

1.

1.

[1]	
[4]	
[5]	
[6]	
[7]	

«

»,

,

,

.

,

-

(

)

,

,

.

,

,

.

-

-

,

,

,

[3].

[3].

(. 2).

2.

[3].

... .
 , , .
 , , , .
 « », ,
 , , .
 : 1. / . . – : , 1989. –
 519 . 2. . . .) : / . . , . . – :
 (, 2010. – 212 .; 3. . . .) : / [. .) ,
 .; . . . – : « // »,
 2012. – 771 . 4. // . . //
 . – 2008. - 12. – .32 – 37. 5. . . . – 2010. – .133 – 137.
 6. // . . // . – 2012. - 2. –
 .133 – 137. 7. : , 2006. – 212 .

26.10.2013

: 658.3

« ». : / . . //
 : « ». – 2013. – 52 (1025). – . 149–153. : 7 .
 « ».
 ; ; - ;
 ; ;
 ; ;
 , .

The theoretical approaches of different authors toward the treating of "organizational potential» are considered in the article. Potential is considered as a system of segregating groups: system-creating criteria; organizational potential; tasks system; standards; technological and algorithmical provision; relations regulation; regulation of subjects' activities; conditions for ensuring rational management activities; the process supporting of their constituent elements and evaluation criteria.

Keywords: potential, enterprise, development, organization, management.