Jan Marczuk, Krzysztof Lutnicki ©

Department of Internal Medicine of Farm Animals and Horses
Chair and Clinic of Internal Medicine
University of Life Sciences in Lublin
ul. Glęboka 30
20 – 612 Lublin, Poland
e-mail: doktorjm@o2.pl

THE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE NUTRITION PROTEIN DEFICIENCY IN COWS AND HEALTH DISTURBANCES IN CALVES – TWO CASE STUDIES.

Introduction

Actually there are very popular and common the beef cattle husbandry. This kind of animal production don't require the big economical expenditures, besides the beef cattle are more resistant to worse feeding conditions. Beef cows are not so susceptible to infections like milk ones. On the other hand there is necessary to asses continually the metabolic parameters of animals in this type of husbandry to achieve the right welfare and be sure that feeding parameters are appropriate. In clinical practice, the protein deficiency and it's results are the main and frequent therapeutically problems. One of the main results of protein deficiency in feeding dose of pregnant cows is the low qualify of colostrums and milk, as well as calves protein malnutrition. It comes to week calves origination, that become death after several days, or are specially susceptible to respiratory and alimentary tract disorders.

First case study – syncytial virus contamination.

In the herd contains 160 beef cows limusine breed during the winter comes to solitary calves death. The animals were divided and stay in production groups due to its physiological status. Feeding was based on hay, hay silage and straw with addition of mineral deer-licks. Moreover, the animal were supplemented with self made fodder with addiction of mineral-vitamin premix. The animal were free from parasites. The cows were vaccinated in the last trimester of pregnancy using the Rotavec corona vaccine. The first cases of illness appeared in winter season from December to February. In affected calves becomes to only general weakness, besides were no clinical symptoms. These disturbances concern the calves 2 month old, which were in good condition. During the necropsy there were the signs of pneumonia detected. The primary cause of death was the respiratory insufficient. Some of the cows breed the calves, which become death just after birth despite of treatment.

There were provided the hematological assessment in 3 cows, which calves were death just after labour as well as in 7 calves which not exhibits the clinical signs.

_

[©] Jan Marczuk, Krzysztof Lutnicki, 2010

Hematological and biochemical examinations data:

NR	WBC	RBC	Hb	Ht	N.band.	N.segm	Eosin.	Lymph.	Mon.		
NK	$[x 10^9/l]$	$[x10^{12}/l]$	[g/dl]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]		
CALVES											
1	12,9	9,89	11,8	42,3	1	17	1	81 10450			
2	6,9	10,85	11,6	41,4	2	25	1	70 4830	2		
3	10,05	13,29	11,5	40,4		24		75 7540	1		
4	11,01	13,39	14,0	44,4	1	20		77 8480	1		
5	11,82	12,87	11,1	37,2		31	1	67 7920	1		
6	17,78	9,85	12,5	36,2	1	13	1	85 15110			
7	10,93	10,17	11,4	37,9	1	8		91 9950			
				COV	VS						
8	12,82	7,03	12,8	41,0	1	16	17	66 8461			
9	25,49	6,48	11,0	31,9	1	16	14	69 17588			
10	7,66	7,03	10,8	34,9		26	4	70 5360			
References values	4,0 –10,0	5,0 - 8,0	8 – 14	24 -46	do 2	15 - 45	2 - 20	45 -72	2 - 7		

Nr	AST	Amylase	Protein	Albumins	Globulins	Creatinine	P inorg.	Glucose		
INI	[U/1]	[U/l]	[g/l]	[g/l]	[g/l]	[mg/dl]	[mg/dl]	[mg/dl]		
CALVES										
1	58	22	57,8	38,15	19,65	1,42	9,56	190,6		
2	72	24	54,2	33,95	20,25	2,52	8,55	157,6		
3	52	22	66,4	37,18	29,22	1,24	7,68	141,8		
4	89	28	59,7	39,45	20,25	1,90	7,9	146,5		
5	62	18	64,3	35,18	29,12	1,95	8,15	123,7		
6	79	27	66,2	41,63	24,57	1,92	9,66	145,2		
7	55	31	59,6	36,76	22,84	1,15	7,97	114,3		
				COWS	S					
8	63	27	79,2	40,31	38,89	1,81	5,31	87,1		
9	82	39	73,2	38,65	34,55	1,65	4,46	85,1		
10	84	24	82,3	42,42	39,88	1,64	3,92	66,5		
References	30-	27 - 107	55-70	27 - 39	28 - 88	1,0-2,1	4 – 9,5	40 - 80		
values	100			32 - 49						

Nr	CPK	AP	K	Ca ++	Ca	Albumins/	Urea	Total	Cholest	
	[U/1]	[U/1]	[mmol/l]	[mmol/l]	total	Globulins	[mg/dl]	bilirubin	[mg/dl]	
					[mg/dl]	ratio		[mg/dl]		
CALVES										
1	128	676	6,1	1,50	11,43	1,94	16,8	0,27	135	
2	53	449	6,3	1,40	11,23	1,68	14,6	0,15	253	
3	125	354	5,7	1,52	11,62	1,27	11,6	0,10	171	
4	199	491	6,1	1,52	11,78	1,95	19,5	0,13	220	
5	185	542	5,6	1,45	11,10	1,21	19,5	0,12	169	
6	661	288	6,0	1,48	11,51	1,69	23,0	0,09	264	
7	202	321	5,3	1,37	10,21	1,61	14,0	0,41	184	
					COWS					
8	88	49	5,7	1,26	9,18	1,04	15,1	0,10	129	
9	108	77	4,0	1,48	9,65	1,12	13,5	0,13	210	
10	125	58	3,8	1,37	9,90	1,06	13,1	0,13	186	
Referen-	56 -	27 -	3,8-5,1	1,15 –	9 – 11,5	0,84 - 0,94	15 - 30	0,1-0,5	50 - 116	
ces	410	107		1,40					- 120	
values										

Immunological examinations data

Nr	BVD (Elisa test)	BRSV (Elisa test)	IBR/IPV (Elisa test)							
	CALVES									
1	positive	positive	-							
2	-	positive	-							
3	-	positive	-							
4	-	-	-							
5	-	-	-							
6	-	-	-							
7	-	positive	-							
		COWS								
8	-	positive	-							
9	-	positive	-							
10	-	positive	-							

The above mentioned data show the lymphocytosis, low globulins levels in serum, hypercholesterolaemia and low concentration of urea in blood of examined animals. The low concentration of protein and urea in blood indicate on protein deficiency in feed doses. Serological examinations confirmed the BRSV contaminations in affected animals.

Practical solution of the problem

There was recommended vaccination all of calves over 2 weeks using the Rispoval RS + Pi3 intranasal vaccine in dose 2 ml/ animal. Every calves was treated

with 5 ml preparation containing the beta carotene (Carofertin - Medivet) i.m. The feeding doses were supplemented with rich protein preparation – the Saccharomyces cervisiae derivates- Leiber BM in dose 100g/animal/day.

Second case study- Escherichia coli contamination.

In the same herd appeared the diarrhea in calves in first two weeks of life. Despite the intensive treatment these animals fell down with symptoms of cardiacrespiratory insufficiency. The microbiological examinations were done from impressive preparations from organs and gut contents and the intensive growth of E. coli was detected. There were blood samples collected from 3 calves not exhibited the signs of illness and from 5 pregnant cows.

Hematological and biochemical examinations date:

NR	WBC	RBC	Hb	Ht		N.segm.	Eosin.	Lymp.	Mon.			
	$[x 10^9/1]$	$[x10^{12}/l]$	[g/dl]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]	[%]			
PREGNANT COWS												
1	14,46	7,45	12,6	40,3	12	53	3	32 4 630				
2	6,61	7,97	13,8	43,7	2	24	8	64 4 230	2			
3	8.6	9,11	15,1	47,1	1	29	11	59 5 070	1			
4	7,19	7,41	13,8	42	1	17	5	76 5 460	1			
5	8,68	7,12	10,5	34,4	1	25	3	70 6 080	1			
			(CALVES	5							
1	7,36	7,58	12	35,3	14	44		41 3 017	1			
2	3,14	9,14	13,8	40,1	16	39		38 1 193	7			
3	10,31	9,76	13,3	39,9	8	32		60 6 186				
Reference values	4,0 –10,0	5,0 - 8,0	8 – 14	24 -46	do 2	15 - 45	2 - 20	45 -72	2 - 7			

Nr	AST	Urea	Protein	Albumins	Globulins	Albumins/	Ca	P inorg.	Mg		
INI	[U/1]	[mg/dl]	[g/l]	[g/l]	[g/l]	Globulins	[mg/dl]	[mg/dl	[mg/dl]		
	PREGNANT COWS										
1	92,0	32,2	70,3	40,15	30,15	1,33	8,35	9,0	2,7		
2	82,0	16,7	74,5	43,13	31,37	1,37	8,99	5,7	2,4		
3	68,6	10,5	72,1	46,34	25,76	1,80	8,7	5,5	2,7		
4	72,16	23,0	70,3	35,89	34,41	1,04	8,16	9,5	2,6		
5	62,3	22,5	59,8	32,19	27,64	1,16	8,52	5,9	2,0		
References	30-	15- 30	51-71	32 - 49	28 - 88	0,84 -	9 – 11,5	4 - 9,5	1,8-3,2		
values	100					0,94					

The laboratory findings show hypocalcemia in pregnant cows blood, the high albumins/globulins ratio, low urea concentration and globulins – which may indicates the protein deficiency in fodder dose.

Practical solution of the problem.

The cows in last trimester were administrated with Rotavec Corona (Intervet) vaccine and simultaneously 20 ml Minerasol preparation i.v. Additionally each pregnant cow was administered with immunostimulating preparation Inmodulen in dose 10 ml/ cow, twice every 3 weeks. The fodder dose for pregnant ones were supplemented with 150-200 g protein preparation Interveast. The calves were administered with injection of immunoglobulins preparation Boviglobin 20 ml per animal and were supplemented with Saccharomyces - derived preparation containing 10% pectin from apple – Interveast DA-1. This treatment gives the good results in faces consistent (concentration)

Conclusions.

- 1. The main problem in beef cattle husbandry in first months of their life are the respiratory and alimentary tract disorders.
- 2. The one of the pivotal factors which promote the illness is the protein deficiency in fodder dose.
- 3. Pregnant cows vaccinations and protein rich fodder successful protects the animals from disease.
- 4. The fodder supplements containing the Saccharomyces cervisiae metabolism derivates like Interyeast, Interyest DA-1, Leiber BM and simultaneously vaccinations can successfully protects against the beef breeds calves diseases in breeding period.

References

1. Baker, J.C. 1986. Bovine respiratory syncytial virus: pathogenesis, clinical signs, diagnosis, treatment, and prevention. Compend Cont Ed. 8:F31-F38.

- 2. Kimman, T.G. 1986. Diagnosis of bovine respiratory syncytial virus infections improved by virus detection in lung lavage samples. Am J Vet Res 47:142-147.
- 3. Van Der Poel, W.H.M., et al. 1993. Dynamics of bovine respiratory syncytial virus infections: a longitudinal epidemiological study in dairy herds. Arch Virol 133:309-321.
- 4. Pirie, H.M., et al. 1981. Acute fatal pneumonia in calves due to respiratory syncytial virus. Vet Rec 108:411:416.
- 5. Ellis, J.A., et al. 1996. Fatal pneumonia in adult dairy cattle associated with active infection with bovine respiratory syncytial virus. Can Vet J 37:103-105.
- 6. Kimman, T.G., et al. 1988. Epidemiologic study of bovine respiratory syncytial virus in calves: influence of maternal antibodies on the outcome of disease. Vet Rec 123:104-109.
- 7. Belknap, E.B., et al. 1991. The role of passive immunity in bovine respiratory syncytial virus infected calves. J Infect Dis 163:470-476.
- 8. Martin, S.W., Bohac, J.G. 1986. The association between serologic titers to infectious bovine rhinotracheitis virus, bovine virus diarrhea virus respiratory syncytial virus, respiratory syncytial virus and treatment for respiratory disease in Ontario feedlot calves. Can J Vet Res 50:351-358.
- 9. Hall, C.B., et al. Immunity to and frequency of reinfection with respiratory syncytial virus. J Infect Dis 163:693-698.
- Ellis J.A., et al. 1996. Effects of perinatal vaccination on humoral and cellular immune responses in cows and young calves. J Am Vet Med Assoc 208:393-400.
- 11. West, K.H. et al. 1999. The efficacy of modified-live bovine respiratory syncytial virus vaccines in experimentally infected calves. Vaccine 18(9-10):907-919.

Стаття надійшла до редакції 11.03.2010