(\delta, \eta) \rightarrow \infty, \delta \rightarrow 0, \eta \rightarrow 0. \quad (15)$$

Then $x_{n(\delta, \eta)} \rightarrow x^*$ at $\delta \rightarrow 0, \eta \rightarrow 0$.

Proof. For approximation error $x_{n(\delta, \eta)}$ we have

$$x_{n(\delta, \eta)} - x^* = -K_{n\eta} x^* + g_n(A_\eta^* A_\eta) A_\eta^* (y_\delta - A_\eta x^*). \quad (16)$$

We see $\|g_n(A_\eta^* A_\eta) A_\eta^*\| = \|g_n(A_\eta^* A_\eta) (A_\eta^* A_\eta)^{1/2}\| \leq \gamma_* n^{1/(2k)}$, where

$$\gamma_* = \sup_{n>0} \left(n^{-1/(2k)} \sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq M} \lambda^{1/2} |g_n(\lambda)| \right) \leq 2k^{1/2} \alpha^{1/(2k)} \quad [1, p. 141].$$

Since $\|y_\delta - A_\eta x^*\| \leq \|y_\delta - y\| + \|y - A_\eta x^*\| = \|y_\delta - y\| + \|Ax^* - A_\eta x^*\| \leq \delta + \eta \|x^*\|$, it follows that $\|g_n(A_\eta^* A_\eta) A_\eta^* (y_\delta - A_\eta x^*)\| \leq 2k^{1/2} \alpha^{1/(2k)} n^{1/(2k)} (\delta + \|x^*\| \eta)$. That is why

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n(\delta, \eta)} - x^*\| &\leq \|K_{n\eta} x^*\| + \|g_n(A_\eta^* A_\eta) A_\eta^* (y_\delta - A_\eta x^*)\| \leq \|K_{n\eta} x^*\| + \\ &\quad + 2k^{1/2} \alpha^{1/(2k)} n^{1/(2k)} (\delta + \eta \|x^*\|). \end{aligned}$$

Let us show that $\|K_{n\eta} x^*\| \rightarrow 0$ at $n \rightarrow \infty, \eta \rightarrow 0$. Actually,

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_{n\eta} x^*\| &= \|(E - A_\eta^* A_\eta g_n(A_\eta^* A_\eta)) x^*\| = \\ &= \left\| \int_0^{A_\eta^* A_\eta} (1 - \lambda g_n(\lambda)) dE_\lambda x^* \right\| = \left\| \int_0^{A_\eta^* A_\eta} \frac{(1 - \alpha \lambda^k)^{2n}}{(1 + \alpha^2 \lambda^{2k})^n} dE_\lambda x^* \right\| \leq \\ &\leq \left\| \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{(1 - \alpha \lambda^k)^{2n}}{(1 + \alpha^2 \lambda^{2k})^n} dE_\lambda x^* \right\| + \left\| \int_\varepsilon^{A_\eta^* A_\eta} \frac{(1 - \alpha \lambda^k)^{2n}}{(1 + \alpha^2 \lambda^{2k})^n} dE_\lambda x^* \right\|. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\left\| \int_{\varepsilon}^{\|A_{\eta}^* A_{\eta}\|} \frac{(1 - \alpha \lambda^k)^{2n}}{(1 + \alpha^2 \lambda^{2k})^n} dE_{\lambda} x^* \right\| \leq q^n(\varepsilon) \left\| \int_{\varepsilon}^{\|A_{\eta}^* A_{\eta}\|} dE_{\lambda} x^* \right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

as for $\lambda \in [\varepsilon, \|A_{\eta}^* A_{\eta}\|]$, $\frac{(1 - \alpha \lambda^k)^2}{1 + \alpha^2 \lambda^{2k}} \leq q(\varepsilon) < 1$.

$$\left\| \int_0^{\varepsilon} \frac{(1 - \alpha \lambda^k)^{2n}}{(1 + \alpha^2 \lambda^{2k})^n} dE_{\lambda} x^* \right\| \leq \left\| \int_0^{\varepsilon} dE_{\lambda} x^* \right\| = \|E_{\varepsilon} x^*\| \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0$$

owing to integrated spectrum properties [3–4].

From statement (15) $n^{1/k}(\delta + \eta)^2 \rightarrow 0$ at $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\delta \rightarrow 0$, $\eta \rightarrow 0$. Hence $2k^{1/2} \alpha^{1/(2k)} n^{1/(2k)} (\delta + \eta \|x^*\|) \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\delta \rightarrow 0$, $\eta \rightarrow 0$. Thus,

$$\|x_{n(\delta, \eta)} - x^*\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \delta \rightarrow 0, \quad \eta \rightarrow 0.$$

Theorem 3 is proved. □

The following is valid

Theorem 4. *Let $A, A_{\eta} \in \mathcal{L}(H, F)$, $\|A - A_{\eta}\| \leq \eta$, $\|A_{\eta}\|^2 \leq M$, ($0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$), $\alpha > 0$, $y \in R(A)$, $\|y_{\delta} - y\| \leq \delta$. If the exact solution can be represented as $x^* = |A|^s z$, $s > 0$, $\|z\| \leq \rho$, $|A| = (A^* A)^{1/2}$ and conditions (5), (6) are satisfied, then estimation error is real*

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n(\delta, \eta)} - x^*\| &\leq \gamma_0 c_s (1 + |\ln \eta|) \eta^{\min(1, s)} \rho + \\ &+ \gamma_{s/2} n^{-s/(2k)} \rho + 2k^{1/2} \alpha^{1/(2k)} n^{1/(2k)} (\delta + \|x^*\| \eta), \quad 0 < s < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. In case of sourcewise representable exact solution $x^* = |A|^s z = (A^* A)^{s/2} z$ owing to (6) we get $\sup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq M} \lambda^{s/2} |1 - \lambda g_n(\lambda)| \leq \gamma_{s/2} n^{-s/(2k)}$, where

$$\gamma_{s/2} = \left(\frac{s}{4k\alpha e} \right)^{s/(2k)}. \quad \text{Then}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_{n\eta} |A_{\eta}|^s z\| &= \| |A_{\eta}|^s [E - A_{\eta}^* A_{\eta} g_n(A_{\eta}^* A_{\eta})] z \| = \\ &= \left\| (A_{\eta}^* A_{\eta})^{s/2} [E - A_{\eta}^* A_{\eta} g_n(A_{\eta}^* A_{\eta})] z \right\| \leq \gamma_{s/2} n^{-s/(2k)} \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_{n\eta} x^*\| &= \|K_{n\eta} |A|^s z\| = \|K_{n\eta} (|A_{\eta}|^s - |A|^s) z\| + \\ &+ \|K_{n\eta} |A_{\eta}|^s z\| \leq \gamma_0 c_s (1 + |\ln \eta|) \eta^{\min(1, s)} \rho + \gamma_{s/2} n^{-s/(2k)} \rho, \end{aligned}$$

since according to [5, p. 92] we have $\| |A_{\eta}|^s - |A|^s \| \leq c_s (1 + |\ln \eta|) \eta^{\min(1, s)}$, $c_s = \text{const}$, ($c_s \leq 2$ for $0 < s \leq 1$). Following (16)

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n(\delta, \eta)} - x^*\| &\leq \|K_{n\eta} x^*\| + \gamma_* n^{1/(2k)} (\delta + \|x^*\| \eta) = \|K_{n\eta} x^*\| + \\ &+ 2k^{1/2} \alpha^{1/(2k)} n^{1/(2k)} (\delta + \|x^*\| \eta) \leq \gamma_0 c_s (1 + |\ln \eta|) \eta^{\min(1, s)} \rho + \quad (17) \\ &+ \gamma_{s/2} n^{-s/(2k)} \rho + 2k^{1/2} \alpha^{1/(2k)} n^{1/(2k)} (\delta + \|x^*\| \eta), \quad 0 < s < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4 is proved. \square

By minimizing the right-hand member (17) at n , the meaning of a priori stop moment is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} n_{opt} &= \left(\frac{s\gamma_{s/2}}{\gamma_*} \right)^{2k/(s+1)} \rho^{2k/(s+1)} (\delta + \|x^*\| \eta)^{-2k/(s+1)} = \\ &= (4k)^{-(s+k)/(s+1)} s^{(2k+s)/(s+1)} e^{-s/(s+1)} \alpha^{-1} \rho^{2k/(s+1)} (\delta + \|x^*\| \eta)^{-2k/(s+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

The substitution of n_{opt} into estimation (17) allows obtaining the optimal error estimation for the method of iterations (11)

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n(\delta,\eta)} - x^*\|_{opt} &\leq \gamma_0 c_s (1 + |\ln \eta|) \eta^{\min(1,s)} \rho + \\ &+ c_s'' \rho^{1/(s+1)} (\delta + \|x^*\| \eta)^{s/(s+1)}, \quad 0 < s < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_s'' &= \left(s^{1/(s+1)} + s^{-s/(s+1)} \right) \gamma_*^{s/(s+1)} \gamma_{s/2}^{1/(s+1)} = \\ &= s^{s(1-2k)/(2k(s+1))} (s+1) (4k)^{s(k-1)/(2k(s+1))} e^{-s/(2k(s+1))}. \end{aligned}$$

To sum it up,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n(\delta,\eta)} - x^*\|_{opt} &\leq c_s (1 + |\ln \eta|) \eta^{\min(1,s)} \rho + s^{s(1-2k)/(2k(s+1))} (s+1) \times \\ &\times (4k)^{s(k-1)/(2k(s+1))} e^{-s/(2k(s+1))} \rho^{1/(s+1)} (\delta + \|x^*\| \eta)^{s/(s+1)}, \quad 0 < s < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Matysik O. V. Explicit and implicit iteration procedures of solving ill-posed problems / O. V. Matysik. — Brest: BrSU named after A. S. Pushkin, 2014. — 213 p. (in Russian).
2. Matysik O. V. The implicit iterative method of solving operator equations of first kind in Hilbert space / O. V. Matysik // Reports of National Academy of Sciences. — 2012. — Vol. 56, No 6. — P. 28–33. (In Russian).
3. Kantorovich L. V. Functional analysis in normalized spaces / L. V. Kantorovich, G. P. Akilov. — Moscow: Phismathgiz, 1959. — 680 p. (in Russian).
4. Lyusternik L. A. Elements of functional analysis / L. A. Lyusternik, V. I. Sobolev. — Moscow: Nauka, 1965. — 520 p. (in Russian).
5. Vainikko G. M. Iteration procedures in ill-posed problems / G. M. Vainikko, A. Yu. Veretennikov. — Moscow: Nauka, 1986. — 178 p. (in Russian).

OLEG MATYSIK, BREST STATE UNIVERSITY NAMED AFTER A. S. PUSHKIN,
21, KOSMONAVTOV BOULEVARD, BREST, 224016, BELARUS

Received 21.05.2014