Roman Povoroznyk, PhD candidate Academy of Municipal Management

THE ENVIRONMENTAL FACTOR IN THE SYSTEM OF PRIORITIES OF THE ECONOMICAL STATE POLICY

Annotation

The environmental factors in the system of priorities of the state economic policy are substantiated. The economic evaluation of the natural resources in the system of indicators for the development of the country is determined.

Keywords: public policy, environmental management, natural resource, conservation activities, the system of priorities, factors.

Анотація

Обтрунтовано екологічні чинники в системі пріоритетів державної економічної політики. Визначено економічну оцінку природних ресурсів у системі показників розвитку країни.

Ключові слова: державна політика, екологічний менеджмент, природний ресурс, природоохоронні заходи, система пріоритетів.

Аннотация

Обоснованно экологические факторы в системе приоритетов государственной экономиче-ской политики. Определена экономическая оценка природных ресурсов в системе показателей развития страны.

Ключевые слова: государственная политика, экологический менеджмент, природный ресурс, природоохранные мероприятия, система приоритетов.

Introduction

Measures which are aimed at the sustainable use of the natural resources and the stabilization and improvement of the environment, do not give the desired effect. The main reasons are: the lack of a single integrated approach and coordination in addressing these problems, insuff cient fund-ing for environmental activities, the lack of really existing economic mechanism of the nature use, poor organizational structure of the management in this area. Overexploitation of certain types of natural resources, inadequate forms and methods of their involvement in the reproductive process need require for the priorities of their use to be revised while making the target orientation in the strategies of the nature use clear and applying the scientif c justif cation of the actions and mecha-nisms in order to successfully achieve them.

Analysis of recent research

The signif cant contribution to the theory and practice of evaluating the effectiveness of the use of natural resources and economic activities had made such scientists A. Ahanbehyan, L. Ab-alkin, B. Burkynskyy, V. Mischenko, O. Tsarenko, L. Melnyk, M. Hvesyk, S. Harichkov, B. Stepa-nov, A. Aksenenko, O. Amosha, T. Ben', I. Bu-lyeyev, O. Vasylyk, N. Konischeva, A. Turylo, L. Chervova, M. Chumachenko, A. Sheremet and others.

Statement of research objectives

- to justify the environmental factors in the system of priorities of the state economic policy;

- to identify the economic evaluation of the natural resources in the system of indicators of the country's development.

Results

The feasibility of development and imple-mentation of the strategy of rational use and pro-tection of natural resources at the regional level requires signif cant adaptation of the existing in our country rules and regulations to the European standards of environmental management. The le-gitimization of the targeted program approach will enable the effect of various opportunistic interests in regards to the use of natural resources, will guarantee the transparency and predictability of the regional policy in this area [3, p. 326].

Applying the environmental factor into the priorities of the state economic policy is caused by a number of objective and subjective factors. Among them the most crucial are:

- the need to ensure the energy security, socio-economic development due to the lack of own energy resources; - the reduction of the anthropogenic impact of the industrial complexes on the environment due to the wear of the certain objects of the logis-tic and material base and the undeveloped state of the infrastructural provision;

- to prevent the appearance of natural disas-ters (f oods, landslides) due to the irrational use of some components of natural resources;

 to strengthen the budget-f lling function of the nature use and the social orientation of the commercialization through involving natural resources into the reproductive process;

- to implement the priorities of the ecological use of natural resources and other elements of the environment into the dominants of acceleration of the socio-economic rehabilitation of territorial units;

- the formation of the f nancial base for the upgrade and modernization of the environmen-tal infrastructure and expansion of the territorial boundaries of protected areas;

- the legalization of the business sector in the specif c areas of natural and gradual formation of the competitive environment and market infra-structure in the development of natural resources;

- the improvement of the f scal control of the nature use through the introduction of promising forms and methods of assessment (administration) of the resource payments and environmental charges;

- increasing the rental target taxation for the use of certain types of natural resources through differentiation and diversif cation of the rates and fees for exploitation and the introduction of a mo-bile system of f nes and recoveries;

- the development of the methodological and methodical basis for the economic evaluation of natural resources as part of the national wealth and justif cation of the eff ciency indicators of natural resources in social reproduction;

- the development of the f nancial, credit and banking systems that would have the natural resource and environmental focus and accelerate the innovation of the means of production in the natu-ral resource sector;

- the implementation of the principle of equality of ownership and the natural resource component of the national wealth under the condi-tion of presence of the strong municipal sector as the base for f lling in the budgets of local commu-nities and preserving the state ownership of those natural benef ts, which are performing the strate-gic function in preservation of the favorable living conditions and increaseament of the assimilation of potential areas;

- the transformation of the environmental management through the concentration of the ba-sic regulatory instruments and management tools in a single center;

– the large-scale implementation into the prac-tice of business activity of the elements of environ-mental management with the aim of the domestic enterprises of nature use entering into the system of environmental standards, which operates in the countries of the developed capitalism;

– the elimination of the distortions in the water supply of some regions because of the gradual improvement of the river basin management and the equalization of the standards board at the regional basis as well as taking into account the sectoral characteristics of water;

- increasing of the wood resource potential through the transformation of economic relations, which includes the implementation of the system of stimulation of forestation and reforestation in-centives, the deep processment of timber and for-est complexity, the revitalization of business in the recreational forest and non-wood raw materi-als during processing and the use of the non-wood qualities of the wood [5, p. 231].

To the elements of the protection of the environment can be included all kinds of activities of different nature related to the prevention of nega-tive consequences of the process of production and consumption, meaning the treatment facili-ties, wasteand low-waste technologies, the closed process of water consumption and the formation of reserves that preserve and stabilize specif c bio-geocenoses.

Although Ukraine is marked with the down-ward trend in emissions of substances that pollute the atmosphere it is not a insignif cant one. Thus, according to data of the state statistical reporting, the proportion of samples of air, which found con-taminants in concentrations exceeding the bound-ing (hereinafter – MAC), was: in 2006 - 9.5, 2007 - 9.3 2008 - 9 1, 2009 - 9.2, in 2010 - 8.8.

The main pollutants of the air are suspended solids (about 27% of non-standard samples), ox-ides of nitrogen (about 23%), carbon monoxide (about 14%), and sulfur dioxide (about 9%). These substances are the main components of the emis-sions of the fuel and energy, manufacturing and ex-tractive industries, transportation and agriculture.

During the period of year 2010 by the special-ists of the state epidemiologic service of Ukraine

had been selected for laboratory studies some 256,452 samples of the air in urban areas and 39,626 samples - in rural areas. The results of the 22,461 samples in ambient air in urban and 732 rural air samples were found exceeding the MCL contaminants. The above statistics shows that the number of samples of air containing contaminants in concentrations that exceed the MCL, in the cit-ies is about 9% in rural areas – about 2%.

The assessment of the air pollution in the cit-ies of Ukraine was accomplished according to the observations made in 53 cities, 162 f xed routes and two observation points of the hydrometereo-logical monitoring system. Thus, the air content was determined to include 32 contaminants, in-cluding benzo (a) pyrene and heavy metals.

The average annual concentration of the formaldehyde in the cities of Ukraine, where observa-tions were carried out, was at 2.7 MAC, nitrogen dioxide -1.3MPC, dust -1.1 MPC, ammonia, hydrogen f uoride and phenol -1.0 MAC.

In 2006, the annual average concentration exceeded the MCL for formaldehyde in 36 cities, ni-trogen dioxide - 30, dust - 25, phenol - 11, carbon monoxide - 10, ammonia and benzo (a) pyrene - 7, hydrogen f uoride - 6, sulfur dioxide - in 2 cit-ies, hydrogen chloride and carbon black - in 1.

The maximum concentrations of pollutants exceeded 5 MAC (high pollution level) in three cities: Kyiv with nitrogen dioxide in 4 cases (in the past year – 10), in Kharkov with the dust in 2 cases (1 respectively), in Krasnoperekopsk with hydrogen chloride in 23 cases (of which 2 cases were above 10 MPC (12)), with ammonia – 5 and nitrogen dioxide – 2 cases (in the past year – 4 cas-es). Altogether there were 36 cases of high air pol-lution to the maximum concentration level against 33 cases detected last year.

In 2010 in 22 cities of Ukraine the level of air pollution (with the complex air pollution in-dex -KIZA) was higher than the average for the country. The highest level of contamination had been detected the cities of Odessa, Dneprodz-erzhinsk, in Krasnoperekopsk, Armyansk, Horlivka, Slavic. Dzershinsk, Makeyevka, Mariupol, Yena-kiyevo, Lisichansk, Donetsk, Dnepropetrovsk, Kramatorsk, Lutsk, Uzhgorod, Severodonetsk, Odessa, Krivoy Rog, Rubezhnoye, Yalta, which is associated with the signif cant concentrations of formaldehyde, nitrogen dioxide, benzo (a) pyrene. In some cities there was the high average content of hydrogen f uoride, phenol, dust and other sub-stances inspected.

The main reasons that cause the poor and often dangerous condition of the air in the settle-ments are non usage from the side of technologi-cal enterprise of the air pollution abatement equip-ment, failure to comply with the timely measures in order to reduce emissions to the required level, slow pace of the modern technology for cleaning emissions, the lack of the effective treatment of emission from the side of gaseous impurities en-terprises, the lack of safety zones between indus-trial and residential areas.

The high level of the air pollution is caused mainly by the emissions made by the enterprises of coke, metallurgical and chemical industries. The subject of the most adverse effects of harmful factors becomes the population living within the sanitary protection zones of industrial enterprises, which is the violation of the requirements under degree «On the public health rules of planning and development of human capacity.»

The problem of climate change is recognized today as one of the most pressing problems that the mankind should solve in the XXI century. As the evidence of the awareness of the international community with the threats caused by the global climate change is the fact that 189 countries be-came the parties of the UN Framework Conven-tion on Climate Change, and 164 countries are parties to the Kyoto Protocol.

In the conclusions of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change it is stated that, accord-ing to the third report, prepared by this group, in order to stabilize the greenhouse gases in the at-mosphere the global greenhouse gas emissions should be reduced considerably – more than in 2 times compared to 2000.

The European Union on the 12th Conference of the Parties of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change, in which Ukraine also took part (November 2006), released its long-term strategy for reducing the emissions by 30% by 2020 and by 50% by 2050 compared to the level of 1990's. Ukraine's commitments as the party to the Kyoto Protocol during the commitment period of 2008-2012 years, meaning f ve years, are not to exceed the f vefold of the amount of greenhouse gases of the 1990's.

The Kyoto Protocol does not only pose cer-tain challenges for Ukraine, but also allows it to get more investments into the economy. Kyoto's Protocol f exible mechanisms, namely: trading greenhouse gas emissions and joint implementa-tion of the projects in order to reduce such emissions can and should become a signif cant source of f nancial income into the economy.

According to a recent inventory of anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases of the year 2004, excluding the removals by sinks, there were some 413.4 million of tons of CO2 thrown out into the atmosphere. In the 1990 the base emissions of Ukraine totaled 925.4 million tones of CO2 [2].

The reproduction of natural resources is directly related to the reproduction of renewable resources, meaning the formation of artif cial biocenosis, the sewage treatment for their multiple ef-fective and eff cient uses, the expansion of forests and crops and so on. Here the important and nec-essary problem is the restoration of the natural re-sources associated with land reclamation, cleaning of rivers, lakes, reservoirs and other water systems as well as scientif cally sound conduct and imple-mentation of soil and water protection measures.

Under the modern conditions the problem of the rational use of natural resources and the level of its development reached the critical level. According to the international environmental organi-zations over the last hundred years the mining of coal in the world increased by 48 times, oil – in 145 times, natural gas – to 831 times, pig iron – 18 times, steel – 23 times, wood – 10 times. Every two decades around the globe the mining of natu-ral resources is being increased in two times [1]. This situation indicates the negative impact on the environment, the transformation of its structure and the essence of the balance of forces.

The high level of the development and utilization of natural resources in the economy led to the situation that nowadays the humanity moves and transforms about 18 km i of rock from which more than 185 different types and components of various minerals are being removed. These data points out on the intense movement of the individual components of natural resources of the world and alarms about the relative completeness of natural resources of the planet and unwanted negative phenomena and processes.

To the natural and resource potential of the state the national scientists include: land, forest reserves, water resources intended for the commercial use, stocks of mineral resources. It should be noted that along with the concept of natural and resource potential the term of ecological and eco-nomic potential is being used, which is close in meaning. Ecological and economic potential com-prises of the resources theoretically available for use and properties of the natural systems throughout the world and its regions. The operation and maintenance of them is being particularly emphasized [4, p. 20].

Natural resources should be considered as the integrated resources and they need the compre-hensive evaluation conducted upon them, which should include three levels: naturalhistorical, nat-ural-resource and environmentalsocio-economic ones [1, p. 8].

Natural resources are considered from two perspectives: as the natural formation and social basis. This causes the existence of the evaluation of their natural and socio-economic characteristics.

On the economic evaluation of natural resources their dual nature has an inf uence. The assessments undergo the qualitative properties – using value and the quantity ones – the spending costs of the society connected with entering the natural resources into the national turnover. As part of the economic assessments of natural resources, macroeconomic assessment impacts directly the ecological and socio-economic level of the assess-ment of natural resources. In turn, the evaluation of natural resources is carried out at every level of social production.

Macroeconomic assessment determines that the effort of the society lies upon the exploration of natural resources, bringing them into operation, bringing resources to their best use and recovery, the elimination of violations of the use of natural resources and the results of the natural resource disasters.

Conclusions

The above stated efforts of the society, as they take part in social production, are called the costs of labor which are allocated for the accumulation of the value of natural resources. The economic essence of accumulation is to create the reproduction of the national wealth. This f gure, along with the economic development potential characterizes the development of the national economy.

As the world reserves of natural resources are very large, the probability of near physical exhaus-tion of the most of them due to the irrational use is fairly insignif cant. In the period of diff cult eco-nomic development and weak implementation of new scientif c and technological achievements into the economic practices it can be concluded about the exhaustion of industrial stocks of biological material, given the current technology of production. As the example, we can talk about the geological deposits of coal, oil, uranium, and other important minerals of the world in the crust.

56

References

1. Дорогунцов С. I., Хвесик М. А., Головинський І. Л. Водне господарство України: сучасний стан та перспективи розвитку. – К. : РВПС України НАН України, 2002. – С. 8.

 Збагерська Н. В. Удосконалення методологічних та методичних основ економічної оцінки природних ресурсів // Автореф. дис. кандидата екон. наук. – Рівне, 2003. – С.13.
Кравців В. С. Стратегія раціонального використання природно- ресурсного потенціалу в

регіоні: екологічні пріоритети // Вісник Національного університету водного господарства та природокористування. Збірник наукових праць. Економіка. Частина2. Випуск 4(36). – Рівне, РВЦ НУВГП. – 2006. – С. 325-330.

4. Сафранов Т. А. Екологічні основи природокористування: Навч. посібник для студентів ви-щих навчальних закладів, 2-ге видання, стереотипне. – Львів : «Новий світ – 2000», 2004. – 248 с.

5. Хвесик М. А. Інституціональна модель природокористування в умовах глобальних викликів: [монографія] / М. А. Хвесик, В. А. Голян. – К. : Кондор, 2007. – 480 с.