## <u>УДК 519.9</u>

Albert Voronin (Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Professor of a Department)<sup>1</sup> Yuri Ziatdinov (Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Head of a Department)<sup>1</sup> Olexander Permyakov (Doctor of Technical Sciences, Professor, Chief of a Institute)<sup>2</sup> Igor Varlamov (Ph.D., Doctoral Candidate)<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>National Aviation University, Kyiv <sup>2</sup>National Defence University of Ukraine named after Ivan Cherniakhovsky, Kyiv

# MULTICRITERIA OPTIMAL DISTRIBUTION OF LIMITED RESOURCES

The problem of distribution of the given global resource of the system under the constraints, imposed on individual resources is considered. It is shown, that the problem lies in constructing an adequate objective function for optimization of the resources distribution under their limitations. For solving the considered problem, the multicriteria optimization approach is undertaken with the nonlinear trade-off scheme. Model examples are given.

*Key words: distribution of global resource, multicriteria optimization, nonlinear trade-off scheme, constructing an adequate objective function for optimization, decision making method.* 

#### Introduction

In various areas of management and economics the problem of such resource distribution of the controlled system between the individual elements (objects), which provides the most effective functioning of the system under the given conditions, is urgent. The problem of allocating of limited resources is a main problem of economics. It is believed that the proper distribution and redistribution of resources – this is just the economics. Similar problems arise in other subject areas. The art is to allocate properly limited resources, depending on the circumstances.

Often the problem is solved subjectively, on the basis of the experience and professional qualifications of a decision maker (DM). In simple cases, such approach may be justified. However, when there is a large number of objects and for important cases, the price of the error of management decisions sharply increases. The development of the formalized methods of decision making support, for competent resource distribution between objects, taking into account all the given circumstances, becomes urgent.

One of such circumstances is usually resources limitation. The most prevalent is the case of upper limitation of a total (global) system resource to be distributed among the individual objects. The problem of redistribution of resources, while decreasing the previously planned level of projects funding, is considered, in particular, in [1].

In practical cases, constraints are imposed not only on the global resource, but also on the individual resources, given to individual objects. The constraints may be imposed both from below and from above. Such constraints either are known in advance, or are determined by technical and economic calculations or by peer review methods. One should distinguish the conditional limitations (when the violation of limits is not desirable) and limitations unconditional (when their violation is physically impossible).

Example 1. To run several flights to different cities the airport has a certain fuel resource. to be distributed between the aircrafts. For every flight there is a lower limit below which the fuel providing is pointless, because the plane just will not fly to its destination. This is the essence of the lower limit for every individual resource. If the given flight obtains the fuel above the certain lower limit, it has, on the one hand, an opportunity to maneuver freely by echelons, bypass a thunderstorm, going away to an alternate airfield, etc. On the other hand, the partial resource can not be increased unlimitedly too, since there is an upper bound of the resource. This is understandable, since every aircraft has a certain capacity of tanks and physically it cannot take on board more fuel.

But usually the upper limit is introduced as conditional and assigned by the flight plan. Taking into account this set of constraints, it is required to allocate the global resource of fuel between flights to ensure the most effective operation of the airport as a whole.

**Example 2.** In the planning and designing organization the order for the development of several projects is received. To fulfill the order, the specific funding is provided, which is to be distributed among the individual projects. For every project the minimum level of funding, below which fulfillment of the project is impossible, is known. Usually there are protected items of the estimate - the salary of employees, rent, utility payments, cost of an absolutely necessary equipment, etc. It is clear that, with minimal funding the quality of the project would be appropriate. The funding increase makes the development of the project more effective. But it is possible to increase the funding amount to the certain limit, constraint by the total estimated cost of the project. Exceeding this limit is called the non purposeful spending funds and threatens sanctions. Taking into account the mentioned limitations from

Modern Information Technologies in the Sphere of Security and Defence № 1 (19)/2014

above and below, it is necessary to distribute the global amount of funding between projects so, that the work of the planning and designing organization as a whole would be the most effective.

It easy to see, that for all the individual resources the sum of the constraints from below is a lower bound for the global resource, and the sum of the constraints from above restricts the global resource from above.

The problem lies in constructing an adequate objective function to optimize resource distribution under the condition of their limitation. A simple uniform distribution in this case is not suitable, since it can put some objects on the verge of the impossibility of their functioning, while other objects obtain an unreasonably great resource.

In the present work for solving the considered problem, the approach of multicriteria optimization, using the nonlinear trade-off scheme [2,3], is used.

## **Problem formulation**

Since the considered problem is urgent for different domains, we shall present the problem formulation in a general form.

The global resource R is given, which is to be allocated, and  $n\geq 2$  elements (objects) of the system, each of which is provided with the individual resource  $r_i$ , their set forming the vector  $r = \{r_i\}_{i=1}^{n}$ 

At the same time, the condition  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i = R$ holds true.

The system of constraints from both below and above is known

$$\begin{split} r_i &\geq B_{i\min}, \sum_{i=1}^n B_{i\min} \leq R, i \in [1, n] \\ r_i &\leq B_{i\max}, \sum_{i=1}^n B_{i\max} \geq R, i \in [1, n] \\ X_r &= \{r \mid B_{i\max} \geq r_i \geq B_{i\min}, i \in [1, n] \} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n B_{i\max} \geq R \geq \sum_{i=1}^n B_{i\min}(*) \end{split}$$

In the polar cases of inequality (\*), the considered problem has trivial solutions. And only if the expression (\*) becomes a strict inequality, the problem of optimizing, distribution of limited resources gets the sense.

It is required: Under given conditions to define such individual resources  $r_i$  for which some objective function Y(r) takes the extreme value.

Its type should be selected and justified.

#### Method of solution

In the problem of optimizing the distribution of limited resources, the limit from above is considered as a simple optimization constraint, the approaching to which does not threaten the system significantly.

Quite a different meaning has the limit from below. The resource approaching this limitation threatens the very possibility of the appropriate object functioning. One can say that the limitation from below is "criteria-forming" in the sense that the

objective function must increase the difference between the individual resource and its limit from below.

Therefore, the expression of the desired objective function should: 1) include constraints from below in the explicit form, 2) penalize the system for the partial resources approaching these constraints, 3) be differentiable by its arguments. The simplest objective function satisfying these requirements is

$$Y(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i\min} (r_i - B_{i\min})^{-1}$$

This formula is nothing else but an expression of the scalar convolution of the maximized individual criteria, by the nonlinear trade-off scheme (NTS) in the problem of multicriteria optimization [4].

Indeed, in the considered problem, the resources

$$\mathbf{r} = \{\mathbf{r}_i\}_{i=1}^n$$

have a dual nature. On the one hand, they can be considered as independent variables, the arguments of optimization of the objective function. On the other hand, for each object, it is the logic desire to maximize its individual resource, to go away as far as possible from the dangerous limit, to improve the efficiency of its operation.

From this point of view, the resources  $r_i \ge B_{i\min}, i \in [1,n]$  can be regarded not only as arguments of object function optimization but also as individual quality criteria of operation of the corresponding objects [5]. These criteria being subject to maximization are limited from below, nonnegative and contradictory (the increase of one resource is possible only at the expense of reducing the other).

This duality is a key point of the work.

The NTS concept is based on the principle "away from the constraints". It is assumed that the DM estimates as preferable those solutions that give the greater remoteness of the criteria from hazardous constraints. The scalar convolution Y(r) is a model of the utility function and includes the difference  $r_i - B_{i\,min}$ 

as a characteristic of tension of the decision making solution. This allows one to penalize the criteria for the approximation to their limits.

It is proved that a solution by NTS is Paretooptimal, which makes it the best for the system as a whole [6].

The problem of vector optimization of allocating limited resources, taking into account the isoperimetric constraint for arguments, becomes

$$r^* = \arg\min_{r \in X_r} Y(r) = \arg\min_{r \in X_r} \sum_{i=1}^n B_{i\min} (r_i - B_{i\min})^{-1},$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^n r_i = R$$

Problem can be solved both analytically, using the Lagrange method of multipliers, and by numerical methods, if analytical solution is difficult.

The analytical solution involves the construction of the Lagrange function in the form

$$L(r,\lambda) = Y(r) + \lambda(\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i - R)$$

and solving the system of equations

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathbf{r},\lambda)}{\partial \mathbf{r}_{i}} = 0, i \in [1,n]$$
$$\frac{\partial L(\mathbf{r},\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{r}_{i} - \mathbf{R} = 0$$

To solve multicriteria problems by numerical methods, using the NTS concept and the constraints on the arguments and criteria, the algorithms are developed and the computer program TURBO-OPTIM is written.

#### **Illustrative examples**

1. To perform two flights (n=2), the airport has fuel, totaling R=12 tons (figures are conditional). The minimum requirement of the first flight is  $r_1 \ge B_{1\min} = 2$  tons, the second  $-r_2 \ge B_{2\min} = 5$  tons. They are limits from below for the individual resources. The oil tanks capacity of the first aircraft is  $B_{1\max} = 7$  tons, while the second  $-B_{2\max} = 10$  tons. They are limits from above.

Condition (\*) as a strict inequality (dimensions are omitted)

 $B_{1\min} + B_{2\min} = 7 < R = 12 < B_{1\max} + B_{2\max} = 17$ is observed. Hence, the problem of optimizing the distribution of limited resources can be posed and the solution will be nontrivial.

It is necessary to get the analytical solution of compromise-optimal distribution of fuel between the flights.

The Lagrangian function is built

$$L(\mathbf{r},\lambda) = \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lmin}}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{l}} - \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{lmin}})^{-1} + \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{2min}}(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{2}} - \mathbf{B}_{\mathrm{2min}})^{-1} + \lambda(\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{l}} + \mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{2}} - \mathbf{R})$$

The system of the equations is obtained

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathbf{r}, \lambda)}{\partial \mathbf{r}_1} = -\mathbf{B}_{1\min} (\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{B}_{1\min})^{-2} + \lambda = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial L(\mathbf{r}, \lambda)}{\partial \mathbf{r}_2} = -\mathbf{B}_{2\min} (\mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{B}_{2\min})^{-2} + \lambda = 0$$
$$\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 - \mathbf{R} = 0$$

Substituting the numerical data

$$-2(r_1 - 2)^{-2} + \lambda = 0$$
  
$$-5(r_2 - 5)^{-2} + \lambda = 0$$
  
$$r_1 + r_2 - 12 = 0$$

and solving this system by the Gauss method (successive elimination of variables), we obtain

 $r_1^* = 3,94 \text{ tons}, r_2^* = 8,06 \text{ tons}.$ 

2. In the design office the order for the design and manufacture of scaled-down prototypes of aircrafts of the three species (n=3): 1) passenger, 2) transport, 3) sport and training is received. To fulfill the order, the

#### References

**1. Voronin A.N.** Vector optimization of hierarchical structures, Problemy upravleniya i informatiki, 2004, No. 6,

financing of the total volume R = 10 million UAH (hereinafter figures are conditional) is provided.

The complete budget for every project (limits from above) is calculated:

 $\begin{aligned} r_1 &\leq B_{1\,max} = 7 \quad m \quad UAH; \quad r_2 &\leq B_{2\,max} = 5 \quad m \quad UAH; \\ r_3 &\leq B_{3\,max} = 4 \quad m \quad UAH. \end{aligned}$ 

By means of economic calculations, the minimum amounts of funding the individual projects, below which the design is not possible (limits from below), are determined:

$$\begin{split} r_l \geq B_{1\,min} &= 2 \ m \ UAH; \ r_2 \geq B_{2\,min} = 1 \ m \ UAH; \\ r_3 \geq B_{3\,min} = 0,5 \ m \ UAH. \end{split}$$

Condition (\*) is a strict inequality (dimensions are omitted)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i \max} = 16 > R = 10 > \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{i \min} = 3,5,$$

so the above technique can be applied to non-trivial optimization of distribution of limited resources.

By using the vector optimization TURBO-OPTIM program, the compromise-optimal values of the individual fundings  $r_1^*, r_2^*$  and  $r_3^*$  are found for the design and manufacture of the scaled-down prototypes of the passenger liner, transport aircraft and sport, respectively.

On the basis of the stages of work with the program, set: the "analysis" mode, method of "simplex-planning" optimization (default) and then enter the numerical data (the dimensions are omitted):

$$r_{1\min} = B_{1\min} = 2; r_{1start} = 3; r_{1max} = B_{1max} = 7$$

$$r_{2\min} = B_{2\min} = 1; r_{2start} = 3; r_{2max} = B_{2max} = 5$$

$$r_{3\min} = B_{3\min} = 0.5; r_{3start} = 3; r_{3max} = B_{3max} = 4$$

$$r_{1} + r_{2} + r_{3} - 10 = 0$$

$$y_{1} = 1/r_{1}; y_{2} = 1/r_{2}; y_{3} = 1/r_{3}$$

$$y_{1max} = A_{1} = \frac{1}{B_{1min}} = 0.5;$$

$$y_{2max} = A_{2} = \frac{1}{B_{2min}} = 1;$$

$$y_{3max} = A_{3} = \frac{1}{B_{3min}} = 2$$

After this, the command "execute" is given, and the program determines the desired values of the individual fundings of the projects:

#### Conclusion

So it can be seen, that a vector optimization approach is undertaken for the problem of allocation of limited resources of a system which makes a solution process formalized and appropriate for practical applications.

26-34. **2. Voronin A.N.** Ziatdinov Yu.K., Kozlov A.I., Vector optimization of dynamical systems [in Russian],

Modern Information Technologies in the Sphere of Security and Defence № 1 (19)/2014

Tekhnika, Kiev, 1999. **3. Voronin A.N.** A nonlinear tradeoff scheme in multicriteria evaluation and optimization problems, Kibernetika i sistemnyi analiz, 2009, No. 4, 106-114. **4 Voronin A.N.** The method of multicriteria evaluation and optimization of hierarchical systems [in Russian] / Cybernetics and Systems Analysis. -2007.  $-N_{2}$  3. -P. 8492. **5 Saaty T.L.** Multicriteria Decision Making: The Analytical Hierarchy Process. – N.Y.: McGraw-Hill, 1990. – 380 p. **6. Voronin A.N.**, Ziatdinov Ju.K., Kuklinsky M.V. Multi-criteria decisions: Models and methods [in Russian]. – Kiev.: NAU, 2011. – 348 p.

# ОПТИМАЛЬНИЙ БАГАТОКРИТЕРІАЛЬНИЙ РОЗПОДІЛ ОБМЕЖЕНИХ РЕСУРСІВ

Альберт Миколайович Воронін (д-р техн. наук, професор, професор кафедри)<sup>1</sup> Юрій Кашафович Зіатдінов (д-р техн. наук, професор, завідувач кафедри)<sup>1</sup> Олександр Юрійович Пермяков (д-р техн. наук, професор, начальник інституту)<sup>2</sup> Ігор Давидович Варламов (канд. техн. наук, докторант)<sup>2</sup>

## <sup>1</sup>Національний авіаційний університет, Київ <sup>2</sup>Національний університет оборони України імені Івана Черняховського, Київ

Розглядається задача розподілу даного глобального ресурсу системи при обмеженнях, що накладаються на окремі складові. Показано, що проблема полягає в побудові адекватної цільової функції для оптимізації розподілу ресурсів відповідно до їх обмежень. Для вирішення даної задачі запропоновано підхід багатокритеріальної оптимізації, яка здійснюється за нелінійною схемою компромісів. Наведено модельні приклади.

**Ключові слова:** розподіл глобальних ресурсів, багатокритеріальна оптимізація, нелінійна схема компроміс, побудова адекватної цільової функції оптимізації, метод прийняття рішень.

# ОПТИМАЛЬНОЕ МНОГОКРИТЕРИАЛЬНОЕ РАСПРЕДЕЛЕНИЕ ОГРАНИЧЕНИХ РЕСУРСОВ

Альберт Николаевич Воронин (д-р техн. наук, профессор, профессор кафедры)<sup>1</sup> Юрий Константинович Зиатдинов (д-р техн. наук, профессор, заведующий кафедры)<sup>1</sup> Александр Юрьевич Пермяков (д-р техн. наук, профессор, начальник института)<sup>2</sup> Игорь Давыдович Варламов (канд. техн. наук, докторант)<sup>2</sup>

# <sup>1</sup>Национальный авиационный университет, Киев

<sup>2</sup>Национальный университет обороны Украины имени Ивана Черняховского, Киев

Рассматривается задача распределения данного глобального ресурса системы при ограничениях, налагаемых на отдельные ресурсы. Показано, что проблема заключается в построении адекватной целевой функции для оптимизации распределения ресурсов в соответствии с их ограничениями. Для решения рассматриваемой задачи предложен подход многокритериальной оптимизации, которая осуществляется по нелинейной схеме компромиссов. Приведены модельные примеры.

**Ключевые слова:** распределение глобальных ресурсов, многокритериальная оптимизация, нелинейная схема компромиссов, построение адекватной целевой функции оптимизации, метод принятия решений.